Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

DARLINGTON
SUBSCRIPTION LIBRARY.
No 14
——— Days allowed for Reading this Book.
— Day forfeit, for keeping it longer.

MIC RESEA
CSTT

1834

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library

Downloaded from http://www.everyspec.com

MIC RESEA
CB17

REPORT

FROM

HIS MAJESTY'S COMMISSIONERS

FOR INQUIRING INTO THE

ADMINISTRATION AND PRACTICAL OPERATION

OF

THE POOR LAWS.

Ordered, by The House of Commons, *to be Printed,*
21 *February* 1834.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

APPENDIXES (A. B. C. D. E. and F.)

Will be delivered as soon as completed.

[illegible] University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

CONTENTS.

Statement of Proceedings - - - - - - - - - - - 1
Progress of the Law - - - - - - - - - - - - 4

ADMINISTRATION OF THE LAW:

Out-Door Relief:

I. Of the Able-bodied:

i. In Kind - - - - - - - - - - 8
In House Room - - - - - - - - - 9

ii. In Money:

1. Relief without Labour - - - - - - 11
2. Allowance - - - - - - - - - 13
3. The Roundsman System - - - - - 19
Examples - - - - - 19
4. Parish Employment - - - - - - 21
5. Labour-Rate - - - - - - - - 24

Widows - - - - - - - - - - 24

II. Of the Impotent:

General Remarks on Out-Door Relief - - - - - 25

III. In-Doors Relief - - - - - - - - - - - 29

Progressiveness of Burthen - - - - - - 31

Objections to Amendment:

1. On the part of Labourers - - - - - - 32
2. On the part of Employers - - - - - - 34
3. On the part of Proprietors - - - - - - 35

OPERATION OF THE LAW AS ADMINISTERED:

I. Effects on Proprietors - - - - - - - 36

II. Effects on Employers:

1. Of Agricultural Labourers - - - - - 39
2. Of Manufacturing Labourers - - - - 42

III. Effects on Labourers:

1. Effects on Labourers not actually relieved - 44
2. Effects on Labourers actually relieved - - 49

CHARACTER OF PERSONS WHO DISTRIBUTE AND AWARD RELIEF:

i. Overseers:

1. Annual - - - - - - - - - 55
2. Assistant - - - - - - - - - 59

ii. Vestries:

1. Open Vestries - - - - - - - - 60
2. Representative Vestries - - - - - - 64
3. Self-appointed Vestries - - - - - - 66

iii. Magistrates - - - - - - - - - - 66

SETTLEMENT - - - - - - - - - - - - - 84

BASTARDY - - - - - - - - - - - - - 92

LEGISLATIVE MEASURES CONSIDERED, BUT NOT RECOMMENDED:

I. National Charge - - - - - - - - - - - 99

II. Legislative Measures for enabling Labourers to occupy Land - - - - - - - - - - - - - 100

III. Labour Rates - - - - - - - - - - - - 108

Printed in Great Britain by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE POOR AND THE INDIGENT; THE INDIGENT ALONE WITHIN THE PROVINCE OF THE LAW - 127

Principle of administering relief to the indigent—

That the condition of the Paupers shall in no case be so eligible as the condition of persons of the lowest class, subsisting on the fruits of their own industry - - - - - - - - 126

Specific effects of the application of this principle in the case of able-bodied paupers:

1. Conversion of Paupers into independent Labourers and reduction of Rates - - - - - - - - - - - - 130
2. Rise of Wages - - - - - - - - - - - 133
3. Diminution of improvident Marriages - - - - - - 134
4. Increased content of the Labourers, and diminution of Crime - 135

General effect displayed in the case of non-settled Parishioners - - 136

Individual examples - - - - - - - - - - - - 139

Principle of Legislation—

That those modes of administering relief which have been tried and found beneficial be generally enforced - - - - - - - 146

That the practice of giving relief in well-regulated workhouses and the abolition of partial relief to the able-bodied having been tried and found beneficial, be extended to all places - - - - - 147

This being the only means by which the intention of the Statute of Elizabeth can be beneficially carried into effect.

Further effects of the application of the above-mentioned principle of administering relief:

1. Supplying a self-acting test of the merit of claims - - - - 148
2. Drawing the requisite line of distinction between the class of Paupers and the class of Independent Labourers, and thereby checking the tendency to the indefinite extension of pauperism - 154
3. Removing from the Distributors all discretionary powers, and thereby diminishing abusive administration, - - - - - 155

Agency for carrying into effect the Intentions of the Legislature—A Central Board of Control:

Grounds for its Establishment.—

That no Legislative Enactments can in this department of administration be relied upon as self-acting, because - - - - - - - 157

They will be inefficiently executed or perverted, from—

1. The want of appropriate knowledge on the part of the Distributors or Annual Officers - - - - - 159
2. The short duration of their authority - - - - - 160
3. The division of their authority - - - - - - 160
4. The inadequacy of their motives to support a correct administration - - - - - - - - - 161
5. The strength of their interests in abusive administration - 161
6. Intimidation on the part of the rate-receivers - - - 161

That they will therefore be best executed by a permanent and independent authority - - - - - - - - - - 165

Instances of the regulations which the Central Board only can transfer from district to district and enforce - - - - - 166

Powers and duties of the Central Board:—

1. To unite Parishes for better workhouse management - - - - 176
2. To regulate the powers and duties of officers - - - - 183
3. To regulate contracts - - - - - - - - - - 186
4. To regulate the attachment of wages - - - - - - 190
5. To frame regulations for the apprenticing children - - - 190
6. To settle the relief of vagrants - - - - - - - 191
7. To prosecute defaulters - - - - - - - - - 190
8. To report annually to the Legislature on amendments in the law - 192

Further Legislative Amendment on

Settlement - - - - - - - - - - - - - 195

Bastardy - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 195

Emigration - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 199

Rating - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 203

Militia Men's Families - - - - - - - - - - 204

Charities - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 204

Education - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 205

Supplement - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 207

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATION

OF

THE LAWS

FOR

THE RELIEF OF THE POOR.

REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS.

TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.

WE, the COMMISSIONERS appointed by YOUR MAJESTY to make a diligent and full inquiry into the practical operation of the Laws for the Relief of the Poor in *England* and *Wales*, and into the manner in which those laws are administered, and to report our opinion whether any and what alterations, amendments or improvements may be beneficially made in the said laws, or in the manner of administering them, and how the same may be best carried into effect,—Humbly certify to YOUR MAJESTY in manner following, our proceedings in the execution of YOUR MAJESTY'S Commission, and the opinions which they have led us to form.

Our first proceeding was to prepare questions for circulation in the rural districts, and afterwards in the towns. Considerable alterations were made in the rural questions, after the earlier answers received by us showed that some of the questions were imperfectly understood, or that additional inquiries might be usefully made. Appendix (B.) contains copies of our questions with their different variations. The town questions, having been prepared after those for rural districts had received their last amendments, were never altered.

As we were directed to employ Assistant Commissioners in the prosecution of our inquiry, our next business was to frame instructions for them. For the purpose of facilitating their preparation, two of the Commissioners made excursions into the country, in order to ascertain by actual experience the sort of duties which the Assistant Commissioners would have to perform. Assisted by that experience and by the information contained in the answers to our circulated questions, we prepared the instructions for Assistant Commissioners, which are contained in the Supplement to this Report. *See p. 248.* We then proceeded to the appointment of Assistant Commissioners; a task by no means easy, as the office was one requiring no ordinary qualifications, necessarily involving a great sacrifice of time and labour, likely to be followed by much hostility, and accompanied by no remuneration. The difficulty of discovering a greater number of fit persons whom we could induce to act, by confining the number of Assistant Commissioners, forced us to assign to them much larger districts than would have been in other respects advisable. And different accidents, which prevented several persons who had undertaken the business from proceeding in it, in some cases forced us to confide to one person districts which had been intended for two, and to leave some altogether unvisited. One of these was South Wales, to which two persons were successively appointed, each of whom was subsequently prevented from acting.

Our commission did not extend beyond England and Wales. Mr. Tufnell and Mr Johnston, however, made inquiries for us in Scotland, Mr. Le Marchant in Guernsey, Captain Brandreth in Flanders, and Mr. Majendie in France. We have inserted their reports in the Appendix, together with some valuable information respecting the public provision made for the poor, and the state of the labouring classes in the continent of Europe and in America, which have been communicated by the Foreign Office, and by Count Arrivabene, M. Thibaudeau, M. de Chateauvieux, and from other sources.

Printed in high definition by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

So much time was taken up in the preparation of questions and instructions, and in the appointment of Assistant Commissioners, that few of them proceeded on their mission before the middle of August 1832.

They were directed to make their Reports by the end of the following November. Very few Reports, however, were received until the beginning of January 1833. In the mean time we had received returns to our circulated queries so numerous, that it became a question how they should be disposed of.

The number and the variety of the persons by whom they were furnished, made us consider them the most valuable part of our evidence. But the same causes made their bulk so great as to be a serious objection to their publication in full. It appeared that this objection might be diminished, if an abstract could be made containing their substance in fewer words, and we directed such an abstract to be prepared. On making the attempt, however, it appeared that not much could be saved in length without incurring the risk of occasional suppression or misrepresentation. Another plan would have been to make a selection, and leave out altogether those returns which appeared to us of no value. A very considerable portion, perhaps not less than one half, are of this description; their omission would have materially diminished the expense of copying and printing, and the remainder would have been more easily consulted and referred to when unincumbered by useless matter.

But on a question of such importance as Poor Law amendment, we were unwilling to incur the responsibility of selection. We annex, therefore, in Appendix (B.), all the returns which we have received. In order to diminish, as far as possible, the inconvenience arising from their number, they are so arranged that the answer to any one of the 53 questions may be read as a separate subject, without the attention being distracted by the intervention of other matter, the answer from each parish recurring in the same portion of each page. The only alterations which we have permitted have been the omission of disquisitions on matters perfectly irrelevant, and the insertion, in a different part of the Appendix, of some passages which were too long to appear in a tabular form.

The Reports of the Assistant Commissioners, though less voluminous than the Returns, form altogether a large mass; and a large body of testimony consists of the communications made to us from every part of England, and from some parts of America, and of the Continent of Europe.

We felt it to be of the utmost importance that we should ourselves be masters of the contents of all this evidence, and that those whose conduct may be influenced by our suggestions, should be enabled to examine all the grounds on which they are founded. For these purposes, it was necessary that it should be in print; any use of it in manuscript being exceedingly fatiguing, and the complete use impossible. We obtained, therefore, the permission of the Lord Chancellor, and of the Speaker of the House of Commons, that it should be printed by the Parliamentary printers, in anticipation of the orders of the two Houses; and it was accordingly placed in the printer's hands in the beginning of February 1833.

In the mean time we received a communication from YOUR MAJESTY'S Principal Secretary of State for the Home Department, directing us to "transmit, in detail, the information which we had received as to the administration and operation of the Poor Laws, in some of the parishes in which those laws have been administered in various modes, and particularly any returns to our inquiries, showing the results of the various modes adopted in those parishes." On the receipt of this letter we requested the Assistant Commissioners to furnish us with such extracts from the evidence collected by them as they thought most instructive.

The papers received in consequence of these applications were subsequently published, and obtained an extensive circulation. It has, we believe, been supposed that these extracts were selected by us, and contained the most striking parts of our evidence. Both these suppositions are erroneous. Neither on this occasion, nor on any other, have we exercised any discretion with respect to our evidence. We left the task of selection to the Assistant Commissioners, very few of whose Reports we had then seen, and we transmitted to the Home Office what they chose to furnish. And on comparing the portions which they thought fit to extract with the whole of their Reports, it will not be found that the Extracts, strange as they must have appeared to any one unacquainted with the system which

Digitized by the Early English Books Online Project at the University of Southampton Library, http://www.southampton.ac.uk/library

which they describe, differ from the general tenor of the Appendix. For one part of the volume, however, we are responsible, since it was prepared in the offices of the Commission, and that is the index. As it was considered important that the extracts should appear as soon as they could be got ready, the index, to save time, was prepared from the proof sheets, and as the paging of those sheets was subsequently altered to meet the corrections made by the Assistant Commissioners, all the references became inapplicable, and a few were ultimately passed over without correction. A graver complaint has been made of the index as containing expressions of opinion. We admit that the complaint is to a certain degree well founded. our apology is, that, as is usually the case, we left the index to be prepared by others, and did not see it until the work had been for some time in circulation.

We have already stated that our Appendix was placed in the printer's hands in the beginning of February 1833. If it could have been printed, as we hoped, in three months, we should have been able to report before the end of the last session. The outline of this Report had been prepared in the beginning of that session, and all that was necessary was, to add references to the evidence, and to make those additions, qualifications and exceptions, which the reconsideration of that evidence might shew to be necessary; but the vast bulk of the manuscripts, and the degree in which the Parliamentary printers were engaged by other matters, so prolonged the printing, that not one-fifth of it had been executed before the end of the session. It proceeded more rapidly after the prorogation, but even then so slowly, notwithstanding the exertions of the printers, that even now it is not completed. We have been forced, therefore, to take it as it was furnished to us week by week, using the proof sheets, unpaged and unindexed. And this is one of our apologies for the defects of this Report, and for the omissions and occasional false references which, with all our care, must, we fear, be found in it. If it had been possible to wait till the whole Appendix was in a perfect state, we could have completed our Report with far less labour, and in a far more satisfactory manner. But that would have involved a delay of three months longer, a delay which might, in fact, have occasioned the postponement of remedial measures, so far as they are to be promoted by this Report, until the following year. Such a delay appeared to us a greater evil than the imperfections and inaccuracies to which the course which we have adopted must expose us.

It appears from this narrative, that the magnitude of the evidence has been the great difficulty with which we have had to struggle. But we believe, on the other hand, that that very magnitude gives the principal value to our inquiry. All evidence is necessarily subject to error, from the ignorance, forgetfulness or misrepresentation of the witnesses, and necessarily tinged by their opinions and prejudices. But in proportion as the number of witnesses is increased, those sources of error have a tendency to compensate one another, and general results are afforded more to be depended upon than the testimony of a few witnesses, however unexceptionable. The evidence contained in our Appendix comes from every county and almost every town, and from a very large proportion of even the villages in England. It is derived from many thousand witnesses, of every rank and of every profession and employment, members of the two Houses of Parliament, clergymen, country gentlemen, magistrates, farmers, manufacturers, shopkeepers, artizans, and peasants, differing in every conceivable degree in education, habits and interests, and agreeing only in their practical experience as to the matters in question, in their general description both of the mode in which the laws for the relief of the poor are administered, and of the consequences which have already resulted from that administration, and in their anticipation of certain further consequences from its continuance. The amendment of those laws is, perhaps, the most urgent and the most important measure now remaining for the consideration of Parliament; and we trust that we shall facilitate that amendment by tendering to YOUR MAJESTY the most extensive, and at the same time the most consistent, body of evidence that was ever brought to bear on a single subject.

In the hope of diminishing the difficulty of making use of this voluminous Evidence, we have embodied a considerable portion of it in the following Report; and wherever it has been practicable, we have subjoined to our quotations references to the pages in the Appendix from which they were extracted. But as the Appendix, owing to the obstacles which we have already stated, is still incomplete, and much of it unpaged, many of our references are unavoidably left blank.

Printed in association with the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

We do not think it necessary to prefix to the statement of the result of our inquiries any account of the provisions of the 43d of Elizabeth, c. 2, or of the subsequent Acts for the relief of the poor. Those Acts are well known, and are to be found in almost every treatise on the Poor Laws, and we have inserted the 43d of Elizabeth in the Supplement. But as the preceding Acts are almost forgotten, and not easily accessible, and as they throw great light on the intentions of the framers of the 43d of Elizabeth, we will shortly state the substance of some of the principal enactments of those which appear to us most to deserve attention.

The great object of our early Pauper legislation seems to have been the restraint of Vagrancy.

The 12 Richard II. c. 7. (1388) prohibits any labourer from departing from the hundred, rape, wapentake, city or borough where he is dwelling, without a testimonial, showing reasonable cause for his going, to be issued under the authority of the justices of the peace. Any labourer found wandering without such letter, is to be put in the stocks till he find surety to return to the town from which he came. Impotent persons are to remain in the towns in which they be dwelling at the time of the Act, or, if the inhabitants are unable or unwilling to support them, they are to withdraw to other towns within the hundred, rape or wapentake, or to the towns where they were born, and there abide during their lives.

The 11 Henry VII. c. 2. (1495) requires beggars not able to work to go to the hundred where last they dwelled, or were best known, or born, without begging out of the hundred.

The 19 Henry VII. c. 12. (1504) requires them to go to the city, town or hundred where they were born, or to the place where they last abode for the space of three years, without begging out of the said city, town, hundred or place.

The 22 Henry VIII. c. 12. (1531) directs the justices to assign to the impotent poor a limit within which they are to beg. An impotent person begging out of his limit is to be imprisoned for two days and nights in the stocks, on bread and water, and then sworn to return to the place in which he was authorized to beg. An able-bodied beggar is to be whipped, and sworn to return to the place where he was born, or last dwelt for the space of three years, and there put himself to labour.

Five years after, was passed the 27 Henry VIII. c. 25. (1536.) This Statute is remarkable, both as having first introduced the system of compulsory charity, and as showing that the motive for its establishment was the desire and the difficulty of repressing vagrancy. It recites the preceding Act, and adds, that no provision is made for the support of the impotent, nor for setting and keeping in work the said valiant beggars; and then enacts, that the head officers of every city, shire, town and parish to which such poor creatures or sturdy vagabonds shall repair in obedience to that Act, shall most charitably receive the same, and shall keep the same poor people, by way of voluntary and charitable alms, within the respective cities, shires, towns, hundreds, hamlets and parishes by their discretion, so that none of them of very necessity shall be compelled to beg openly, and shall compel the said sturdy vagabonds and valiant beggars to be kept to continual labour in such wise as they may get their own living by the continual labour of their own hands, on pain that every *parish* making default shall forfeit 20*s.* a month. It then directs the head officers of corporate towns, and the churchwardens and two others of every parish, who are to remain in office only one year, to collect voluntary alms for the purpose of relieving the impotent poor, and that such as be lusty be kept to continual labour. Every preacher, parson, vicar and curate, as well in their sermons, collections, bidding of the beads, as in the time of confession and making of wills, is to exhort, move, stir and provoke people to be liberal for the relief of the impotent, and setting and keeping to work the said sturdy vagabonds.

The money collected is to be kept in a common box in the church, or committed to the custody of a substantial trusty man, as they can agree on, to be delivered as necessity shall require. Almsgiving, otherwise than to these common boxes or common gatherings, or to fellow parishioners or prisoners, is prohibited on forfeiture of ten times the amount given. And all persons bound to distribute ready money, victuals, or other sustenation to poor people, are to dispose of the same, or the value thereof, to such common boxes. The overplus of the collection of wealthy parishes is to be applied in aid of other parishes within the same city, borough, town of hundred.

A sturdy

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library, 2016. CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0

A sturdy beggar is to be whipped the first time, his right ear cropped the second time, and if he again offend, to be sent to the next gaol till the quarter sessions, and there to be indicted for wandering, loitering and idleness, and if convicted shall suffer execution of death as a felon and an enemy of the commonwealth.

It appears that the severity of this Act prevented its execution. Such at least is the reason assigned for its repeal by the 1st Edward VI. c. 3. (1547) which recites, that partly by foolish pity and mercy of them which should have seen the said goodly laws executed, and partly from the perverse nature and long accustomed idleness of the persons given to loitering, the said goodly Statutes have had small effect, and idle and vagabond persons, being unprofitable members, or rather enemies of the commonwealth, have been suffered to remain and increase and yet so do. And, as a milder punishment enacts, that an able-bodied poor person who does not apply himself to some honest labour, or offer to serve even for meat and drink, if nothing more is to be obtained, shall be taken for a vagabond, branded on the shoulder with the letter V, and adjudged a slave for two years to any person who shall demand him, to be fed on bread and water and refuse meat, and caused to work by beating, chaining or otherwise. If he run away within that period, he is to be branded on the cheek with the letter S, and adjudged a slave for life; if he run away again, he is to suffer death as a felon. If no man demand such loiterer, he is to be sent to the place where he says he was born, there to be kept in chains or otherwise, at the highways or common work, or from man to man, as the slave of the corporation or inhabitants of the city, town or village in which he was born; and the said city, town or village shall see the said slave set to work, and not live idly, upon pain, for every three working days that the slave live idly by their default, that a city forfeit 5*l.* a borough 40*s.* and a town or village 20*s.* half to the King and half to the informer. If it appear that he was not born in the place of which he described himself as a native, he was to be branded on the face, and be a slave for life.

It appears also, that taking surety of the impotent poor that they would repair to the places where they were born, or had dwelt for the three previous years, was not effectual. The officers, therefore, are directed to convey the impotent poor on horseback, cart, chariot or otherwise, to the next constable, and so from constable to constable, till they be brought to the place where they were born, or most conversant for the space of three years, there to be kept and nourished of alms. "Provided always, That if any of the said impotent persons be not so lame or impotent but that they may work in some manner, and refuse to work, or run away and beg in other places, then their city, town or village, is to punish them according to their discretion, with chaining, beating or otherwise." The Statute also orders the curate of each parish, every Sunday after reading the Gospel, to exhort his parishioners to remember the duty of Christian charity in relieving them which be their brethren in Christ, *born in the same parish*, and needing their help.

This Statute had a very short existence, for it was repealed by the 3d & 4th Edward VI. c. 16. (1450) and the 22d Henry VIII. c. 12. revived. The directions, however, that the impotent poor should be removed to the place where they were born, or had been most conversant for three years, and that they should be kept to work, if capable of some manner of work, and punished by chaining, beating, or otherwise, if they refused, were re-enacted.

The 5th & 6th Edward VI. c. 2. (1551) "to the intent that valiant beggars, idle and loitering persons may be avoided, and the impotent, feeble and lame provided for, which are poor in very deed," confirms the 22d Henry VIII. c. 12. and 3d & 4th Edward VI. c. 16. and commands that they shall be put in execution; and then directs a book to be kept in every city, corporate town and parish, containing the names of the householders and of the impotent poor, and that yearly in Whitsun week the head officers of towns, and the minister and churchwardens in every parish in the country, shall appoint two persons to be collectors of alms for the relief of the poor, which collectors shall, the next or following Sunday at church, gently ask every man and woman, what they of their charity will give weekly towards the relief of the poor, and write the same in the book, and distribute what they collect weekly to the same poor and impotent persons, after such sort that the more impotent may have the more help, and such as can get part of their living the less, and by the direction of the collectors be put in such labour as they be able to do; but none to go or sit openly begging, upon pain limited in the above statutes. If any one, able to further this charitable work, do obstinately and frowardly refuse to give, or do discourage others, the minister and

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library. Permission required.

PROGRESS of the LAW.

churchwardens are to gently exhort him. If he will not be so persuaded the bishop is to send for him, to induce and persuade him by charitable ways and means, and so according to his discretion take order for the reformation thereof.

It is a curious example of the fear of our ancestors that Statutes should grow into desuetude, and perhaps a proof that such a fate had actually befallen the 5th & 6th Edward VI. that precisely the same enactments, with precisely the same preamble, are repeated by the 2d & 3d Philip & Mary, c. 5, (1555) But the Act, however reiterated, seems to have been ineffectual. Neither the gentle askings of the collectors, the exhortations of the minister, nor the charitable ways and means of the bishop, appear to have persuaded the parishioners to entrust to the collectors the distribution of their alms.

The 5th Elizabeth, c. 3. (1563) therefore, after repeating the same preamble and the same enactments, goes on to enact, that if any person of his froward wilful mind shall obstinately refuse to give weekly to the relief of the poor according to his ability, the bishop shall bind him to appear at the next sessions; and at the said sessions the justices there shall charitably and gently persuade and move the said obstinate person to extend his charity towards the relief of the poor of the parish where he dwelleth, and if he will not be persuaded, it shall be lawful for the justices, with the churchwardens, or one of them, to tax such obstinate person, according to their good discretion, what sum the said obstinate person shall pay weekly towards the relief of the poor within the parish wherein he shall dwell; and if he refuse, the justices shall, on complaint of the churchwardens, commit the said obstinate person to gaol, until he shall pay the sum so taxed, with the arrears.

The next Statute, the 14th Elizabeth, c. 5. (1572) is remarkable, as a proof of the inefficacy of the previous Statutes, and as showing how short an interval elapsed between giving to the justices power to tax at their sessions an obstinate person, at the complaint of the minister, the churchwardens, and the bishop, and the giving to them discretionary power to tax every inhabitant in their divisions, and to direct the application of the sums so taxed.

It begins by a recital, that all the parts of this realm of England and Wales be presently with rogues, vagabonds and sturdy beggars exceedingly pestered, by means whereof daily happeneth in the same realm horrible murders, thefts, and other great outrage, to the high displeasure of Almighty God, and to the great annoyance of the common weale.

And then, "as well for the utter suppressing of the said outrageous enemies to the common weal, as for the charitable relieving of the aged and impotent poor people in manner and form following," it enacts, that all persons thereafter set forth to be rogues and vagabonds, or sturdy beggars, shall for the first offence be grievously whipped, and burnt through the gristle of the right ear with a hot iron of the compass of an inch about; for the second, be deemed felons; and for the third, suffer death as felons without benefit of clergy.

Among rogues, vagabonds, and sturdy beggars, are included all persons whole and mighty in body, able to labour, not having land or master, nor using any lawful merchandize, craft or mystery; and all common labourers, able in body, loitering and refusing to work for such reasonable wages as is commonly given.

"And forasmuch as charity would that poor, aged and impotent persons should as necessarily be provided for as the said rogues, vagabonds and sturdy beggars repressed, and that the said aged, impotent and poor people should have convenient habitations and abiding places throughout this realm to *settle* themselves upon, to the end that they nor any of them should hereafter beg or wander about," it enacts, "that the Justices of the peace shall within their several divisions and authorities make inquiry of all aged, poor, impotent and decayed persons born within their said divisions and limits, or which were there dwelling within three years next after this present Parliament, living by alms, and register their names; and when the number of poor people forced to live upon alms be by that means known, the said Justices shall appoint within their said divisions meet places, by their discretion, to *settle* the same poor people for their abidings, if the parish within which they shall be found shall not or will not provide for them, and set down what portion the weekly charge towards the relief and sustentation of the said poor people will amount unto, and that done, shall *by their good discretions tax and assess all the inhabitants dwelling within the said divisions* to such weekly charge as they and every of them shall weekly contribute towards the relief of the said poor people, and shall appoint collectors, who shall gather the same proportion, and make delivery

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

livery of so much thereof, according to the discretion of the said Justices, to the said poor people, as the said Justices shall appoint them. If any person able to further this charitable work shall obstinately refuse to give, or discourage others, he shall be brought before two Justices, to show the cause of such refusal or discouragement, and to abide such order therein as the said Justices shall appoint, and if he shall refuse to do so, they shall commit him to gaol until he shall be contented with their said order and do perform the same."

It then provides that the justices, out of the surplus of such collections (the impotent being first provided for) shall settle to work the rogues and vagabonds that shall be disposed to work (*i.e.* capable of working) born within the said counties or there abiding for the most part within the said three years, there to be holden to work to get their livings and to live and be sustained only upon their labour and travail. And "that the justices in sessions within any of the counties, cities or towns where collection of money cannot presently be had, may license some of the poor, or any other for them, to gather, within such other town, parish or parishes of the county as the said justices shall name within the division of the licensing justices, charitable donations and alms at the houses of the inhabitants. And the inhabitants of every such parish to which such poor shall be so appointed, shall be coacted and bound, under such pain as to the said justices shall seem convenient, to relieve the said poor in such sort as the said justices shall appoint."

Even its kindness is mixed with much severity, for "if any of the said poor people refuse to be bestowed in any of the said abiding places, but covet still to hold on their trade of begging, or after they be once bestowed in the said abiding places, depart and beg, then the said person so offending, for that first offence shall be accounted a rogue or vagabond, and suffer as a rogue or vagabond in the first degree of punishment, and if he do the second time offend, then be esteemed a rogue or vagabond, and suffer as a rogue or vagabond in the last degree of punishment (that is, suffer death as a felon;) and if any of the said aged and impotent persons, not being so diseased, lame or impotent but that they may work in some manner of work, shall be by the overseers of their said abiding place appointed to work, and refuse, they are to be whipped and stocked for their first refusal, and for the second refusal to be punished as in the case of vagabonds in the said first degree of punishment."

The 14th Elizabeth, c. 5. does not appear to have been expressly repealed, as far as the relief of the impotent is concerned. It was replaced, in that respect, by the 39th Elizabeth, c. 3. (1598) and, with respect to able-bodied vagrants, by the 39th Elizabeth, c. 4. That Statute, which is in fact merely a continuation of the 39th Elizabeth, c. 3. directs that every rogue and vagabond (among whom are included "all wandering persons and common labourers, being persons able in body, using loitering, and refusing to work for such reasonable wages as is taxed or commonly given in such parts where such persons do or shall happen to dwell or abide, not having living otherwise to maintain themselves") "shall, on his apprehension, be openly whipped until his body be bloody, and shall be forthwith sent from parish to parish the next strait way to the parish where he was born, if the same may be known by the party's confession or otherwise; and if the same be not known, then to the parish where he last dwelt before the punishment by the space of one whole year, there to put him or herself to labour as a true subject ought to do; or, not being known where he or she was born or last dwelt, then to the parish through which he or she last passed without punishment, to be by the officers of the said village where he or she so last passed through without punishment, conveyed to the house of correction of the district wherein the said village standeth, or to the common gaol of that county or place, there to remain or be employed in work until he or she shall be placed in some service, and so to continue by the space of one whole year; or, not being able of body, until he or she shall be placed to remain in some almshouse in the same county or place." And "if any of the said rogues shall appear to be dangerous to the inferior sort of people where they shall be taken, or otherwise be such as will not be reformed of their roguish kind of life, it shall be lawful to the justice of the limits where any such rogue shall be taken, to commit that rogue to the house of correction, or otherwise to the gaol of that county, there to remain until the next quarter sessions; and then such of the same rogues so committed as by the justices of the peace there present, or the most part of them, shall be thought fit not to be delivered, shall be banished out of this realm and all other the dominions thereof, and at the charge of that county shall be conveyed into such parts beyond the seas as shall be at any time hereafter

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from University of Southampton Library

PROGRESS of the LAW.

[illegible] that purpose assigned by the Privy Council, or otherwise judged perpetually to the gallies of this realm, as by the same justices or the most part of them shall be thought fit and expedient."

The 27 Henry VIII. c. 25. which imposed a fine on the *parish* in which the impotent poor should not be relieved, and directed the surplus collection of rich parishes to be applied for the relief of poor *parishes* within the same hundred; the 1 Edward VI. c. 3. which directed the curate of any *parish* to exhort his parishioners to relieve those *born in the same* parish, and needing their help; and the 5 & 6 Edward VI. c. 2 which directed the parson, vicar, or churchwardens of each parish to appoint collectors, and to gently ask for contributions in the church, were all so many steps towards making the relief of the poor a parochial charge. And it appears that the ecclesiastical division of parishes was preferred to any civil division, on account of the part which the clergy were required to take in the business.

The 14 Elizabeth, c. 5. appears to have deviated from this plan; and as it vested the power of assessment in the justices, it threw the burden, not on each parish, but upon all the inhabitants of the divisions within the jurisdiction of the assessing justices. The 39 Elizabeth, c. 3. (1598) returned to the parochial system, and it differs so little in its provisions from the well known 43 Elizabeth, c. 2. the basis, but certainly not the origin of our present system, that we do not think it necessary to state its substance. The following clause, however, deserves to be cited, both on account of its importance, and from its not having been re-enacted.—

"No person or persons whatsoever shall go wandering abroad and beg in any place whatsoever, by license or without, upon pain to be esteamed, taken and punished as a rogue: Provided always, and this present Act shall not extend to any poor people which shall ask relief of victualling only in the same parish where such poor people do dwell, so the same be in such time only and according to such order and direction as shall be made and appointed by the churchwardens and overseers of the poor of the same parish, according to the true intent and meaning of this Act."

IT is now our painful duty to report, that in the greater part of the districts which we have been able to examine, the fund, which the 43d of Elizabeth directed to be employed in setting to work children and persons capable of labour, but using no daily trade, and in the necessary relief of the impotent, is applied to purposes opposed to the letter, and still more to the spirit of that Law, and destructive to the morals of the most numerous class, and to the welfare of all.

The subject may be divided, with respect to the mode of relief, into In-door Relief, or that which is given in the workhouse, and Out-door Relief, or that which is not given in the workhouse; and, with respect to the Objects of Relief, into those who are, and those who are not, Able-bodied.

I.

RELIEF OF THE ABLE-BODIED.

1. RELIEF of the ABLE-BODIED.

THE great source of abuse is the Out-door Relief afforded to the Able-bodied on their own account, or on that of their families. This is given either in kind or in money.

1.

OUT-DOOR RELIEF OF THE ABLE-BODIED IN KIND.

1. OUT-DOOR Relief of the ABLE-BODIED IN KIND.

THE Out-door Relief of the Able-bodied, when given in kind, consists rarely of food, rather less unfrequently of fuel, and still less unfrequently of clothes, particularly shoes; but its most usual form is that of relieving the applicants, either wholly or partially from the expense of obtaining house-room. As this last mode of relief is extensively prevalent, and productive of important consequences, both direct and indirect, we shall dwell on it at some length.

Partial

Printed by [illegible] Printers of Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane.

OUT-DOOR Relief of the ABLE-BODIED; IN KIND.

Partial relief from the expense of obtaining house-room is given or professed to be given whenever the occupant of a cottage or an apartment is exempted on the ground of poverty from the payment of rates. In a few places, among which are Cookham (Berks), and Southwell and Bingham (Notts), every tenement is rated, and the whole rate is collected: but as a general statement, it may be said that the habitations of the labourers are almost always exempted from rates when the occupant is a parishioner, and are frequently exempted when he is not a parishioner. The distinction thus made between parishioners and non-parishioners is one among the many modes in which the Law of Settlement, and the practice of relief narrow the market, and interfere with the proper distribution of labour. It perhaps is better that all the labourers should be exempted than that those who have sought work at some distance from their homes should be thus punished for their enterprize and diligence. But the evil effects of a general exemption of all who plead poverty are shewn by Mr. Bishop, in his Report from St. Clement's, Oxford. *

"The only peculiarity (in that parish, as distinguished from the neighbouring parishes) is to be found in the extent of the speculation for building small tenements, and in some of the circumstances which have attended that speculation.

"It is impossible to estimate, with any thing like accuracy, the number of new houses, but there are whole streets and rows built in the cheapest manner.

"The rents are, in fact, levied to a considerable degree upon those who pay rates. In the first place, by the abstraction of so much property from rateable wealth, the remainder has to bear a heavier burden; secondly, the rents are carried to as great a height as possible, upon the supposition that tenements so circumstanced will not be rated; the owner, therefore, is pocketing both rate and rent; and thirdly, the value of his property is increased precisely in the proportion that his neighbour's is deteriorated, by the weight of rates from which his own is discharged. Neither is this all; as it is always regarded by the tenant as a desirable thing to escape the payment of rates, the field for competition is narrowed, and a very inferior description of house is built for the poor man. In order to make out a case for the non-payment of rates, it is necessary to have inconveniences and defects; and thus it happens that a building speculation, depending upon freedom from rates for its recommendation, always produces a description of houses of the worst and most unhealthy kind. Those who would build for the poor with more liberal views, and greater attention to their health and their comfort, are discouraged, and a monopoly is given to those whose sole end is gain, by whatever means it may be compassed."

In a great number of cases, the labourer, if a parishioner, is not only exempted from rates, but his rent is paid out of the parish fund. North Wales is a district of comparatively good administration, but the following extracts from Mr. Walcott's Report † show both the extent of these practices in that country, and some of their effects:

Payment of Rents by Parish.

"The payment of rent out of the rates is nearly universal; in many parishes it is extended to nearly all the married labourers. In Llanidloes out of £. 2,000 spent on the poor, nearly £. 800, and in Bodedern out of £. 360, £. 113 are thus exhausted. In Anglesea and part of Caernarvonshire, overseers frequently give written guarantees, making the parish responsible for the rent of cottages let to the Poor. I annex a copy of one from a parish officer, on behalf of the parish, from himself as overseer, to himself as landlord:

"'COPY of Guarantee for Rent of Pauper's Apartment.

"'We, the Overseers of the Poor of the parish of Llanfachraeth, will pay the rent of A. Jones, pauper of our parish, to W. Hughes, of Bodedern, the sum of £. 1. 5s. yearly, commencing to-morrow the 13th November 1827, for an apartment of a house in Bodedern.

"(signed) *'William Hughes.'*

"I examined William Hughes, who stated that he signed the above on behalf of the parish, and was the person mentioned in the body of it.

"Paupers have thus become a very desirable class of tenants, much preferable, as was admitted by several cottage proprietors, to the independent labourers, whose rent, at the same time, this mode of relief enhances. Of this I received much testimony; amongst others, an overseer of Dolgelly stated that there were many apartments and small houses in the town not worth to let £. 1 a year, for which, in consequence of parochial interference with rents, from £. 1 14s. to £. 2 was paid: and the clerk to the Directors of Montgomery House of Industry mentioned an instance of a person in his neighbourhood who obtained 10 cottages from the land owner at a yearly rent of £. 18, and re-let them separately for £. 50; eight of his tenants were parish paupers.

"This species of property being thus a source of profitable investment, speculation, to a considerable extent, has taken that direction; and it is further encouraged by exempting pauper cottages from rates, or paying them out of the parochial funds; a mode of relief as universal as the last.

"In general all the tenements in a parish are rated, but the rates are very rarely collected from the smaller class, except in the case of non-parishioners. One or two instances will suffice to show the extent to which the exemption is carried.

"The

Printed in electronic form by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 902. † App. (A.) Part II.

DOOR of the LY-BODIED; IN KIND.

"The middle division of Welch Pool contains 535 tenements, which are all rated, but of this number 207 are at a rent not exceeding £. 6 a year, from which no rate is obtained: and the Reverend Mr. Trevor states, as to the town of Carnarvon, that whole streets have been built on speculation by three or four persons, the houses in which are let under £. 4 a year and pay no rates. Except the landlords, few doubted but that the rent in these cases is augmented by the amount of rate remitted, and there was much complaint that this class of proprietors not only escaped contributing to the burthens of a parish, but actually increased them, by creating a cottier pauper population. In and near towns the proprietors are of all classes, chiefly however builders and tradesmen. The following is the evidence on these points of the vicar of Bangor in Carnarvonshire; he states, that the proprietors of cottages are persons who, having saved small sums, build cottages as a means of procuring the highest interest for their money; that at least the half of the town of Bangor consists of cottages, many of which are exempted from rates on account of the poverty of the occupier, there being no law to compel the owner to pay the rates; that a law to that effect seems very much wanted, and that the poor tenant is given to understand by his landlord that his cottage will be free from rates, and thus is induced to give a higher rent for it.

"The proposition of rating the owner of small tenements is one of great popularity, and was received with delight by parish officers. I met with only one dissentient, an assistant overseer, who on further examination proved to be a proprietor of several exempted cottages. On the other hand, the assistant overseer of the township of Bangor, in Flintshire, also a proprietor, said that he was so convinced of the expediency and advantage of rating the landlord, that he would cheerfully assent to an enactment for the purpose, although it would lessen the value of his property."

The practice in Suffolk is thus stated by Mr. Stuart:

"The payment of rent is a mode of furnishing relief which few parishes recognize, yet it is unquestionably a very frequent way of giving relief, not always to the extent of paying the whole rent, but of giving some assistance towards it. It is in general difficult to ascertain the length to which this practice is carried, as in the entry of the charge in the parish books it is usually described as relief 'in distress,' without specifying the purpose for which it is granted. It is most prevalent in towns and large villages, in which tradesmen, who are commonly the owners of cottages, have a greater influence in the distribution of the poor fund. There is no kind of property which yields a higher rent, or of which the rent is better paid than that of houses occupied by the lower orders. When the landlord once adopts rigorous measures to enforce his demands, the parish takes good care that the payment shall afterwards be regularly made, under the plea of avoiding the expense which would be incurred if a whole family were thrown on it for support, by being deprived of their goods. An overseer mentioned the following case, for the purpose of convincing me of the policy and necessity of paying rent:—A baker, with a family of eight children, had his rent of £. 13 a year, paid for him by the parish, besides an allowance of 2*s*. 6*d*. a week for his children. It was determined to discontinue the payment of rent; his goods were immediately distrained, he lost his business, and he and his family were obliged to be taken into the workhouse. It was soon found that it cost the parish about 5*s*. per head per week, or about £. 130 a year, to maintain them in this way, and it was judged most prudent to hire a house for him and buy furniture, for the purpose of setting him up in his trade again. The parish, after having incurred all this expense and outlay, have again been obliged to return to the payment of his rent, which is now £. 12. 4*s*. a year, and to his former out-allowance. It is evident that when the landlord has such an easy remedy for securing his claims, he can command any rent he chooses to ask, which the poor man does not scruple to agree to pay, provided the outward appearance of the house is suitable to a person in his condition, for the parish is particular in this point." *

The following is an extract from Mr. Maclean's Report from Surrey and Sussex:

"The practice of paying rent is, I may say, universal: for although in but few parishes it is acknowledged, and in many the parish officers seemed surprised at my question, and referred to the books, where nothing is entered as rent, still I found that it is frequently paid indirectly, (*i. e.*) though the pauper does not feel that he can ask the vestry or the parish officer to pay his rent, yet he knows that an application for a pound or two, to enable him to pay it, or to stay a threatened execution, will not be made in vain. The other indirect modes in which rent is paid, are either by an allowance of 1*s*. a week for the third child, which is retained by the parish officer for that purpose, by an exemption from the rate, or by an application to the vestry from time to time, which is so invariably successful, that those with families do not think it necessary by foresight or industry to lay by any thing to meet the demand. To enumerate all the parishes in which one or other of these practices exists, would be to name nearly every parish which I have visited.

"In Pulborough parish 1*s*. a week is allowed for the third child, but this is retained by the parish officer to pay rent.

"In the purely agricultural parish of West Grinstead, containing a population of 1292, the amount of rent entered in the parish books last year amounted to £. 267. 11*s*. 6*d*.

"In the similar parish of Shipley, with a population of 1180, the amount entered last year was £. 254. 14*s*. 2*d*.

"At Horsham the same custom prevailed, and has done so for years. I attended the select

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 337.

OUT-DOOR Relief of the Able-bodied, IN KIND

select vestry there, and found Mr. Simpson, the clergyman, (who always attends) in the chair. The applications were numerous, and were, with few exceptions, for the payment of a half or a whole year's rent, and were in every case granted without apparently any regard to the size of the applicant's family or his earnings; indeed relief is given in addition for the third child. No entry is made in the parish books as 'rent;' but it is charged under the head of 'weekly relief,' and amounted to upwards of £. 200 last year.

"In the parish of Steyning, with a population of 1436, near £. 120 was paid last year for rent. If a man has two children, it has been the custom for the last 20 years and upwards to pay his rent, to the amount of 1*s.* a week, and this is not considered to furnish a sufficient ground upon which to discontinue his allowance of 1*s.* 6*d.* a week for the third child.

"The parish of Epsom pays rent to the amount of £. 50 a year, the rule being to pay none. The chief applicants are those who have large families or persons of idle and dissolute character."*

Mr. Tweedy states, that

"The practice of giving relief by payment of rent is found to prevail in a greater or less degree throughout the West Riding, though the opinion is gaining ground that it is a mode of relief mischievous in its effects and liable to great abuse.

"There can be no question that the renting of cottage property by overseers, and the consequent exemption of it from the poor rate, has more or less, according to the circumstances of each case, a tendency to increase the rate at which other cottage property is let. And when one pauper has been accustomed to receive it, another thinks himself ill used if it be not allowed to him also. The example becomes contagious, insomuch that I find in some places, where the greatest abuse has existed, young people destitute of all means of livelihood have married, and come immediately to the overseers to demand work, and with it, what in their slang language is called 'harbour:' that is a house."†

"In Millbrook, Southampton," says Colonel Hewitt, "it was imagined that houses letting under £. 10 a year are not rateable, which was found to act as an encouragement to the building of small tenements, and introduced into the parish a very objectionable description of residents."‡

2.

OUT-DOOR RELIEF OF THE ABLE-BODIED IN MONEY.

2. OUT-DOOR Relief of the Able-bodied IN MONEY.

THE out-door Relief afforded in money to the Able-bodied on their own account or on that of their families is still more prevalent. This is generally effected by one of the five following expedients, which may be concisely designated as:—I. Relief without Labour.—II. The Allowance System.—III. The Roundsmen System.—IV. Parish Employment.—V. The Labour-Rate System.

I.

RELIEF WITHOUT LABOUR.

1. Relief without Labour

By the Parish giving to those who are or profess to be without employment a daily or a weekly sum, without requiring from the applicant any labour. Sometimes relief (to an amount insufficient for a complete subsistence) is afforded, without imposing any further condition than that the applicant shall shift, as it is called, for himself, and give the parish no further trouble. In many districts the plan has become so common as to have acquired the technical name of "Relief in lieu of Labour."§

Mr. Villiers in his Report from the counties of Warwick, Worcester, Gloucester, and the north part of Devon, states, that—

"The practice of granting small sums of money to able-bodied men without requiring labour in return, is adopted in some parishes in each county,—in the Atherstone and Stratford division in Warwickshire, in the Halfshire hundred in Worcestershire, and in the Slaughter hundred of Gloucestershire; and is known to be in use in other parts of these counties. This practice is favoured by parish officers, from a notion that the parish must gain the difference between the cost of the pauper's maintenance, or the minimum allowed by the scale, and what the pauper consents to take; it is also supposed that it may give the pauper an opportunity to seek work for himself, which he could not if he was employed by the parish.

In

* Mr. Maclean, App. (A.) Part I. p. 537. † Mr. Tweedy, App. (A.) Part I. p. 728.
‡ App. (B.) Quest. 21, p. 424 *b*
§ Mr. Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 166.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OUT-DOOR Relief of the able-bodied; IN MONEY. Without Labour.

"In the Stratford division, the overseer of Alverston stated that there were young men receiving 2*s*. 6*d*. and 3*s*. a week, and that though it was barely sufficient for their support, and that they lived in lodgings at 6*d*. a week, yet they greatly preferred it to more pay with labour, as it afforded them time for depredations of various sorts, from which the farmers each year became great sufferers. At Kiddermmster, in Worcestershire, young able men were observed to receive small sums of money, such as 1*s*. 6*d*. and 2*s*., and it was said, that the convenient form in which relief was thus afforded them, was their chief inducement in seeking it, and that they would not accept it in any other shape. At Stow-on-the-Wold, in Gloucestershire, the overseer and churchwarden stated that this practice had been adopted after the failure of many others, and with great expectation of its advantage, since by it relief was granted without the trouble of finding employment for the pauper, and upon the condition that the application would not he immediately repeated. They stated, however, that it had completely failed, as the same men soon returned and they were again compelled to relieve them. The object in view is to save trouble and present expense; the result proves a bounty upon idleness and crime, and is in the end not less expensive." *

But it is more usual to give a rather larger weekly sum, and to force the applicants to give up a certain portion of their time by confining them in a gravel-pit or in some other inclosure, or directing them to sit at a certain spot and do nothing,† or obliging them to attend a roll-call several times in the day, or by any contrivance which shall prevent their leisure from becoming a means either of profit or of amusement.‡

In a still greater number of instances the relief is given on the plea that the applicant has not been able to obtain work; that he has lost a day or a longer period, and is entitled, therefore, to receive from the unlimited resources of the parish, what he has not been able to obtain from a private employer.

II.

ALLOWANCE.

2. Allowance.

By the parish allowing to labourers, who are employed by individuals, relief in aid of their wages.

The word *allowance* is sometimes used as comprehending all parochial relief afforded to those who are employed by individuals at the average wages of the district. But sometimes this term is confined to the relief which a person so employed obtains on account of his children, any relief which he may obtain on his own account being termed "Payment of Wages out of Rates." In the following Report we shall use the word "allowance" in its former or more comprehensive sense.

In some places allowance is given only occasionally, or to meet occasional wants, to buy, for instance, a coat or a pair of shoes, or to pay the rent of a cottage or an apartment. In others it is considered that a certain weekly sum, or more frequently the value of a certain quantity of flour or bread, is to be received by each member of a family.

The latter practice has sometimes been matured into a system, forming the law of a whole district, sanctioned and enforced by the magistrates, and promulgated in the form of local statutes, under the name of *Scales*.

The following are copies of some of the scales:

"County of Cambridge. §

"The Churchwardens and Overseers of the Poor are requested to regulate the incomes of such persons as may apply to them for relief or employment according to the price of bread; namely,

"A single woman, the price of	-	-	3	quartern loaves	per week.
"A single man	-	-	4	-	ditto.
"A man and his wife	-	-	7	-	ditto.
"Ditto - ditto and one child	-		8	-	ditto.
"Ditto - ditto and two children	-		9	-	ditto.
"Ditto - ditto and three ditto	-		11	-	ditto.

"Man, wife, four children and upwards, at the price of two quartern loaves per head per week.

"It

* App. (A.) Part II. p. 10. † App. (B.) Question 39 Chadlington, Oxford, p. 369 *d*.

‡ See App. (A.) Part I. p. 345. § See Gowell, Extracts, p. 374.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from University of Toronto

OUT-DOOR Relief of the ABLE-BODIED; 2. Allowance.

"It will be necessary to add to the above income in all cases of sickness or other kind of distress, and particularly of such persons or families who deserve encouragement by their good behaviour, whom parish officers should mark both by commendation and reward.

"By order of the Magistrates assembled at the Shire Hall, Cambridge, December 15th, 1821.

"*Robert Gee*,
"Clerk to the Magistrates."

"TOWN OF CAMBRIDGE.*

"The Churchwardens and Overseers of the Poor are requested to regulate the incomes of such persons as may apply to them for relief or employment, according to the price of fine bread; namely,

"A single woman, the price of - - 3½ quartern loaves per week.
"A single man - - - - 4½ - ditto.
"A man and his wife - - - 8 - ditto.
"Ditto - ditto, and one child - 9½ - ditto.
"Ditto - ditto, and two children 11 - ditto.
"Ditto - ditto, and three ditto - 13 - ditto.
"Man, wife, four children and upwards at the price of 2½ quartern loaves per head per week.

"It will be necessary to add to the above income in all cases of sickness or other kind of distress; and particularly of such persons or families who deserve encouragement by their good behaviour, whom parish officers should mark both by commendation and reward.

"By order of the Magistrates assembled at the Town Hall, Cambridge,

"November 27, 1829. *A. Chevell*,
"Clerk to the Magistrates."

"ESSEX.—DIVISION OF CHELMSFORD, 1821.†

"At a special meeting of the Magistrates acting in and for the said Division, held at the Justice Room, in the Shire Hall, on Friday, the 15th day of June 1821.

"It was resolved,

"That the undermentioned scale of relief, for the assistance of the overseers of the poor within the said division in relieving the necessitous poor, be recommended: That they do provide each person in every family with the means of procuring half a peck of bread flour per week, together with 10*d*. per head for other necessaries, if the family consist of two only; 8*d*. per head, if three; 6*d*. per head, if four; and 5*d*. per head, if more than four.

"N. B. The above mentioned sums are exclusive of fuel.

"By order of the Magistrates,
"*T. Archer*, Clerk."

Price of Flour, per Peck.	NUMBER IN FAMILY.																				
	2.		3.		4.		5.		6.			7.			8.			9.			10.		
s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.
1	6	3	2	4	3	5	-	5	10		-	7	-	-	8	2	-	9	4	-	10	6	-	11	8
1	9	3	5	4	7½	5	6	6	5½		-	7	9	-	9	-½	-	10	4	-	11	7½	-	12	11
2	-	3	8	5	-	6	-	7	1		-	8	6	-	9	11	-	11	4	-	12	9	-	14	2
2	3	3	11	5	4½	6	6	7	8½		-	9	3	-	10	9½	-	12	4	-	13	10½	-	15	5
2	6	4	2	5	9	7	-	8	4		-	10	-	-	11	8	-	13	4	-	15	-	-	16	8
2	9	4	5	6	1½	7	6	8	11½		-	10	9	-	12	6½	-	14	4	-	16	1½	-	17	11
3	-	4	8	6	6	8	-	9	7		-	11	6	-	13	5	-	15	4	-	17	3	-	19	2
3	3	4	11	6	10½	8	6	10	2½		-	12	3	-	14	3½	-	16	4	-	18	4½	1	-	5
3	6	5	2	7	3	9	-	10	10		-	13	-	-	15	2	-	17	4	-	19	6	1	1	8
3	9	5	5	7	7½	9	6	11	5½		-	13	9	-	16	-½	-	18	4	1	-	7½	1	2	11
4	-	5	8	8	-	10	-	12	1		-	14	6	-	16	11	-	19	4	1	1	9	1	4	2
4	3	5	11	8	4½	10	6	12	8½		-	15	3	-	17	9½	1	-	4	1	2	10½	1	5	5
4	6	6	2	8	9	11	-	13	4		-	16	-	-	18	8	1	1	4	1	4	-	1	6	8
4	9	6	5	9	1½	11	6	13	11½		-	16	9	-	19	6½	1	2	4	1	5	1½	1	7	11
5	-	6	8	9	6	12	-	14	7		-	17	6	1	-	5	1	3	4	1	6	3	1	9	2
5	3	6	11	9	10½	12	6	15	2½		-	18	3	1	1	3½	1	4	4	1	7	4½	1	10	5
5	6.	7	2	10	3	13	-	15	10		-	19	-	1	2	2	1	5	4	1	8	6	1	11	8
5	9	7	5	10	7½	13	6	16	5½		-	19	9	1	3	-½	1	6	4	1	9	7½	1	12	11
6	-	7	8	11	-	14	-	17	1		1	-	6	1	3	11	1	7	4	1	10	9	1	13	4
6	3	7	11	11	4½	14	6	17	8½		1	1	3	1	4	9½	1	8	4	1	11	10½	1	15	5
6	6	8	2	11	9	15	-	18	4		1	2	-	1	5	8	1	9	4	1	13	-	1	16	8
6	9	8	5	12	1½	15	6	18	11½		1	2	9	1	6	6½	1	10	4	1	14	1½	1	17	11
7	-	8	8	12	6	16	-	19	7		1	3	6	1	7	5	1	11	4	1	15	3	1	19	2

* Mr. Power, App. (A.) Part I. p. 241. † Mr. Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 223.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

SCALE-DOOR Relief of the ABLE-BODIED, 2. Allowance.

"HUNDREDS [illegible]TTLESFORD, CLAVERING and FREESHWELL, in the County of ESSEX."*

"Parish officers are desired to regulate allowances according to the price of fine bread; viz.

Quartern Loaves -	9d.	9½d.	9½d.	9¾d.	10d.	10½d.
	s. d.	s. d.	s. d.	s. d.	s. d.	s. d.
Single Woman - 3	2 3	2 3¾	2 4½	2 5¼	2 6	2 6¾
Ditto Man - - 4	3 –	3 1	3 2	3 3	3 4	3 5
Man and Wife - 7	5 3	5 4¾	5 6½	5 8¼	5 10	5 11¾
Ditto and 1 Child - 8	6 –	6 2	6 4	6 6	6 8	6 10
Ditto and 2 Children, 9	6 9	6 11¼	7 1¾	7 3¾	7 6	7 8¼
Ditto and 3 ditto - 11	8 3	8 5¾	8 8¼	8 11¼	9 2	9 4¾
Ditto and 4† ditto - 12	9 –	9 3	9 6	9 9	10 –	10 3
Ditto and 5 ditto - 14	10 6	10 9¼	11 1	11 4½	11 8	11 11¼
Ditto and 6 ditto - 16	12 –	12 4	12 8	13 –	13 4	13 8

"It will be necessary to increase the above allowances in some cases, and the deserving should be particularly encouraged.

"By order of the Magistrates of the Walden Division, 1826,

"*Thos Hall*, Clerk."

"ARUNDEL BOROUGH, Nov. 19, 1830.‡

"At a meeting of the inhabitants, held this day, the masters agreed to give able-bodied men 2*s.* per day, wet and dry, and an allowance of 1*s.* 8*d.* per week for every child (above two) under 14 years of age.

"Lads from 14 to 16, 8*d.* per day; lads from 16 to 18, 1*s.* per day; young men from 18 to 21, 1*s.* 6*d.* per day, from this time to Lady-day.

"It was also agreed that from Lady-day to Michaelmas the able-bodied men should have 14*s.* per week, wet and dry, with a like allowance of 1*s.* 8*d.* per week for every child (above two) under 14 years of age; the boys, from 14 to 18, 9*d.* per day; from 18 to 18 1*s.* 2*d.* per day; and young men from 18 to 21, 1*s.* 8*d.* per day.

"Agreed to by the Magistrates assembled at their meeting this day."

In perhaps a majority of the parishes, in which the allowance system prevails, the earnings of the applicant, and, in a few, the earnings of his wife and children, are ascertained, or at least professed or attempted to be ascertained, and only the difference between them and the sum allotted to him by the scale, is paid to him by the parish. The following extracts from Mr. Tweedy's Report from Yorkshire, and Mr. Wilson's from Durham, shew the mode in which this branch of the allowance system is extending itself over the North of England.

"In Gisburn, the rule and practice of the town is to inquire into the circumstances of each case, and to make up the wages of a man and his family to 1*s.* 6*d.* per head. This rule is adopted, because it is the rule by which the magistrates govern themselves on application to them. The course of the magistrates is to inquire of a weaver (for instance) how many pieces he can weave per week, and how much he gets for it. A man will say, perhaps, he can weave three pieces in a week, and would get 1*s.* 3*d.* a piece for weaving them; then if he had a family of a wife and four children, they would allow him 5*s.* 3*d.* a week."—"A man had a sickly wife, and was allowed 5*s.* a week for her and for a woman to attend her. She died, and in about a year he married again; and on the very day of his marriage, said, 'Now I have married again, I'll work Gisburn another round;' and he has been as good as his word, having had three children by the second wife, on account of which he received £.2. 11*s.* from January to September in last year.

"At Dent, in the same neighbourhood, 'relief to the able-bodied is afforded by payments of a weekly or monthly sum in the name of a pension, the amount of which is regulated according to the number of a man's family, after the rate of two shillings a head per week: poor people, especially those who have become pensioners, marry early, more frequently under twenty years of age than above; they are induced to this, no doubt, from a reliance upon relief from the poor rate; instances have been numerous in which this has been

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 227.

† A man and his wife, with four children and upwards, to be allowed the price of two quartern loaves each weekly

‡ Mr. Maclean. App. (A.) Part I p. 547.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

been known to be the case, and in a majority of cases, relief is applied for on the birth of the *first* child, the most profligate and dissolute are amongst this class, and if they get a little extra pay at any time, they spend it in drinking, leaving their families to be maintained by the township.'

" At Kettlewell (in Craven) and the neighbourhood, the same system prevails. 'The rule of the magistrates is to allow so much as will yield one shilling and sixpence a head per week, and the overseers take this rule therefore as their guide. The overseer has sometimes called upon little farmers for their rates, and found that they had *no* provisions of any kind in the house, *nor* money to buy any; while on the other hand, he has not unfrequently been obliged to give relief to men, who there is no doubt could have procured work if they had exerted themselves; they speak of it as a matter of right, and if what they ask be not granted, they threaten to appeal to the magistrate; and as he lives *fifteen* miles off, the overseers are often induced to yield to their demands, on account of the *expense* of meeting the claim before him.'

" The places above-named are within the jurisdiction of one bench of magistrates.

" At Pateley Bridge many are relieved in degree when the wages they earn are not sufficient. It is reckoned that 1*s*. 9*d*. per head for each member of the family is necessary, except for infants, and that rule the overseers act upon. One magistrate, however, allows 2*s*. 6*d*. each for husband and wife, and 1*s*. 6*d*. for each child. Relief is demanded as a matter of right, and sometimes with insolence. An instance is mentioned as occurring some years ago, in which a man came and said, 'We have been getting married; can you find us a house?' and another instance occurred two years ago, in which a man came out of Craven, and claimed relief a few weeks after marriage, and was insolent in his demand.

" At Knaresborough the paupers are chiefly weavers of linen and flax dressers; if they are wholly out of work, the rule is to allow a man and his wife 6*s*. a week, and 9*d*. for each child: a single man 3*s*. a week. This rate is allowed, because the magistrates allow it; but in fact in many cases it amounts to *more* than a man, when trade is flourishing, could earn. If a man has partial work, they give him 1*s*. 6*d*. or 2*s*. a week, or as little as they can satisfy him with, knowing that if he goes before the magistrate, he will allow him such a sum as with his earnings, will make up the rate above mentioned. Immediately that a man is out of work *now*, he comes for relief; and if he be not relieved at once, he goes to a magistrate, who grants a summons, and makes a memorandum upon it, directing the overseer to relieve him in the mean time."*

"In Darlington, in the county of Durham," says Mr. Wilson, "allowances to able-bodied labourers are graduated according to the numbers in their families; and whenever the wages of any class of labourers (for example, of the linen weavers, who have latterly been the most distressed,) fall below the amount appointed by the scale, the difference is made up as a matter of course by the parish. The scale awards 2*s*. a head a week to heads of families, and 1*s*. 6*d*. for each of the children under 12 years of age. This is the minimum of allowance paid by the parish in all cases. Suppose a single man to earn 2*s*. a week, he could put forward no claim to relief.† Suppose another, earning the same wages, but possessing besides a wife and six children, then 2*s*. a head for himself and his wife, and 1*s*. 6*d*. a head for each of his children, give a total amount of 13*s*. weekly. In this second case the *family man* has a recognized claim on the parish for an allowance of 11*s*. weekly, making up his earnings of 2*s*. by the above-mentioned graduated scale.

" Some remarkable instances of this occurred on Wednesday, January 9th, at the meeting of the parish committee. One applicant owned he had earned 21*s*. during the last fortnight; but because he had not applied within the last month to the parish, and his average during that period had not been made up, (he had four children) he now applied to have the deficit made up, which was done accordingly.

" Another man was earning 9*s*. a week; he had six children; 4*s*. were handed over the table to him immediately.

" A third had seven children, with himself and his wife, making nine in family. He stated that his average earnings were 9*s*. a week. Last week he had been out of work for a day or two, and consequently had earned only 5*s*. The parish had found two days work for him, which made up his earnings to 7*s*.: 7*s*. 6*d*. additional were handed to him over the table.

" I need not report a dozen similar cases, which were dispatched like the foregoing, in my presence. Yet do people in this district talk as glibly as any of the abuses of the Poor Laws in *the South*" ‡

The

* Mr. Tweedy, App. (A.) Part I. page 732.

† "I merely mean to state that 2*s*. is the utmost weekly pension or allowance *gratuitously* given to a single able bodied labourer. An applicant of this description, if he said that he could not live on his wages, would probably be taken into the poor house, or set to work by the parish at perhaps 5*s*. a week; but he would not receive *for doing nothing* more than 2*s*. a week, while the sums which a married labourer receives for doing nothing increase with the birth of every additional child."

‡ Mr. Wilson, App. (A.) Part I. p. 140.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OUT-DOOR Relief of the ABLE-BODIED; 2. Allowance.

The abuses in the South are, however, still more striking.

"I was able," says Mr. Villiers, "to examine some parishes in nearly every magisterial division in the county of Warwick, in the three principal hundreds of the county of Worcester, and in the adjoining parts of Gloucestershire; and I communicated personally with the overseers and other officers from the hundreds and principal towns in North Devon.

"In each of these counties the relief is regulated upon the same general principle, namely, to relieve all claimants according to their alleged actual necessities, and in each a separate table of relief, varying with the condition of the pauper and the price of bread, has been drawn up and published by the magistrates for the guidance of overseers.

"Allowance of money to men, regulated by the number of their families, was seldom, if ever, denied. The exceptions are in some few parishes, where, by a better system of management, the labourers have been encouraged to maintain their own children. The system is defended by some persons, and by others it is not considered as a mode of supplying the deficiency in wages from the rates. A magistrate lamented to me, that a practice of paying the wages out of the rates did exist in the southern and eastern counties, and was happy to think that it had never been adopted in his division; but he admitted and defended the custom of allowing a sum for the third or fourth child of every labourer. In one parish I asked the overseer if it would be possible for a man and his family to be earning a guinea a week, and receiving allowance for his children; he said, 'Certainly, as we never suppose that a man earns more than the farmers usually give.' Upon asking several other overseers why such inquiry was not made, the reply generally was, that they either had not time, or that it was not usual, and that, should they refuse the allowance applied for, they would be summoned before a magistrate, who would order it." *

"The statement of the vestry clerk of Old Swinford was, that men with families were in the habit of being relieved who were known to earn 16*s.* or 18*s.* a week, and that unless it were shown that the earnings of the family amounted to 25*s.* a week, allowance was not refused. This I was hardly able to credit at first, but he stated, that when the trade was good, people were able to earn these wages, and that it had been considered since that time as a standard for allowance. The character of a large portion of these people was described as being reckless and dissolute beyond any others. They were said to be living almost promiscuously, and that large families, legitimate or not, were considered by them as an advantage. Nails are manufactured in their houses, and children who can be employed early in this trade become a source of profit to the parents, if the trade is good, and if it should fail, they are maintained by the parish.

"In these districts the truck system has been practised, and doubtless continues to be so, and consequently the owners of the tommy shops, being the manufacturers, are frequently the persons who are expected to regulate the distribution of relief to their own men." †

The following are extracts from the valuable Answers of Mr. Russell, a magistrate residing in Swallowfield, in the counties of Berks and Wilts, to our printed Queries:

"The parish gives the labourers, out of the poor rates, what they call sometimes their '*make up*,' and sometimes their '*bread money*.' The *bread money* is calculated weekly, at the price of two gallon loaves for the husband, one for the wife, and one for each of the children, be the number what it may, and to whatever extent the earnings of the family may fall short of that sum, the difference is *made up* in money. This allowance is given in compliance with an order made many years ago by the magistrates of this county (Berks), and, practically, is in all cases enforced by them. I have known a magistrate on an application made by a pauper for his *bread money* exclaim that no such thing as *bread money* was recognized by the bench, and then make an order, with the mere omission of the term, for the precise amount demanded.

"No attention is paid to either the character of the applicant or the causes of his distress. In fact he is considered entitled to it without pleading any distress.

"The *bread money* is hardly looked upon by the labourers in the light of parish relief. They consider it as much their right as the wages they receive from their employers, and in their own minds, make a wide distinction between 'taking their *bread money*' and 'going on the parish.'" ‡

In other parishes the labourer is not supposed to earn more than a given sum. If that sum be less than the sum to which the size of his family entitles him, he receives the difference from the parish.

At Thaxted, Essex, the overseer states:

"That allowance is regulated by the price of flour; that the magistrates direct half a peck of flour for each individual of the family, besides 6*d.* each for the father and mother, and 4*d.* for

* App. (A.) Part II. p. 1. 13.

† App. (A.) Part II. p. 34.

‡ Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

for each child. If wages do not amount to this they are to be made up out of the poor rate. A man's weekly earnings are reckoned at 8*s*. If he makes more, still he receives his allowance, in order that industry may not be discouraged."*

In the Answers to which we have referred, Mr. Russell states, that,

"In the Berks portion of Swallowfield, the invariable usage, both in winter and in summer, was to make up the bread money from the actual earnings of the whole family. In the Wiltshire portion they take the man's earnings, let them have been as high as they may, at the fixed rate of day work only, allowing him the benefit of the difference; and under the influence of the panic struck by the fires, one portion has so far yielded to the importunity of the farmers, as to adopt this practice during the winter months. For instance, if a family consist of a man, his wife and six children, their bread money for nine loaves at 1*s*. 6*d*. a loaf is 13*s*. 6*d*. a week. Suppose, as often happens in the winter, that the man has earned 12*s*. in the week, and the wife and children nothing, then, according to the rate which used to prevail with us all the year round, and which still prevails in summer, the family will receive a *make up* of 1*s*. 6*d*., but according to the practice which we now follow in the winter, the man's earnings, though really 12*s*. will be taken at the ordinary rate of only 9*s*., and he will receive 4*s*. 6*d*. in money. Whatever the wife and any of the children may earn, whether in summer or in winter, their real earnings are taken as a set-off against their loaf."†

It is to be observed, that even in those parishes in which the amount of allowance is supposed to depend on that of the applicant's earnings, the inquiry as to the amount of those earnings is never carried back further than the current or the previous week or fortnight. The consequence is, that many of those who at particular periods of the year receive wages far exceeding the average amount of the earnings of the most industrious labourer, receive also large allowances from the parish. Mr. Cowell and Mr. Bishop found a parish in the Bedford Level, in which a recently drained tract of fertile land requires more labour than the settled inhabitants can provide; and the average yearly earnings of a labourer's family are from £.60 to £.70; but during a frost, and generally from November to March, almost every labourer comes on the parish. When they commented on these facts in their conversation with a resident magistrate, his answer was, "Why, what are we to do? they spend it all, and then come and say they are starving; and you must relieve them."‡ "In our vestry," says Mr. Russell, "which meets every Monday, the calculation is confined to the earnings of the past fortnight. No further retrospect is ever taken either for or against the claimant. In some parishes I believe the account is settled once a week instead of once a fortnight."

Sometimes the inquiry does not go back even to the beginning of the week at the end of which the claim is made.

"A case was mentioned to me," says Mr. Stuart, "of nine men who had been able to earn 15*s*. each by task work, in three days, and who came to the parish for the other three days of the week, during which they had no employment. The overseer, aware of the profitable work in which they had been engaged, offered 1*s*. a day for the lost days, instead of 1*s*. 6*d*. a day, which would have been their allowance according to the scale. This the men rejected; left the work which they then had, and went to a magistrate to complain. The magistrate sent an open note by the complainants, appealing to the humanity of the overseer. The men, aware of the contents of the note, backed the recommendation of the magistrate by threats, which induced the overseer to comply."§

Again, there are other parishes in which no inquiry whatever is made respecting earnings, but the birth of a child endows the parent with an allowance, whatever be his income.

At Laughton, Sussex, says Mr. Majendie,—

"I attended the vestry with one of the principal farmers. One of his labourers, who was in constant employ at 17*s*. per week, came for his 'pay,' for a third child just born, at 1*s*. a week for six months; it will then be raised to 1*s*. 6*d*. a week. The plan of allowance, without inquiry into earnings, is justified on the ground that if the same allowance were not made to all it would cramp industry."‖

In Westoning, Bedfordshire,—

"There is scarcely one able-bodied labourer in the employment of individuals but what receives regular relief on account of his family. A married man and his wife, without any child, receive 5*s*. per week if he be out of employment; for one child, he is allowed 1*s*. whether in or out of employment; for two children, 2*s*. and so on in proportion to the number of children under 10 years; above 10 years, each boy out of employment is allowed from 1*s*. 6*d*. to 3*s*. 6*d*."¶

Mr. Walcott

* Mr. Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 228. † Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II. ‡ Mr. Cowell, App. (A.) Part I. p. 598. § Extracts, p. 144. ‖ App. (A.) Part I. p. 184. ¶ App. (B. 1.) Question 24, p. 9 *b*.

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

- DOOR
lief of the
LE BODIED
lowance.

Mr. Walcott states, that in North Wales,—

"No single able-bodied man in the employment of individuals ever obtains parochial relief.

"Married agricultural labourers in work, and with only three children, although in many cases their rents are paid, and the rates remitted, yet are very rarely considered entitled to regular weekly relief; but if out of work, or with more than three children, in nearly every parish they obtain it on those grounds.

"The allowance is usually 1*s.* a week for each child above the third. Overton is the only parish I heard of entirely free from the abuse of relieving the able-bodied in the employ of individuals. It is there considered, he states, contrary to law, justice and humanity.

"The rule of commencing relief with the fourth child, is, however, by no means inflexible; for example, in Kerry, a very well managed parish, a great portion of the labourers support four, five and six children, without any parochial assistance, and wages are not higher there than in many other places where it is given.

"The effect of thus placing the married and unmarried man on a different footing as to relief, is clearly to encourage early and improvident marriages, with their consequent evils. Of this there was no lack of evidence; the answers to inquiries on this subject being, that such marriages are now much more common amongst the labouring and lower classes than formerly; that the great majority of young men marry under 24 years of age, and frequently under 21. That such is one of the effects of the practice, is evident from the circumstance, that in the parish of Kerry, where a married man is not certain of obtaining relief, even with five or six children, the labourers (according to the testimony of a very intelligent and long-resident magistrate, Mr. Pugh,) do not marry earlier than they did 20 or 25 years ago."*

In the Northern Division of Devonshire, says Mr. Villiers,—

"The practice of granting allowance for children is so general and confirmed, that the pauper is in the habit of giving formal notice to the overseer of the pregnancy of his wife. Should the overseer refuse the application for the fixed sum allowed for the second, third or fourth child, the magistrates' single inquiry, on his appearance before them under a summons, would be as to the custom of the parish or the hundred; 'At what number does allowance begin with you?' is the common mode of putting the question, as I was repeatedly assured by overseers. The previous or present earnings of the pauper, or of any of his family are never mentioned."†

It is to be observed, also, that under the scale system a child is very soon considered as an independent claimant for relief, and entitled to it, though residing with his parents, and though they may be in full work at high wages. At Friston, Suffolk, Mr. Stuart states that "a child is entitled to relief, at the rate of 3*s* a week, on his own account, from the age of 14."‡

At Bottisham, Cambridge, says Mr. Power,—

"A boy of 16 receives 2*s* 6*d*. for the week, lives at home with his father; the family consists of his father, mother, brother and himself. His father and brother are both now doing work at full wages, for Mr. Jenyns the magistrate.—(From the overseer:) 17 is the age at which we consider a young man entitled to separate relief, as an unemployed labourer; his pay then is 3*s*. 6*d*.; this boy is relieved, not as a labourer out of employ, but at the instance of Mr. Jenyns, who has been for some time past endeavouring to obtain him a service.—(From Mr. King afterwards:) The allowance to our young single men out of employ used to be 2*s*. 10*d*., according to scale, four quartern loaves, present price 8½*d*. Last November they came to the sessions in a body to complain of the insufficiency, and it was then raised to 3*s* 6*d*. This sum they receive when above a certain age, although residing with their families. One family, consisting of man, wife, and seven children, are entitled to, and at this time receiving 19*s*. 6*d*. from the parish, several of the sons being grown up. At Little Shelford a worse case than this was given me by the acting overseer, of one family, a man, wife, and four sons, living together, receiving 24*s*. weekly from the parish. The woman was receiving 3*s*. a week at that time in the family of Mr. Finch, the clergyman, as Mrs. Finch informed me."§

III.

THE ROUNDSMAN SYSTEM.

he Roundsman
System

By the parish paying the occupiers of property to employ the applicants for relief at a rate of wages fixed by the parish, and depending not on the services, but

* App. (A. Part II † App. (A) Part II p. 43 ‡ Extracts, p. 141.
§ Mr. Power, App. (A) Part I p. 247.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

but on the wants of the applicants, the employer being repaid out of the poor rate all that he advances in wages beyond a certain sum. This is the house row, or roundsmen, or billet, or ticket, or stem, system.

Out Door Relief of the Able-bodied Without Labour by Allowance as Roundsmen

According to this plan, the parish in general makes some agreement with a farmer to sell to him the labour of one or more paupers at a certain price, and pays to the pauper, out of the parish funds the difference between that price and the allowance which the scale, according to the price of bread and the number of his family, awards to him. It has received the name of the billet or ticket system, from the ticket signed by the overseer, which the pauper in general carries to the farmer as a warrant for his being employed, and takes back to the overseer, signed by the farmer, as a proof that he has fulfilled the conditions of relief. In other cases the parish contracts with some individual to have some work performed for him by the paupers at a given price, the parish paying the paupers. In many places the roundsman system is effected by means of an auction. Mr. Richardson states that in Sulgrave, Northamptonshire, the old and infirm are sold at the monthly meeting to the best bidder, at prices varying, according to the time of the year, from 1*s.* 6*d.* a week to 3*s.*; that at Yardley, Hastings, all the unemployed men are put up to sale weekly, and that the clergyman of the parish told him that he had seen ten men the last week knocked down to one of the farmers for 5*s.*, and that there were at that time about 70 men let out in this manner out of a body of 170. *

The following extracts, from the Answers to our printed Queries for rural districts, are further examples of all these forms of relief:

"GREAT HENNY, ESSEX.—*William Newport*, Churchwarden; *Edward Cook*, Overseer.

"Having so many labouring men, the income from the land will not allow us to give more than is sufficient for the best characters to subsist upon, and we are obliged to give the same to the worst. A man of bad character, on account of which he is not employed, having two children or more, applies to the parish at the end of the week for relief, through loss of time, and has the same money given him as the honest labourer receives of his master for his labour for the same week."†

"HARLOW, ESSEX.—*Isaac Rogers*, Overseer.

"We are obliged to maintain the family if the man is idle."‡

"CASTLE HEDINGHAM.—*Ashurst Majendie*, Deputy Lieutenant, Member of Vestry.

"Rent was, at one period, paid by the parish, by which an artificial price was kept up, since the practice has been discontinued the rent of cottages has fallen." §

"GOUDHURST, KENT.—*Giles Miller.*

"Every man having more than three children upon his hands, comes to the parish for support for all above the third: it is granted as a matter of course." ‖

"The word 'scale' is unknown, but the thing exists as effectually as if it were published by authority at every petty sessions. Every parish officer and pauper knows that a man with his wife and three children is entitled to have his wages '*made up*' (such is the phrase) to 12*s.* a week; and he is entitled to 1*s.* 6*d.* per week for every child beyond three; and without entering into any very rigid account as to the average of his earnings. Extra receipts are supposed to go for clothes and extra payments: in reality, they too often go to the beer shop." ¶

"NONINGTON, KENT.—*W. O. Hammond*, J. P.

"There are at this time (May) 12 or 15 able men disengaged in this parish. The thrashing is over sooner than usual, owing to a deficient crop. The woods are cleared, and pea-hoeing is also finished. The men out of work are allowed at the rate of 6*s.* for man and wife, with 1*s.* a head for children. Under the circumstances, the following plan has been adopted: A married man, having two children, receives 6*s.* from the poor rate. He takes at the vestry a ticket inscribed with the name of an occupier in the parish. For this person he is required to work four days, *and the employer is pledged to set him at no necessary or essential occupation*. This reservation must be obviously ineffectual. The remaining two days the man is at liberty to earn any thing elsewhere if he can. The tickets are allotted by rotation. The system cannot be justified on principle or practice. So long as it last, necessary work will wait for the turn of a ticket man. The land will become foul, the labourer half employed and half paid, and the parish imposed upon." **

PRESTON,

---

* Mr. Richardson, App. (A.) Part I p. 401.
† App. (B. 1.) Question 26, p. 179 *b.*
‡ App. (B. 1.) Question 26, p. 177 *b.*
§ App (B 1.) Question 21, p. 178 *b.*
‖ App. (B. 1.) Question 24, p 246 *b.*
¶ App. (B. 1. Question 25, p. 246 *b*
** App (B 1.) Question 24, p. 257 *b.*

Printed from digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

POOR Relief to the ABLE-BODIED; Without Labour, by Allowance, as Roundsmen.

" PRESTON, near FAVERSHAM, KENT.—*Giles Hilton*, Preston House.

" No regular system for the attached; when unattached, a man, wife and four children usually obtain the full weekly wages of the attached; with six children, I have known most undeserving parents get 18*s.* a week all the winter, and the greater part of the summer. The practice of partly paying for work done for individuals, did prevail, but the pauper, learning the practice, could seldom be made to do a fair day's work." *

" STOGUMBER, SOMERSET.—*Charles Rowcliffe.*

" An allowance is made, *unhappily*; beginning at three children. I consider that nearly all the work is partly paid for by the parish, and that this fact is a crying evil, working great mischief, and distress, and carelessness, and indifference about his family, in the mind of the labourer." † .

" HOUSTHORPE, LINCOLN.—*John Kirkham*, late Contractor; *Joseph Eldin*, Churchwarden.

" The practice of work being done for individuals and partly paid for by the parish, has proved more injurious than any measure ever adopted, having brought numbers of the most hale labourers on the list of paupers, who previous to that would have shuddered at the thought of coming to a parish, but are now as contented to receive relief as they were before in a state of labouring independence; the most wages the best labourers could then obtain were no more than from 3*s.* to 4*s.* per week, the remainder was made up ont of the rates, according to their families. This system is now abolished, and the labourer gets fair wages." ‡

" BYFIELD, NORTHAMPTON.—*Charles Wetherell*, Rector; *T. Carter*, J. P.

" 'Head Money' is given indiscriminately to all families of labouring men with more than two children under 10 years of age, without inquiring into their earnings, at the rate of 1*s.* each for those exceeding two; latterly many petty tradesmen have laid claim to it, and their claims have, in too many instances, been acceded to. *T. C.*"

" Relief is given generally, according to a scale which the deputy overseer obtains at the magistrates' petty sessions. § *C. W.*"

" ENSTONE, OXFORD.—*William Gardener*, *F. Elton.*

" All that apply to the vestry for employment have half their money, or more, out of the poor rates. They allow, with all the earnings, 5*s.* per week to the man and his wife, and 1*s.* 6*d.* per head for the children, many or few; half from the master and the rest from the rates.

" A married man and his wife, with no child, will receive 5*s.* per week; a single man, perhaps 3*s.* 6*d.* and 4*s.*; half from the master and the rest from the poor's rates." ‖

" ODDINGTON Parish, and PLOUGHLEY Hundred, OXFORD.—*Philip Serle*, Clerk and J. P.

" I am sorry to say that all our able-bodied labourers who have more than two children receive regular allowance from the parish, and this is the case generally in the neighbourhood. In some of the adjoining parishes it is carried to such a length that I have known a labourer receive 2*d.* per diem where he worked, and the rest of his wages made up from the poor's book. The children are usually sent round, and paid wholly by the overseer." ¶

" (Vale of TAUNTON), BAGBOROUGH, BISHOP'S LYDEARD, COMBE-FLOREY, COTHELSTONE, KINGSTON, SOMERSET.—*E. J. Esdaile.*

" All farm labourers, during the whole or a part of the year, receive a portion of their wages out of the poor's rates." **

" HASILBURY BRYAN, DORSET.—*Henry Walter*, Rector.

" In 1821–22 the overseers had been in the habit of sharing out the pauper labourers amongst the farmers, (including themselves), and of paying for the work done by them *wholly* out of the poor's rates, and as certain magistrates in the Blandford division (to which this parish then belonged) declined interfering to check this abuse, the answerer felt it his duty to appeal to the two sessions in 1823, and at the July sessions at Shaftesbury he obtained a verdict, which put an end to the practice. The custom, however, of 'making up the pay' of able-bodied labourers from the poor rates still continues. So lately as the 25th July last, the answerer being told by the overseers that a complaint was lodged against the parish for not affording relief to an able-bodied labourer in addition to his wages, whose family consisted of a wife and four little children, but who paid nothing for living in a house belonging to the parish; he accompanied them to the petty sessions, and respectfully informed the magistrates that he should feel it his duty to advise his neighbours to resist any order requiring the parish to pay such allowance; to which it was replied, that they felt it was *their* duty, and should sign the order. Eventually, however, they did not sign it, but their signature was withheld on grounds unconnected with the principle opposed, and that principle was still avowed and maintained." ††

" WELFORD,

* App. (B. 1. Question 24, p 258 *b.*
† App. (B. 1.) Question 24, p. 406 *b.*
‡ App. (B. 1.) Question 24, p. 293 *b.*
§ App. (B. 1.) Question 25, p. 332 *b.*
‖ App. (B. 1.) Questions 24 & 27. p. 372 *b.*
¶ App (B. 1.) Question 24, p 378 *b.*
** App. (B. 1.) Question 24, p 407 *b*
†† App. (B. 1.) Question 39, p. 141 *d.*

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

— Welford, Gloucester.—*William Welch*, Assistant Overseer.

1. Out-door Relief of the Able-bodied; 3. Roundsman System.

"The labourers changed their service much more frequently when they were paid a part of their money by the overseers (called head money), which was an order from the magistrates, and persisted in by them till we established a poorhouse, which has nearly done it away, and the labourers are become more respectable.

"Magistrates, when applied to, always make their orders according to the head-money system, taking the labourer's earnings at the usual day-work price, without regard to the conduct or ability of the labourer."*

IV.

PARISH EMPLOYMENT.

4. Parish Employment.

By the parish employing and paying the applicants for relief.

The 43d of Elizabeth does not authorize relief to be afforded to any but the impotent, except in return for work. And much as this part of the statute has been neglected, its validity is recognized by the judges. In the King *v.* Collett, 2 Barnewell & Cresswell, 324, Lord Tenterden decided it to be the duty of overseers to provide work, if possible, before they afforded relief. And whatever may be the difficulty of finding *profitable* work, it is difficult to suppose the existence of a parish in which it would not be *possible* to provide some work, were it merely to dig holes and fill them again. But though such is the law, it appears from the Parliamentary Returns that payment for work is the most unusual form in which relief is administered. The Poor Rate Returns for the year ending the 25th March 1832, state that out of £ 7,036,968 expended in that year for the relief of the poor, less than £.354,000, or scarcely more than one-twentieth part, was paid for work, including work on the roads and in the workhouses. This may easily be accounted for.

In the first place, to afford relief gratuitously is less troublesome to the parochial authorities than to require work in return for it. Wherever work is to be paid for, there must be superintendence; but where paupers are the work-people, much more than the average degree of superintendence is necessary. In ordinary cases, all that the superintendant inquires is, whether the workman has performed an average day's work; and where the work is piece-work, he need not make even that inquiry. The practice of his trade fixes the market price of the work, and he pays it without asking whether the workman has been one hour or one day in performing it, or whether it exceeds or falls below his wants. But the superintendant of pauper labourers has to ascertain, not what is an average day's work, or what is the market price of a given service, but what is a fair day's work for a given individual, his strength and habits considered, at what rate of pay for that work, the number of his family considered, he would be able to earn the sum necessary for his and their subsistence; and lastly, whether he has in fact performed the amount which, after taking all these elements into calculation, it appears that he ought to have performed. It will easily be anticipated that this superintendence is very rarely given; and that in far the greater number of the cases in which work is professedly required from paupers, in fact no work is done. In the second place, collecting the paupers in gangs for the performance of parish work is found to be more immediately injurious to their conduct than even allowance or relief without requiring work. Whatever be the general character of the parish labourers, all the worst of the inhabitants are sure to be among the number; and it is well known that the effect of such an association is always to degrade the good, not to elevate the bad. It was among these gangs, who had scarcely any other employment or amusement than to collect in groups and talk over their grievances, that the riots of 1830 appear to have originated. And, thirdly, parish employment does not afford direct profit to any individual. Under most of the other systems of relief, the immediate employers of labour can throw on the parish a part of the wages of their labourers. They prefer, therefore, those modes of relief which they can turn to their own account, out of which they can extract profit under the mask of charity.

In those parishes in which labour is the condition on which relief is granted, we have found great differences with respect to the kind and the duration of the labour required, and the amount of its remuneration. In Cookham,† in Putney,‡ and in many of the metropolitan parishes, § the work is irksome, the hours of labour are equal to those which a private employer would exact, and the pay less than he would give. In others, the amount of labour required is far less than that which

* App. (B. 1.) Questions 38 & 43, p. 205 *d*. † House of Lords Report, 1830–1831, page 185.
‡ Mr. Codd, App. (A.) Part I. p. 59. § Mr. Codd, App. (A.) Part I. p. 58.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2019 with funding from The University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

OUT-DOOR Relief of the ABLE-BODIED; 4. Parish Employment.

which an independent labourer must afford; but the pay is diminished [illegible] consistent with the supposed wants of the applicant. Thus, at Kimpton, Herts, "The single young men are employed by piece work, but are restricted to earn only 2*s.* 6*d.* a week, and are then at liberty to go where they like. In the same place children are employed in picking stones by task, and are allowed to earn the price of a gallon of bread and 6*d.* over per week, which they can do in about four days." At Gamlingay, Cambridge, "the paupers are employed in collecting stones, at the price of 2*d.* a bushel, until they have earned the sum allotted to them by the bread scale; they then do as they please for that week."† At Uckfield, Sussex, instead of a part of each week, "they are required to work a part of each day, so as to earn the sum which is considered necessary for their subsistence;"‡ a sum which, according to the magisterial scale of the Uckfield bench appears to be, for a single man, 4*s.*; man and wife, 7*s.*; man, wife and one child, 8*s.* 6*d.*; with two children, 10*s.*; and for each child above two, the value of a gallon of flour.§ In a parish in Suffolk, "20 acres were hired by the parish and dug by the paupers at piece work, the price being proportioned to their families. Either the work was completed by two or three o'clock, and the rest of the day spent in idleness, or the men consumed the whole day in the lazy performance of the work of a portion of the day."‖ "In Pollington, Yorkshire, they send many of them upon the highways, but they only work *four* hours per day; this is because there is not employment sufficient in that way; they sleep more than they work, and if any but the surveyor found them sleeping, they would laugh at them. In Rancliffe they employed a man in the winter of 1830-1831 to look over them; but they threatened *to drown* him, and he was obliged to withdraw. If a man did not like his work, he would say, 'I can have 12*s.* a week by going on the roads, and doing as little as I like.' In Carlton, from £.30 to £.40 was paid to men last year (1831) for doing nothing."¶ "In the parish of Mancetter, in the county of Warwick, the overseer stated that young able men received 2*s.* 6*d.* a week, and the magistrates would not allow the parish to employ them more than three days in the week, in order that they might get work for themselves. Upon inquiry it appeared that their characters soon became so infamous, that no person would employ them, having devoted their spare time to thieving and poaching. In the township of Atherstone, Mr. Wellday, a manufacturer, impatient of contributing his property to the encouragement of vice and idleness by paying men without exacting labour, purchased some water carts himself, for the purpose of giving employment to paupers. The magistrates refused to allow them to be used after 12 o'clock in the day, in order that these men might procure work for themselves: they were also described as becoming the most worthless characters in the town."**

In some of the agricultural districts, the prevalent mismanagement in this respect has created in the minds of the paupers a notion that it is their right to be exempted from the same degree of labour as independent labourers. In the parish of Swallowfield (Berks), the paupers summoned the overseers before the magistrates. They had been—

"Offered task-work at the gravel-pit at 8*d.* a yard, or 1*s.* a load for digging and sifting without loading. This had been considered a fair price with loading. The complainants contended before the magistrates, that by what they considered 'a right,' they ought not to be employed on the part of the parish more than from eight in the morning until four in the afternoon, although when working for farmers they were usually kept at work from six in the morning until six at night in summer, or from daylight until dark in the winter. This, which they claimed as 'their right,' had, in fact, been the previous practice in the parish, and was and is in a greater or less degree the existing practice in adjacent parishes."††

In the course of the examination of Mr. Price from Great Farringdon (Berks), he was asked—

"How did you enforce work on the in-door paupers?—Chiefly by admonition. Their labour was, as might be expected, very slack comparatively. I however insisted that they should work during the same time as the independent labourers. This they resisted, and appealed to the magistrates against this usage. The ground of their appeal was, that it was a thing unknown before in this parish, or any other, that parish labourers should work as long or as hard as the other classes of labourers."‡‡

But in many places, while the labour required by the parish is trifling, the pay equals or exceeds that of the independent labourer. Easthourne, in Sussex, is a striking

* Capt. Pringle, App. (A.) Part I. p. 299.
† Mr. Power, App. (A.) Part I. p. 243
‡ Mr. Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 186.
§ Mr. Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 179.
‖ Mr. Stuart, App. (A.) Part I. p. 346.
¶ Mr. Tweedy, App. (A.) Part I. p. 733.
** Mr. Villiers, App. (A.) Part II. p. 10.
†† Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II
‡‡ Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II

Parliamentary Papers, University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

striking example. In this place, in which the average wages earned from individuals by hard work are 12*s.* a week, the parish pays for nominal labour as much as 16*s.* a week. Two families alone received from it, in the year ending Lady-day 1832, £. 92. 4*s.*; and the wives of the few independent labourers regret that their husbands are not paupers.* At the parish farm, occupied by the incorporated parishes of the Isle of Wight, 240 men were employed at one time in the year 1830, at the same wages as those usually given by the farmers; they scarcely did any work, and twice left the farm in a body to threaten the directors. Their wages were consequently raised. †

OUT-DOOR Relief of the ABLE-BODIED; Without Labour, by Allowance, as Roundsmen, and by Parish Employment.

In the parish of Hartland, says Mr. Villiers—

"Mr.———, ———, who had occupied land there for 17 years, informed me that the magistrates were in the habit of ordering the same wages for the men working on the roads, unt superintended, as were paid to the labourers in the employ of the farmers, and that on this account as well as that the poor liked to watch for the wrecks in the winter, they did not seek for work out of the parish." ‡

Mr. Richardson states that, in Northamptonshire,

"The plan generally in use in the agricultural villages is, upon the man's applying to the overseer for work, to send him upon some part of the parish roads, where he is expected to work, not the farmer's hours or any thing like them, but to begin at 8, to leave at twelve for dinner, an hour, and to leave the roads finally at 4. It is the business of the overseer or the surveyor of the roads, a farmer or a tradesman, who paid or not, has his own business to attend to, to see that the men are actually working. While he is present, and the farmers take credit to themselves for riding up once or twice a day to the roads, the men bestir themselves a little, but the moment his back his turned, a man who gives himself any trouble is laughed at by his companions. As the overseer at Kettering told me their remark is,—'You must have your 12*s.* a week, or your 10*s.* a week, whether you work or not, I would not be such a fool as to work—blast work—damn me if I work, &c.;' and of course, under these circumstances, they do any thing but work; if there is a wood near, as at Glapthorne and some other places round Oundle, they run into the wood to steal firing, which they hide and carry off at a convenient time, and universally they are in the habit of stealing turnips or posts, or any little thing of that sort that comes to hand.

"In short where there were many able-bodied men employed on the roads, there every body complained of petty thefts, pilfering, poaching, &c., as the natural consequences.

"Whatever the previous character of a man may have been, he is seldom able to withstand the corruption of the roads; two years occasional employment there ruins the best labourer. Moreover in very many instances, the difference between parish pay for pretending to break stones on the road, and the real wages given by the farmer, does not amount to more than 1*s.* a week, and if the man has a family entitling him to receive a given sum by the scale as head money, he receives as much from the parish as he would from any other employer. Accordingly the labourers who are only occasionally employed, are nearly indifferent to pleasing or displeasing their employer; they quit with the remark which I heard at least a dozen times from different overseers,—'I can get as much on the roads as if I worked for you.'" §

Examples of the foregoing system of Relief.

The following extracts from Mr. Okeden's and Mr. Majendie's Reports afford examples of all these systems, sometimes separate and sometimes in combination.

"At Urchfont, a parish in the district of Devizes, the population of which is 1,340, and the annual poor rates about £. 1,450 there are above 50 men out of employ for 45 weeks every year. To these the parish pays 3*s.* a week each during that time, and inquires no further about their time or labour; thus creating an annual item of expense of nearly £. 100." ‖

"At the parish of Bodicott, in the district of Bloxham, a printed form is delivered to those who apply for work. The labourer takes this to the farmers in succession, who if they do not want his labour, sign their names. The man on his return receives from the overseer the day's pay of an industrious labourer, with the deduction of 2*d.* The same system takes place in other parishes.

"In the parish of Sidford Gore, in the same district, where the poor rates are under £ 650 per annum, £. 114 was paid last year in six months to men who did not strike one stroke of work for it.

"At Deddington, during the severe winter months, about 60 men apply every morning to the overseer for work or pay. He ranges them under a shed in a yard. If a farmer or any one else wants a man, he sends to the yard for one, and pays half the day's wages; the rest is paid by the parish. At the close of the day the unemployed are paid the wages of a day, minus 2*d.* I could multiply instances of this application of the scale to the superfluous labourers; but to do so would only waste your time." ¶

"At Rotherfield, in East Sussex, 120 men were out of employ in the winter 1831-32, and various modes were attempted to dispose of them. First, they were set to work on the parish account; single men at 5*s.*; men with families at 10*s.* per week; the pay being the same as farmers pay, the men left the farmers in order to get the same pay with less work. Then

* Mr. Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 187, 188.
† Capt. Pringle, App. (A.) Part I. p. 306, 307.
‡ App. A. Part II. p. 45.
§ Mr. Richardson, App. (A.) Part I. p. 399.
Mr. Okeden, App. (A. Part I. p. 6.
¶ Mr. Okeden, App. (A.) Part I. p. 2.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

I. OUT-DOOR Relief of the ABLE-BODIED. Parish Employment.

The men were billeted among the farmers at 1s. per day from the farmers, and 6d. from the parish. This was changed to 1s. from the parish and 8d. from the farmer. The men billeted did not keep the proper hours of work; then the farmers' men, finding that they who worked the regular hours were paid no more than those who were irregular, gave up their employment to become billeted men, and the farmers were induced to throw their men out of employ to get their labour done by the parish purse. The billeting system having failed, a 6d. labour rate was made: it soon failed. Magistrates now recommend 8d. in the pound to be deducted from the full rate, and that the occupier should be allowed to pay that proportion of his rate by employment of the surplus hands.

"The labourers are much deteriorated. They do not care whether they have regular work or not; they prefer idle work on the roads. The magistrates at the Uckfield bench told the overseer, the year before last, that if the men made complaint they should be allowed at the rate of 2s. 4d. per head for each member of the family." *

"At Burnash, in East Sussex, in the year 1822, the surplus labourers were put up to auction, and hired as low as 2d. and 3d. per day; the rest of their maintenance being made up by the parish. The consequence was, that the farmers turned off their regular hands, in order to hire them by auction when they wanted them. The evil of this system was so apparent, that some occupiers applied to the magistrates, who recommended it should be given up. During the last year, the following plan has been adopted:—The names of the occupiers are written on pieces of paper, which are put into a bag; the labourer draws out a ticket, which represents 10s. worth of labour, at fair wages; next week the labourer draws another master, and thus is repeated till the occupier has exhausted the shilling rate. This has continued two winters; much fraud is mixed up with the practice. Some farmers turn off their labourers in order to have ticketed men, other occupiers refuse to pay the rate, and against them it is not enforced." †

V.

THE LABOUR-RATE SYSTEM.

The Labour-Rate System.

By an agreement among the rate-payers, that each of them shall employ and pay out of his own money, a certain number of the labourers who have settlements in the parish, in proportion, not to his real demand for labour, but according to his rental or to his contribution to the rates, or to the number of horses that he keeps for tillage, or to the number of acres that he occupies, or according to some other scale. Where such an agreement exists, it is generally enforced by an additional rate, imposed either under the authority of the 2d & 3d W. IV. c. 96, or by general consent on those who do not employ their full proportion. This may be called, The Labour-rate System. We shall consider it more at length in a subsequent portion of this Report.

WIDOWS.

Widows

In all the cases which have been mentioned, relief is professed to be afforded on the ground of want of employment, or of insufficient wages; but a class of persons have, in many places, established a right to public support, independently of either of these claims. These are Widows, who, in many places, receive what are called pensions, of from 1s. to 3s. a week on their own account, without any reference to their age or strength, or powers of obtaining an independent subsistence, but simply as widows. In such places, they receive an additional allowance if they have children. The allowance for each child is generally about 1s. 6d. a week in rural districts, unless the child be illegitimate, in which case it is more frequently 2s. or more.

II.

OUT-DOOR RELIEF OF THE IMPOTENT.

II. OUT-DOOR Relief of the IMPOTENT.

THE Out-door Relief to the Impotent (using that word as comprehending all, except the able-bodied and their families) is subject to less abuse. The great source of Poor-Law mal-administration is, the desire of many of those who regulate the distribution of the parochial fund to extract from it a profit to themselves. The out-door relief to the able-bodied, and all relief that is administered in the work house, afford ample opportunities for effecting this purpose: but no use can be made of the labour of the aged and sick, and there is little room for jobbing if their pensions are paid in money. Accordingly we find, that even in places distinguished in general by the most wanton parochial profusion, the allowances to the aged and infirm are moderate. ‡

The

* Mr. Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 176. † Mr. Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 177.
‡ Mr. Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 188.

Printed by Richard Clay, Bread Street Hill, London. Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2010 with funding from University of Toronto

OUT-DOOR Relief of the Impotent.

The out-door relief of the sick is usually effected by a contract with a surgeon, which, however in general includes only those who are parishioners. When non-parishioners become chargeable from illness, an order for their removal is obtained, which is suspended until they can perform the journey; in the mean time they are attended by the local surgeon, but at the expense of the parish to which they belong. This has been complained of as a source of great peculation; the surgeon charging a far larger sum than he would have received for attending an independent labourer or a pauper, in the place of his settlement. On the whole, however, medical attendance seems in general to be adequately supplied, and economically, if we consider only the price and the amount of attendance.

The country is much indebted to Mr. Smith, of Southam, for his exertions, to promote the establishment of dispensaries, for the purpose of enabling the labouring classes to defray, from their own resources, the expense of medical treatment Some valuable remarks on this subject, by the Rev. P. Blakiston and Dr. Calvert, will be found in Appendix (C.) It appears to us, that great good has already been effected by these dispensaries, and that much more may be effected by them; but we are not prepared to suggest any legislative measures for their encouragement.

It appears from the whole Evidence, that the clause of the 43d Elizabeth, which directs the parents and children of the impotent to be assessed for their support, is very seldom enforced. In any ordinary state of society, we much doubt the wisdom of such an enactment. The duty of supporting parents and children, in old age or infirmity, is so strongly enforced by our natural feelings, that it is often well performed, even among savages, and almost always so in a nation deserving the name of civilized. We believe that England is the only European country in which it is neglected. To add the sanction of the law in countries where that of nature is found sufficient, to make that compulsory which would otherwise be voluntary, cannot be necessary; and if unnecessary must be mischievous. But if the deficiencies of parental and filial affection are to be supplied by the parish, and the natural motives to the exercise of those virtues are thus to be withdrawn, it may be proper to endeavour to replace them, however imperfectly, by artificial stimulants, and to make fines, distress warrants or imprisonment act as substitutes for gratitude and love. The attempt, however, is scarcely ever made.

GENERAL REMARKS ON OUT-DOOR RELIEF.

GENERAL REMARKS on Out-door Relief

We have dwelt at some length on out-door relief, because it appears to be the relief which is now most extensively given, and because it appears to contain in itself the elements of an almost indefinite extension; of an extension, in short, which may ultimately absorb the whole fund out of which it arises. Among the elements of extension are the constantly diminishing reluctance to claim an apparent benefit, the receipt of which imposes no sacrifice, except a sensation of shame quickly obliterated by habit, even if not prevented by example; the difficulty often amounting to impossibility on the part of those who administer and award relief, of ascertaining whether any and what necessity for it exists; and the existence in many cases of positive motives on their parts to grant it when unnecessary or themselves to create the necessity. The first and third of these sources of mal-administration are common to the towns and to the country, the second, the difficulty of ascertaining the wants of the applicant, operates most strongly in the large towns, and is well displayed in the following extract from the Report of Mr. Chadwick, on the Eastern Division of the Metropolis:

"*George Huish*, Assistant Overseer of the Parish of Saint George, Southwark.

"I have lived in the parish upwards of 40 years, and have served office upwards of 12 years, and before that time I had cognizance of much parochial business with a relation.

"The most injurious portion of the Poor Law system is the out-door relief. I do not serve a day without seeing some new mischiefs arise from it. In the smaller parishes persons are liable to all sorts of influences. In such a parish as ours, where we administer relief to upwards of 2,000 out-door poor, it is utterly impossible to prevent considerable fraud, whatever vigilance is exercised.

"Has the utmost vigilance been tried?—One man to every 20 would be required to watch the paupers living out of the parish, and one man to watch every 100 living within the parish, which is an expense of inspection which could not be borne. Suppose you go to a man's house as a visitor: you ask, where is Smith (the pauper)? You see his wife or his children, who say they do not know where he is, but that they believe he is gone in search of work. How are you to tell, in such a case, whether he is at work or not? It could

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

GENERAL REMARKS on Out-door Relief.

could only be by following him in the morning; and you must do that every day, because he may be in work one day, and not another. Suppose you have a shoemaker who demands relief of you, and you give it him on his declaring that he is out of work. You visit his place, and you find him in work; you say to him, as I have said to one of our own paupers, 'Why, Edwards, I thought you said you had no work?' and he will answer, 'Neither had I any; and I have only got a little job *for the day*.' He will also say directly, 'I owe for my rent; I have not paid my chandler's shop score; I have been summoned, and I expect an execution out against me, and if you stop my relief, I must come home,' (that is, he must go into the workhouse). The overseer is immediately frightened by this, and says, 'What a family that man has got! it will not do to stop his relief.' So that unless you have a considerable number of men to watch every pauper every day, you are sure to be cheated. Some of the out-door paupers are children, others are women; but, taking one with another, I think it would require one man's whole time to watch every twenty paupers.

"Does the practice of obtaining out-door relief extend amongst respectable classes of mechanics, whose work and means of living are tolerably good?—I am every week astonished by seeing persons come whom I never thought would have come. The greater number of our out-door paupers are worthless people; but still the number of decent people who ought to have made provision for themselves, and who come, is very great, and increasing. One brings another; one member of a family brings the rest of a family. Thus I find, in two days' relief, the following names:—'John Arundell, a sawyer, aged 55, his son William, aged 22, a wire-drawer; Ann Harris, 58, her husband is in Greenwich Hospital; her son John and his wife also came separately, so does their son, a lad aged 18, a smith.' Thus we have pauper father, pauper wife, pauper son, and pauper grandchildren frequently applying on the same relief-day. One neighbour brings another. Not long since a very young woman, a widow, named Cope, who is not more than 20 years of age, applied for relief; she had only one child. After she had obtained relief, I had some suspicion that there was something about this young woman not like many others. I spoke to her, and pressed her to tell me the real truth as to how so decent a young woman as herself came to us for relief: she replied that she was 'gored' into it. That was her expression. I asked her what she meant by being gored into it. She stated, that where she was living there were only five cottages, and that the inhabitants of four out of five of these cottages were receiving relief, two from St. Saviour's and two from Newington parish. They had told her that she was not worthy of living in the same place unless she obtained relief too.

"Indeed the malady of pauperism has not only got amongst respectable mechanics, but we find even persons who may be considered of the middle classes, such as petty masters, small master bricklayers, and other such persons, who have never before been seen making application to parish officers, now applying. My opinion is, that they apply in consequence of having witnessed the ease with which others who might have provided for themselves obtain relief. They naturally say, 'Why should we be content with half a loaf when we might have a whole one?' A few days ago a man applied for relief, stating that he was in great distress. On inquiry, it was found that he held a situation as packer, and actually received wages of the amount of 20s. per week at the time he made the application, and had been in the receipt of them for some time previous. We found that one woman had received relief from us for two years, whilst she was receiving from the East India Company a pension of £.70 per annum. In one instance, we discovered that a man, named James Peaton, was receiving relief of six different parishes; he belonged to our parish, and he had picked out five other parishes, which gave relief on the five other days. He made it his entire business to live on parish pensions, and he received one week's pension every day.

"Since the inquiry has been made, I have stationed persons at well-known gin-shops to observe the number of paupers who came and the money they spend, and from all their statements I have drawn the conclusion that £.30 out of every £.100 of the money given as out-door relief is spent in the gin-shops during the same day."

From the preceding evidence it will be seen how zealous must be the agency, and how intense the vigilance to prevent fraudulent claims crowding in under such a system of relief. But it would require still greater vigilance to prevent the *bonâ fide* claimants degenerating into imposters; and it is an aphorism amongst the active parish officers that "cases which are good to-day are bad to-morrow," unless they are incessantly watched. A person obtains relief on the ground of sickness; when he has become capable of returning to moderate work, he is tempted, by the enjoyment of subsistence without labour, to conceal his convalescence, and fraudulently extend the period of relief. When it really depends upon the receivers whether the relief shall cease with its occasion, it is too much to expect of their virtue that they shall in any considerable number of instances voluntarily forego the pension.

The permanent officers appointed to make inquiries at the residence of the out-door paupers, frankly acknowledge, that it is beyond the powers of any individuals to prevent an immense amount of fraud; we add the following instances from Mr. Chadwick's Report.

Mr. Thorn,

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

GENERAL REMARKS on Out-door Relief.

Mr. Thorn, assistant overseer of the parish of Saint Giles, Cripplegate, London, states—

"The out-door relief in the city of London would require almost one man to look after every half dozen of able-bodied men, and then he would only succeed imperfectly in preventing fraud. They cheat us on all hands. I have had instances where the masters who have employed out-door paupers, have given such answers to my inquiries, as to leave no doubt in my mind that the master concealed the real amount of wages, for fear that if he caused the parish to reduce the man's allowance he should have to pay him higher wages. There is no protection whatever from the growing evil of the increase of the able-bodied out-door poor, which is one of the greatest evils of the system, but in finding them labour out of town."

Mr. Samuel Miller, assistant overseer in the parish of Saint Sepulchre, London, declares that—

"With respect to the out-door relief, there must, from the very nature of it, be an immense deal of fraud. There is no industry, no inspection, no human skill, which will prevent gross impositions belonging to this mode of relief.

"By far the greater proportion of our new paupers are persons brought upon the parish by habits of intemperance, and the others are chiefly pauper children or hereditary paupers.

"After relief has been received at our board, a great portion of them proceed with the money to the palaces of gin shops, which abound in the neighbourhood."

Mr. William Weale, assistant overseer of the parish of Lambeth, whose chief business is the investigation of the cases of out-door paupers, after specifying the modes of examination, concludes by stating, that after all—

"However diligent an assistant overseer, or an officer for inquiry, may be, there are numerous cases which will baffle his utmost diligence and sagacity; the only test of these cases is making their condition more severe than that of the lowest class of labourers who obtain their livelihood by honest industry."

Mr. Luke Teather, another officer of great experience in the same business, adds, that as—

"It is the study of bad paupers to deceive you all they can; and as they study more their own cases than any inquirer can study each of the whole mass of different cases which he has to inquire into, they are sure to be successful in a great many instances. The only protection for the parish is to make the parish the hardest taskmaster and the worst paymaster that can be applied to."

Another evil connected with out-door relief, and arising from its undefined character, is the natural tendency to award to the deserving more than is necessary, or where more than necessary relief is afforded to all, to distinguish the deserving by extra allowances. The scales which we have already quoted, promulgated by the magistrates for the county of Cambridge, by those for the town of Cambridge, and by the magistrates of the Walden division, Essex, all direct the parish officers to reward or encourage the deserving. The whole evidence shows the danger of such an attempt. It appears that such endeavours to constitute the distributors of relief into a tribunal for the reward of merit, out of the property of others, have not only failed in effecting the benevolent intentions of their promoters, but have become sources of fraud on the part of the distributors, and of discontent and violence on the part of the claimants.

Mr. Masterman, who had served the office of headborough, and also the offices of churchwarden and overseer in the parish of St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, states,—

"The system of expenditure was bad, in the favouritism exercised as to the parties to whom relief was given. Many of the landlords of the smaller tenements have always mustered their friends on the days of election, to get them appointed governors or guardians of the poor. When parties came to be relieved, who were tenants of the governors who sat at the Board, the governors have given testimony to their meritorious characters, and urged that they might have relief. I have been present when it has been proposed that 1*s.* 6*d.* should be given, when the landlord would say, 'Oh, he is a very good man, give him 3*s.*,' and the 3*s.* has been awarded. The working of this system would naturally be, that when one man's tenants were thus favoured, he would favour the tenants of the others in turn when they came to demand relief. Another consequence is, that the landlord or his collector, when they collect the rent, are well aware that the tenant has had money which will pay it."

"I found," says Mr. Chadwick, "that most attempts to administer public relief according to character, even when those attempts have been made under circumstances apparently the most favourable, have created great dissatisfaction. Character being made up of habits, and habits being made up of series of simple acts, (which we sometimes find it difficult to determine on in our courts of law, even with all skilled appliances,) it is not surprising

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

GENERAL REMARKS ON OUT-DOOR RELIEF.

that persons in wealthy or superior stations, who have rarely the means of observing or knowing the daily arts of the labouring classes, usually fail of estimating them, so as to adjudicate justly, according to the estimation of the claimants. The Rev. W. Bishop, the rector of Ufton, Berks, stated to me: 'When first I came to this parish, I instituted rewards for virtuous conduct amongst my parishioners, but I soon found that I did more mischief than good by the proceeding, and I was compelled to abandon it. I found that my parishioners, from their situation, knew more of the objects whom I selected for reward than I possibly could. They saw actions of which I could obtain no knowledge. With all my desire to do justice, there were actions which I forgot to take into account; and of those which I did take into account, they probably often made a more correct estimate than I could: under these circumstances, I probably was led to decide unjustly, and excited more ill feeling by my decisions than emulation by my rewards.' He gave up entirely the idea of rewarding according to character, and adopted other courses of proceeding.

"In more rude hands, such attempts often excite fierce discontent, by the inequalities of the distribution amongst claimants, who conceive themselves at least equal in merit. Private charity, being usually dispensed to separate individuals, is unattended with the discontents arising from a comparison of the objects of bounty; but I did not find one magistrate of extensive experience, who had found it practicable to take character into account, except on rare occasions. 'A man,' it has been said, 'may be a very worthy good sort of man, but so ought we all to be, and if every man who is so were to bring in his bill for being so, who would there be to pay it?"

A common consequence is, that to satisfy the clamours of the undeserving, the general scale of relief is raised; but the ultimate result of such a proceeding appears always to be, to augment the distress which it was intended to mitigate, and to render more fierce the discontent which it was intended to appease. Profuse allowances excite the most extravagant expectations on the parts of the claimants, who conceive that an inexhaustible fund is devoted to their use, and that they are wronged to the extent of whatever falls short of their claims. Such relief partakes of the nature of indiscriminate alms-giving in its effects, as a bounty on indolence and vice; but the apparently legal sanction to this parochial alms-giving, renders the discontent on denial the most intense; wherever, indeed, public charities are profusely administered, we hear from those who are engaged in their administration, complaints of the discontent and disorders introduced. Bedford is a town in which money is profusely dispensed in charity for the partial relief of the labouring classes, without any return of labour. The following is an extract from a communication on the subject by the Rev. James Donne, the vicar of St. Paul's, Bedford.

"The great Bedford Charity has a bad effect on the minds of all the working classes. They are discontented because they think that there is an ample provision for the poor whenever they are thrown out of work.

"I have heard an engineer (Mr. Bailey) resident in the town, say, that he dare not employ a Bedford hand, they are so idle.

"A stranger has lately contracted to light the town with gas. He declared that of all the places where he had undertaken such works, he never met with such idle workmen as the Bedford men. Thus they shew by their actions that the charity is no real blessing to them, whatever it may prove to the next generation, who will have the benefit of all the improvements in our schools. But the class above the working people are also affected by this charity to their injury. They conceive they shall be provided for in the almshouses if ever they come to poverty; and they are not careful and provident, but rather extravagant in their way of living.

"In times of popular excitement the poorer sort will speak out, and say the pauper's charity should be theirs, and if they had justice done them they need not work at all. And having such opportunities of education in our schools they entirely neglect the religious education of their children at home. No doubt there are exceptions, but I believe the rule to be as I state it.

"There are very few labouring men in my parish who save any thing, and yet many contrive, who are beholden to the parish for their subsistence, to spend a good deal at the beer shop. Drunkenness has greatly increased the last two years. The beer houses are much frequented on Sundays."

It appears from all our returns, especially from the replies to question 53, of the Rural Queries, that in every district, the discontent of the labouring classes is proportioned to the money dispensed in poor's rates or in voluntary charities. The able-bodied unmarried labourers are discontented from being put to a disadvantage as compared with the married. The paupers are discontented, from their expectations being raised by the ordinary administration of the system, beyond any means of satisfying them. "They, as well as the independent labourers, to whom the term poor is equally applied, are instructed," says Mr. Chadwick, "that they have a right to a '*reasonable* subsistence,' or 'a *fair* subsistence,' or 'an *adequate* subsistence.' When I have asked of the rate distributors what '*fair*,' or '*reasonable*,'

This page digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

GENERAL REMARKS on Out-door Relief.

able,' or '*adequate*' meant, I have in every instance been answered differently; some stating they thought it meant such as would give a good allowance of 'meat every day,' which no poor man (meaning a pauper) should be without; although a large proportion of the rate payers do go without it." It is abundantly shown in the course of this inquiry, that where the terms used by the public authorities are vague, they are always filled up by the desires of the claimants, and the desires always wait on the imagination, which is the worst regulated and the most vivid in the most ignorant of the people. In Newbury and Reading, the money dispensed in poor's rates and charity is as great as could be desired by the warmest advocate either of compulsory or of voluntary relief; and yet, during the agricultural riots, many of the inhabitants in both towns were under strong apprehensions of the rising of the very people amongst whom the poor's rates and charities are so profusely distributed. The violence of most of the mobs seems to have arisen from an idea that all their privations arose from the cupidity or fraud of those intrusted with the management of the fund provided for the poor. Those who work, though receiving good wages, being called *poor*, and classed with the really indigent, think themselves entitled to a share of the "poor funds." Whatever addition is made to allowances under these circumstances, excites the expectation of still further allowances; increases the conception of the extent of the right, and ensures proportionate disappointment and hatred if that expectation is not satisfied. On the other hand, wherever the objects of expectation have been made definite, where wages upon the performance of work have been substituted for eleemosynary aid, and those wages have been allowed to remain matter of contract, employment has again produced content, and kindness become again a source of gratitude.

III.

IN-DOORS RELIEF.

III. IN-DOORS RELIEF.

In-doors Relief, that which is given within the walls of the Poor-house, or as it is usually, but very seldom properly denominated the Workhouse, is also subject to great mal-administration. When Mr. Chadwick's account of Reading * was published, many readers thought that the management of the workhouses, described by him, must be an exception to the general rule. It is probable that the smallness of those workhouses prevents their inmates from suffering so much from the misconduct of one another, as is the case in the larger workhouses. But in all other respects, in the absence of classification, discipline and employment, and the extravagance of allowances, the Reading workhouses seem to be merely fair specimens of the ordinary workhouses in thriving towns. The description of many of the London workhouses, in the Evidence collected by Mr. Codd, is still less favourable than the Report from Reading.

Mr. W. Lee, who has for 17 years held the office of master of the workhouse of St. Pancras, containing more than 1,000 inmates, says,—

"It is a common remark among our paupers that they live better in the house than they ever lived before; and looking to the cleanliness, the airiness and roominess of the apartments, the goodness of the beds and bedding, and the wholesomeness and quantity of the food, this is probably the case. There are 300 children; if we get them places they throw them up, or misconduct themselves so as to lose them, and return to the workhouse as a matter of course, because they prefer the security and certainty of that mode of life to the slightest exercise of forbearance or diligence. As little or no classification can take place, the younger soon acquire all the bad habits of the older, and become for the most part as vitiated. This is peculiarly the case with respect to young girls. We are obliged to have many prostitutes among our inmates: they decoy the young girls, with whom they have met in the house, to leave it, and addict themselves to the same abandoned course."†

Mr. Bryand, clerk to the overseers of St. James's, Westminster, states, that the workhouse contains 811 persons, and that the parish has besides about 40 refractory poor in places called farm-houses, who, in consequence of their bad character, are excluded from the regular establishment. The workhouse inmates, therefore, are people of comparatively good character, and Mr. Bryand considers the workhouse to be better managed than most workhouses, or certainly as well.

He

* Extracts, p. 216, *et post.*

† Mr. Codd, App. (A.) Part I. p. 75.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

IN-DOORS RELIEF.

He goes on to say,—

"Our paupers are allowed to leave the workhouse for one day in each week. It is a very common occurrence for both men and women, on the days that they are let out, to return in a state of intoxication. They are let out on the weekly days, about one o'clock, after dinner, and on festival days early in the morning: on these latter days, it not unfrequently happens that paupers, especially women, are brought into the house by constables or policemen before 12 o'clock in a beastly state of intoxication; they are received as a matter of course, and the care of the governor and matron is applied, not to their punishment, but to keeping them quiet and peaceable: if they can be rendered so, they are put to bed, and no further notice is taken of the case; if they cannot, and they are very violent and riotous, the heads of the house are obliged to have recourse to assistance to hold them or tie them down in their beds.

"We have in the house many women who are known to be prostitutes; we have also notorious thieves. I recollect in particular W. Thomas and J. Selburn, now young men; both of them were brought up from infancy in the workhouse; these men are always supported either by the county or in the parish, except what they get by thieving. I am persuaded that parish-poor-houses as at present administered, have the effect of attracting paupers." *

Mr. Stephenson, vestry-clerk of St. Margaret's and St. John's Westminster, says,—

"For cleanliness, diet, lodgings and medical attendance, no house can be more remarkable than that of St. Margaret's, and these advantages are extended to the bad as well as the good. The diet and accommodation of all are very superior to that which can be obtained by the most industrious of our independent labourers and mechanics."

He is asked how it happens that there are in that workhouse 100 females between the ages of 21 and 50? and answers, that "it arises from the bad character of the low population of Westminster." "Then I conclude that many of these women are prostitutes?"

"Yes, a large majority. They walk the streets until they are reduced to great distress, and then apply to be taken into the house: they remain with us until their strength is recruited, and they return to their former practices. Indeed it very often happens that they go out worse than they came in, owing to their intercourse within the walls with older and more vicious characters." †

The farm-houses to which Mr. Bryand referred as places of confinement for the persons whose character is so bad that they are excluded from the society of the thieves and prostitutes of the regular workhouse, are large establishments, containing sometimes as many as 500 persons, ‡ apparently with scarcely any attempt at discipline, and with scarcely any means of enforcing it if attempted.

Mr. Fry, whose parochial establishment contains 270 persons, and among them many discharged convicts, uses "mild remonstrance," unless they are much complained of by their fellow paupers, or act violently, in which case they are put into the black-hole for *two or three hours*. §

Mr. Perry, whose premises contain from 280 to 500, says,—

"The only way in which we can punish them for misconduct is by remonstrance or discharge, but we do not use any system of coercion." ‖

Mr. Hall, the overseer of St. Botolph, Aldgate, says,—

"We send our poor to farm-houses, paying 4*s*. 6*d*. per head per week for them; but it is the interest of the farm-house-keepers to give them so much liberty, on account of the consequent saving of provision, that their residence is not one of restraint nor their life one of hardship. It has been repeatedly said to me by paupers nominally confined in farm-houses, that they got 2*d*. a day from the keepers of those houses to leave them for the day, by which means the keepers saved their food for the day; and I have constantly seen persons, for whom I knew that we were paying to farm-houses, wandering about the streets, sometimes in a state of intoxication, and often I have had them come to my house in such a state, and insist, with much violence, upon getting further relief."

And yet the London workhouses are not likely to be comparatively ill-managed. The number of persons of leisure and intelligence, who have the power to expose their faults and are interested in doing so, makes it probable that they are in fact comparatively well administered. And we find their general management favourably contrasted with that of the Oxford workhouses. ¶

Mr. Bishop's picture of the Oxford House of Industry is indeed alarming; but many of its worst features re-appear in the descriptions of similar establishments scattered through our evidence. In some very few instances, among which Southwell, in Nottinghamshire, is pre-eminent, the workhouse appears to be a place in

* Mr. Codd, App (A.) Part I. p. 75, 76. † Ibid. p. 78. ‡ Ibid. p. 93.
§ Ibid. p. 93. ‖ Ibid. p 94. ¶ Extracts, p 116

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

in which the aged and impotent are maintained in comfort, and the able-bodied supported, but under such restrictions as to induce them to prefer to it a life of independent labour. But in by far the greater number of cases, it is a large almshouse, in which the young are trained in idleness, ignorance and vice; the able-bodied maintained in sluggish sensual indolence; the aged and more respectable exposed to all the misery that is incident to dwelling in such a society, without government or classification, and the whole body of inmates subsisted on food far exceeding both in kind and in amount, not merely the diet of the independent labourer, but that of the majority of the persons who contribute to their support. IN-DOORS RELIEF.

It is with still further regret that we state our conviction, that the abuses of which we have given a short outline, though checked in some instances by the extraordinary energy and wisdom of individuals, are, on the whole, steadily and rapidly progressive. PROGRESSIVENESS of BURTHEN.

It is true that by the last Parliamentary Return, that for the year ending the 25th March 1832, the total amount of the money expended for the relief of the poor, though higher than that for any year since the year 1820, appears to fall short of the expenditure of the year ending the 25th March 1818; the expenditure of that year having been £.7,890,014, and that for the year ending the 25th March 1832, £.7,036,968. But it is to be remembered, 1st, that the year ending the 25th of March 1818 was a period of extraordinary distress, among the labouring classes, especially in the manufacturing districts, in consequence of the high price of provisions, unaccompanied by a corresponding advance in wages; 2dly, That in the year ending the 25th March 1832, the price of corn was lower by about one-third than in 1818, and that of clothes and of the other necessaries of life lower in a still greater proportion; so that, after allowing for an increase of population of one-fifth, the actual amount of relief given in 1832 was much larger in proportion to the population than even that given in 1818, which has generally been considered as the year in which it attained its highest amount; and, 3dly, That the statement of the mere amount directly expended, whether estimated in money or in kind, affords a very inadequate measure of the loss sustained by those who supply it. A great part of the expense is incurred, not by direct payment out of the rates, but by the purchase of unprofitable labour. Where rate-payers are the immediate employers of work-people, they often keep down the rates, either by employing more labourers than they actually want, or by employing parishioners when better labourers could be obtained. The progressive deterioration of the labourers in the pauperized districts, and the increasing anxiety of the principal rate-payers as their burthen becomes more oppressive, to shift it in some way, either on the inhabitants of neighbouring parishes or on the portion of their fellow parishioners who can make the least resistance, and the apparent sanction given to this conduct by the 2 & 3 Will. IV. c. 96, appear to have greatly increased this source of indirect and unrecorded loss. Our evidence, particularly Appendix (D.) is full of instances of which we will cite only those which have been drawn from the county of Cambridge, and are to be found in Mr. Cowell's and Mr. Power's Reports. Mr. Cowell's Report * contains the examination of a large farmer and proprietor at Great Shelford, who, on 500 acres situated in that parish, pays 10*s.* per acre poor rate, or £.250 a year. In addition, though he requires for his farm only 16 regular labourers, he constantly employs 20 or 21. The wages of these supernumerary labourers amount to £.150 a year, and he calculates the value of what they produce at £. 50 a year; so that his real contribution to the relief of the poor is not £.250, the sum which would appear in the Parliamentary Returns, but £. 350. In the same Report is to be found a letter from Mr. Wedd, of Royston, containing the following passages:

"An occupier of land near this place told me to day that he pays £.100 for poor rates, and is compelled to employ 14 men and 6 boys, and requires the labour of only 10 men and three boys. His extra labour at 10*s.* a week (which is the current rate for men) and half as much for boys is £.130.

"Another occupier stated yesterday that he held 165 acres of land, of which half was pasture. He was compelled to employ 12 men and boys, and his farm required the labour of only five. He is about to give notice that he will quit. Every useless labourer is calculated to add 5*s.* an acre to the rent of a farm of 100 acres."

It

* Extracts, p. 384.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

PROGRESSIVENESS of BURTHEN.

It contains also a letter from Mr. Nash, of Royston, the occupier of a farm in a neighbouring parish, stating that—

"The overseer, on the plea that he could no longer collect the money for the poor rates without resorting to coercive measures, and that the unemployed poor must be apportioned among the occupiers of land in proportion to their respective quantities, had required him to take two more men. Mr. Nash was consequently obliged to displace two excellent labourers, and of the two men sent in their stead one was a married man with a family sickly, and not much inclined to work; the other a single man addicted to drinking."

The subsequent history of these two men appears in Mr. Power's Report. One killed a favourite blood mare of Mr. Nash's, and the other he was obliged to prosecute for stealing his corn.

Mr. Power reports the evidence of Mr. Charles Nash, of Hinxton:

"He occupies a farm of 1,000 acres, one of the most highly cultivated in the country. They have the practice there of sharing among themselves all the labourers of the parish, according to an assessment of value. He finds this burthen a very oppressive one, and injurious to him in many ways. He is paying about £. 1,200 a year for labour, and his farm being already in an excellent state, he cannot find work for a great portion of his men. He believes that by discarding those whom he does not want, he should save £. 200 of the sum above stated. Injury often occurs to his property from the negligent conduct of such men as he is sometimes obliged to employ. He would rather pay some for their absence than their presence on his farm. By the necessity of employing so much labour, he has found himself much constrained, and to great disadvantage, in choosing his mode of cultivation. He has nevertheless, at this time six more labourers than he can possibly employ to advantage They are frequently obliged to remain idle on the farm, because there is no dependence to be placed on their industry or attention to their work; and much of this arises from a consciousness in the men themselves that they are not wanted."*

We believe, that if it were possible to ascertain the loss from all these sources during the year ending the 25th March 1832, it will be found at least to approach the £. 7,036,968 which the Parliamentary Return states to have been directly expended.

From this pecuniary loss, indeed, must be deducted the pecuniary gain, such as it may be, obtained by those employers who have purchased the services of their labourers for wages which an independent labourer would not have accepted. A gain which may at first sight be supposed to be considerable, since the endeavour to procure it has been one of the principal causes of the allowance system. Our inquiries have convinced us that the deduction which may fairly be made on this account from the apparent charge of the poor rates is much less than it is commonly thought to be, that its amount is decreasing every day, and that though in many instances much less is paid to the pauperized labourer by his employer for his day or his week than he could have received if he had been independent, yet that even in these cases the work actually performed is dearly paid for. We shall recur to this subject in a subsequent part of the Report.

OBJECTIONS to AMENDMENT; 1. On the part of Labourers.

It might have been hoped that under such circumstances a general feeling would have arisen that these abuses are intolerable, and must be put an end to at any risk or at any sacrifice. But many who acknowledge the evil, seem to expect the cure of an inveterate disease without exposing the patient to any suffering or even discomfort. They exclaim against the burthen as intolerable, but object to any amendment if it appears that it must be or may be attended by any immediate inconvenience.

And among all parties, labourers, employers of labourers and owners of property, many are to be found who think that they *shall* suffer some immediate injury from any change which shall tend to throw the labouring classes on their own resources.

The labourer feels that the existing system, though it generally gives him low wages, always gives him easy work. It gives him also, strange as it may appear, what he values more, a sort of independence. He need not bestir himself to seek work; he need not study to please his master; he need not put any restraint upon his temper; he need not ask relief as a favour. He has all a slave's security for subsistence, without his liability to punishment. As a single man, indeed, his income does not exceed a bare subsistence; but he has only to marry, and it increases. Even then it is unequal to the support of a family; but it rises on the birth

* Mr. Power, App. (A.) Part I. p 253.

Print Image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OBJECTIONS to AMENDMENT;
1. On the part of Labourers.

[illegible] If his family is numerous, the parish becomes his principal [illegible] as small as the usual allowance of 2*s.* a head may be, yet when there are more than three children it generally exceeds the average wages given in a pauperized district. A man with a wife and six children, entitled according to the scale to have his wages made up to 16*s.* a week, in a parish where the wages paid by individuals do not exceed 10*s.* or 12*s.*, is almost an irresponsible being. All the other classes of society are exposed to the vicissitudes of hope and fear; he alone has nothing to lose or to gain

"In Coggeshall, Essex," says Mr. Majendie, "weekly wages are 8*s.*; but by piecework a good labourer may earn 10*s.* Now, consider the case of labourers with four children, for the subsistence of which family (according to the Chelmsford scale, which is the law of that district,) 11*s.* 6*d.* is required. Of this sum the good labourer earns 10*s.* and receives from the parish 1*s.* 6*d.* The inferior labourer earns 8*s.*, and receives from the parish 3*s.* 6*d.* The man who does not work, and whom no one will employ, receives the whole from the parish."*

Other classes of society are restrained from misconduct by fear of the evils which may result to their families. Parochial legislation rejects this sanction. Even in Barnard Castle, in Northumberland, Mr. Wilson states, that if any remonstrance is made on account of the applicant's bad character, the reply of the magistrate commonly is, "the children must not suffer for it."†

The following answers are specimens of the feeling and conduct in the southern districts:

"The answer given by the magistrates, when a man's bad conduct is urged by the overseer against his relief is, 'We cannot help that; his wife and family are not to suffer because the man has done wrong.'"‡

"Too frequently petty thieving, drunkenness or impertinence to a master, throw able-bodied labourers, perhaps with large families, on the parish funds, when relief is demanded as a right, and, if refused, enforced by a magistrate's order, without reference to the cause which has produced his distress, viz. his own misconduct, which remains as a barrier to his obtaining any fresh situation, and leaves him a dead weight upon the honesty and industry of his parish."§

Mr. Stuart states, that in Suffolk children deserted by their parents are in general well taken care of, and that the crime of deserting them is largely encouraged by the certainty that the parish must support the family.‖

"When I was present," he adds, "at the committee of the Bulchamp House of Industry, early in October, a man came with four children, and applied to have them admitted into the house during his absence at the herring fishing. He was a widower. He had earned the high wages of the harvest month, and besides had work afterwards; yet he had made no provision for the support of his family while he went to the fishing, neither would he undertake to reimburse the parish out of his wages for the expense to be incurred during his absence. The committee offered to take charge of two, and that he should provide for the others. This he refused, *and next day he left all his children to the parish*.

"The whole charge on the parish of Tiessingfield, within the year preceding the 20th September was £. 77. 3*s.* 6*d.* for deserted families.

"At the sessions of Framlingham, a man was brought up, who had left his wife and family chargeable, to avoid an order for a bastard child. On the intercession of the parish officers his confinement was limited to a fortnight; but it was impossible not to observe that the lenity of the parish was called forth entirely with a view to save it from additional expense, by keeping him the shortest possible time away from his family.

"The overseer of the parish of Westhall, which lies near the coast, informed me that the farmers are frequently unable to find a sufficient number of labourers for their spring and October work, although they are burdened with the unemployed during the winter months. This arises from the temptation to go to herring and mackarel fishing, at which a man in a moderately successful season, may earn 7*l.* to 8*l.* in as many weeks. The families of these men are generally a burden on the parish during their absence, and it is very rarely indeed that any part of the expense can be recovered. When they are punished by being sent to gaol, it merely fills up the time till the high wages of harvest work can be obtained, or till the season for fishing returns."

Even the inconvenience which might fall on the husband by the punishment of his wife for theft is made the subject of pecuniary compensation at the expense of the injured parish. Under what other system could there be a judicial instrument concluding thus:—

"And whereas it appears to us that the wife of the said Robert Reed is now confined in the house of correction at Cambridge, and that he is put to considerable expense in providing

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 230. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 143.
‡ App. (B. 1.) Question 26, Easton, Southampton, p. 419, *b*.
§ App. (B. 1.) Question 43, Castle Donington, Leicester, p. 280 *d*.
‖ App. (A.) Part I. p. 334.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OBJECTIONS to AMENDMENT;
1. On the part of Labourers.

viding a person to look after his said five children: we do therefore *order* the churchwardens and overseers of the poor of the said parish, or such of them to whom these presents shall come, to pay unto the said Robert Reed the sum of 11*s.* weekly and every week, for and towards the support and maintenance of himself and family, for one month from the day of the date hereof.

"Given under our hands and seals this twentieth day of February, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty-three." *

It appears to the pauper that the Government has undertaken to repeal, in his favour, the ordinary laws of nature: to enact that the children shall not suffer for the misconduct of their parents, the wife for that of the husband, or the husband for that of the wife: that no one shall lose the means of comfortable subsistence whatever be his indolence, prodigality or vice: in short, that the penalty which after all must be paid by some one for idleness and improvidence, is to fall, not on the guilty person or on his family, but on the proprietors of the lands and houses incumbered by his settlement. Can we wonder if the uneducated are seduced into approving a system which aims its allurements at all the weakest parts of our nature; which offers marriage to the young, security to the anxious, ease to the lazy, and impunity to the profligate?

2. On the part of Employers.

The employers of paupers are attached to a system which enables them to dismiss and resume their labourers according to their daily or even hourly want of them, to reduce wages to the minimum, or even below the minimum of what will support an unmarried man, and to throw upon others the payment of a part, frequently of the greater part, and sometimes almost the whole of the wages actually received by their labourers. † Many of our correspondents from rural districts have replied to our question, as to the effects of prohibiting allowance to those employed by individuals, that "they do not see the justice of forcing the farmer to pay to the unmarried wages equal to those of the married." That "such an enactment would produce a rate of wages which would be ruinous to the farmers;" that "the effect would be to prevent the tithe owners and those who employ few labourers from paying their fair proportion of the wages of the parish."

Similar feelings show themselves in the following answers:—

"WYMONDHAM, NORFOLK.

"I fear the employers would discharge such men as could not maintain their families without high wages, and employ only single men, and such as have small families, who can do the work cheaper. Thus the former would become a heavy expense to the parish, and *the latter would receive more than is necessary for a maintenance.*" ‡

"HAWKHURST, KENT.

"In this parish, one of the effects of such a measure would be, to shift from the tithe-holder (the lessee of the Dean and Chapter of C. C. Oxon), who exacts a high tithe from the occupiers, a portion of that which he now pays as poor-rate, upon the farmer, in the shape of increased wages." §

"BOREHAM, ESSEX.

"There has been much said upon this subject, which in my opinion, is very wrong, as it is quite contrary to reason that any person should pay a man for his work sufficient to support a whole family, which in some cases would be 20*s.* a week." ‖

And even if they pay in rates what they would otherwise pay in wages, they prefer the payment of rates which recur at intervals, and the payment of which may from time to time be put off, to the weekly ready money expenditure of wages. ¶ High rates too, are a ground for demanding an abatement from rent: high wages are not. In Mr. Richardson's instructive statement of the reforms effected by Mr. Litchfield, in Farthingoe, Northamptonshire, we find that Mr. Litchfield has been opposed, not only by the labourers, but by the farmers; first, because they grudged giving the labourer with no children 8*s.* a week; secondly, because they were afraid to displease the labourer who had two children, and preferred head-money; and thirdly, because they were fearful lest, if the rates were

* *See* the whole of this transaction stated in a letter from the overseer of Royston, Extracts, p. 383. the answer of the magistrates, App. (A.) Part I. p. 652; and the reply of the overseer, App. (A.) Part I. p. 654.

† *See* Capt. Pringle, App. (A.) Part I. p. 297, 300, 307. Mr Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 208. 209 232. Mr. Stuart, App. (A.) Part I. p. 335, 344.

‡ App. (B. 1.) Question 40, p. 330*d.*

§ App. (B. 1.) Question 40, p. 249*d.*

‖ App. (B. 1.) Question 39, p. 169*d.*

¶ Capt. Pringle, App (A.) Part I. p. 304. Mr. Majendie, App. (A.) Part I. p. 179. Mr Stuart, App (A. Part. I. p. 344.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

were lowered, their rents would be raised: and that they encouraged the labourers, at first openly, and afterwards covertly, in their attempts to deter Mr. Litchfield by menaces and insult.*

OBJECTIONS to AMENDMENT; 2. On the part of Employers.

"When a valuer," says Mr. Cowell, "values a farm to an in-coming tenant, or fixes the rent from time to time (in these parts they have no leases,) he says, 'What are your poor-rates?' If the tenant answer, 'Rates are low, but wages are high,' the valuer says, 'I have nothing to do with *wages*, that is your affair, but *rates* are a *positive thing*, and I allow for *them*.' This, Mr. Ellman† considers a bad custom, as it holds out an inducement to the farmer to prefer low wages and high rates.

"Mr. Ellman stated this circumstance as accounting for the predilection of the farmers for the allowance system, which is so strong in this neighbourhood, that I was told by a member of a family which has lately come into possession of considerable property in the county, the head of which has been anxious to eradicate the allowance system over his estates, that 'We can do little or nothing to prevent pauperism; the farmers will have it; they prefer that the labourers should be slaves; they object to their having gardens, saying, 'The more they work for themselves, the less they will for us.' They wish that every man should receive an allowance from the parish according to his family, and declare that high wages and free labour would overwhelm them!'" ‡

"One of the first inquiries," says Mr. White, a solicitor of great experience in the purchase and sale of land in Suffolk, "in seeking to purchase an estate is, what is the amount of poor rate? and again, are the poor well managed or not? and the answers in a great degree regulate the price. So, in the present system, if a tenant seek a renewal of his lease, a high rate is a bonus to him, for it is a sure plea for a reduced rent. And although when he has obtained a renewal, he is interested in proportion to the length of his term in keeping down the rate, yet as the greater number of agricultural occupations are held on tenancies from year to year, the preponderance of interest is thought by the tenant to lie on a high rate, partly because he is short-sighted enough to fancy that as his fellow parishioners share in it, it falls lighter on himself, and partly because he is long-sighted enough to see that though he pays the rate at first hand, yet it falls at last on his landlord in the shape of abatement of rent in the current year, and a reduced rent for the next." §

"Tenants at will," says Mr. Cogshill, "too often think the more poor rates, the less rent. Confidence between landlord and tenant seems quite lost. I have witnessed a great deal of this." ‖

The following replies to question 36 of our Rural Queries are further testimonies to the same effect:

"I think the Poor Laws have not diminished the capital, but rather the rent of the landlord, as the tenant considers rents and rates as payment for the farm, and one can only be increased at the expense of the other." ¶

"The farmers are aware that (excepting in cases of long tenures and very sudden augmentation of rates) the burden does not at all affect them. It is a rent paid to the parish instead of the land-owner." **

"It should be understood that poor's rates are deducted in all calculations for rent, and that landlords pay them, and not the farmers." ††

"Capital is decreasing from the loose manner the laws are administered, and the tenants feeling that they do not in effect pay the rate, but the landlord. I cannot otherwise account for the apathy with which they view, and the tenacity with which, in many instances, they defend abuses." ‡‡

In towns the allowance system prevails less, probably because the manufacturing capitalists form a small proportion of the rate-payers, and consequently have less influence in the vestries than the farmers in country places. But even in the towns it exists to a very formidable degree. The northern counties are least infested by it; but if we turn to Mr. Wilson's Report from Darlington and Barnard Castle, and Mr. Henderson's from Preston, we shall see it creeping in, and enlisting the same private interests in its defence. To which it must be added, that the persons who supply the workhouses, or whose shops are frequented by the poor, are more immediately benefited, as tradesmen, by parochial extravagance than as rate payers by parochial economy.

The owners of rateable property might at least be expected to be favourable to any change which should avert their impending ruin. But we have seen, that of the

3. On the part of Proprietors.

* Mr. Richardson, App. (A.) Part I. p. 408. † The witness whose examination the Report details.
‡ Mr Cowell's Report, App. (A.) Part I. p. 610. § App. (C.)
‖ *See* Letter of Mr. Cogshill, App. (C.) ¶ App. (B. 1.) Quest. 36. White Waltham, Berks. p. 29 c.
** App. (B. 1.) Quest. 36. Blunham-cum-Moggerhanger, Beds, p. 2 c.
†† App. (B. 1.) Quest. 36. Pulborough, Sussex, p. 520 c.
‡‡ App. (B. 1.) Quest. 36. Langley Marish, Bucks, p. 39 c.

Printed from a page digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OBJECTIONS to AMENDMENT; 3. On the part of Proprietors.

the property liable to poor rates there is a portion, and a portion of considerable importance, less from its value, than from the number of rate-payers among whom it is divided, and their influence in vestries, which not only is in practice exempted from contributing to the parochial fund, but derives its principal value from the mal-administration of that fund. This property consists of cottages or apartments inhabited by the poor. We have seen that in almost all places the dwellings of the poor, or at least of the settled poor, are exempted from rates, and that, in a very large proportion, the rent is paid by the parish. The former practice enables the proprietor often to increase the rent by the amount of rate remitted, and always to be an owner of real property, and yet escape the principal burthens to which such property is subjected. The latter practice gives him a solvent tenant, and if he has influence with the vestry, or with the overseer, a liberal one.

Of the higher classes of landlords, those who reside in towns seldom take much part in parochial government, or have any distinct ideas as to the extent or the effects of its mismanagement, and the majority of those who have become familiarized with the abuses of the villages, seem to have acquired habits of thinking, and feeling and acting which unfit them to originate any real and extensive amendment, or even to understand the principles on which it ought to be based. To suppose that the poor are the proper managers of their own concerns, that a man's wages ought to depend on his services, not on his wants, that the earnings of an ordinary labourer are naturally equal to the support of an ordinary family, that the welfare of that family naturally depends on his conduct, that he is bound to exercise any sort of prudence or economy, that any thing is to be hoped from voluntary charity, are views which many of those who have long resided in pauperized rural districts, seem to reject as too absurd for formal refutation.

It appears, therefore, necessary to state at some length the effects of the existing system, both to show how short sighted are the views of those who think that they continue to profit by it, and to show before we suggest any remedy, the absolute necessity that some remedy should be applied.

These effects may be considered, first, with respect to the owners of property; secondly, with respect to the employers of labour; and, thirdly, with respect to the labourers and their families.

I.

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM.

EFFECTS ON OWNERS OF PROPERTY.

1. Effects on Owners of Property.

THE Committee appointed by the House of Commons in 1817, to consider the Poor Laws, stated their opinion, "that unless some efficacious check were interposed, there was then every reason to think that the amount of the assessment would continue to increase, until at a period more or less remote according to the progress the evil had already made in different places, it should have absorbed the profits of the property on which the rate might have been assessed, producing thereby the neglect and ruin of the land and the waste, or removal of other property, to the utter subversion of the happy order of society so long upheld in these kingdoms." In consequence of the recommendations of that Committee, a check was interposed by the 59 Geo. III. c. 12. But though that Act, by restricting the power of the magistrates to order relief, and by authorising the removal of the Irish and Scotch paupers, the appointment of representative vestries and of assistant overseers, the rating the owners of small tenements, and the giving relief by way of loan, occasioned during the six years that immediately followed it, a progressive diminution of the amount of the Poor Law Assessment, its beneficial enactments appear to be no longer capable of struggling with the evil tendencies of the existing system. The year ending the 25th of March 1824, was the last year of regular improvement. And we have seen that the amount of relief now given, when estimated in commodities, is actually greater, and greater in proportion

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

tion to our population, than it was when that Report was made. It has increased still more when considered with reference to the value of the property on which it is assessed.

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM:
1. On Proprietors.

We are happy to say that not many cases of the actual dereliction of estates have been stated to us. Some, however, have occurred; and we have given in the extracts from our Evidence* the details of one, the parish of Cholesbury, in the county of Bucks. It appears that in this parish, the population of which has been almost stationary since 1801, in which within the memory of persons now living, the rates were only £.10. 11*s.* a year, and only one person received relief, the sum raised for the relief of the poor rose from £.99. 4*s.* a year, in 1816, to £.150. 5*s.* in 1831; and in 1832, when it was proceeding at the rate of £.367 a year, suddenly ceased in consequence of the impossibility to continue its collection; the landlords having given up their rents, the farmers their tenancies, and the clergyman his glebe and his tithes. The clergyman, Mr. Jeston, states that in October 1832 the parish officers threw up their books, and the poor assembled in a body before his door, while he was in bed, asking for advice and food. Partly from his own small means, partly from the charity of neighbours, and partly by rates in aid, imposed on the neighbouring parishes, they were for some time supported; and the benevolent Rector recommends that the whole of the land should be divided among the able-bodied paupers, and adds, "that he has reason to think that at the expiration of two years, the parish in the interval receiving the assistance of rates in aid, the whole of the poor would be able and willing to support themselves, the aged and impotent of course excepted." In Cholesbury, therefore, the expense of maintaining the poor has not merely swallowed up the whole value of the land; it requires even the assistance for two years of rates in aid, from other parishes, to enable the able-bodied, after the land has been given up to them, to support themselves; and the aged and impotent must even then remain a burthen on the neighbouring parishes.

Our Evidence exhibits no other instance of the abandonment of a parish, but it contains many in which the pressure of the poor rate has reduced the rent to half, or to less than half, of what it would have been if the land had been situated in an unpauperized district, and some in which it has been impossible for the owner to find a tenant.

Mr. Majendie states that in Lenham, Kent, at the time of his visit, some of the and was out of cultivation. A large estate has been several years in the hands of the proprietor, and a farm of 420 acres of good land, tithe free, and well situated, had just been thrown up by the tenant; the poor rate on it amounting to £.300 a year.† He mentions another place, in which a farm well situated, of average quality, was in vain offered at 5*s.* an acre, not from objection to the quality of the land, but because men of capital will not connect themselves with a parish in which the poor rates would keep them in a constant state of vexation and anxiety.‡ He states that in Ardingly those farmers who have any capital left, withdraw from the parish as soon as their leases expire. One of them admitted to him that it was out of the power of the landlords to relieve them.§

Mr. Power, after mentioning the universal complaint in Cambridgeshire that substantial tenants cannot be found at the lowest assignable rents, goes on to say, that Mr. Quintin, a gentleman of considerable landed property in the county, told him that he had a farm at Gransden, for which he could not get a tenant, even at 5*s* an acre, though land from which 30 bushels of wheat an acre had been obtained. "Downing College," he adds, "has a property of 5,000 acres in this county, lying principally in the parishes of Tadlow, East Hatley, Croydon and Gamlingay; it is found impossible, notwithstanding the lowering the rents to an extreme point, to obtain men of substance for tenants. Several farms of considerable extent have changed hands twice within the last five years, from insolvency of the tenants in some cases, in others from the terror of that prospect. The amount of arrears at this time is such as only a collegiate body could support. I draw from authentic sources, being myself a fellow of the college."‖ In the same county Mr. Power found that at Soham, a total absorption of the value of the land in twelve or fourteen years was anticipated,¶ and Mr. Cowell, that at Great Shelford the same result was expected to take place in ten.**

Mr. Pilkington's

* Extracts, p. 66. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 213. ‡ App (A.) Part I. p. 180.
§ App. (A.) Part I. p. 181. ‖ App. (A.) Part I. p. 244 ¶ App. (A.) Part I. p. 249
** Extracts, p. 384.

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM; On Proprietors.

Mr. Pilkington's description of several places in Leicestersbire is equally alarming. In Hinkley he found the poor rate exceeding £.1 an acre, and rapidly increasing, and a general opinion tbat tbe day is not distant wben rent must cease altogether.* On visiting Wigston Magna, in November 1832, he was informed that the value of property had fallen one half since 1820, and was not saleable even at that reduction. It does not appear indeed that it ought to have sold for more than two or three years' purchase, the net rental not amounting to £. 4,000 a year, and the poor rate expenditure growing at the rate of £. 1,000 increase in a single year. And on his return to that neighbonrbood, three months after, tbe statement made to him was tbat property in land was gone; that even the rates could not be collected without regular summons and judicial sales, and that the present system must ensure, and very shortly, the total ruin of every individual of any property in tbe parish.† We cannot wonder, after this, at the statement of an eminent solicitor at Loughborough, that it is now scarcely possible to effect a sale of property in that neighbourhood at any price.‡

The following answers, taken from a multitude of others of a similar nature, contained in Appendix (B.), are to the same effect:

"Annual value of the real property, as assessed April 1615, £.3,390. Annual value of the real property, as assessed November 1629, £.1,050. 5*s*. It has undoubtedly fallen in value since the last valuation, *i. e.* in the last two years, and the population has been more than trebled in 30 years: 1801, 306; 1811, 707: 1821, 897; 1831, 938: and that in spite of an emigration of considerable amount, at the parish expense in 1829. The eighteen penny children will eat up this parish in 10 years more, unless some relief be afforded us." §

"If some material change does not very soon take place, the time is not far distant when the whole rent will be absorbed in the poors' rates." ‖

"Much land in the hands of proprietors wanting tenants. Our poors' rate being high, makes *farms in other parishes more desirable than in this.*" ¶

"In the adjoining parish, the owners of untenanted farms, who are not farmers, fear to occupy, and prefer the loss of rent to the unlimited expense in poor rate which would overwhelm the profits of one not perfectly experienced in farming, and the parochial concerns it involves." **

"In the neighbourhood of Aylesbury, there were 42 farms untenanted at Michaelmas last; most of these are still on the proprietor's hands; and on some, no acts of husbandry have been done since, in order to avoid the payment of poor rate. I attribute these circumstances principally to the operation of the Poor Laws." ††

"In the parish of Thornborough, Bucks, there are at this time 600 acres of land unoccupied, and the greater part of the other tenants have given notice of their intention to quit their farms, owing entirely to the increasing burthen of the poors' rate" ‡‡

We have made these quotations for the purpose of drawing attention, not so much to the immediate evils which the land-owners of the pauperized districts are undergoing, as to the more extensive and irremediable mischiefs of which these are the forerunners. It appears to us that any parish in which the pressure of the poor rates has compelled the abandonment of a single farm is in imminent danger of undergoing the ruin which has already befallen Cholesbury. The instant the poor rate on a given farm exceeds that surplus which, if there were no poor rate, would be paid in rent, the existing cultivation becomes not only unprofitable, but a source of absolute loss. And as every diminution of cultivation has a double effect in increasing the rate on the remaining cultivation, the number of unemployed labourers being increased at the same instant that the fund for payment of rates is diminished, the abandonment of property, when it has once begun, is likely to proceed in a constantly accelerated ratio. Accordingly, it appears from Mr. Jeston's statement, that scarcely a year elapsed between the first land in Cholesbury going out of cultivation and the abandonment of all except sixteen acres.

---

* App. (A.) Part II. † App. (A.) Part II. ‡ App. (A.) Part II.
§ App. (B. 1.) Quest. 36. Westfield, Sussex, p. 531 *c.*
‖ App. (B. 1.) Quest. 36. Gillingham, Kent, p. 245 *c.*
¶ App (B. 1.) Quest. 36. Minster, Kent, p. 255 *c.*
** App. (B 1.) Quest. 36. Adstock, Bucks, p. 30 *c.*
†† App (B. 1.) Quest. 36. Sherrington, Bucks, p. 43 *c.*
‡‡ Sir Thos. Cotton Sheppard, App. (C.)

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

II.

EFFECTS ON EMPLOYERS OF LABOURERS.

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM:
2. On Employers.
1. Of Agricultural Labourers

THE effects of this system on the immediate employers of labour in the country and in the towns are very different. To avoid circumlocution, we will use the word 'farmers' as comprehending all the former class of persons, and the word 'manufacturers' as comprehending all the latter; and as they are the least complicated, and most material, we will begin by considering the effects produced on the farmers. The services of the labourer are by far the most important of all the instruments used in agriculture. In the management of live and dead stock much must always be left to his judgment. Only a portion, and that not a very large portion, of the results of ordinary farm labour is susceptible of being immediately valued so as to be paid by the piece. The whole farm is the farmer's workshop and storehouse; he is frequently obliged to leave it, and has no partner on whom he can devolve its care during his absence, and its extent generally makes it impossible for him to stand over and personally inspect all the labourers employed on it. His property is scattered over every part, with scarcely any protection against depredation or injury. If his labourers, therefore, want the skill and intelligence necessary to enable them to execute those details for which no general and unvarying rules can be laid down; if they have not the diligence necessary to keep them steadily at work when their master's eye is off; if they have not sufficient honesty to resist the temptation to plunder when the act is easy and the detection difficult, it follows, that neither the excellence or abundance of the farmer's agricultural capital, nor his own skill or diligence, or economy, can save him from loss, or perhaps from ruin.

Now, it is obvious that the tendency of the allowance system is to diminish, we might almost say to destroy, all these qualities in the labourer. What motive has the man who is to receive 10*s.* every Saturday, not because 10*s.* is the value of his week's labour, but because his family consists of five persons, who knows that his income will be increased by nothing but by an increase of his family, and diminished by nothing but by a diminution of his family, that it has no reference to his skill, his honesty or his diligence,—what motive has he to acquire or to preserve any of these merits? Unhappily, the evidence shows, not only that these virtues are rapidly wearing out, but that their place is assumed by the opposite vices, and that the very labourers among whom the farmer has to live, on whose merits as workmen, and on whose affection as friends, he ought to depend, are becoming not merely idle and ignorant and dishonest, but positively hostile; not merely unfit for his service and indifferent to his welfare, but actually desirous to injure him.

One of the questions circulated by us in the rural districts was, whether the labourers in the respondent's neighbourhood were supposed to be better or worse workmen than formerly? If the answers to this question had been uniformly unfavourable, they might have been ascribed to the general tendency to depreciate what is present; but it will be found, on referring to our Appendix, that the replies vary according to the poor-law administration of the district. Where it is good, the replies are, "much the same," "never were better," "diligence the same, skill increased." But when we come within the influence of the allowance and the scale, the replies are, "they are much degenerated, being generally disaffected to their employers; they work unwillingly and wastefully:"* "three of them would not do near the work in a day performed by two in more northern counties:"† "one-third of our labourers do not work at all, the greater part of the remainder are much contaminated; the rising population learn nothing, the others are forgetting what they knew."‡ "They are constantly changing their services. Relying upon parish support, they are indifferent whether they oblige or disobey their masters, are less honest and industrious, and the mutual regard between employer and servant is gone." "The system of allowance is most mischievous and ruinous, and, till it is abandoned, the spirit of industry can never be revived. Allowance-men will not work. It makes them idle, lazy, fraudulent and worthless, and depresses the wages of free labour."§ "With very few exceptions, the labourers are not as industrious

* App (B. 1.) Quest. 37, Blunham, Beds. page 2 c.
† App. (B. 1.) Quest 37, Pershore Division Worcester, p. 588 c.
‡ App. (B. 1.) Quest. 37, Lenham, Kent, p. 252 c.
§ App (B. 1.) Quest. 40, Stiffkey, Norfolk. p 324 *d.*

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM.
2. On Employers.
1. On Agricultural Labourers.

industrious as formerly, and notwithstanding the low rate of wages now too generally paid, it costs as much money in the end to have work performed as it did 16 years ago."* "The Poor Laws are perhaps better administered in this parish than in many others; but such a resource in view as parish relief, prevents the labourer's exertions, and the young men from laying by any thing in their youth. The latter marry early, because they can get no relief unless they have children; this, of course, raises the rates. An instance occurred a short time since, of a labourer marrying, and going from the church to the poor-house, not having money to pay the fees! By old experienced individuals it is supposed one labourer, 40 years ago, would do more than two of the present day."†

The Reports of the Assistant Commissioners are full of the same evidence. In the pauperized districts we find sometimes the labourers, or rather those who ought to be the labourers, absolutely refusing work; sometimes we find them bribed by additional pay from the parish to take profitable work; but always they are represented as so inferior to the non-parishioners as to render their services, though nominally cheap, really dear, and generally dear in proportion to their apparent cheapness.

Mr. Okeden states, that in Wiltshire the farmer finds his labourers idle and insolent, and regardless of him, and his orders, and his work. They openly say, "We care not, the scale and pay-table are ours."‡ Mr. Majendie states, that in Ardingly (Sussex),

"Labourers refuse work, unless of a description agreeable to them: they say, 'Why should we be singled out for hard labour, instead of working for the parish?' A winter ago the clergyman offered 2*s.* a day to three labourers; they refused to work unless they had extra pay for remaining after half-past four, saying, that the parish did not require more than that of them. In the last hay harvest a man, inferior to the average labourers, refused 10*s.* a week from a farmer, saying, that he could do better with the parish. §" "At Eastbourne, in December 1832, 4 healthy young men receiving from 12*s.* to 14*s.* per week from the parish, refused to work at threshing for a farmer at 2*s.* 6*d.* and a quart of ale per day. The fishermen, secure of pay without labour, refuse to go out to sea in the winter: one has said, 'Why should I expose myself to fatigue and danger, when the parish supports my family, and pays my rent?' The masters are obliged to send to Hastings to get men for their boats. In May 1832 a respectable fisherman said, 'I fear that, like many of my neighbours, I shall be obliged to sell my boat, and come upon the parish for want of hands to man her; I cannot get men here, as they like better their allowance from the parish. I therefore board a Hastings man, and give him as much profit as I get myself, but this ruins me.'‖" "At Rochford, Essex, the overseers make up wages to 1*s.* 9*d.* per head to families, by the magistrate's order, and thus the labourers demand as their right. Good ploughmen are not to be found. The labourers say, they do not care to plough, because that is a kind of work which, if neglected, will subject them to punishment, and, if properly done, requires constant attention, and the lads do not even wish to learn. Nine able bodied young men were in the workhouse last winter; such was their character, that they were not to be trusted with threshing."¶

Mr. Power states the evidence of Mr. King, the overseer, and a large occupier of land at Bottisham (Cambridgeshire), who refers the increase of rates in that neighbourhood, not to any increase of population, or diminution of demand, but to the effects of the existing system on the habits of the labourers:—

"He complained of their deficiency in industry, arising from their growing indifference, or rather partiality to being thrown on the parish: when the bad season is coming on, they frequently dispose of any little property, such as a cow or a pig, in order to entitle themselves to parish wages. That very evening (says Mr. Power) on which I saw him, one of his men swore at him, and said, 'He did not want his work or his wages; he could do better on the parish.'**

It is unnecessary to multiply quotations, all of which would be to the same effect.

So much for the effects of the present system on the industry and skill of the agricultural labourers. Its effects on their honesty are well described by Mr. Collett, in his evidence before the House of Commons Committee of 1824, on Labourers' Wages:—

"Were I to detail the melancholy, degrading and ruinous system which has been pursued, with few exceptions, throughout the country, in regard to the unemployed poor, and in the payment of the wages of idleness, I should scarcely be credited beyond its confines. In

* App. (B. 1.) Quest. 37, Summertown, Oxford, p. 380 c.
† App. (B. 1.) Quest. 38 and 37, Millford, Southams, p. 424 c.
‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 24.
§ App. (A.) Part I. p. 181.
‖ App. (A.) Part I, p. 188.
¶ App. (A.) Part I, p. 234.
** App. (A.) Part I. p. 248.

Printed from a page digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
2. On Employers.
1. Of Agricultural Labourers.

In the generality of parishes, from five to forty labourers have been without employment, loitering about during the day, engaged in idle games, insulting passengers on their road, or else consuming their time in sleep, that they might be more ready and active in the hours of darkness. The weekly allowances cannot supply more than food: how, then, are clothing, firing and rent to be provided? By robbery and plunder; and those so artfully contrived and effected, that discovery has been almost impossible. Picklock keys have readily opened our barns and granaries; the lower orders of artificers, and even in one or two instances small farmers, have joined the gang, consisting of from ten to twenty men; and corn has been sold by sample in the market of such mixed qualities by these small farmers, that competent judges have assured me, it must have been stolen from different barns, and could not have been produced from their occupations. Disgraceful as these facts are to a civilized country, I could enumerate many more, but recital would create disgust."

And yet this was said in the year 1824, a time to which those who witnessed the events of 1830 in the disturbed districts, or those who examined their effects, must look back as a period of comparative comfort. Partly under the application of force, but much more under that of bribes, that paroxysm subsided; but what must be the state of mind of those who have to calculate every winter whether they may expect to be the victims of its return? Waste of capital and waste of time may be estimated, but at what rate are we to value the loss of confidence? what would each resident in a disturbed district then have given to have saved to himself and his family, not merely the actual expense, but the anxiety of that unhappy period? No complaint is more general than that of the difficulty of finding the means of profitable investment. The constantly increasing capital of the country, after having reduced interest and profits to lower rates than any persons now living can recollect, after having choaked all the professions, and overflowed in all the channels of manufactures and commerce, is still seeking employment, however hazardous and however distant. One business alone is described as ill supplied with capital, and that is the business which is of all others the most healthy, the most independent, and the most interesting. It appears that men are anxious to withdraw themselves and their capital from an employment in which so indefinite an outgoing as an ill-managed poor-rate is to be supplied, in which such instruments as pauper-labourers are to be employed, and such events as those of 1830 are to be provided against.

"It must be carefully remembered, however, that these evils are gradually evolved. Ultimately, without doubt, the farmer finds that pauper labour is dear, whatever be its price; but that is not until allowance has destroyed the industry and morals of the labourers who were bred under a happier system, and has educated a new generation in idleness, ignorance and dishonesty. In the meantime wages are diminished, and even of those wages a part is paid by others; the principal outgoing of the farm is reduced, and as long as the produce remains the same, the occupier, if himself the owner, or a leaseholder, gains the benefit of the difference between what he formerly paid in wages and what he now pays, subject only to the deduction of his additional expenditure in rates; a deduction, which if he were the only rate-payer, would of course be at least equal to his new gains, but which may be trifling if he is only one of many rate-payers, some of whom, such as the tithe-owner and the tradesman, are to a very small extent immediate employers of labour. This accounts for the many instances in our evidence, some of which we have already cited, and others of which we shall cite hereafter, of the indifference of the farmers in some places to poor law expenditure, and in other places of their positive wish to increase it. If indeed the occupier is a tenant from year to year or at will, the general tendency towards the equalization of profits will prevent his long retaining this advantage. Offers will be made for his farm, and he will be forced to leave it or to pay an increased rent, which will leave his profits no greater than they were before the payment of wages out of rates began. But it is to be observed, that if the tenant without a lease is the person who gains least by the introduction of these abuses, he is also the person who has the least motive and the least power to resist them; he has little motive, because the varying amount of his rent forms a sort of shifting ballast, tending always to keep his profits steady; he has little power, because there are always bidders for his farm ready to pay the utmost rent that can be afforded, without reference to the means employed. Whether these means are the adoption or the continuance of abuses, he will be forced by competition, unless his landlord or his landlord's agent has knowledge and forbearance far beyond the usual average, either to pursue them, or, what is practically the same, to leave his tenancy to some one who will pursue them. This is explained in the following answers from Mr. Hillyard, President

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;

of the Farming and Grazing Society of Northampton, and from Mr. Robert Bevan, J. P.

a. On Employers

1. Of Agricultural Labourers.

"If a system of allowances is adopted in a parish, the consequences are, the whole of the labourers are made paupers, for if one occupier employs labourers that have an allowance, other occupiers will send the labourers to the parish officers, otherwise he pays part of the other occupiers' labour." *

"One impoverished farmer turns off all his labourers, the rest do the same, because they cannot employ their own shares and pay the rest too in poor rates. Weeds increase in the fields, and vices in the population. All grow poor together. 'Spite against the parson' is now ruining a neighbouring parish in this way." †

Even the leaseholder, unless his term is so long as to put him in the situation of a landlord, has strong motives to introduce abuses; he can reap the immediate benefit of the fall of wages, and when that fall has ceased to be beneficial when the apparently cheap labour has become really dear, he can either quit at the expiration of his lease, or demand on its renewal a diminution of rent; he has a still stronger motive to continue them when once introduced, as every amendment involves immediate expenditure, of which his successor, or rather his landlord, will obtain the principal advantage. The most favourable state of things is when the farmer is himself the proprietor. The owner of land, unless it be covered with cottages occupied by the poor, never has any permanent interest in introducing Poor Law abuses into the parish in which that land is situated. He may, indeed, be interested in introducing them into the neighbouring parishes, if he can manage, by pulling down cottages, or other expedients to keep down the number of persons having settlements in his own parish. Several instances have been mentioned to us, of parishes nearly depopulated, in which almost all the labour is performed by persons settled in the neighbouring villages or towns; drawing from them, as allowance, the greater part of their subsistence; receiving from their employer not more than half wages, even in summer, and much less than half in winter; and discharged whenever their services are not wanted. But, with the exception of similar cases, a good administration of the Poor Laws is the landlord's interest; and where he is a man of sense, is acquainted with what is going on, and being an occupier is allowed a vote, he may be expected to oppose the introduction of allowance, knowing that for giving up an immediate accession to his income he will be repaid, by preserving the industry and morality of his fellow-parishioners, and by saving his estate from being gradually absorbed by pauperism. Even when that system has been introduced, he may in some stages of the disease refuse to allow his labourers to be infected by it; pay them full wages, and insist on their taking nothing from the parish. Such conduct, however, can seldom be hoped for; both because it must be exceedingly difficult to preserve a set of labourers uncontaminated by the example of all around them, and because the person who pursues it must submit to pay his proportion of the rates, without being, like the other farmers, indemnified.

2. Of manufacturing Labourers.

The effects of the system on the manufacturing capitalist are very different. The object of machinery is to diminish the want not only of physical, but of moral and intellectual qualities on the part of the workman. In many cases it enables the master to confine him to a narrow routine of similar operations, in which the least error or delay is capable of immediate detection. Judgment or intelligence are not required for processes which can be performed only in one mode, and which constant repetition has made mechanical. Honesty is not necessary where all the property is under one roof, or in one inclosure, so that its abstraction would be very hazardous, and where it is, by its incomplete state, difficult of sale. Diligence is insured by the presence of a comparatively small number of over-lookers, and by the almost universal adoption of piece-work.

Under such circumstances it is not found that parish assistance necessarily destroys the efficiency of the manufacturing labourer. Where that assistance makes only a part of his income, and the remainder is derived from piece-work, his employer insists, and sometimes successfully, that he shall not earn that remainder but by the greatest exertion. We have seen that in agriculture this is impossible, and that consequently the allowance system becomes ultimately mischievous to the farmer who adopts or submits to it; but the manufacturer who can induce or force others to pay part of the wages of his labourers, not only appears to be, but actually may be, a pure gainer by it; he really can obtain cheap labour. On whom

* App. (B. 1.) Question 39, Moulton, Northants, p. 338 *d*.
† App. (B. 1.) Question 36, Rougham, Suffolk, p. 466 *c*.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
2. On Employers.
a. Of manufacturing Labourers.

whom, then, does the loss fall? Partly, of course, on the owners of rateable property, partly on the labourers who are unmarried, or with families of less than the average number, and who are in fact robbed of a portion of the natural price of their labour, but principally on those manufacturers who do not enjoy the same advantages. A manufactory worked by paupers is a rival with which one paying ordinary wages, of course, cannot compete, and in this way a Macclesfield manufacturer may find himself undersold and ruined in consequence of the mal-administration of the Poor Laws in Essex.

This is well stated in the following answer from Castle Donington, Leicestershire though the answerer himself probably an agriculturist, perceives more clearly the evil to the landowner than to other manufacturers.

"The system of eking out the wages of manufacturing operatives from the parish funds is pregnant with great evils, and is not adopted in this parish. In several places in this county those evils are severely felt, and where once a parish has embarked upon this system, the greatest difficulty is experienced in returning to a better. From the practice of parish officers, when trade is perhaps suffering under temporary depression, soliciting work for the number of men on their hands from the various manufacturers (at any price), and making up the remainder necessary for the support of their families out of the poor's rate, good trade becomes in a great measure annihilated. Stocks become too abundant, and when a demand revives, the markets are not cleared before a check is again experienced; the same practice is renewed by the parish officers, and thus the wily manufacturer produces his goods, to the great emolument of himself, half at the cost of the agricultural interest. This is particularly the case in the manufacture of hosiery. Thus land in several places in this county will not let for more than the poors' rate, and its value as property is altogether destroyed." *

The following extracts from Mr. Villiers' and Mr. Cowell's valuable Reports may be used in confirmation of these remarks, if any confirmation is thought necessary:—

"Ribbon-weaving is carried on to a great extent in all the villages around Coventry. Work is given out by the manufacturers to persons who are termed undertakers, who contract for it at a certain price, and the amount of their profit depends upon the rate at which they can procure labour; they consequently seek it at the lowest possible price, and for this purpose it is said they often employ persons who are dependent on the country parishes, which of necessity, if done to any extent, must affect the rate of wages in the trade as much as if the competition arose in a foreign country." †

"In the replies of the vestry clerk of Birmingham, he states, that relief is given occasionally according to the number of children, but not given to eke out the wages of able-bodied persons *wholly* employed. Upon inquiring the meaning of the words *not wholly employed*, it was explained to refer to those persons whose masters had certified that they only enabled them to earn a half of the average rate of wages in any branch of manufacture. On this subject Mr. Lewis, the governor of the workhouse at Erdington, who has the management of the poor at Aston, the immediately adjoining parish to Birmingham, and now included within the borough, stated that he was in a manufacturing house for 15 years at Birmingham, and that he is well acquainted with the practices of different masters, and that from his own knowledge he could state that what are termed 'small masters' in this town, *i. e.* those employing one or two journeymen, and who also work for some of the other masters, were in the constant habit of employing men who were receiving allowances from the parish, and that many in consequence were able to undersell other masters who were paying the full wages themselves." ‡

"The practice of paying the wages of manufacturers out of the rates is strongly illustrated in the case of Collumpton, at a short distance from Tiverton, where the weaving of serge and cloth is carried on by two manufacturers, on whose employment many of the poor in that town have chiefly depended for support: one of these manufacturers however receives at present regular annual payments from the parishes in the neighbourhood to employ their paupers, the sums paid being less than the cost of their support by the parishes. The same system is not adopted by the parish of Collumpton; the result, therefore, with regard to the poor at large is not to diminish the amount of pauperism, but to change its locality; for the first effect of such a measure was to increase the number of persons unemployed at Collumpton, and consequently to reduce wages; it was operating also with injustice to the other manufacturer." §

"On conversing with a manufacturer at Tewkesbury, I found that he regretted the great fall in wages, but said that as a capitalist he had no choice between reducing the wages of his men and giving up his business, and that if a certain proportion of the operatives were obliged to take lower wages, the wages of the rest must also fall, since otherwise the master who employed those at reduced wages would get possession of the market. He said that he could always calculate out of a given number of workmen what proportion working at low wages would bring down the rest, and that if any circumstance caused a fall in one district, wages must fall in all other districts producing the same article. He admitted that this would equally be the case if the operatives in any number were relieved by the parish." ‖

"The

* App. (B. 1.) Questions 39 and 40, Castle Donington, Leicester, p. 280 *d*.
† Mr. Villiers, Appendix (A.) Part II. p. 25. ‡ Ibid. p. 31. § Ibid. p. 47. ‖ Ibid. p. 40

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
3. On Employers.
3. Of manufacturing Labourers.

"The stocking manufacturers in Nottinghamshire have been enabled to saddle others with paying a portion of the wages of their handicraftsmen, in the same manner as the farmers have done.

"Stockings are made in all the neighbouring parishes in a circle round Nottingham of 20 or more miles in diameter, in the cottages of the journeymen, who rent frames at 1 s. per week each, which they hire from a capitalist, who possesses, perhaps, several hundred, and the capitalist gives the operative work to do, and pays him wages. The operative, in whatever parish he may be, is informed that his wages must be lowered, and in consequence applies to the parish; his master at Nottingham furnishes him with a certificate that he is only receiving (suppose) 6 s. a week; and thus the parishes were induced to allow him 4 s or 5 s.

"Mr. Caddick, the former assistant overseer of Basford, which is a few miles from Nottingham, told me that this system was universal, and went into a calculation, proving that by means of it master manufacturers were enabled to sell stockings at a profit, though the selling price did not cover the prime cost, if the parochial addition to the wages paid by the master was to be taken as an element of the prime cost, as it undoubtedly ought to be.

"At Southwell I heard of instances in which the master manufacturer had combined with his men to give them false certificates of the amount of their wages, so that they might claim a larger sum from the parish." *

Whole branches of manufacture may thus follow the course, not of coal mines or of streams, but of pauperism, may flourish like the fungusses that spring from corruption, in consequence of the abuses which are ruining all the other interests of the places in which they are established, and cease to exist in the better administered districts, in consequence of that better administration.

III.

EFFECTS ON LABOURERS.

III. EFFECTS of Poor Laws on LABOURERS.

But the severest sufferers are those for whose benefit the system is supposed to have been introduced, and to be perpetuated, the labourers and their families. In treating this branch of the subject, we will consider separately the case of those who are, and of those who are not, actually recipients of relief.

I.

EFFECTS ON THOSE NOT ACTUALLY RELIEVED.

1. Effects on those not actually relieved.

First, with respect to those who are not actually relieved. We have seen that one of the objects attempted by the present administration of the Poor Laws is, to repeal *pro tanto* that law of nature by which the effects of each man's improvidence or misconduct are borne by himself and his family. The effect of that attempt has been to repeal *pro tanto* the law by which each man and his family enjoy the benefit of his own prudence and virtue. In abolishing punishment, we equally abolish reward. Under the operation of the scale system, the system which directs the overseers to *regulate* the incomes of the labourers according to their families, idleness, improvidence or extravagance occasion no loss, and consequently diligence and economy can afford no gain. But to say merely that these virtues afford no gain, is an inadequate expression: they are often the causes of absolute loss. We have seen that in many places the income derived from the parish for easy or nominal work, or, as it is most significantly termed, "in lieu of labour," actually exceeds that of the independent labourer; and even in those cases in which the relief money only equals, or nearly approaches, the average rate of wages, it is often better worth having, as the pauper requires less expensive diet and clothing than the hard-working man. In such places a man who does not possess either some property, or an amount of skill which will ensure to him more than the average rate of wages, is of course a loser by preserving his independence. Even if he have some property, he is a loser, unless the aggregate of the income which it affords and of his wages equals what he would receive as a pauper. It appears accordingly, that when a parish has become pauperised, the labourers are not only prodigal of their earnings, not only avoid accumulation, but even dispose of, and waste in debauchery, as soon as their families entitle them to allowance, any small properties which may have devolved on them, or which they may have saved in happier times. Self respect, however, is not yet so utterly destroyed among the English peasantry as to make this universal. Men are still to be found who would rather derive a smaller income from their own funds and their own exertions, than beg a larger one from the parish. And in those cases in which the labourer's property is so considerable as to produce, when joined to his wages, an income exceeding parish pay, or the aggregate of wages and allowance, it is obviously his interest to remain independent.

Will

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 602.

Printed not digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM.
3. On Labourers.
1. Not actually relieved.

Will it be believed that such is not merely the cruelty, but the folly of the rate-payers in many places, that they prohibit this conduct? That they conspire to deny the man who, in defiance of the examples of all around him, has dared to save, and attempts to keep his savings, the permission to work for his bread? Such a statement appears so monstrous, that we will substantiate it by some extracts from our evidence.

Sir Harry Verney, in a communication which will be found in App. (C.) says,—

"In the hundred of Buckingham, in which I act as a magistrate, many instances occur in which labourers are unable to obtain employment, because they have property of their own. For instance, in the parish of Steeple Claydon, John Lines, formerly a soldier, a very good workman, is refused employment, because he receives a pension. The farmers say that they cannot afford to employ those for whom they are not bound by law to provide. In order to prevent John Lines from being out of work, I am frequently obliged myself to give him employment."

Mr. Courthope, of Ticehurst, Sussex, in his excellent answers to our Queries, replies to the question, Could a poor family lay by any thing?—

"If the single man could procure regular work, and could be induced to lay by as he ought to do, I think an industrious man might in a few years secure an independence, at the present wages of the country; but if an industrious man was known to have laid by any part of his wages, and thus to have accumulated any considerable sum, there are some parishes in which he would be refused work till his savings were gone; and the knowledge that this would be the case, acts as a preventive against saving."*

Mr. Wetherell, the rector of Byfield, Northamptonshire, replies to the same question:—

"With a family, it is scarcely possible he should lay any thing out of his earnings, and if he could, he dare not let it be known, lest he should be refused employment under the present system of the Poor Laws, though he is industrious and honest."†

Mr. Chadwick thus reports the evidence of Mr. Hickson, a manufacturer at Northampton and landholder in Kent:—

"The case of a man who has worked for me, will show the effect of the parish system in preventing frugal habits. This is a hard-working, industrious man, named William Williams. He is married, and had saved some money, to the amount of about 70*l.*, and had two cows; he had also a sow and ten pigs. He had got a cottage well furnished; he was a member of a benefit club at Meopham, from which he received 8*s.* a week when he was ill. He was beginning to learn to read and write, and sent his children to the Sunday School. He had a legacy of about 40*l.*, but he got his other money together by saving from his fair wages as a waggoner. Some circumstances occurred, which obliged me to part with him. The consequence of this labouring man having been frugal and saved money, and got the cows, was, that no one would employ him, although his superior character as a workman was well known in the parish. He told me at the time I was obliged to part with him, 'Whilst I have these things, I shall get no work; I must part with them all; I must be reduced to a state of beggary before any one will employ me.' I was compelled to part with him at Michaelmas; he has not yet got work, and he has no chance of getting any until he has become a pauper; for until then, the paupers will be preferred to him. He cannot get work in his own parish, and he will not be allowed to get any in other parishes. Another instance of the same kind occurred amongst my workmen. Thomas Hardy, the brother-in-law of the same man, was an excellent workman, discharged under similar circumstances; he has a very industrious wife. They have got two cows, a well-furnished cottage, and a pig and fowls. Now he cannot get work, because he has property. The pauper will be preferred to him, and he can qualify himself for it only by becoming a pauper. If he attempts to get work elsewhere, he is told that they do not want to fix him on the parish. Both these are fine young men, and as excellent labourers as I could wish to have. The latter labouring man mentioned another instance, of a labouring man in another parish (Henstead), who had once had more property than he, but was obliged to consume it all, and is now working on the roads."‡

We have already quoted from Mr. Cowell's Report a letter from Mr. Nash, of Royston, in which he states that he had been forced by the overseer of Reed to dismiss two excellent labourers, for the purpose of introducing two paupers into their place. Mr. Nash adds, that of the men dismissed, one

"Was John Walford, a parishioner of Barley, a steady, industrious, trust-worthy, single man, who, by long and rigid economy, had saved about 100*l.* On being dismissed, Walford applied in vain to the farmers of Barley for employment, '*It was well known that he had saved money, and could not come on the parish, although any of them would willingly have taken him had it been otherwise.*' After living a few months without being able to get any work, he bought a cart and two horses, and has ever since obtained a precarious subsistence, by carrying corn to London for one of the Cambridge merchants, but just now the current of corn is northward, and he has nothing to do, and at any time he would gladly have exchanged his employment for that of day labour, if he could have obtained work. No reflection is intended on the overseers of Barley; they only do what all others are expected to do; though the young men point at Walford, and call him a fool, for not spending his money at the public-house, as they do, adding, that then he would get work."§

The

* Extracts, p. 46. App. (B. 1.) Question 15, p. 298 §. † App. (B. 1.) Quest. 15, p. 282 §.
‡ Extracts, p. 270. App. (A.) Part II. § Extracts, p. 379. App. (A.) Part I. p. 388.

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
3. On Labourers
1. Not actually relieved

The same Report contains the following statement from Mr. Wedd, an eminent solicitor of Royston, who was himself personally acquainted with the details of the case:—

"An individual who had risen from poverty, and accumulated considerable personal property, bequeathed legacies to a number of labourers, his relations. Circumstances delayed for several months the collecting in the testator's estate. The overseer's deputy of one parish, in which some of the legatees were labourers, urged to the agent of the executors the payment, on the ground that it would benefit the parishioners, as when the legacies were paid, they would not find employment for the legatees, because they would have property of their own. The legatees afterwards applied for money on account of their legacies. It was then stated that some of them, who lived in a different parish, had been refused employment, because they were entitled to property." *

Mr. Richardson states, that in Northamptonshire, in those parishes in which labour-rates, or agreements in the nature of labour-rates, exist,

"Objections are constantly made to the allowing persons possessing any property to be counted on the rate," (that is, to be admitted on the number of those, the employment of whom exempts *pro tanto* a rate-payer from the burthen of the labour-rate.) "At Culworth, a man of the name of James Nuld, who had never applied for parish relief, was objected to partly *on that ground*, and partly because he kept a pig. At Eydon the same thing had taken place. One of the delinquents had qualified himself immediately as a pauper, by selling his house. At Middleton Cheney, a man with any property was neither employed on the rate nor relieved." †

Those who are guilty of a still more important act of prudence and self-denial, that of deferring the period of marriage, are punished sometimes by being refused permission to work, sometimes by being allowed to work only a given number of days in each week, and sometimes by being paid for a full week's labour only a portion, often not half or a third, of what they see their married fellow workmen receive. The principal evidence to this effect is to be found in the returns to our printed Queries, and there is much in the Reports of the Assistant Commissioners.

Mr. Power states, that in Gamlingay (Cambridgeshire), the wages paid to men employed by individuals are about 6*s.* a week to single men; to married men, with children, from 9*s.* to 10*s.*, with further allowance from the rates, according to the number of the family; ‡ and mentions, as a general remark, that when the farmer employs the young single man, it is seldom or never by the grate, but at daily wages, little above those of parish employment. §

"At Nuneaton," says Mr. Villiers, "the overseer mentioned a case which had only occurred a few days before to himself, in an application made to him by a lad, to procure him relief from the parish. His answer to him was, 'Go away and work, you foolish boy;' the boy's answer was, 'Ah, but sir, I married yesterday, and I expect the parish to find me a place to live in.' On examining a labourer at Holsworthy, he said that he was only receiving 4*s.* a week from the parish for his work upon the roads; but that he did not complain of the smallness of the allowance, since he knew what numbers there were then depending on the parish. Upon asking him to what he attributed this increase of number, he replied, that the reason was evident, 'since,' to use his expression, 'the young folks married up so terrible early in these days.' On asking him if he could account for this he said, 'that many of them thought they should be better off if they were married than if they were single, and get more regular employment from the farmers.' He said that he was 68 years of age, and that he remembered a very different state of things; that 'when he was a young man, the farmers preferred a man who was single to a married man, and that he was used to live in the house with them; that men did'nt use to marry till they had got a character as good workmen, and had put by some of their earnings;' and that 'if any man applied to the parish, he was pointed at by all who knew him, as a *parish bird*; but that it was very different now." ‖

Mr. Stuart states, that in Suffolk,

"The policy of most parishes is to employ the married men in preference to the single, and that when the single are employed, their wages are generally less. The farmers frequently said that they considered it bad management not to make this distinction, yet none complained more of early marriages." ¶

Messrs. Wrottesley and Cameron state, that in West Wycombe, Bucks,

"The notion of wages, as a contract beneficial to both parties, seems to be nearly obliterated. The rate of weekly wages paid by the parish is, to a single man under 20, 3*s.*; above 20, 4*s.*; married men, without children, 5*s.*; and so on. We asked what wages the farmers gave; the answer was, the same as the parish. We asked if piece-work was common—There is very little of it; it does not answer. Why not?—We have got too many people, and want to employ them. You mean that men would do too much work if employed by the piece?—That is just what I mean." **

Mr. Richardson states, that in Northamptonshire,

"As the farmers have under the scale system a direct inducement to employ married men rather than single, in many villages, particularly in the southern district, they will not employ the single men at all, in others they pay them a much lower rate of wages for the same work, in the hope of driving them to seek work out of the parish. Instead of this, they marry directly,

* Extracts, p. 380. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 402. ‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 243. § App. (A.) Part I. p. 242.
Printed in Mr. Villiers' Report, (App. (A.) Part II. p. 291) and in (App. (A.) Part I. p. 347) and Extracts, p. 83.

directly, knowing that if they cannot maintain themselves, the parish must do it for them, and that the farmers will be more ready to give work to men likely to become burthensome, than to those who are not. The usual remark they make is, 'Well, I'll go and get a wife, and then you must do something for me.'" *

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
3. On Labourers.
1. Not actually relieved.

He adds, that

"Sometimes single men are not counted on the labour-rate. A clergyman of Culworth gave me an instance of a labourer who told him that he had married only because under the labour-rate he could not get work without. If they are admitted, it is at a lower rate than married men? 'Of course, sir,' as I have often heard from the overseers, who seemed a little surprised at my putting the question."

We will close our instances of this conduct by the following law enacted by a Vestry:—

"At a Vestry Meeting, holden in the Parish Church of Edgefield, on Monday, April 8, 1833,

"Resolved,—That the rate of wages for able-bodied men be reduced to 4*s.* per week; that 1*s.* per week be given to each wife, and 1*s.* for each child per week. If there is not any children, allow the wife 1*s.* 6*d.* per week.

"Agreed for three months from this date, to commence Monday 15th."

[Here follow 15 signatures.]

All the previous testimony has been given by persons belonging to the higher orders of society. Some, however, has been furnished by the labourers themselves; and we quote the following passages from the Reports of Mr. Villiers and Mr. Chadwick, to show what effects are attributed to the existing system by the very class to whom it professes to extend its bounty and protection.

"After observing," says Mr. Villiers, "so many instances of an almost necessary connexion between the condition of the people and the mode of administering relief by the parish, I examined persons of different classes with regard to the interests which might be supposed to be involved in the continuance of the present system in the agricultural districts; and on this point the following evidence of some labourers themselves, who were wholly unprepared, and unacquainted with the object of my inquiry, is not unimportant. They were examined in the presence of two gentlemen, one a proprietor, and the other an occupier of land in Worcestershire and Gloucestershire.

"*Thomas Bayce*, labourer, stated, That he was not settled in the parish in which he worked; that he was upwards of 50 years of age, and that neither he nor his father had ever received relief from any parish; that he knew many labourers were getting pay from the parish, and that many were relieved who were not so badly off as others who would not demand it; but that people did not care to go to the parish now as they used when he was a young man. Upon being asked his opinion of the roundsmen system, he answered in the following manner: 'That is the very worst thing that has ever happened for the labourers of this country; that is the way our wages are kept down. A farmer wants to get some work done; he proposes starving wages to the labourer; if the labourer refuses to take them, the farmer says, 'Very well, I do not want you,' and sends to the overseer and gets a man, whom he pays what he likes, and then the parson and the shopkeeper are made to pay the rest. And if a man is not in his own parish, he will often take less than he can live upon, sooner than be sent back to his own parish where he is not wanted.' Upon being asked how he came to have been always employed, and (as he had previously said) earning sometimes 14*s.* a week, he said, 'That all farmers were not alike, and that some farmers knew the value of a labourer who was honest and hard-working, and that his character might be learnt of any farmer with whom he had ever worked;' but he added, 'This is not always the case, for I have seen many a man employed, not because he has a good character, but because he has a large family; and there are many who know that to be the case.'

"*J. Stanton*, aged 50, was a married man; had no children at present; he was a tenant of half an acre of land; he stated that it never took him from his other work, as, if he had much to do, he got some single man to work for him, as there were always some unemployed; the farmers always preferring to employ the men with large families, to keep them off the parish. One of the gentlemen present asked this man whether he would not prefer to see a man get employment who had children to support, than a single man who had only himself to provide for; his answer was in these words: 'To speak openly, Sir, I consider that a man ought to be paid for his work, and not for his family; and that if I had done a good day's work I should sooner have the value of it myself than see another man paid because he has got children.' He was then asked if he had heard of men marrying with the view to obtain regular employment from the farmers, or more relief from the parish: he said, 'There are many, Sir, who do think that they shall be better off if they have a family, and I have heard them often say so.' He was asked if the labourers thought that the more industrious they were, the more encouragement they would receive: 'No, they do not do that, because we see many a man get parish pay whether he is industrious or not.' He continued, 'But, Sir, what is the use of a man working hard if he has got no master to oblige, paid half by the parish and half by the farmer? How would a man be better off if he were to work ever so hard? it would be better for us to be slaves at once than to work under such a system.' I asked him if some of the labourers did not prefer the system as a means of being idle, or of only doing half a day's work; he said he believed that might be the case sometimes, and added, 'Where is the wonder; when a man has his spirit broken, what is he good for?'

"*Gibson*, labourer, stated that he was 70 years of age: he had brought up a family of six children, and had never applied to the parish, but on one occasion, to assist him to pay his rent

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 399.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
3. On Labourers.
1. Not actually relieved.

rent in time; he knew many a man who was receiving parish relief, not so badly off as he had been himself, but that there were so many now with large families, that he hardly thought they could keep off the parish; 'but,' he added, 'what is a man to do, Sir; for if he has not a family he has a bad chance of getting steady work in his own parish.'

" *Charles James*, labourer. He had four children; he had never received parish relief; on being asked what he thought of the roundsmen system, he said, 'it completely ruined the labourer,' and added, 'and people may say, Sir, what they like, but there are one set of farmers who always will keep it up as long as they are allowed to do so; and it is no use their saying they do not approve of it, when last week farmer ———— turned off all his men, and in the same week took the same men all back from the parish, and now he pays them half the wages that he did.'

" *Cockerell*, labourer, said that he lived with his father-in-law, who was a very old man, that he often heard him remark 'what a sad change there was now in men going on the parish, and that he remembered the time when a man would rather starve than apply; but that now-a-days, a man was more employed because he went on the parish than because he was industrious and strived to keep off.'

" On another occasion, the gentleman at whose house I was stopping, being doubtful of the encouragement offered to early marriage from the mode of administering the Poor Laws, proposed to obtain, if possible, the opinion of the first labourers to be met with in the fields; an opportunity soon occurred: four men were working together near a farm-house; upon questioning them as to the wages they were earning, one among them, who informed us that he was 30 years of age and unmarried, complained much of the lowness of his wages, and added, without a question on the subject being put to him, 'That if he was a married man, and had a parcel of children, he should be better off, as he should either have work given him by the piece, or receive allowances for his children.' He was immediately joined by two of the other men, who said, 'Yes, Sir, that is how it is; a man has no chance now unless he is a family man.' The other, an old man, who was nearly 80 years of age, said, 'That he was yet able and willing to work, but that he was obliged to go upon the parish because the farmers gave all the work they could to men who had families. When he was young, there was no such thing as that.' The men proceeded to reckon what was allowed to families according to their numbers; and they spoke of the system with great irritation. That it tends in no degree to make the class happy and contented may be inferred from this part of the country having been the scene of considerable riot and outrage in 1831." *

" *Thomas Pearce*, Labourer in husbandry, of the Parish of Govington, Sussex; Examined:—

" WITNESS has worked all his life for Mr. Noakes, of Wannoch.

" At first the witness, who appeared to be a stout hard-working young man, was examined as to the diet and usual mode of living of the labourers of that district. His evidence was confirmatory of that which is elsewhere stated, as to the modes of living of the labouring classes, and as to the superiority of the condition of paupers.

" In your parish are there many able-bodied men upon the parish?—There are a great many men in our parish who like it better than being at work.

" Why do they like it better?—They get the same money, and don't do half so much work. They don't work like me. They be'ant at it so many hours, and they don't do so much work when they be at it. They're doing no good, and are only waiting for dinner-time and night. They be'ant working, it's only waiting.

" How have you managed to live without parish relief?—By working hard.

" What do the paupers say to you?—They blame me for what I do. They say to me, 'What are you working for?' I say, 'For myself.' They say, 'You are only doing it to save the parish, and if you didn't do it, you would get the same as another man has, and would get the money for smoking your pipe and doing nothing.' 'Tis a hard thing for a man like me.

" If you want anything from the parish, should you get it sooner than a man who has not worked so hard?—No, not a bit; nor so likely as one of those men.

" What would they say to you?—They would say that I didn't want it, and that I had a piece of ground, and was well off. They're always giving to men, who don't deserve it, whilst they are refusing to those who do.

" Is it worse in your parish than in others?—No, it is the same in them all. There is partiality every where. If I was to offend my master, and he was to turn me away, none of the others would give me work; and if I go to the parish, they would put me on the roads. There's not one in our place that looks on me the better for my work, but all the worse for it.

" What would be thought of a plan, of making all go either wholly on or wholly off the parish, so that the men should not be paid half in wages and half as a panper?—I do not know; but my master, Mr. Noakes, says, that he would take his full part of men, and if all the others did the same there would be no men on the road, except an old man or two just to let the water off. But some of the farmers like to poke the men on the roads, so as to make the blacksmith and the wheeler and the shopkeepers come in, which helps the rates.

" But do not the workmen see that the farmers do this to serve their own turn, and pay less in wages?—Yes, that is how it is. A farmer, when he wants his stock in, will say, 'I want to keep my cattle going; I won't take away my cattle men, but I'll get some extra men from the roads.' And so he does; and when he has got his stock in, he says, 'Now you may go, and the parish may keep you.' He will get these men to do an extra day or two's work, but he won't give them more than the parish gives; for which reason they do not like to go, as they do not work half so hard for the parish.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

" Would

* Mr. Villiers App (A.) Part II. p 18.

" Would it, do you think, be a good thing to prevent the farmers using the parish to keep a stock of hands ready for these extra jobs?—I do not know how that would be, as I never seed it tried; but I think he would make the farmers keep more men for constant, which would be a good thing, as they would find more work for them. The land is not near done here as it should be, for want of hands."*

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM; 3. On Labourers. 1 Not actually relieved

Piece-work is thus refused to the single man, or to the married man if he have any property, because they can exist on day wages; it is refused to the active and intelligent labourer, because he would earn too much. The enterprising man, who has fled from the tyranny and pauperism of his parish to some place where there is a demand and a reward for his services, is driven from a situation which suits him, and an employer to whom he is attached, by a labour-rate or some other device against non-parishioners, and forced back to his settlement to receive as alms a portion only of what he was obtaining by his own exertions. He is driven from a place where he was earning, as a free labourer, 12*s.* or 14*s.* a week, and is offered road-work, as a pauper, at sixpence a day, or perhaps to be put up by the parish authorities to auction, and sold to the farmer who will take him at the lowest allowance.

Can we wonder if the labourer abandons virtues of which this is the reward? If he gives up the economy in return for which he has been proscribed, the diligence for which he has been condemned to involuntary idleness, and the prudence, if it can be called such, which diminishes his means just as much as it diminishes his wants? Can we wonder if, smarting under these oppressions, he considers the law, and all who administer the law, as his enemies, the fair objects of his fraud or his violence? Can we wonder if, to increase his income, and to revenge himself on the parish, he marries, and thus helps to increase that local over-population which is gradually eating away the fund out of which he and all the other labourers of the parish are to be maintained?

II.

EFFECTS ON LABOURERS ACTUALLY RELIEVED.

2. EFFECTS on Labourers actually relieved.

But though the injustice perpetrated on the man who struggles, as far as he can struggle, against the oppression of the system, who refuses, as far as he can refuse, to be its accomplice, is at first sight the most revolting, the severest sufferers are those that have become callous to their own degradation, who value parish support as their privilege, and demand it as their right, and complain only that it is limited in amount, or that some sort of labour or confinement is exacted in return. No man's principles can be corrupted without injury to society in general; but the person most injured is the person whose principles have been corrupted. The constant war which the pauper has to wage with all who employ or pay him, is destructive to his honesty and his temper; as his subsistence does not depend on his exertions, he loses all that sweetens labour, its association with reward, and gets through his work, such as it is, with the reluctance of a slave. His pay, earned by importunity or fraud, or even violence, is not husbanded with the carefulness which would be given to the results of industry, but wasted in the intemperance to which his ample leisure invites him. The ground on which relief is ordered to the idle and dissolute is, that the wife and family must not suffer for the vices of the head of the family; but as that relief is almost always given into the hands of the vicious husband or parent, this excuse is obviously absurd. It appears from the evidence that the great supporters of the beer-shops are the paupers. " Wherever," says Mr. Lawrence, of Henfield, " the labourers are unemployed, the beer-shops of the parish are frequented by them."† And it is a striking fact, that in Cholesbury, where, out of 139 individuals, only 35 persons, of all ages, including the clergyman and his family, are supported by their own exertions, there are two public-houses:—

" Hundreds of instances," says Mr. Okeden, " came under my observation, in which the overseers knew that the wages and parish allowance were spent in two nights at the beer-houses, which ought to have been the week's subsistence of the whole family. Still no steps are taken; the scale is referred to, and acted on, and the parish actually supports and pays for the drunken excesses of the labourers. The character and habits of the labourer have, by this scale system, been completely changed. Industry fails, moral character is annihilated, and the poor man of twenty years ago, who tried to earn his money, and was thankful for it, is now converted into an insolent, discontented, surly, thoughtless pauper, who talks of 'right and income,' and who will soon fight for these supposed rights and income, unless some step is taken to arrest his progress to open violence. Some rude efforts he may at first make

* Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II. † App. (D.)

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
3. On Labourers.
2. Actually relieved.

make to shake off his state of servitude; but he finally yields to the temptations of the payable and the scale, feels his bondage, puts off his generous feelings of industry and gratitude and independence, and,

- - - - - - - - - - to suit
His manner with his fate, puts on the brute." *

"With the exception," says Mr. Millman, of Reading, "of decent persons reduced by inevitable misfortune, as is the case with some of our manufacturers, whose masters have totally failed, and who are too old, or otherwise incapable of seeking elsewhere their accustomed employment, I should state, in the most unqualified manner, that the cottage of a parish pauper and his family may be at once distinguished from that of a man who maintains himself. The former is dirty, neglected, noisome: the children, though in general they may be sent to school at the desire of the clergyman or parish officers, are the least clean and the most ragged at the school; in short, the degree of wretchedness and degradation may, in most instances, be measured by the degree in which they may burthen the parish. Unless some few tenements, inhabited by the lowest, and usually the most profligate poor, the refuse of society, the cottages in my parish which it is least agreeable to enter, are those of which the rent is paid by the parish, in which the effect of our exertions, and of the liberality of the landlords to cleanse on the alarm of Cholera, was obliterated in a very few weeks." †

Mr. Chadwick states, in his Report, that in every district he found the condition of the independent labourer strikingly distinguishable from that of the pauper, and superior to it, though the independent labourers were commonly maintained upon less money.

The Assistant Overseer of Windsor examined:—

"What is the characteristic of the wives of paupers and their families?—The wives of paupers are dirty, and nasty, and indolent; and the children generally neglected, and dirty, and vagrants, and immoral.

"How are the cottages of the independent labourers as compared to them?—The wife is a very different person; she and her children are clean, and her cottage tidy. I have had very extensive opportunities of observing the difference in my visits; the difference is so striking to me, that in passing along a row of cottages I could tell, in nine instances out of ten, which were paupers' cottages, and which were the cottages of the independent labourers."

Mr. Brushfield, of Spitalfields, London, examined:—

"Have you ever compared the condition of the able-bodied pauper with the condition of the independent labourer?—Yes. I have lately inquired into various cases of the labouring poor who receive parish relief; and being perfectly acquainted with the cases of paupers generally, the contrast struck me forcibly. In the panper's habitation you will find a strained show of misery and wretchedness, and those little articles of furniture which might by the least exertion imaginable wear an appearance of comfort, are turned as it were intentionally, the ughest side outward; the children are dirty, and appear to be under no control; the clothes of both parents and children, in nine cases out of ten, are ragged, but evidently are so for the lack of the least attempt to make them otherwise; for I have very rarely found the clothes of a pauper with a patch put or a seam made upon them since new; their mode of living, in all cases that I have known, (except and always making the distinction between the determined pauper and the infirm and deserving poor, which cases are but comparatively few) is most improvident. It is difficult to get to a knowledge of particulars in their cases; but whatever provisions I have found on visiting their habitations have been of the best quality, and my inquiries among tradesman, as butchers, chandler s shop-keepers, &c., have all been answered with, 'They will not have any thing but the best.'

"In the habitation of the labouring man who receives no parish relief, you will find (I have done so), even in the poorest, an appearance of comfort; the articles of furniture, few and humble though they may be, have their best side seen, are arranged in something like order, and so as to produce the best appearance of which they are capable. The children appear under parental control; are sent to school (if of that age); their clothes you will find patched and taken care of, so as to make them wear as long a time as possible; there is a sense of moral feeling and moral dignity easily discerned; they purchase such food and at such seasons and in such quantities as the most economical would approve of."

Mr. Isaac Willis, collector of the poor-rates in the parish of St. Mary, Stratford-le-Bow, London—

"Have you had occasion to observe the modes of living of those of the labouring classes who receive aid from the parish or from charities, and of those independent labourers who depend entirely on their own resources to provide for their families?—I have for many years in collecting through my district.

"Are the two classes externally distinguishable in their persons, houses or behaviour?—Yes, they are. I can easily distinguish them, and I think they might be distinguished by any one who paid attention to them. The independent labourer is comparatively clean in his person, his wife and children are clean, and the children go to school; the house is in better order and more cleanly. Those who depend on parish relief or on benefactions, on the

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 24. † Extracts, p. 335, Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
3. On Labourers.
2. Actually relieved.

the contrary, are dirty in their persons and slothful in their habits; the children are allowed to go about the streets in a vagrant condition. The industrious labourers get their children out to service early. The pauper and charity-fed people do not care what becomes of their children. The man who earns his penny is always a better man in every way than the man who begs it."

Mr. Samuel Miller, assistant overseer of St. Sepulchre's, London—

"In the course of my visits to the residences of the labouring people in our own and other parishes, I have seen the apartments of those who remained independent, though they had no apparent means of getting more than those who were receiving relief from the parish, or so much as out-door paupers. The difference in their appearance is most striking; I now, almost immediately on the sight of a room, can tell whether it is the room of a pauper or of an independent labourer. I have frequently said to the wife of an independent labourer, 'I can see, by the neatness and cleanness of your place, that you receive no relief from any parish.'—'No,' they usually say, 'and I hope we never shall.' This is applicable not only to the paupers in the metropolis, but it may be stated, from all I have seen elsewhere, and heard, that it is equally applicable to other places. The quantity of relief given to the paupers makes no difference with them as to cleanliness or comfort; in many instances very much the contrary. More money only produces more drunkenness. We have had frequent instances of persons being deprived of parochial relief from misconduct or otherwise, or, as the officers call it, 'choked off the parish,' during twelve months or more, and at the end of that time we have found them in a better condition than when they were receiving weekly relief."

The testimony, with relation to the superiority of the class of labourers who are deprived of the facilities of obtaining partial relief, is almost as striking and important. We shall advert to it in a subsequent part of the Report.

The following testimony of Mr. Sleeth, of Albany Road, Kent Road, is an instructive example of the tendency of pauperism to sap the foundations of industry, virtue and happiness:

"I have been a witness to the gradual ruin of a very deserving class of people, effected, as well as I can judge, by the superior temptations of parish allowance and idleness, to those of independence with industry.

"I was employed from 1819 to 1831 in a commercial house, of which the greater part of the business was the sale of home-made fabrics, chiefly of stockings. The demand for homespun articles is still very extensive amongst old people of all ranks, on account of their superior warmth and durability. The call for these goods when I first became acquainted with the business, was very constant and the supply abundant, but not excessive. The competition of the factories had driven the spinners quite out of the market, and also the great bulk of the knitters, but of these latter, some of the most resolutely frugal and industrious, persevered in working for the low reward which was to be got while the employment was breaking up. But after this period of change, which had taken place before the time at which my knowledge begins, the people who had persevered remained the only workers. In fact none were brought up to it, and none continued in it but those who had been long used to it, and of those only the most independent and exemplary. But they got the reward of their struggle in the monopoly of the supply, when all the most supine had ceased to contend with the progress of the factories.

"The earnings of a family by knitting sometimes amounted to more than £.20, and commonly from £.12 to between £.16 and £.18, a very large sum as every body knows who knows the economy of a well managed cottage. These latter earnings were wholly additional to the ordinary labourer's earnings, as they never interfered with farm work, were frequent in parts of Sussex 10 years ago, where the practice is unknown now, except by some single superannuated old woman. The general shop of the village was, latterly, the medium between my employers and these poor people, who there received the materials and returned the made-up article, and could always receive the amount of their earnings at the same time. Usually they were partly taken out in goods, such as tea, soap, tapes, needles, &c., and sometimes in cash: they frequently made the dealer thus banker for some portion of their gains. Such was the occasional self-denial of these people, that I know one family of the name of Hinde, that received three several years' earnings in *cash*, during seven years that they were at work for us, amounting to above £.43. This family consisted of a man past 50, his sister older than himself, three orphan nieces, and one nephew. The history of this family is interesting. The man was and is an agricultural labourer; he speculated with his savings, purchasing the fruit or pigs of his neighbours, or their poultry, when they had a right of common, before they were fit for the market, by advance of money on them, his neighbours tending them to maturity. He had a reputation of being very rich, and often lent some few guineas to needy farmers. *He found great difficulty in getting employment, it was refused him on account of his savings*; and bought a piece of ground to occupy himself on, but was afraid of farming. His sister was a bit of a shrew, but very notable, and the earnings by knitting were owing to her, for when she became bed-ridden, the industry of the girls declined, and on her death ceased altogether, they quarrelled with their uncle; the boy is now married and has a pauper family; one girl is married after having had a bastard, the other girl is in service in London, and is respectable. I consider these young people ruined solely by the example of their idle and dissolute half pauper neighbours, who are never content to be haunted by the presence of more industrious or deserving characters, and spare no effort of argument or raillery to bring them to the common level—an event of itself too much to be feared, consisting of a change from care and labour to profligacy and idleness.

"I should say I know 500 families who have so given up knitting for idleness and parish allowance, though their remuneration was constantly on the increase through the falling off

 Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
3. On Labourers.
a Actually relieved.

of hands. In 1828 the quantity of these goods produced in the South became so small that we ceased to make any arrangements for them, and relied solely on the West of England, in parts where the parish allowance has not extended, and therefore where the motive to work continues unabated, yet at that time prices were more than double what could have been earned when this kind of industry was universal.

"The allowance from the poor rate was at the bottom of the whole, for competition had ceased, and it was generally allowed by the workers that equal industry would procure more men than *twice* the quantity of food or clothing that it would have done when the employment was general and prosperous. But the labour was continuous and irksome; even the cleanliness which was indispensable to putting the work out of hand in a proper state, the confinement to the house, perhaps the control of the old people, were in violent and constant contrast with the carelessness and idleness of those who could dispense with industry by relying on the parish. Pauper women are all gossips, the men all go to the ale-house; the knitters had little time for either, and they were assured that they debarred themselves for the good of the rich, and it was seen that no idleness or extravagance was attended with any alarming consequences against which the parish served as a shield.

"I have every autumn been into the country, and have observed the gradual deterioration of these previously respectable families. The clothing was in great part made at home, and was sedulously well made.

"Cleanliness was indispensible to the work, and the work itself was cleanly; and as it kept them much at home, it made comfort in that home more necessary than it is to those who loll their time away out of doors.

"Besides, comfort and cleanliness are not the policy of those who apply to the parish; for the overseer always observes to those who are decent and tidy in their persons and houses, 'that they seem too comfortable to want,' and mentions his suspicions of concealed savings.

"I wish to be understood as speaking of the disappearance of these people all through, not as the result of competition with manufacturers, but as the consequence of the diminished industry of parties who had virtually a monopoly in their own hands, but who wanted motives to continue the industry necessary for its preservation.

"I have to add, that I regard the demoralization of these people as a further evil in the way of loss of a good example; for wherever they remained, in ever so small number, the superiority of their appearance was a model for their equals in grade, and formed a sort of *ton* for the rest, to which the parish officers and the gentry constantly pointed, and strove to make the general habit; but as they lost the characteristic the standard fell, and those who had formerly been pointed out as patterns, are become undistinguishable from the rest. My observation is, that the air of content and cheerfulness which formerly distinguished them has been displaced, in the very same individuals, by the common pauper appearance; that is, they look dirty, ill fed, discontented, careless and vicious."*

Even the least contact with parochial assistance seems to be degrading. The following are extracts from the evidence of Mr. Barker, of Hambledon, Bucks; Mr. Chappell, Vestry Clerk of St. George's, Hanover-square; Mr. Booker, Assistant Overseer of St. Botolph-without-Bishopsgate; Mr. Hobler, Chief Clerk in the Lord Mayor's Court; and Mr. Brushfield of Spitalfields:—

"In the year 1824 or 1825 there were two labourers, who were reported to me as extremely industrious men, maintaining large families: neither of them had ever applied for parish relief. I thought it advisable that they should receive some mark of public approbation, and we gave them £.1 a piece from the parish. Very shortly they both became applicants for relief, and have continued so ever since."†

"I can decidedly state, as the result of my experience, that when once a family has received relief, it is to be expected that their descendants, for some generations, will receive it also."

"The change that is made in the character and habits of the poor by once receiving parochial relief, is quite remarkable; they are demoralized ever afterwards. I remember the case of a family named Wintle, consisting of a man, his wife, and five children. About two years ago, the father, mother, and two children, were very ill, and reduced to great distress, being obliged to sell all their little furniture for their subsistence; they were settled with us; and as we heard of their extreme distress, I went to them to offer relief; they, however, strenuously refused the aid. I reported this to the churchwarden, who determined to accompany me, and together we again pressed on the family the *necessity* of receiving relief; but still they refused, and we could not prevail upon them to accept our offer. We felt so much interested in the case, however, that we sent them 4s. in a parcel with a letter, desiring them to apply for more, if they continued ill: this they did, and from that time to this (now more than two years) I do not believe that they have been for three weeks off our books, although there has been little or no ill health in the family. Thus we effectually spoiled the habits acquired by their previous industry; and I have no hesitation in saying, that, in nine cases out of ten, such is the constant effect of having once tasted of parish bounty. This applies as much to the young as to the middle aged, and as much to the middle aged as to the old. I state it confidently, as the result of my experience, that if once a young lad gets a pair of shoes given him by the parish, he never afterwards lays by sufficient to buy a pair; so if we give to the fathers or mothers of children clothing or other assistance, they invariably apply again and again."‡

"The regular applicants for relief are generally of one family; the disease is hereditary, and when once a family has applied for relief, they are pressed down for ever."§

Whether

* Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II. † Evidence of Mr. Barker, Extracts, p. 85.
‡ Evidence of Mr. Booker, App. (A.) Part I. p. 88. § Evidence of Mr. Hobler, App. (A.) Part I. p. 91.

Printed by Authority of the House of Commons

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
3. On Labourers.
a. Actually relieved.

"Whether in work or out of work, when they once become paupers, it can only be by a sort of miracle that they can be broken off; they have no care, no thought, no solicitude, on account of the future, except the old musty rent-roll of receipts, or an old dirty indenture of apprenticeship, which are handed down from father to son with as much care as deeds of freehold property, and by which they pride themselves in the clear claim to the parish money and the workhouse. All the tricks and deceptions of which man is capable, are resorted to; the vilest and most barefaced falsehoods are uttered, and all the worst characteristics of human nature are called into exercise, for the purpose of exciting a favourable feeling in their behalf; their *children are eye and ear witnesses to all this.* The child remembers his father's actions, and the hereditary pauper increases his ranks by instruction as well as by example. Their numbers will, as a matter of course, still increase, while these laws exist in their present form." *

The most striking examples, however, of the effects of pauperism are to be found in the Report of Mr. Codd, on the Western Division of the Metropolis. We will extract, from among many other passages equally striking, a further portion of the evidence of Mr. Booker:—

"The deterioration in the character and habits of persons receiving parochial relief, pervades their whole conduct; they become idle, reckless and saucy; and if we take them into the house, or place them at farm-houses, the younger learn from the older all their malpractices, and are ready enough to follow them.

"We have a good many young people upon our casual out-door poor list. We first received them into the house, to endeavour to place them out in trades, or in service, or as apprentices; but they were so refractory, and behaved so ill, that the old people petitioned to be relieved from them: they would beat them or steal their victuals, or sing indecent songs in the open yard, and so as to be heard by every one on the premises, and would annoy them in every way, besides doing every thing they could to plague the master and mistress of the house, until we were obliged, in justice to the other inmates, to send them away to farmed houses, for which we paid 5*s.* per head per week, besides clothes. At such houses, however, they were so disorderly and irregular, that the owners refused to keep them, and sent them back to us. We then sent them to other houses, and by constantly changing them from one to another as they behaved ill, we got over a certain period of time. But at length most of them became so well known, that no establishment of the kind in the metropolis would take them. We then tried them with employment out of the house, and used them to convey potatoes, coal, &c. to our infant establishment at Edmonton. This we were obliged to discontinue, because some stole a part of the loads with which they were intrusted, and others made away with the whole, and did not return to us for two or three weeks afterwards. For this conduct we took them, in some cases, before the magistrates, and got them committed to the tread-mill for seven or fourteen days; but this rather hardened them than did them any good. We then tried them at stone-breaking, but they broke their tools, almost as a matter of course; either on the first or second morning the hammers were brought in broken in the handles, by accident as they alleged, but as we well knew by design. Our next course was to give them 2*s.* a week at different periods in the week, with bread and cheese on the intervening days, leaving them to pursue their own course; but this we found left them upon the streets to prey upon the public, which they did so effectually, that several of them were transported in a very short time afterwards, leaving their wives and families, where they had them, chargeable to the parish. The increase of depredations to which this plan gave rise, was loudly complained of by the inhabitants of the neighbourhood, and we were therefore obliged to give it up.

"We are now employing the men as scavengers, and the women as cinder sifters: but they constantly avoid working upon some excuse or another, although *we are actually obliged to pay the contractor* 6*s. a week for employing them, and to pay for their clothes besides.* These 6*s.* are paid by the contractor at the rate of 1*s.* nightly to the persons who have worked, and by us repaid to him; but the parties are not satisfied, and it is no uncommon thing for them to beset my house, soliciting me to send them to the Compter; and if I refuse, they remain at the door, and cannot be removed except by force. If they are taken before the magistrates, and committed for short periods, they come to us again immediately that the period of their confinement is over, and behave worse than ever.

"Whoever comes to us, and swears before a magistrate that he has neither work nor money, we are obliged to relieve, because we can neither give them work, nor prove that they have constant employment; and paupers now understand the law, and also the practice of magistrates so well, from the many hours that they spend in police offices applying for summonses, &c. that they claim relief not at all as a matter of favour, but as a matter of right." †

The worst results, however, are still to be mentioned: in all ranks of society the great sources of happiness and virtue are the domestic affections, and this is particularly the case among those who have so few resources as the labouring classes. Now pauperism seems to be an engine for the purpose of disconnecting each member of a family from all the others; of reducing all to the state of domesticated animals, fed, lodged and provided for by the parish, without mutual dependence or mutual interest.

"The

* Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II

† App. (A.) Part I. p. 89.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EFFECTS OF SYSTEM;
3. On Labourers.
2 Actually Relieved.

"The effect of allowance," says Mr. Stuart, "is to weaken, if not to destroy, all the ties of affection between parent and child. Whenever a lad comes to earn wages, or to receive parish relief on his own account" (and this we must recollect is at the age of fourteen), "although he may continue to lodge with his parents, he does not throw his money into a common purse, and hoard with them, but buys his own loaf and piece of bacon, which he devours alone. The most disgraceful quarrels arise from mutual accusations of theft; and as the child knows that he has been nurtured at the expense of the parish, he has no filial attachment to his parents. The circumstances of the pauper stand in an inverted relation to those of every other rank in society. Instead of a family being a source of care, anxiety and expense, for which he hopes to be rewarded by the filial return of assistance and support when they grow up, there is no period in his life in which he tastes less of solicitude, or in which he has the means of obtaining all the necessaries of life in greater abundance; but as he is always sure of maintenance, it is in general the practice to enjoy life when he can, and no thought is taken for the morrow. Those parents who are thoroughly degraded and demoralized by the effects of 'allowance,' not only take no means to train up their children to habits of industry, but do their utmost to prevent their obtaining employment, lest it should come to the knowledge of the parish officers, and be laid hold of for the purpose of taking away the allowance."*

Mr. Majendie states, that at Thaxted mothers and children will not nurse each other in sickness unless they are paid for it.† Mr. Power mentions the following circumstance as having occurred at Over, Cambridgeshire, a few days before his visit:

"A widow with two children had been in the receipt of 3*s.* a week from the parish: she was enabled by this allowance and her own earnings to live very comfortably. She married a butcher: the allowance was continued; but the butcher and his bride came to the overseer, and said, 'They were not going to keep those children for 3*s.* a week, and that if a further allowance was not made, *they should turn them out of doors*, and throw them on the parish altogether.' The overseer resisted; the butcher appealed to the bench, who recommended him to make the best arrangement he could, as the parish was obliged to support the children."‡

"Those whose minds," say Messrs. Wrottesley and Cameron, "have been moulded by the operation of the Poor Laws, appear not to have the slightest scruple in asking to be paid for the performance of those domestic duties which the most brutal savages are in general willing to render gratuitously to their own kindred. 'Why should I tend my sick and aged parents when the parish is bound to do it? or if I do perform the service, why should I excuse the parish, which is bound to pay for it?'

"At Princes Risborough we turned over the Minute Book of the Select Vestry, and found the following entries:—

"'Samuel Simmons's wife applied to be allowed something for looking after her mother, who is confined to her bed; the mother now receives 3*s.* 6*d.* weekly. To be allowed an additional 6*d.* for a few weeks.'

"'David Walker's wife applied to be allowed something for looking after her father and mother (old Stevens and his wife), now ill, who receives 6*s.* weekly. To be allowed 1*s.* weekly.'

"'Mary Lacy applies for something for waiting on her mother, now ill. Left to the governor.'

"'Elizabeth Prime applies to have something allowed for her sister looking after her father, now ill. Left to the governor.'"§

"At the time of my journey," says Mr. Cowell, "the acquaintance I had with the practical operation of the Poor Laws led me to suppose, that the pressure of the sum annually raised upon the rate-payers, and its progressive increase, constituted the main inconvenience of the Poor Law system. The experience of a very few weeks served to convince me that this evil, however great, sinks into insignificance, when compared with the dreadful effects which the sytem produces on the morals and happiness of the lower orders. It is as difficult to convey to the mind of the reader a true and faithful impression of the intensity and malignancy of the evil in this point of view, as it is by any description, however vivid, to give an adequate idea of the horrors of a shipwreck or a pestilence. A person must converse with paupers—must enter workhouses and examine the inmates—must attend at the parish pay-table, before he can form a just conception of the moral debasement which is the offspring of the present system; he must hear the pauper threaten to abandon his wife and family unless more money is allowed him—threaten to abandon an aged bed-ridden mother, to turn her out of his house and lay her down at the overseer's door, unless he is paid for giving her shelter; he must hear parents threatening to follow the same course with regard to their sick children; he must see mothers coming to receive the reward of their daughters' ignominy, and witness women in cottages quietly pointing out, without even the question being asked, which are their children by their husband, and which by other men previous to marriage; and when he finds that he can scarcely step into a town or parish in any county without meeting with some instance or other of this character, he will no longer consider the pecuniary pressure on the rate payer as the first in the class of evils which the Poor Laws have entailed upon the community."‖

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 347. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 228. ‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 251.
§ Extracts, p. 85. ‖ App. (A.) Part I. p. 583.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Having given this outline of the mal-administration of the laws for the relief of the poor, and of the causes which have induced large classes of persons to be favourable to that mal-administration, we will now consider how far the character of the persons by whom relief is awarded and distributed, is likely to be favourable or unfavourable to its due administration.

The persons by whom relief is actually distributed are the overseers.

The persons by whom it is awarded are the overseers, the vestry either general or select, and the magistrates.

We will examine separately the motives likely to affect the conduct of each of these classes of functionaries.

I.—THE OVERSEERS.

OVERSEERS.

As the law now stands the overseers are to make, assess, collect and distribute the fund for the relief of the poor. They are to decide in the first instance what amount of money is wanted, what persons are to pay it, and in what proportions; they are to enforce payment of it from those persons, and they are to dole it out to those whom they think proper objects of relief so as to satisfy what they think the necessities of those objects. Where a Select Vestry exists, they are desired by the 59 Geo. III. c. 12, to conform to the directions of that vestry, but as the Act does not put an end to their responsibility or enact any penalty for their non-conformance, this clause, though productive of important results in practice, appears to want legal sanction.

The office is annual and sometimes lasts only six or four, or even three months, it being in some places the practice to appoint two or three, or even four every year, each of whom serves for only half a year or four months, or only three. The persons appointed are in general farmers in country places, and shopkeepers or manufacturers in towns.

If they refuse or neglect to serve they may be indicted or fined, but they receive no remuneration for serving.

Such agents must often be prevented by their other avocations, from giving the time necessary to the vigilant and effectual performance of their duties; neither diligence nor zeal are to be expected from persons on whom a disagreeable and unpaid office has been forced, and whose functions cease by the time that they have begun to acquire a knowledge of them, and even when zealous and diligent they must often fail from want of experience and skill. To these sources of mal-administration may be added the danger of the parochial fund being misapplied either in the way of actual embezzlement, or what is more frequent, through jobbing or partiality and favouritism, or through the desire of general popularity, or through the fear of general unpopularity, or of the hostility of particular individuals.

The only checks then on their profusion or partiality, or fraud, are the share which they bear as rate-payers in the burthen, and the necessity of annually submitting their accounts to the vestry, and having them allowed by the magistrates.

With respect to the former check, it is to be observed, first, that the increase or diminution of the rates of the whole parish, which one overseer can affect during his year, or half year, or three months of office, is in general so small, and his own individual share of that increase or diminution so trifling as to be an insufficient motive for making any real sacrifice or encountering any real danger; and secondly, that if, as an immediate employer of labour, he is interested in keeping down its price, he may gain, or think that he gains more by the reduction of wages than he loses by the rise of rates. With respect to the latter check, that arising from the necessity of having the accounts passed, it is to be observed that no form is prescribed for keeping these accounts, that sometimes they are merely entered on loose paper, and that in most cases they consist of a mere day book of receipt and expenditure without any statement of the grounds on which relief has been afforded, and often without stating even the names of the persons relieved.

Such

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OVERSEERS. Such accounts afford clues by which a person devoting himself to [illegible] investigation might in time ascertain the mode in which the fund had been administered, but on a cursory examination they tell nothing, and we shall see that they do receive only a cursory examination from the vestry of which the overseers themselves form a part, and are then passed as a matter of course by the justices.

On the other hand, if the overseers refuse relief or grant less than the applicant thinks himself entitled to, they may be summoned before the justices to defend themselves against the charge of inhumanity and oppression, and if they do not comply with the magistrates' order, they are punishable by indictment or fine, and unhappily the applicant who has been refused relief has frequently recourse to a much more summary remedy than the interference of the magistrates. The tribunal which enforces it sits not at the petty sessions but at the beer shop. It compels obedience not by summons and distress, but by violence and conflagration. The most painful and the most formidable portion of our evidence consists of the proof that in many districts the principal obstacle to improvement is the well founded dread of these atrocities.

The following extracts from the evidence will perhaps be more convincing than our general statement of its result.

"As a body, I found annual overseers wholly incompetent to discharge the duties of their office, either from the interference of private occupations, or from a want of experience and skill; but most frequently from both these causes. Their object is to get through the year with as little unpopularity and trouble as possible, their successors therefore have frequently to complain of demands left unsettled, and rates uncollected, either from carelessness or a desire to gain the trifling popularity of having called for fewer assessments than usual. In rural districts the overseers are farmers; in towns generally shopkeepers; and in villages usually one of each of those classes. The superiority of salaried assistant-overseers is admitted wherever they exist, and in nearly all the instances where a select vestry has fallen into desuetude, the assistant-overseer has been retained. In short, so bad is the annual system considered, that an enactment was frequently proposed for compelling all parishes to appoint and remunerate permanent overseers, to be removable in case of unfitness or misconduct." *

"Slaugham.

Population - - - - - 740 | Expenditure - - - £. 1,706.

"The above large sum of money is expended principally in orders on the village shops for flour, clothes, butter, cheese, &c.; the tradesmen serve the office of overseer by turns; the two last could neither read nor write." †

"With the exception of two or three instances in great towns, the overseers are tradesmen, shopkeepers and farmers, who complained universally of the vexatious demands on their time."

"The circumstances which were admitted to render the annual overseer inefficient were, change, difference of opinion in a successor or a colleague, and the appointment of persons who supply the poor with goods, and thus have a direct interest in giving them money from the poor rate. The relief that should be afforded to the industrious classes, by exonerating them from the heavy burthen of the duties of the compulsory overseer, is worthy of consideration. I met with one instance of a respectable farmer, who had been overseer 10 times in 16 years, because there was only one other person in the hamlet qualified to serve; and I cannot convey an idea of the dismay of another who, in the midst of harvest, when occupied in carrying wheat, and watching every cloud that passed, was called away by some parochial duty." ‡

"There are six overseers annually appointed; and it has been the practice, a very injurious one, in my belief, that each overseer should take the duty of relieving the poor for one month by turns, the consequence is, that all the evils which attach to the ordinary cases of overseers acting for a year, namely, their necessary ignorance of the parties with whom they have to deal, and their inability to give up sufficient time to become acquainted with them, are aggravated in a six-fold degree. When I state to the Commissioners what occurred to myself last month, the second month I took the duty, it will be seen how impossible it is that an overseer should know all that he ought to know about the parties whom he relieves.

"In

* Mr. Walcott's Report from North Wales, App. (A.) Part II.

† Mr. Majendie's Report from Sussex, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 180.

‡ Captain Chapman's Report from Somerset, Cornwall and parts of Devon, Gloucester and Wilts, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 476, 477.

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OVERSEERS.

"In that month I relieved with sums under 2*s.* 6*d.* each, 472 persons, whose families amounted in the aggregate to 1,097; this relief amounted to £.101. 13*s.* In sums of above 2*s.* 6*d.* each I distributed £.67 13*s.* 10½*d.* within the same period. This money was issued entirely at my own discretion; the parties were very nearly all the same persons that I relieved in the first month of my duty, when I saw them for the first time in my life; most of these parties were therefore relieved by me on the first occasion, upon evidence little better than that afforded by their own statements; and this must be the case with all other overseers annually appointed. It is a general complaint among overseers, at least among those who accept the office with the object of duly applying the parish funds, that it is impossible for them to do the duties assigned to them effectually.

"Besides the casual relief issued as above-mentioned, upon my sole responsibility, and without control, there were paid in the same month of December £.301. 12*s.* 9*d.* in weekly pensions, and £.122. 1*s.* 6*d.* for bastards."*

"We have no checks upon the payments made by our overseers either to the weekly casuals, or to the mere casual poor. In the course of my long experience I have known many overseers, men in trade or otherwise, who have been obliged to leave the management of the parochial fund, so far at least as regards the payments made to the casual poor, to their wives, children, or shopmen. It is a very common remark with overseers, 'Well, you have imposed a very unpleasant duty on me, and I shall endeavour to get through it with as much comfort to myself as possible.' Another objection is, that they are sometimes taken from poor neighbourhoods, in which case it commonly occurs that some of their customers are among the paupers who apply to them for relief."†

"I am one of the three annual overseers, who each take four months of duty. I am a tradesman, and I cannot give much time to inquiry; besides, as I am only employed four months, I cannot learn any thing of the habits and characters of the people."‡

"I would take from the annual overseers the administration of relief; first, because they are appointed for a year, and in many instances divide their time with their brother overseers, so as to restrict their periods of active service even to two or three months; and it is therefore quite impossible that they should acquire any adequate knowledge of the paupers with whom they have to do, and by whom they are in consequence imposed upon to a lamentable extent; next, because they are honorary officers who are generally dependent on other employments for their support, and whose whole time and attention cannot be given to the performance of their duties, even for those short periods during which they undertake to transact them; they therefore either neglect them, devolve them upon others, or perform them unwillingly; and lastly, because they are members of the parochial boards by which their conduct and accounts are, for the most part, to be canvassed and passed, and there is therefore only a very imperfect appeal as to their proceedings, either as regards the parish or the paupers."§

"I consider a great portion of the evils now found to exist in the operation of the Poor Laws may be ascribed to the discretionary power placed in individual irresponsible hands, and that the present laws might be rendered tolerable, and in some degree beneficial, if such power was taken from the hands of individuals and vested in a public board. My reasons for such an opinion are—

"1st. That as the office of churchwarden or overseer is generally filled by a tradesman (in the metropolitan parishes at least), frequently a retail tradesman, who is perhaps entirely dependent on the neighbourhood immediately around him for success in his business, it would be matter of wonderment in the mind of any man conversant at all with the world and human nature, if, in some cases at least, the funds which such persons have the right of disposing of with impunity are not dispensed at the dictation of other motives than the desire of relieving the distressed; if partiality towards particular individuals is not frequently found directing the hand which holds the parish purse; and if the funds are not often bestowed, from motives of self-interest, on most improper and undeserving objects belonging to the same religious society. I look upon the tradesman that fills the office of overseer as holding a place of temptation to serve his own interests, to show partiality to his own circle of favourites; and I am sure no man ever filled the office that was more just, upright and impartial than the discretionary powers appended to the office would lead men acquainted with mankind and social life to suppose or expect him to be. I say this much from personal proofs of its operation on a tradesman, being myself a tradesman. When I served the office of overseer I was incessantly importuned by persons that I knew had no need of it for assistance, or 'a trifle,' as they would say, or a pair of shoes, or some article of clothing, with this universally used argument in favour of their claim, 'I have *dealt* with you a many years, never lay out a farthing any where else, and I never *did* have anything from the parish; I *know* you can do it if you like, and it is nothing out of *your* pocket;' and they give pretty broad hints that if you do *not* comply with their requests, they will never lay out another farthing with *you*. I lost many customers by my non-compliance with their importunities, and I am certain that every overseer similarly situated must feel the same inconvenience

* Mr. Whipple, overseer of St. James, Clerkenwell, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 62.

† Mr. Wilkes, assistant clerk and overseer of St. Andrew Holborn-above-Bars and St. George the Martyr, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 70.

‡ Mr. Nicholson, overseer of Wandsworth, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 71.

§ Mr. Codd's Report on the Western Division of the Metropolis, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 73.

Printed in the digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OVERSEERS

vemence which I felt. Sometimes persons on whom you are in some way dependent apply to you in behalf of some of *their* favourites, and you are placed in a very awkward predicament as to how to act. You do not wish to offend your friend, and you do not wish to do wrongfully with the parish money. Here stands the balance of the matter; which of the two impressions kick the beam? By adopting one plan, you wrong the parish, and are an unworthy steward; by adopting the other, you perhaps sacrifice your best prospects in life, and injure your family." *

"Lewes is divided into seven parishes. There are 21 overseers of all the different trades and five poor-houses. The overseers are chosen from so low a class of petty tradesmen, that it is notorious that they use the balance of parish money in their hands to carry on their own businesses; being little removed above the paupers, they are not able to resist them, and there is the constant temptation to lavish relief supplied on the articles in which they deal. Jobbing of all sorts seems to prevail. Mechanics threaten to assault the officers if their demands are not acceded to. A select vestry has been tried in one parish; it was upset by the journeymen mechanics, who assembled in an overwhelming number; the same party objects to assistant overseers."†

"In Portsmouth there is no paid assistant. The overseers collect the rates. The situation though of no emolument, is generally canvassed for by the tradesmen."‡

"The present and late overseers of Great Grimsby, stated that they were aware of the bad state of the parish, but offered as an excuse that they were all retail tradesmen, and dependent on the lower orders for the principal part of their custom; and that, as they were totally unsupported by the authorities or the respectable part of the community, it might prove their ruin if they acted so as to acquire a character for harshness in the administration of the Poor Laws."§

"BEEDE.

Population - 1,046 | Rental - £. 2,035 | Expenditure - £. 2,606.

"The overseer says, that most of the relief is altogether unnecessary, but he is convinced that if an abatement were attempted his life would not be safe; he looks to the farmers for support, which they dare not give, considering their lives and property would be in danger."‖

"The tone assumed by the paupers towards those who dispense relief is generally very insolent, and often assumes even a more fearful character. At Great Gransden the overseer's wife told me, that two days before my visit there two paupers came to her husband demanding an increase of allowance; he refused them, showing at the same time that they had the full allowance sanctioned by the magistrates' scale; they swore, and threatened he should repent of it; and such was their violence that she called them back, and prevailed on her husband to make them further allowance. Mr. Faircloth, by a stricter system of relief, and affording more employment, reduced the rates at Croydon, he became unpopular among the labourers, and after the harvest they gathered in a riotous body about his thrashing machine, and broke it to pieces. At Guilden Morden, in the same neighbourhood, a burning took place of Mr. Butterfield's stacks, to the amount of £. 1,500 damage. Mr. Butterfield was overseer, and the magistrates have committed, on strong circumstantial evidence, a man to whom he had denied relief, because he refused to work for it. I have found, and it is not to be wondered at, that the apprehension of this dreadful and easily perpetrated mischief has very generally affected the minds of the rural parish officers, making the power of the paupers over the funds provided for their relief almost absolute, as regards any discretion on the part of the overseer." ¶

"The overseers are chiefly farmers, and continue in office only during the time prescribed by law, being desirous of getting rid, as speedily as possible, of an office in which they are exposed to unceasing importunity, and live in constant terror of having the threats of violence, which are uttered against them by the discontented, carried into execution. The destruction of property by fire has now become so common, that where men want resolution to be the ministers of their own vengeance, wretches are to be found who, for a trifling reward, will execute it for them. The insurance offices have been obliged to use extreme caution in insuring the property of any one who has once suffered from fire, as it is evident that he must, in some way, have made himself obnoxious. Cases are to be met with, where a farmer has been unable to renew his insurance. In consequence of this melancholy state of society in those parts of the country where fires have been frequent, instead of the well stocked farm yard, the farmer is obliged, in prudence, to place his stacks at a sufficient distance to prevent the fire from communicating, in order to diminish the loss to which every one is exposed."**

Further evidence can scarcely be wanted, but if it is required it will be found in abundance in our Appendix. But if there were no such evidence, if the results

* Mr. Chadwick's Report. Evidence of Mr. Brushfield, of Spitalfields, Appendix (A) Part II.
† Mr. Majendie's Report from Sussex, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 182.
‡ Captain Pringle's Report from Hants, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 291.
§ Major Wylde's Report from Lincoln, Appendix (A.) Part II.
‖ Mr. Majendie's Report from Sussex, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 201.
¶ Mr. Power's Report from Cambridge, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 245
** Mr. Stuart's Report from Suffolk, Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 350–381.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

[illegible] of the experiment were not known, what could have been expected from functionaries almost always reluctant, unless indeed when their object is fraud, who neither come to their office with knowledge nor retain it long enough to acquire knowledge, who have little time and still less motive for attention to its duties, on whom every temptation to misconduct has been accumulated, who have to give or to refuse public money to their own workmen, dependents, customers, debtors, relations, friends, and neighbours, who are exposed to every form of solicitation and threat, who are rewarded for profusion by ease and popularity, and punished for economy by labour, odium, and danger to their properties and even their persons.

ASSISTANT OVERSEERS.

The 59 Geo. III. c. 12, authorized the appointment of paid and permanent overseers to act as the assistants of the annual overseers. It appears by the returns of 1831, that they were then employed by not less than 3,249 parishes. And the reports of the Assistant Commissioners are unanimous as to their general utility.

"I perceive no difference," says Mr. Okeden, "in the management of the poor in towns and villages, except that where there is an assistant overseer the management is the best."*

"Considerable saving," says Mr. Maclean, "has been effected in those parishes which have adopted the plan of paying and retaining permanently, though subject to annual re-election, an assistant overseer. I have invariably found these persons very intelligent, zealous, and, when properly encouraged and looked after, useful and economical to a parish."†

Captain Chapman states that in the district investigated by him (Cornwall, Devonshire, and parts of Somersetshire and Wiltshire,)

"Assistant overseers had been appointed in most of the larger parishes, and were found so much superior to the annual overseer, as to be much on the increase. I only met with one instance in which the assistant overseer had been discontinued, viz. at Ashburton, where there had been great want of unanimity among the rate-payers; and the select vestry had also been discontinued, after having been adopted many years. The result was stated to be, great difficulty in finding persons qualified to act as overseers, and an immediate increase in the poor-rate.

"Two instances came under my notice in large towns, where the assistant overseers had been suspected of embezzlement, and removed; but they had been replaced by others, and thus gave proof of the conviction of the parishioners, of the superiority of the paid over the annual overseer.

"Some instances occurred, in which the assistant overseers had received the thanks of the vestry for their exertions, and a few, in which they had received a gratuity, in addition to their salaries.

"The assistant overseers were invariably intelligent, attentive, zealous, possessing great knowledge of the laws, and thus preventing litigation, and saving expense. I found them frequently made the referee and oracle by ordinary overseers of the surrounding parishes. In St. Austel and Exeter, this was strikingly brought before me; on market-days the overseers apply in every difficulty to the assistant overseer at St. Austel, and in the same manner to the assistant treasurer in Exeter. Their efficiency, activity, and intelligence, when compared with those of the annual overseer, were so superior, as to lead one to consider the introduction of the paid overseer the greatest improvement in the management of the poor, and that its universal adoption is one of the first steps towards any important amendment." ‡

A similar opinion as to the necessity of appointing a paid overseer, is expressed by Mr. Codd,§ by Messrs. Cameron & Wrottesley,‖ Mr. Majendie,¶ Mr. Power,** Mr. Moylan,†† Capt. Pringle,‡‡ Mr. Stuart,§§ Mr. Richardson,‖‖ Mr. Tweedy,¶¶ Mr. Everett,*** Mr. Lewis,††† Mr. Walcott. ‡‡‡

It is to be observed, however, that under the statute, the adoption, the nomination, the continuance, and the salary of an assistant overseer depend on the vestry, and that the vestry, not the law, is "to determine and specify the duties to be by him

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 14. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 356 ‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 476.
§ App. (A.) Part I. p. 72. ‖ App. (A.) Part I. p. 151 ¶ App. (A.) Part I. p. 168.
** App. (A.) Part I. p. 264. †† App. (A.) Part I. p. 280 ‡‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 326.
§§ App. (A.) Part I. p. 350. ‖‖ App. (A.) Part I. p. 413 ¶¶ App. (A.) Part I.
*** App. (A.) Part I. p. 685. ††† App. (A.) Part I. p. 665 ‡‡‡ App. (A.) Part II.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OVERSEERS. him executed and performed." A more perfect state of subserviency can scarcely exist. Whatever may be the vigilance and impartiality of an officer so appointed and paid, he cannot prevent the grossest extravagance or jobbing on the part of those who are in fact his masters, the vestry and the annual overseers; he may refuse his aid, but cannot interpose the slightest resistance. No refusal on his part can indeed be expected; it must be made at the risk of his place, and for the purpose of diminishing rates to which his contribution, if he contribute at all, must be trifling; nor could a profuse or corrupt vestry find any difficulty in selecting a willing instrument for their purposes. The testimonies which we have cited in favour of the assistant overseers, prove, however, that this is seldom the case, and it probably may be accounted for by the circumstance, that in the worst parishes an assistant overseer is not appointed, The adoption of such an officer may generally be considered a symptom of a desire, on the part of the rate-payers, for improvement. It follows, indeed, that those parishes in which the services of a strict and uncorrupt officer are most wanted, are precisely those in which such an officer is the least likely to be appointed or continued. This is the necessary imperfection of the permissive legislation of the 59th Geo. III. a statute which appears, from all our inquiries, to have been so useful where it has been adopted, that we cannot but regret that its adoption should depend on the will of a body so constituted as a vestry.

II. VESTRIES.

VESTRIES. VESTRIES are either open, composed of all the rate-payers who choose to attend; or representative, appointed by virtue of a local Act, or under the 59 Geo. III. c. 12; or self-appointed, either by prescription or a local Act.

1. OPEN VESTRIES.

1. Open Vestries. THE legal powers of an open Vestry are subject to the doubt and obscurity which seem to be peculiarly attendant on our Poor-law legislation. The 43 of Elizabeth vests the whole power, and imposes the whole responsibility on the overseers; and though the 3 & 4 Will. & Mary, c. 11, s. 11, by directing the parishioners to meet yearly in vestry, in order to make a list of the persons whom *they* shall *allow* to receive collection, and the 9 Geo. I. c. 7, s. 1, by forbidding a justice to order relief until oath has been made by the pauper that he has applied to the parishioners, assembled in vestry, or to two of the overseers, and has been refused, appear to imply in the vestry an authority as to giving and refusing relief, equal or even superior to that of the overseer; yet, as these Statutes do not sanction the overseers in giving the relief which has been ordered by the vestry, or indemnify them for refusing what the vestry will not allow, and as they give to the vestry no power either to raise or to distribute the parochial funds, it is very difficult to say what is the legal authority as to matters of relief of an open vestry, or whether such a body has now in fact on such matters any legal authority at all. It appears, however, both from the Reports of the Assistant Commissioners and from the Answers to numbers 33, 34 and 35 of the printed Rural Queries, and 10, 11, 12 of the printed Town Queries, that almost every where the practical influence of the vestry is very great; that it forms, in fact, the ruling authority of the parish, a sort of council of government of which the overseers are members, and generally the most influential members, but voting among the others, and submitting to be controlled by the majority.

The vestry consists exclusively of the rate-payers, that is, of the actual occupiers of lands and houses; the owner, unless an occupier, not having, except in the few cases in which he is rated under the 59 Geo. III. c. 12, a right even to be present. If we were now framing a system of Poor Laws, and it were proposed that a great part of the principal contributors to the fund for the relief of the poor should be excluded from all share in its management, and even from all power of objecting to its administration, and that the control should vest in an irresponsible body, many of whom should have little interest on its permanent diminution, what jobbing profusion and malversation would be anticipated from such an arrangement. But such is the existing system. We have seen how slight, in

Printed image digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

VESTRIES.
1. Open Vestries.

in ordinary cases, is the interest of the majority of the rate-payers in the permanent reduction of rates. And yet this check, such as it is, is the only one to which vestries are subject. In every other respect they form the most irresponsible bodies that ever were entrusted with the performance of public duties, or the distribution of public money. They render no account; no record need be kept of the names of the persons present, or of their speeches or their votes; they are not amenable, whatever be the profusion or malversation which they have sanctioned or ordered or turned to their own advantage. On the other hand, they have all the motives for mal-administration which we have ascribed to the overseers. Each vestryman, so far as he is an immediate employer of labour, is interested in keeping down the rate of wages, and in throwing part of their payment on others, and, above all, on the principal object of parochial fraud, the tithe-owner; if he is the owner of cottages, he endeavours to get their rent paid by the parish; if he keeps a shop, he struggles to get allowance for his customers or debtors; if he deals in articles used in the workhouse, he tries to increase the workhouse consumption; if he is in humble circumstances, his own relations or friends may be among the applicants; and, since the unhappy events of 1830, he feels that any attempt to reduce the parochial expenditure may endanger his property and person.

We shall proceed to illustrate these views by some passages from the Evidence contained in the Appendix. Mr. Majendie states generally, in the outset of his Report from East Sussex, East Surrey, Kent and Essex, that the bad constitution of parish vestries, particularly when in the hands of small farmers, where there is no resident proprietor, and where the clergyman takes no part, seems to be the cause of the bad condition of the worst parishes which he visited.* Among the parishes, the state of which confirms this remark, are—

Lindfield, in which the

"Jobbing in the supply of the workhouse was once carried to the fullest extent. The farmers sent in all the different articles, corn, pork, fuel, &c. and charged their own price; they sent favourite labourers for relief, which was paid to them in produce; they hired cottages with their farms, and underlet them to their labourers at £.6 and £.7, which was paid out of the parish purse: thus some farmers, what with rents and the supply of the workhouse, paid all their rates, and had money besides to receive from the parish; high rates furnished an irresistible argument against the rents of the proprietor, who if absent himself and not represented by an agent, his own tenant acting in collusion against him, found his property wasted away by a conspiracy which he had no means of detecting. A gentleman of considerable estate, with the assistance of an occupier who was a man of education and intelligence, determined to put a stop to this: they attended every vestry; they cleared off the debts, and reduced the rates from 15*s.* to 6*s.* 6*d.* in the pound."†

——Marden, formerly one of the most prosperous parishes in Kent, in which the rates are now more than £.2 per head on the whole population, being about four times the average expenditure throughout England, in consequence of the opposition to tithes on the part of the farmers, and their determination to throw on the lessee of the great tithes part of the payment of wages; ‡ and Great Hawkesley, in which, while a dispute concerning tithes continued, the rates amounted to £.1,800 a year, a principal farmer hired the tithes, and made an arrangement with the occupiers, and they then fell to £.1,000. §

"Sometimes," says Mr. Power, "we shall find the lessee of a term, or the small capitalist, ground to the earth by the immediate pressure of the rates, and bearing perhaps more than his share of the parochial ruin, complaining, but helpless; and sometimes we shall find the substantial farmer, though paying enormous sums yearly in the support of a stagnant labouring population around him, apparently indifferent (particularly when a yearly tenant) to that circumstance, and seeming in fact to feel that he finds his account in the Poor Laws and their mischievous operation. He views the poor-rates in the light of a deduction from his rent, and usually he has good grounds for that consideration; and in estimating the amount of that deduction, it is seldom taken into account by a considerate landlord, that a supply of cheap labour, expeditious harvests, excellent roads, and other advantages are derived by the farmer from the very source in respect of which he claims his deduction. Accordingly we hear this class of persons constantly complaining, not of the poor-rates, but of the insufficient price of corn; they would pay their poor-rates with pleasure, they say, and their rent too, could they only get a fair price for their wheat; and I believe them. But little interest or exertion in reduction of the rates can be expected from such a class of persons when administering relief." ‖

Captain

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 168. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 181. ‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 209.
§ App. (A.) Part I. p. 232. ‖ App. (A.) Part I. p. 249.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

VESTRIES.

1. Open Vestries.

Captain Pringle states, that

"The persons who sway the vestries would, from what I have observed in many instances, be averse to any measures that would render the labourer independent of parish assistance, which, by keeping him to its confines, retains him always at their command when wanted for urgent work.

"In nearly all the agricultural parishes, it will be found that by indirect modes the householders pay a portion of the wages of farm labourers; clothes, shoes, payment of rents, allowances for children, are, when such subjects are brought forward in vestries, not allowed to be a payment of wages; and I have heard it observed, Why should the farmers keep their labourers all the year, to save the gentlemen and householders from poor-rates?" *

Mr. Stuart states, that

"The small size of the parishes in Suffolk renders the administration of the poor fund by the parochial authorities liable to many abuses, and to much individual hardship. The administration being vested, almost exclusively, in those who are the sole employers of labour, offers temptations to them to pervert it to their own advantage, by making it an instrument for reducing wages, or throwing part of that charge off their own shoulders on others. As each parish forms a small and separate society, the paupers are able to urge their demands with more frequency and violence on their immediate neighbours, which subjects the parish officers to the influence of fear or favouritism. When a farmer is about to quit his occupation, he gives the least possible cultivation to the land, which throws the men usually employed on the farm on the parish for support, to the loss of those who are to remain. When any individual chooses to quarrel with the parson, he gratifies his spite by having the tithes rated, and then pays off all his labourers who have settlements in the parish, and hires men from other parishes, for the purpose of being revenged by the heavy contribution which will fall on the parson, although it is to his own hurt and that of all his neighbours. I visited a parish in which one or two farmers conspired together in this way, in order to force the clergyman to abate his tithes, although his demand was considered reasonable by the majority of the occupiers. The dispute was accommodated within six months by the mediation of the principal landlord, but it cost the parish an extra 2*s.* 6*d.* rate, which the clergyman paid for those who did not enter into the conspiracy. In another parish, where a similar state of things had existed for a series of years (owing to the spite of the principal farmer); after the parties had nearly ruined each other, they came to terms, and the expenditure fell from a fluctuating amount of from £.700 to £.1,000 a year to from £.350 to £.400."† And he adds, on the other hand, "that in the large parishes it is seldom that a sufficient unanimity exists in the vestry meetings, to enable them to form any plan which may promote the general welfare of the parish. There are so many petty and conflicting interests to be accommodated, that these meetings are scenes of angry contention and violent debate, which end in nothing, and disgust the respectable portion of the inhabitants, who resign themselves to endure the evils which they cannot cure. The occupier being the direct payer of the rates, he imagines that they ought to be entirely under his dominion, and views with jealousy the interference of any other party; as, however, they ultimately fall on the proprietor, and are often used as an argument for a reduction of rent, it seems but just that the landlord should be admitted to some control over them. In my attempts to ascertain the causes of the difference of expenditure in one part of the country, as compared with another, it has frequently been assigned to me as one reason, that many of the occupiers of land being proprietors as well, it was quite contrary to their interests to allow the corruptions which prevailed where the tenant has an unlimited control. I have been told that meetings of vestry have been held when the rates have been diminishing, for the purpose of considering whether they were not getting too low." ‡

"The members of vestries," says Captain Chapman, "in the rural parishes generally consisted of farmers and tradesmen, on whom, in consequence of the diminished number of resident gentry, the administration of the Poor Laws devolves more and more, in proportion as the Continent and cities absorb the more educated classes. A great proportion of the rate-payers, and those who take an active part in the vestry, are persons who have only a temporary interest in the parish, and who are thus naturally averse to incur any extra expense from which they might not receive benefit, although productive of great ultimate good. In most parishes there are also a proportion to whom the poor-rate is a convenience, who employ the smallest possible number of steady labourers, and depend upon the parish to supply the additional demand which they require periodically." §

"Where every body," says Mr. Everett, "complains of the amount and burthen of the poor-rates, it might be expected that any plan which promised with any chance of success to diminish the burthen, only required to be known to be adopted. In practice, however, it is found to be otherwise; and those parishes which are the most heavily burthened, are generally the least ready to listen to any suggestions for improving their condition, or to adopt any different system of management from the one they have been accustomed to. It cannot fail to be remarked, however, that in those parishes in which the poor-rates have been reduced under an improved system of management, the new system has originated either with the clergyman or some resident proprietor of the parish, and not with the tenants or principal rate-payers.

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 297. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 331. ‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 351. § App. (A.) Part I. p. 478.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

VESTRIES.
1. Open Vestries.

[illegible]ers, who are frequently the greatest opponents of any change of management. In most agricultural parishes the entire management of the poor is entrusted to those of the farmers who are the principal occupiers of the land, and whose interest in the parish, and consequently in the poor-rates, is limited by the probable duration of their tenancy, and who, though the largest immediate payers of the rates, are no more the ultimate payers of these imposts than they are of tithes. It cannot be wondered at that measures for reducing the amount of the poor-rates, which have been tried with success in particular parishes, and which in all probability would be attended with the desired effect in other parishes similarly situated, are not more frequently adopted, if it is a fact, that the persons who have the control of the expenditure of the poor-rates have not only not the greatest, but no material interest in the reduction."*

"I shall not here attempt," says Mr. Day, "to investigate how far the magistrates have merited the censures that have been bestowed upon them; but I will take upon myself to say, that whatever blame attaches (and much somewhere, I fear, there is) is to be visited in at least equal degrees on parish officers and parish vestries. I was present at a vestry where a material alteration in the management of the parish was proposed. It met, as I had anticipated, with opposition, and an extended discussion ensued. In the course of it a friend of mine, a magistrate of considerable experience, and also a practical farmer, said to one of the principal renting occupiers in the parish, 'Why, Mr. Spencer, you know perfectly well, as a man of business, if you will have the candour to avow it, that the tenantry are interested in *high nominal expenditure*.' To my surprise he did avow it, and replied, 'I admit, sir, that is perfectly true.' In short, both from my own experience, and from what I have known in other instances, I am satisfied, in the long run, that however an individual may succeed in stemming pauperism for a time, he will generally ultimately be beaten. There are few who will long endure the bear-garden of a parish vestry. And to point out one amongst many of the motives that influence these meetings, I shall mention only two parishes in this county, Herstmonceux and Pulborough, where the whole labour has been thrown upon the rates, for the *avowed* purpose of fighting the parson." †

The whole subject is explained, with the clearness and force which are to be found only when a witness is detailing the results of his own experience, in the following evidence:—

"Examination of Mr. *John Mann*, of *Easthourne*.

"How long have you been a parishioner of this parish?—I have lived in this parish 35 years, and I have been a member of the select vestry 14 years.

"Have you been a frequent attendant at the vestry?—I very seldom miss attending.

"How long have you farmed land in the parish?—About 20 years.

"Of whom do you hold your land?—The land which I farm is my own.

"Of what class are the majority of persons attending the vestry?—Chiefly the smaller farmers. A few tradesmen do attend occasionally.

"Do any of the landowners or of the gentry attend?—No. Now and then a steward will attend.

"Would the attendance of the proprietors be liked by the small farmers?—No; I am convinced that it would not be liked by the farmers.

"Are you, from your habits of intercourse with the farmers of this district, well acquainted with their sentiments?—Yes, I am.

"To what do you ascribe the fact, if fact it be, that the attempts to procure additional labour for the paupers in this parish, and to obtain a more efficient management and a considerable reduction of the poor's-rates, have been generally coldly received or thwarted, or openly opposed and defeated? Take time to consider your answer.—I know that the farmers would sooner have high rates and low rents, than high rents and low rates; that, I believe, is the general feeling. The farmers like that their men should be paid from the poor-book.

"If the farmers had the option of paying 75 per cent. in poor's-rates, and 25 per cent. in rent, or 75 per cent. in rent and 25 per cent. in poor's-rates, which do you believe they would prefer?—The low rents and the high rates, undoubtedly.

"Have you ever heard them state this sentiment openly?—Yes, openly in the vestry.

"Have you heard them declare this since 1830?—Yes, and before that time too.

"How low do you think the farmers would be willing to have the rates reduced?—I do not believe they would be willing or care much to have them reduced much more than they have been; the great farmers in particular I do not think want them reduced. Whilst the rates are as they are, they can always get what hands they want extra, and as soon as it rains they can turn them all on to the parish again; and besides that, they can make the shopkeepers, the lodging-house keepers, and other persons pay a proportion of the wages of the men they turn off. Sometimes they have taken men off the parish for half a day, and have made the parish pay for the other half of the day.

"Do the farmers consider that they have a permanent interest in the land?—No: there they have not; they hold mostly from year to year, and hardly consider themselves as more than birds of passage.

"Do

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 631. † App. (C.)

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

VESTRIES.
1. Open Vestries.

"Do they not see, as a result of this system, the total pauperization of the whole of the labouring population, and the total destruction of all property, unless some strong measures be taken to save it?—They feel no danger; as soon as they find that they are losing money, they can go. I have no doubt this is their feeling. Their whole course of conduct shows it, though they do not express as much." *

2. REPRESENTATIVE VESTRIES.

2. Representative Vestries.

THE 59 Geo. III c. 12, s. 1, authorizes the inhabitants of any parish in vestry assembled to elect not more than 20 or less than five substantial householders, who, together with the minister, churchwardens and overseers, after having been appointed by a magistrate, are to form the select vestry of the parish; they are directed to meet every 14 days or oftener, and to inquire into and determine the proper objects of relief, and the nature and amount of the relief to be given. The overseers are desired to conform to their directions; and where such a vestry exists, the magistrates are forbidden to order relief until it has been proved to the satisfaction of two justices, that the applicant is in want, and has been refused adequate relief by the select vestry, or that the select vestry has not assembled as directed by the Act. "Provided always," adds the Act, in its usual spirit of qualification, "that it shall be lawful for any justice to make an order for relief in any case of urgent necessity to be specified in such order." A subsequent clause directs them to keep minutes of their proceedings, which are to be laid before all the inhabitants in general vestry assembled, twice in every year.

The Act seems to be deficient in not defining the relative powers of the select vestry, and the overseers. Though the overseers are directed to conform to the directions of the vestry, yet if they refuse, as is sometimes the case, the vestry appears to have no power of compelling their obedience. The attendance of the different members is purely voluntary, and the Act does not expressly require it to be recorded; and there appears reason to suspect that the frequency of the meetings directed by the Act (once every 14 days or oftener) is in some places injurious. The return from Shenley, Herts, to question 33, of the Rural Queries, states that—

"The select vestry, being bound to meet too often, has been abolished. It worked well for some years; then attendance grew remiss, except by a very few. It was a call to paupers from an ale-house for relief. The monthly vestry suffices." †

"It was a very general opinion," says Captain Chapman, "that frequent meetings of the vestry only tended to encourage applications, and to increase dependance on the poor rate. In St. Thomas the Apostle, which is under Gilbert's Act, the vestry meets only monthly; and the experiment has been tried of occasionally omitting to do so, and was found to diminish the number of applicants." ‡

"In South Petherwin the select vestry meets every fortnight; but it was thought there would be less pauperism if it met once a month, as a number of idle and worthless people always attend, whether they want any thing or not, on the chance of getting something. In proof of this, it had been found that the demand for clothing, which was issued every fortnight, was materially on the increase; the vestry limited such applications to a quarterly meeting; the demand diminished; and there was a saving of full £. 50 per annum, or one-third of the expenditure. The vestry meets at two o'clock, which was considered a very important arrangement, not only as regards the poor, but the members of the vestry. When they met at five o'clock in the evening, it was a scene of noise and confusion; those within, noisy and quarrelsome, those without, rebellious and insubordinate." §

Notwithstanding these defects, we feel bound by the general result of our evidence to express our concurrence in the third Resolution of the House of Commons Committee on Vestries, "That the Acts under which the rate-payers are empowered to elect a committee for the management of their parochial concerns, have proved highly beneficial." But after admitting the superiority of select over open vestries, we are inclined to believe that that superiority arises principally from their comparative freedom from magisterial interference, the presence of the clergyman, and the regular minutes kept of their proceedings. They are selected from the same persons who form the open vestry, and are subject, therefore, to the same corrupting influences. They are equally free from responsibility for the abuses which they may have permitted or continued, or even introduced.

* Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II.
† App. (A.) Part I. p. 479.
† App. (B. 1.) p. 224 c.
§ App. (A.) Part I. p. 481.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

introduced. The Act gives no remedy against them, and it would be absurd to suppose that they could be checked by the fear of not being re-elected to a gratuitous, troublesome, and invidious office. In fact, when we consider the constituency by which they are elected, it appears probable that a profuse or mischievously directed administration must often be what that constituency would approve, and that attempts to prevent the payment of wages out of rates, to rate cottages, or even to prevent the parish from being surety to the cottage landlord, to reduce the allowances of the customers to the village shop or the beer-house, to diminish the profit arising from the workhouse expenditure, or to incur any present expenditure for future purposes, must in many places expose a select vestryman to immediate unpopularity, and ultimately prevent his re-election. In places where a constituency actuated by such motives predominates, a select vestry, though it may be an improvement, is not likely to be a great improvement over an open one.

VESTRIES. c. Representative Vestries.

Mr. Wilson * has furnished a list of the select vestry of Morpeth in 1832. Out of the 20 persons composing it, one is a brewer, two are brewers' clerks, five are publicans, two beer-shop keepers, and one a porter-seller, so that 11, or a majority of the whole number are interested in the sale of beer; and the mother of one, the wife of another, and the uncle, aunt, and cousins of a third, are paupers. We cannot wonder to find it stated that the better class of vestrymen retired in disgust from the interested clamour of their colleagues. It appears from Mr. Power's Report, † that a similarly constituted body was elected at St. Andrew-the-less, Cambridge, where the small rate-payers assembled in great numbers, called a low mechanic to the chair, and nominated persons whose appointment the magistrates refused to sign. More frequently, however, where the small rate-payers form the majority in value, the open vestry refuses to appoint a representative body. Such has been the case at Knaresborough, ‡ at Lewes, § and in other places mentioned in the Reports. On the other hand, where the majority in value is composed of any class having a peculiar interest, they have the power of forming themselves into a select vestry for the purpose of favouring that interest. Mr. Majendie states, that at Eastbourne, to the condition of which we have had so often to refer, the farmers constitute the select vestry, and are often tenants at will.

The following extract from Mr. Maclean's Report from Surrey and Sussex, gives a general view of the difficulties which in that district oppose the introduction or continuance, and diminish the utility of the representative vestries.

"In many parishes the system of a select vestry has, after an experiment of a year or two, or sometimes of a few months, been abandoned, and the cause of their being so is not to be ascribed so much to any defect in themselves, or in the Act under which they are established, as to the remissness of the members in their attendance. Many were abandoned at the time of the riotous proceedings in the winter of 1830–31, when the lawless and outrageous meetings of the agricultural labourers, and in some instances their attacks upon the vestry, produced an intimidation and fear of consequences which paralysed the exertions of some, and disinclined other members to incur the odium, or expose themselves to the vengeance openly threatened against the persons or property of those whom the rioters chose to consider active in the administration of the parochial funds.

"The unpopularity of an extra rate, or of any unavoidable expense, is visited upon the heads of the members of the select vestry, and as these increase with the distresses of the parish, the accumulated odium disgusts and drives from their offices, and generally from an interference in parochial matters, those who from situation, time or intelligence, are best calculated to inquire into the condition and relieve the wants of the poor.

"In other places select vestries became unpopular, as their establishment, and their being in the hands of the higher class of rate-payers, cut off from many those opportunities for jobbing and favouritism which had been considered in some degree a return for the amount paid by them in rate, and had been justified by usage.

"After the determination of a select and a return to an open vestry, I invariably found the latter state of the parish which had made the exchange, worse than the former. The causes of the discontinuance of a select vestry being as stated above, the consequence is obvious, *i. e.* a withdrawal on the part of all the respectable rate-payers of their time and attention from the concerns of the parish, and a triumphant recurrence to the old and pernicious system, which had been abandoned on account of its glaring abuses and inadequacy to do justice between those who pay and those who receive the rates.

"In

* Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 129.
† Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 239.
‡ Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 780.
§ Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 182.

Printed in Great Britain by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

VESTRIES 2. Representative Vestries.

"In the parish of *Epsom* a select vestry was established in 1823, which has continued down to the present year; and very material advantage has arisen to the inhabitants, both from the reduction which was effected in the scale of expenditure, the number of applications to the parish, and the general conduct of the town-class of parishioners. This year, however, in their Report, the select vestry express as their 'decided opinion, which every year's experience has strengthened, that the select vestry system is the best possible mode of conducting the management of the poor and the poor-rates; but at the same time they consider, that from want of support from their parishioners, an efficient select vestry cannot be formed.'"*

We regret to add, that the general result of these causes has been to diminish the number of select vestries, and that in an increasing ratio. The number for the last six years stands thus:—

In the year 1827	2,868	In the year 1830	2,725
1828	2,823	1831	2,535
1829	2,736	1832	2,391

3. SELF-APPOINTED VESTRIES.

3. Self-appointed Vestries.

The worst constituted vestries appear, as might be expected, to be those which are self-elected; some of them are exposed to all the temptations to misconduct which affect either open or representative vestries, and all are free from the control, such as it is, of a constituency; their mal-administration also, whether arising from error or corruption, is more likely to become permanent. The system of an open or a representative vestry is always liable to exposure and interruption from new members, whose interests, or opinions or principles prevent their sanctioning the existing abuses: but in a self-elected body, abuses are apt to become settled traditionary rules; all candidates who are supposed to be opposed to them being carefully rejected. It is a great misfortune that the same name, that of Select Vestries, has been applied both to representative and to self-constituted vestries, and that the adoption of the former is often prevented by the odium which not unjustly adheres to the latter. Both are, in fact, select vestries; but the difference in the modes of selection occasions representative vestries to be beneficial, and self-elected vestries to be mischievous

III. MAGISTRATES.

MAGISTRATES.

We have seen that the early statutes of Elizabeth gave extensive powers to the justices. The 5 Elizabeth enabled them to tax an obstinate person according to their good discretion. The 14th directed them to select the objects of relief, to tax all the inhabitants in their divisions, and to appoint collectors to make delivery of the contributions according to the discretion of the justices. This discretionary power, however, did not long continue. The 39 Eliz. c. 3, and the 43 Eliz. c. 2, which in this respect as in most others merely repeats the 39 Elizabeth, after having directed the justices to appoint overseers, impose on the overseers the whole business of raising and distributing relief, and give to the justices no further authority than that which is implied by the direction that the overseers, in certain parts of their duty, shall act "by and with the consent of two or more justices." A direction which appears to give to the justices only a negative authority: an authority to forbid but not to command. Nearly a century elapsed before their power was enlarged; and it may be a question whether the 3 & 4 Will. & Mary, c. 11, which is the foundation of their present power to order relief, was intended to produce any such result. The object of that statute was to check parochial profusion. It recites in words which we might now adopt as a part of this Report,—

"That many inconveniences do daily arise by reason of the unlimited power of the overseers, who do frequently, upon frivolous pretences, but chiefly for their own private ends, give relief to what persons and number they think fit; which persons being entered on the collection bill, become a great charge on the parish, notwithstanding the occasion or pretence of their receiving collection often ceases, by which means the rates are daily increased, contrary to the true intent of the Statute made in the 43d year of the reign of Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth, intituled, 'An Act for the Relief of the Poor.' "For remedy of which, and for preventing like abuses in future," it enacts, "That books be kept in every parish wherein the names of all such persons as receive collection shall be registered, with the day when they

* Appendix (A.) Part 1. p. 558.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

they were first admitted to have relief, and the occasion which brought them under that *necessity*; and that yearly, in Easter week, the parishioners shall meet in vestry, before whom the book shall be produced; and all persons receiving collection called over, and the reasons for their taking relief examined; and a new list made of such persons as *they* shall think fit to *allow* to receive collection; and that no other person shall receive collection, but by *authority* under the hand of one justice of peace residing within such parish, or if none be there dwelling, in the parts near or next adjoining, or by *order* of the justices in quarter sessions, except in cases of pestilential disease." MAGISTRATES

If the framers of the Act had intended to make in the law the enormous change which these few words "but by the authority under the hand of one justice" effected, if they had intended to vest in a single justice not necessarily resident within the parish, or acquainted with its concerns, the power to order the overseer to distribute as the justice might think fit, the property of the rate-payers, it can scarcely be supposed that they would have introduced an enactment of such importance by way of exception at the end of a clause, or prefixed to it so irrelevant a preamble. The real meaning of these words seems to have been the same as that of the similar words in the 43d of Elizabeth (the Statute to which the 3d William & Mary had previously referred), "by and with the consent of two justices." The overseers were not to relieve any but those whom the vestry had thought fit to allow to receive collection, except under the authority of a justice, that is, when authorized by him. The Act gives a single justice no power to do more than to sanction the conduct of the overseer; to protect him in acting, but not, according even to the words, and much less according to the spirit, to order him to act. The power to *order* is given to the justices in quarter sessions, and to them alone. This construction appears to us to be supported by the 8 & 9 Will. c. 30; that Act,—

"To the end that the money raised *only for the relief of such as are as well impotent as poor*, may not be misapplied and consumed by the idle, sturdy and disorderly beggars," enacts, that "every person, who after the 1st September 1697 shall be upon the collection, and receive relief of any parish, and the wife and children of any such person cohabiting in the same house, (such child only excepted as shall be *by the churchwardens and overseers of the poor permitted to live at home*, in order to have the care of and attend an impotent and helpless parent) shall wear on the shoulder a large roman P, together with the first letter of the name of the parish whereof such person is an inhabitant; and if such person neglect or refuse, it shall be lawful for any justice of the county, city or liberty where such offence shall be committed, to punish such offender by ordering his or her relief, or usual allowance, or the collection, to be abridged, suspended or withdrawn."

It will be observed that the Act considers the question whether the child of a pauper shall or shall not be permitted to live at home, as a question to be decided by the overseers, and that the power which it gives to the justice is to order not that relief shall be given, but that it shall be abridged, suspended or withdrawn. But though this seems to be the natural interpretation of the 3 Will. & Mary, c. 11, a different construction was applied to it. This appears from the preamble of the next Act on the subject, the 9 Geo. I. c. 7; that Act recites—

"That under colour of the proviso in the 3 & 4 Will. & Mary, many persons have applied to some justices of peace, without the knowledge of any officers of the parish, and thereby upon untrue suggestions, and sometimes upon false or frivolous pretences, have obtained relief which hath greatly contributed to the increase of the parish rates;" For remedy whereof it enacts, "That no justice of the peace shall order relief to any poor person until oath be made before such justice of some matter, which he shall judge to be a reasonable cause or ground for having such relief, and that the same person had by himself or some other, applied for relief to the parishioners of the parish, at some vestry or other public meeting of the said parishioners, or to two of the overseers of the poor of such parish, and was by them refused to be relieved, and until such justice hath summoned two of the overseers of the poor to show cause why such relief should not be given, and the person so summoned hath been heard or made default to appear before such justice." And further, "that the person whom any such justice of peace shall think fit to order to be relieved, shall be entered in such book or books so to be kept by the parish, as one of those who is to receive collection, as long as the cause for such relief continues, and no longer."

The history of the Poor Laws abounds with instances of a legislation which has been worse than unsuccessful, which has not merely failed in effecting its purposes, but has been active in producing effects which were directly opposed to them, has created whatever it was intended to prevent, and fostered whatever it was intended to discourage. Thus the 3 & 4 Will. & Mary, which was passed to check the profusion of overseers, to enable the parishioners to decide whom *they* should think fit

Printed on acid-free paper. The University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

MAGISTRATES.

and *allow* to receive relief was construed as authorizing the justices to order relief to those who applied to them without the knowledge of the parish officers; and the Act which was passed to remedy this abuse enabled the justice, on the pauper's statement of some matter which the justice should judge to be a reasonable cause or ground for relief, to summon the overseers to show cause why relief should not be given, and to order such relief as *he* should think fit. An order against which there is no appeal.

One clause in the 9 Geo. I. was, however, efficient in promoting the objects of the Act, that which enabled parishes to purchase or hire, or unite in purchasing or hiring, a workhouse, and to contract for the maintenance there of their poor, and enacted that any persons who should refuse to be lodged in such houses should not be entitled to receive collection or relief. An enactment which, while it was in operation, appears to have checked the increase of pauperism, and in many instances to have occasioned its positive diminution.

But towards the end of the last century, a period arrived when the accidents of the seasons and other causes occasioned a rise in the price of the necessaries of life. If things had been left to take their course the consequences in England would have been what they were in Scotland, and what they were with us in those occupations which, from their requiring skill, raise the workman above the region of parish relief. Wages would have risen to meet the depreciation of money, and the labourer would have earned the same or nearly the same amount of raw produce, and a larger amount of manufactured commodities.

But things were not left to take their own course. Unhappily no knowledge is so rare as the knowledge when to do nothing. It requires an acquaintance with general principles, a confidence in their truth, and a patience of the gradual process by which obstacles are steadily but slowly surmounted, which are among the last acquisitions of political science and experience. Under the 3 & 4 Will. & Mary, and 9 Geo. I. or under the 5 Eliz. c. 4, empowering the justices to fix the rate of wages, it appeared that the existing difficulties might be instantly got rid of. The latter statute appeared to enable a forced rise of wages, the former statutes appeared to enable relief to be ordered if wages should remain insufficient. Each plan was proposed. Sir Frederic Eden's account of the mode in which the latter plan was adopted is so instructive, that we will venture to quote it.*

"Instead of an advance in wages, proportioned to the increased demand for labour, the labourer has received a considerable part of that portion of his employer's capital, which was destined for his maintenance, in the form of poor's rate (the very worst that it could assume) instead of being paid it as the fair, well-earned recompense of equivalent labour. This is a deplorable evil, which has fallen heavier on the poor than on the rich; and it has been considerably aggravated by the very injudicious steps which have been adopted for administering relief to those whom the pressure of the late scarcity had incapacitated from supporting themselves and families in the way to which they had been accustomed. Many instances might be adduced of the ill effects of the indiscriminating charity of individuals, and of the no less ill effects of the discriminating interference of magistrates and parish officers; but, that I may not swell this work to too great a length, I shall content myself with offering a short statement (which was obligingly communicated to me by a gentleman who himself served the office of overseer in his own parish) of the proceedings which took place in a single county, for the relief of the poor last year.

"The very great price of the necessaries of life, but more particularly of bread corn, during the whole of last year, produced numberless extraordinary demands for parochial assistance. In many parishes in the county of Berks, relief from the poor's rates was granted, not only to the infirm and impotent, but to the able-bodied and industrious, who had very few of them ever applied to the parish for relief, and then only during temporary illness or disability. There was no doubt but that the circumstances of the times required an increase in the income of the labourers in husbandry, who, in this country at least, compose the most numerous body of those liable to want assistance from the parish. But there existed a difference of opinion respecting the mode of making such increase. In order to apply some adequate remedy to the evil, a meeting of the magistrates for the county was held about Easter 1795, when the following plans were submitted to their consideration:

"1st. That the magistrates should fix the lowest price to be given for labour, as they were empowered to do by the 5 Eliz. c. 4; and, 2dly, that they should act with uniformity in the relief of the impotent and infirm poor, by a table of universal practice, corresponding with the supposed necessities of each family. The first plan was rejected, by a considerable majority, but the second was adopted, and the following Table was published as the rule for the information of magistrates and overseers:

"This

* Eden, vol. 1, p. 575.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

"This shows, at one view, what should be the Weekly Income of the Industrious Poor, as settled by the Magistrates for the County of Berks, at a Meeting, held at Speenhamland, May the 6th, 1795.

	Income should be for a Man,		For a Single Woman.		For a Man and his Wife;		With One Child		With Two Children.		With Three Children.		With Four Children		With Five Children.		With Six Children.		With Seven Children.	
	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.
When the gallon loaf is -	1	—	3	—	2	7	4	6	6	—	7	6	9	—	10	6	12	—	13	6	15	—
When - - - -	1	1	3	3	2	1	4	10	6	5	8	—	9	7	11	2	12	9	14	4	15	11
When - - - -	1	2	3	6	2	2	5	2	6	10	8	6	10	2	11	10	13	6	15	2	16	10
When - - - -	1	3	3	9	2	3	5	6	7	3	9	—	10	9	12	6	14	3	16	—	17	9
When - - - -	1	4	4	—	2	4	5	10	7	8	9	6	11	4	13	2	15	—	16	10	18	8
When - - - -	1	5	4	—	2	5	5	11	7	10	9	9	11	8	13	7	15	6	17	5	19	4
When - - - -	1	6	4	3	2	6	6	3	8	3	10	3	12	3	14	3	16	3	18	3	20	3
When - - - -	1	7	4	3	2	7	6	4	8	5	11	6	12	7	14	8	16	9	18	10	20	11
When - - - -	1	8	4	6	2	8	6	8	8	10	11	—	13	2	15	4	17	6	19	8	21	10
When - - - -	1	9	4	6	2	9	6	9	9	—	11	3	13	6	15	9	18	—	20	3	22	6
When - - - -	1	10	4	9	2	10	7	1	9	5	11	9	14	1	16	5	18	9	21	1	23	5
When - - - -	1	11	4	9	2	11	7	2	9	7	12	—	14	5	16	10	19	3	21	8	24	1
When - - - -	2	—	5	—	3	—	7	6	10	—	12	6	15	—	17	6	20	—	22	6	25	—

"Had political regulations not interfered, the demand for labour would have raised its price, not only in a ratio merely adequate to the wants of the labourer, but even beyond it; and that price would have been advanced by the individual who employed him, instead of being a general tax on those who are liable to be rated, and who are not all employers of labourers. The capital which employs labour has increased; the demand of labour would consequently increase; it did increase, for the situation of the labouring poor in Berks was never better than during the last hard winter; but they received these advanced wages in the way most prejudicial to their moral interests; they received it as charity, as the extorted charity of others, and not as the result of their own well exerted industry; and it was paid them, not by their immediate employers, but by those who were, in many instances, not the employers of any labour."* MAGISTRATES'

We directed our Assistant Commissioners to inquire in every parish in which they found the relief of the able-bodied existing, at what period, and from what causes it was supposed to have arisen. We insert the following extracts from Mr. Maclean's Report from Sussex, and Mr. Villiers's from Warwickshire and Worcestershire, which confirm Sir Frederic Eden's Narrative:—

"I found," says Mr. Maclean,† "great difficulty in ascertaining accurately the period at which the system of relieving able-bodied men, on account of their families, originated, but this difficulty, as relates to the western part of the county of Sussex, was removed by the kindness of Mr. Woods, who stated to me, that as well as he could recollect after so distant a time, the system of parochial relief, on account of the dearness of bread, commenced after the high prices of 1795. It was then only occasional till the still higher prices of 1800 and 1801, when the magistrates of the bench of Chichester recommended (instead of advancing wages in proportion to the times) the various parishes to make certain allowances, in consideration of the higher prices of corn. This mode was very generally acted upon, but being attended with some difficulties, a paper was drawn up and calculated by one of the influential magistrates of the day, and having been approved of by others, was circulated and recommended to the parish officers for their guidance.

"1804–5.—The annexed Table is intended to show the exact difference which the advance in the price of flour makes to the poor, when it exceeds 1*s.* 4*d* per gallon, and what sum is required for their relief, so as to enable them to have it at all times at that price.

"From the following calculation, viz. a man, his wife and two children are supposed to consume three gallons of flour per week, which when flour is at

	s.	d.
2*s.* per gallon, would cost them - - - - - - -	6	—
Three gallons of flour, at 1*s.* 4*d.* is - - - - - -	4	—
The difference of cost in this case would be - - -	2	—

which in a family of four persons, as above mentioned, would make 6*d.* per head per week, or 2*s.* per head per month, and the same difference, be the number in family what it may.

"MONTHLY

* Eden, vol. 1, p. 582. † Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 546.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

MONTHLY AMOUNT OF A FAMILY.

Price of FLOUR per Gall.	Amount Weekly per head.	One.	Two.	Three.	Four.	Five.	Six.	Seven.	Eight.	Nine.	Ten.	Eleven.	Twelve.
s. d.	s. d.	s. d.	s. d.	s. d.	s. d.	s. d.	s. d.	£. s. d.	£. s. d.	£. s. d.	£. s. d.	£. s. d.	£. s. d.
1 5	– 2½	– 3	– 6	– 9	1 –	1 3	– 1 6	– 1 9	– 2 –	– 2 3	– 2 6	– 2 9	– 3 –
1 6	– 1½	– 6	1 –	1 6	2 –	2 6	– 3 –	– 3 6	– 4 –	– 4 6	– 5 –	– 5 6	– 6 –
1 7	– 2¼	– 9	1 6	2 3	3 –	3 9	– 4 6	– 5 3	– 6 –	– 6 9	– 7 6	– 8 3	– 9 –
1 8	– 3	1 –	2 –	3 –	4 –	5 –	– 6 –	– 7 –	– 8 –	– 9 –	– 10 –	– 11 –	– 12 –
1 9	– 3¾	1 3	2 6	3 9	5 –	6 3	– 7 6	– 8 9	– 10 –	– 11 3	– 12 6	– 13 6	– 15 –
1 10	– 4½	1 6	3 –	4 6	6 –	7 6	– 9 –	– 10 6	– 12 –	– 13 6	– 15 –	– 16 6	– 18 –
1 11	– 5¼	1 9	3 6	5 3	7 –	8 9	– 10 6	– 12 3	– 14 –	– 16 9	– 17 6	– 19 3	1 1 –
2 –	– 6	2 –	4 –	6 –	8 –	10 –	– 12 –	– 14 –	– 16 –	– 18 –	1 – –	1 2 –	1 4 –
2 1	– 6¾	2 3	4 6	6 9	9 –	11 3	– 13 6	– 15 9	– 18 –	1 – 3	1 2 6	1 4 9	1 7 –
2 2	– 7½	2 6	5 –	7 6	10 –	12 6	– 15 –	– 17 6	1 – –	1 2 6	1 5 –	1 7 6	1 10 –
2 3	– 8¼	2 9	5 6	8 3	11 –	13 9	– 15 6	– 19 3	1 2 –	1 4 9	1 7 6	1 10 3	1 13 –
2 4	– 9	3 –	6 –	9 –	12 –	15 –	– 18 –	1 1 –	1 4 –	1 7 –	1 10 –	1 13 –	1 16 –
2 5	– 9½	3 3	6 6	9 9	13 –	16 3	– 19 6	1 2 9	1 6 –	1 9 3	1 12 6	1 15 9	1 19 –
2 6	– 10½	3 6	7 –	10 6	14 –	17 6	1 1 –	1 4 6	1 8 –	1 11 6	1 15 –	1 18 6	2 2 –

MAGISTRATES. "In obedience," says Mr. Villiers, "to the Instructions, I made inquiry into the origin, in these counties, of the system of applying the parish rates in aid of wages; and I found the period usually referred to was during the years of scarcity towards the close of the last century. In Warwickshire, the year 1797 was mentioned as the date of its commencement in that county, and the scales of relief giving it authority were published in each of these counties previously to the year 1800. It was apprehended by many at that time, that either the wages of labour would rise to a height from which it would be difficult to reduce them when the cause for it had ceased, or that during the high prices the labourers might have had to undergo privations to which it would be unsafe to expose them. To meet the emergency of the time, various schemes are said to have been adopted, such as weekly distributions of flour, providing families with clothes, or maintaining entirely a portion of their families, until at length the practice became general, and a right distinctly admitted by the magistrates was claimed by the labourer to parish relief, on the ground of inadequate wages and number in family. I was informed that the consequences of the system were not wholly unforeseen at the time, as affording a probable inducement to early marriages and large families; but at this period there was but little apprehension on that ground. A prevalent opinion, supported by high authority, that population was in itself a source of wealth, precluded all alarm. The demands for the public service were thought to insure a sufficient draught for any surplus people; and it was deemed wise by many persons at this time to present the Poor Laws to the lower classes, as an institution for their advantage, peculiar to this country; and to encourage an opinion among them, that by this means their own share in the property of the kingdom was recognized; and to these notions, which were prevalent at that time, must be ascribed the spirit in which the Poor Laws have been administered for 30 years past. The Rev. Mr. Broomfield, of Napton, in Warwickshire, stated to me, that he remembered that in the year 1797, when a meeting was called in that parish, to take into consideration the best means of supporting the labourers during the high prices, and that a regular distribution of flour by the parish, in aid of wages, had been agreed upon, his father, who was then the incumbent of the same living, warned the meeting of the system they were introducing, reminding them of the feeling which then existed among the poor, with regard to being supported by the parish, and the probable result of confounding in their mind all distinction between alms and wages, saying, that if their pride upon this subject was once destroyed, the Poor Laws would become a most formidable engine directed against the morals and the property of the country; a prediction, the fulfilment of which, Mr. Broomfield lamented to say, he had long since survived." *

The following extract from the evidence delivered by Mr. Lacoast, of Chertsey, before the House of Commons Poor Law Committee, in 1817, shows the introduction of the system into a parish at a somewhat later period:—

"The magistrates have been rather more liberal to our poor than in the neighbouring parishes, and that has brought people into the parish; they have endeavoured and obtained settlements. We have had several instances where a man has refused a house at £.8 a year, and taken one at £.10 not so good, for the purpose of making himself a parishioner.

"Do any of the labourers who are earning the wages you have stated, (from 12s. to 15s. a week) procure relief in money from the overseers?—Yes.

"On what ground do they obtain such relief?—We had a scale sent by the magistrates to the overseers and the committee, desiring that we would allow every man, woman and child that there were in family, to make up their wages equal to two quartern loaves per head per week."

* Appendix (A.), Part II p. 14.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

week, all at 3*s.* a week as nearly as possible. We thought that the poor people, many of them, were allowed too much money, and the committee conceived that there was not a distinction made between the labourers who worked from day-light to dark, and the men who worked for 12*s.* a week only, for seven or eight hours a day, and we made an alteration according as we thought they deserved it; to some we gave more than the magistrates ordered, and some less; and we received an order the next morning, that the money should be made up immediately to those who received less. MAGISTRATES.

"When was the scale by which the paupers are paid, first fixed?—I should think about four months since.

"Can you state at all the effect that it had?—I know an instance myself where a man was at work and earned 18*s.* a week, and another man who lived next door to him was at work and had 12*s.*, and after the scale was settled by the magistrates, the (first) man did not go to work in the usual way, but worked easier, and the money was made up by the parish.

"Has there not been a committee appointed to carry those orders of the magistrates into execution?—It is an open committee of the whole parish; no select committee.

"There was a wish expressed by the magistrates, that some of the most respectable of the inhabitants should form a committee?—Yes; and I went down with some of the larger renters of the parish, and made an alteration, and reduced some and added to others; but the magistrates ordered that they should have so much per head, whether they worked or not.

"Is the scale you speak of used in other parishes besides your's?—I believe not.

"Framed for your parish specially?—I believe the magistrates framed it for the whole hundred, but the other parishes refused to comply with it, and have not done it. Some of the magistrates that attend our bench did not agree with the scale, but were overruled by the majority; therefore when the overseer of Thorp applied to the magistrate there, he did not compel them to give that sum, but left it to the discretion of the overseer. The men in our parish are impudent, and will not work, and they tell us so."*

It is probable that the allowance system was encouraged, and perhaps suggested by the 33 Geo. III. c. 8, which ordered that if a militia-man, when called out and ordered to march, should leave a family unable to support themselves, the overseers of the poor of the parish where such family should dwell, should, by order of a justice of the peace, out of the rates for the relief of the poor of such parish, pay to such family a weekly allowance according to the usual price of labour in husbandry in the place, by the following rate: a sum not exceeding one day's labour, nor less than one shilling for the wife, and a similar sum for each child under ten years old; and it must have been facilitated by the 33 Geo. III. c. 55, which enabled the justices at petty sessions to fine the overseers for disobedience to the orders of any justice or justices.

The clause of the 9 Geo. I. c. 7, prohibiting relief to those who refused to enter the workhouse, was however an obstacle; to remove it, the 36 Geo. III. c. 23. was passed. That Act, after reciting the clause in question, proceeds thus:—

"And whereas the said provision contained in the Act above-mentioned, has been found to have been and to be inconvenient and oppressive, inasmuch as it often prevents an industrious poor person from receiving such occasional relief as is best suited to the peculiar case of such poor person; and inasmuch as in certain cases it holds out conditions of relief, injurious to the comfort and domestic situation and happiness of such poor persons."

And then repeals the clause forbidding relief to those who should refuse to enter the workhouse, and proceeds more directly to its object by the following provision:

"And be it further enacted, That it shall be lawful for any of His Majesty's justice or justices of the peace for any county, city, town or place, usually acting in and for the district wherein the same shall be situated, at his or their just and proper discretion, to direct and order collection and relief to any industrious poor person; and he shall be entitled to ask and receive such relief at his home or house, in any parish, town, township or place, notwithstanding any contract shall have been or shall be made, for lodging, keeping, maintaining and employing poor persons in a house for such purpose hired or purchased; and the overseers for such parish, town, township or place, are required and directed to obey and perform such order for relief given by any justice or justices as aforesaid."

Those who are irritated by the pressure of the evils which allowance to the able-bodied has produced, and by the apprehension of the still greater evils which it may be expected to produce, are sometimes inclined to attribute the most childish folly, or the most profligate dishonesty to those who could aid in establishing such a system. But we must not judge them according to the knowledge which we have acquired in the dear-bought experience of 40 years. It is clear that when the magistrates assembled

* House of Commons Report on Poor Laws, 1817, pages 110, 111, 113.

Printed in accordance with the rights held by the University of Southampton Library. Digitisation Un

MAGISTRATES. assembled at Speenhamland in 1795, "to settle the weekly income of the industrious poor," public opinion sanctioned their attempt. This is shown by the 36 Geo. III. c. 23, which was passed a few months after, and may be considered the great and fatal deviation from our previous policy. The 43 Elizabeth never contemplated, as objects of relief, industrious persons. It made no promises of comfort or happiness; it directed that those having no means, and using no daily trade of life to get their living by, should be set to work, and that the impotent should receive necessary relief. These were unalluring offers—they held out nothing but work and necessary relief, and those only to the impotent, and to persons who must always form a small minority in any tolerably regulated society, that is, persons having no property, and using no daily trade. The able-bodied industrious labourer was carefully excluded, and relief, therefore, as Mr. Pitt (in the speech introducing his Poor Bill in 1796) complained, became a ground for opprobrium and contempt. They were precise offers.—The question whether a person using no trade had been set to work, or one unable to work had received necessary relief, were matters of fact. The engagements of the 43 Elizabeth, were perhaps dangerous engagements, but they were engagements which for 100 years were performed apparently without substantial injury to the morals and industry of the labourers, or to the general prosperity of the country. And whatever may be the objections in principle to the power given to the magistrates, or assumed by them under the 3 & 4 Will. & Mary, and 9 Geo. I, it does not seem to have produced much practical evil, while the 9 Geo. I. was in force. Parochial relief appears to have been given chiefly through the workhouses, and not to have been extended to many besides the impotent. The duty of the magistrate was tolerably plain: if the applicant fell within the classes pointed out by the 43 Elizabeth, as objects of relief, that is, if he had no property, used no ordinary and daily trade to get his living by, or was lame, impotent, old, blind or otherwise not able to work, he could direct him to be admitted into the workhouse, and if he was included in the first class, set to work by the parish officers; or if included in the second class, supplied with necessary relief. Relief was considered a burthen to the payers, and a degradation to the receivers (and to be marked as such by a badge), a remedy for unexpected calamity, and a mitigation of the punishment inflicted by nature on extravagance and improvidence, but no part of the ordinary fund for the support of labour. Public opinion sanctioned the magistrate in a sparing exercise of his power, and he had in fact no motive for undue interference. The paupers were a small disreputable minority, whose resentment was not to be feared, and whose favour was of no value; all other classes were anxious to diminish the number of applicants, and to reduce the expense of their maintenance.

The 36 Geo. III. removed all these fences, it recognized as objects of relief, industrious persons, and enabled the magistrate, at his just and proper discretion, to order it to be given in a way which should not be injurious to their comfort, domestic situation and happiness. Mr. Pitt's Bill went still further; it admitted within the pale of pauperism, not only the industrious labourer, but the person with property, and enabled him, when possessed of land, not only to retain it while an applicant for relief, but to be supplied, at the expense of the parish, with a cow. It is true, that this Bill was dropped, but as it was not an individual, but a government measure, it may be cited as evidence of the general feeling on the subject.

When allowance to the able-bodied, in aid of their wages, had once been introduced, when it had been found to be an expedient by which the expenditure in wages could be reduced, and profits and rents could be raised, when the paupers became numerous in most districts, and in some places formed the majority, and even the large majority of the peasantry; when their clamours for allowance were favoured by the farmers, and apparently justified by the rise in the price of the necessaries of life, who can be surprised if the magistrates were led in some places to connive at, in others to sanction, and in still more to promote, a practice, the evil of which had not then been experienced, which seemed so plausible in itself, and which so many persons combined to favour? Who can wonder that thus urged and encouraged, they should have fancied themselves entitled to settle the weekly income of the labourers; and who can wonder at any amount of evil that has followed so preposterous an attempt?

We have seen that one of the first effects of the power thus assumed by the magistrates, was the publication of scales of relief; a practice which still continues. The

Printed Image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

The publication of these scales has been much complained of, but we think rather unreasonably. It is true that the evils of the system recommended or enforced by the scales, cannot be exaggerated, and it is true that the publication of a scale is an acknowledgment of the system, which shows how little those who publish it are aware of the consequences of their conduct. But the evil resides in the practice, not in the scale, which is its almost inevitable consequence. When a magistrate takes on himself "to regulate the incomes of the industrious poor" within his jurisdiction, he of course frames to himself some standard by which to regulate them: if he does not all must be favour or caprice; of course also the magistrates of the district or the division must be anxious to make their individual standards correspond, or, in other words, to agree on a scale. It need not indeed be published, but no one can doubt that though unpublished, the paupers soon find it out, and the only difference is, that it is traditionary instead of written; the common law of the district instead of a code. MAGISTRA[illegible]

The following answer by the Rev. John Oldham, rector of Stondon Massey, in the county of Essex, to question 39 of our queries for rural districts, is an instructive account of the enactment and repeal of a scale:—

"An order issued from the poor bench at Epping, in 1801, directing allowances to be made in proportion to the number in family (borrowed, probably, from Pitt's Poor Bill of 1797.) Not then acting as magistrate, but from a wish to facilitate the execution of the order, I formed a scale of allowances according to it, beginning with one up to ten in family, and taking the quartern loaf from 6*d.* up to 2*s.*; showing the amount of money to be made up between such extremes. I had it printed, and sent one or more copies to each parish of the division. I was thanked for the trouble I had taken, and the scale was adopted and acted upon, not merely in our division, but probably in different parts of the country. It was, however, soon discovered that the paupers and labourers, having got to the knowledge of it, availed themselves of the opportunity of claiming under it what they were willing to consider a regular pension. The evil was felt very sensibly, and a meeting called of all the magistrates in the division, which I attended; this, I think, was in 1806; and the meeting determined unanimously to call in, as far as possible, all copies of the scale, and to make no further use of it; it was, in fact, suppressed, and no longer referred to. In consequence, many applicants expressed great disappointment and ill humour, but the magistrates were firm, and nothing is said of it."

The evils of the scale system are so generally admitted, that we think it sufficient to quote the following statement of them by Mr. Okeden,* himself a magistrate of great experience, contained in his Report from that part of Oxfordshire, which lies west of the great canal.

"About twenty-four years ago the payment of head-money, by a scale, was introduced into all these divisions, and continues in full operation, with all its varieties of roundsmen, billet system, &c. &c. The magistrates decide on the sum which is in their opinion necessary for the support of a man and his wife and children, and by a scale, order the overseers to make up the man's low wages to that sum, from the parish. This scale system is so complete, that the history of one of the parishes is in fact the history of all. I will therefore lay before you a general statement of the working of this scale process throughout the western divisions of the county of Oxford.

"There is a trifling variation of the scale in some districts, but so small as hardly to deserve notice. One system therefore pervades all the districts, and all the parishes are governed precisely in the same form, only varying at times from the better or worse management of the over-seers. The results of this system, (of its illegality I need not speak) are now become apparent. The first and most prominent is, that, from neglect of single men, and the lower place to which they have been and are forced in the scale, a series of early marriages has ensued, for the avowed purpose of increasing income, until a generation of superfluous labourers has risen up, all demanding work, or pay from the scale. If this system continues, in ten years more another generation will be hastening on. The present race, which this illegal perversion of the Poor Laws has created, are playing the game of cunning with the magistrates and overseers: give them ten years, and they will convert it into the dreadful game of force. My humble opinion is, that if some measure be not adopted to arrest the progress of the evil, a fearful and bloody contest *must* ensue.

"But besides the first result of this scale system, namely, the creation of a generation of superfluous labourers, two others accompany it: one is the equalization of industry and idleness, the other that of honesty and dishonesty. I asked every overseer of the 104 parishes, the condition of which I investigated, whether the due regard was paid to character and industry in the granting of relief. Every one openly and shamelessly avowed, that no attention was paid to either, but that *all* were relieved according to the scale. I put the strongest possible case, that of a man who by repeated thefts and rogueries had actually flung himself out of employ, so that no farmer would permit him to enter his premises; the answer was still the same, 'We should relieve him and his family from the scale.' The odium

* Appendix (A) Part. I. p. 1.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

ISTRATES. odium of this part [illegible] process the overseers seem inclined to fling on [illegible] magistrates, and, I believe, with [illegible]

"So much for the placing honesty and knavery on a level. With regard to the equalization of industry and idleness, when the honest, industrious labourer sees by his side, on the road, or in the field, a notoriously lazy fellow dawdling over his work, what must be the consequence? He reasons the case over in his mind, finds that his idle companion, with the deduction of only two-pence per day, receives as much as himself, and of course he relaxes in his work; and indifference and laziness succeed to vigour and industry; the industry of the labourers is every where decidedly diminished; agricultural capital is on the wane; the poor regard the allowance as a right, and it is called sometimes 'the county allowance,' sometimes 'the Government allowance,' sometimes 'the Act of Parliament allowance,' and always '*our income.*'"

But though the scale is the worst form in which the influence of magistrates can be exerted, great evils arise from their interference even when less systematically exercised. In the first place, the very mode in which their jurisdiction is enforced seems intended to destroy all vigilance and economy on the part of those who administer relief, and all sense of degradation or shame on the part of those who receive it. The overseer is summoned perhaps six or seven miles from his business or his farm to defend himself before the tribunal of his immediate superiors against a charge of avarice or cruelty. He seldom has any opportunity to support his defence by evidence; the pleadings generally consist of the pauper's assertions on the one side, and the overseer's on the other. The magistrate may admit or reject the evidence of either party at his pleasure; may humiliate the overseer in the pauper's presence with whatever reproof he may think that his frugality deserves, and finally pronounces a decree, against which, however unsupported by the facts of the case or mischievous in principle, there is no appeal. It must be remembered, too, that the pauper has often the choice of his tribunal. The clause of the 3 & 4 W. &. M. c. 11, which confined the jurisdiction to a justice of the peace residing within the parish, or, *if none be there dwelling*, in the parts near or next adjoining, was disregarded at the unfortunate period to which we have referred. The 36 Geo. III. c. 23, gives its discretionary powers to any of His Majesty's justice or justices of the peace for any county, city, town or place usually acting in or for the district wherein the same shall be situated. And though the 59 Geo. III. c. 12. s. 5, has required the concurrence of two justices to an order for relief, yet this restriction, as is the case with many other wisely intended clauses in the Act, is neutralized by a proviso enabling one justice to make an order in case of emergency; an emergency of which *he* is the judge. All the overseers of a district are therefore at the mercy of any two magistrates, and to a considerable degree at the mercy of any one. The pauper may select those magistrates whom misdirected benevolence, or desire of popularity, or timidity, leads to be profuse distributors of other people's property, and bring forward his charges against the overseer, secure of obtaining a verdict. He appears in the character of an injured man dragging his oppressor to justice. If he fails he loses nothing, if he succeeds he obtains triumph and reward. And yet we find persons expressing grave regret that the parochial fund is wasted, that relief is claimed as a right, and that pauperism has ceased to be disgraceful. The subject of regret is, either that the existing system is suffered to continue, or that such is the constitution of human nature, that a vigilant administration of public money is not to be expected from those on whom we have heaped every motive to extravagance and every obstacle against economy; that what the magistrate awards is considered a right, and that the exercise of an acknowledged right is not felt a degradation.

Most of our preceding remarks apply not to the magistrates personally, but to the jurisdiction exercised by them respecting relief, and would be applicable to any tribunal invested with similar powers; to any tribunal, in short, which should be empowered to enforce charity and liberality by summons and fine. But supposing that such a power ought to exist, there are strong grounds for thinking that the present magistrates are not the best persons to be entrusted with it. In the first place, they are men of fortune, unacquainted with the domestic economy of the applicants for relief, and as unfit from their own associations "to settle what ought to be the weekly incomes of the industrious poor," as the industrious poor would be to regulate the weekly expenditure of the magistrates.

The following passages from Mr. Chadwick's and Mr. Villiers's Reports, and which are corroborated by all our evidence, show how loosely and imperfectly

Printed may be digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

the [illegible] of the independent labourers has usually been inquired into, and how little is really known of their wants by those who order relief. MAGISTRATES.

"I have endeavoured," says Mr. Chadwick, "to ascertain from several of the magistrates who are advocates for the allowance system, or for the regulation of wages, in what way the labouring man within their districts expends for his maintenance the sum which they have declared to be the minimum expenditure, to sustain life? Some of these gentlemen admitted that they did not know; others stated that they laid it down as a general rule, that a labouring man must have bread and meat; but whether three or four loaves of bread, whether a pound or a pound and a half of meat, constituted the least quantity requisite as food for a given period, none of them could state. Several promised to make inquiries on the subject, when I asked them how they could safely set aside the decisions of the parish officers, or determine with due precision what was the minimum allowance of money for the labouring man's subsistence, unless they knew how many commodities were absolute necessaries for him, and the exact quantity and the price of each.

"Whilst complaining of the effects of the beer-shops established under Mr Goulburn's Act, the same magistrates frequently stated that habits of drunkenness prevailed with the whole of the labourers within their districts, and that these labourers were accustomed to carouse during one or two days in the week, gambling and indulging in the most vicious habits. Having previously received evidence that so large a proportion of the agricultural poor-rate is expended in aid of wages, I have been startled by the declarations that the habits of dissipation have become so prevalent. In answer to further inquiries, I received assurances that the habit is *general*; that there are few, if any, exceptions. I again asked whether the exceptions are formed of those who received parochial relief, and I was assured (and satisfactory evidence was adduced to me to prove the fact), that the agricultural labourers receiving poor's rates in aid of wages are to be found at the beer-shops as frequently at least as the independent labourers. The questions which appeared to me naturally to follow are—Do you consider beer or gin a necessary of life to the paupers?—if it be admitted that beer is a necessary of life to the independent labourers, at all events the quantity required for intoxication can hardly be necessary: Ought you not then to ascertain and deduct the amount of money spent in drunken revelry? As it must be presumed that a man pays for the beer he drinks at the beer-shops, (which beer is not deemed absolutely necessary for his subsistence,) is it not clear that you have not arrived at the minimum allowance? If, for example, you order wages to be made up to a man to the amount of 9*s.* a week, and you find that he gets drunk one or two days in the week, and that his excess of drink costs him 2*s.* a week, since he actually lives on 7*s.* a week, does he not prove, by so living, that seven is all that he really requires?

"It was observed by Colonel Page, one of His Majesty's deputy lieutenants for Berks, in his communications with me, that the magistrates, from their ignorance of the habits of the labouring classes, are extremely unfit judges as to the amount of relief to be administered 'To a gentleman,' said he, 'a shilling appears an extremely small sum, but it often procures two, or even three day's subsistence to a labouring man; and hence the most benevolent men commonly make the most profuse and injurious allowances.'

"The witnesses, who have had much experience in maintaining considerable numbers, attest the correctness of the rule—that by adding rent and 20 per cent. as the retailer's profit on commodities, an estimate may be made of the expense at which a single person may live, in the same manner that a number are kept in a workhouse, or in a community of any sort where the commodities are purchased at wholesale prices. Thus, if at any place, as at Gosport workhouse, the able-bodied paupers are clothed and fed better than most labouring men, at an expense of 2*s.* 6*d.* per head, allowing 6*d.* for the retailer's profit, and 1*s.* for rent, the allowance to enable an out-door pauper to live in the same manner would be 4*s.* per week. If the allowances in aid of wages are tried by this rule, it will be found that a large proportion of them are in error, to the extent of 100 per cent. I have found none that were in error less than about 20 per cent."*

"In the parish of Hanley Castle, in the Pershore hundred of Worcestershire, and in the neighbourhood," says Mr. Villiers, "having heard much complaint of the magisterial interference, I visited the gentleman who was said to be the senior magistrate of the district, and inquired of him upon what principle he ordered relief to be granted to the able-bodied labourers. He informed me that he considered that every labourer was entitled to claim a certain sum per week for every child born after the third. Upon further asking him if he considered that to be the proper and legitimate construction of the statute of Elizabeth, he stated that he did so entirely, and that he thought that when a man had four children, he might fairly be considered within the meaning of the Act as 'impotent,' which he further explained by saying, that he considered it impossible for any labouring man to support four children. Having been previously informed of the fact, I inquired of him if he was not aware that a man living in his own parish was at that time maintaining his wife and five children, independently of all relief. He said that he was not aware of any such case, and should think it extraordinary if there was. He then referred to a farmer residing in his parish to ascertain the truth. The farmer assured him that the fact was as I had stated it; that the man referred to was a regular labourer, peculiarly industrious, but that he was not earning more than the average wages of the division, which was considered about 10*s.* a week for the man, paid by the day, or 12*s.* or 14*s.* by the piece."†

In

* Extracts, p. 228. App. (A.) Part II.

† App. (A.) Part II. p. 16.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

MAGISTRATES.

In answer to our question, whether a lahouring family can save, a great majority of the respondents state positively that they cannot. Ahout half the respondents from Devonshire, make no answer to the query. "W. J. Coppard, the minister of Plympton, St. Mary's, says, "A *few* have trifling sums in the savings hank." The other respondents either express a strong douht whether any thing could be saved by a lahouring man, or declare positively that he could lay hy nothing; yet we find, from the returns of the deposits in the Exeter savings bank upwards of £. 70,000 saved, under all ohstacles, hy 2,000 lahourers, or by one out of every ten heads of agricultural lahourers' families in this same county."*

The following are the statements of some of the respondents (clergymen and gentlemen serving parochial offices in the metropolis) to Queries 35, 36, 37, 38,—What can a family earn, and whether they can live on these earnings, and lay hy any thing?—

"The answer from Chiswick states, that a family *might* earn £. 49 per annum, on which they might live, hut could not save. From St. Anne and Agnes, and St. Leonard, Foster-lane, family might earn £. 60; could not live on it. From St. Botolph-without Aldersgate, family might earn £. 63. 18*s*., on which they might subsist, hut could save nothing. From Mile End, New Town, and St. Mary Somerset, city of London, family might earn £. 65, on which they might live, but could not save any thing. From St. Leonard, Eastcheap, family might earn £. 78; could not save, and cannot ascertain whether they could live upon it. From St. James's Westminster, man might earn £. 78, hesides material assistance from his wife and children; might live on wholesome food, hut cannot attempt to say whether they could save. From Holy Trinity the Less, family might earn £. 93; might live on spare diet; could not save any thing. Mr. Baker, the coroner and vestry clerk of St. Anne's, Limehouse, states that a family might earn £. 100, on which they could live, hnt *not* save. The return from Hammersmith declares that a family might earn £. 49. 8*s*., which would give them wholesome food, and that they might, and do save." †

The variations in the several Returns ahove quoted exhihit the uncertainty and the wide variations of the impressions on which relief is administered, and the utter want of any standard of reference. Each gentleman, from the one who at Chiswick declares that forty-nine pounds is the sum on which a family could only live, to the gentleman who prouounces that one hundred pounds per annum only suffices for the hare subsistence of a lahouring man's family, which is higher than the actual incomes of hundreds of families of professional men, would doubtless in his respective district fix the condition of the pauper agreeably to his impression of the means of suhsistence required. This variation is not greater than the actual variations of the nature and amount of relief administered to the same classes within the same district. It is a remarkable and important fact, that it is found that at the boards of guardians, or other parochial boards for the administration of relief, those members who are distinguished for the greatest strictness, which others decry as harshness, in the administration of relief, are commonly persons who have themselves risen from the ranks of labouring men. This strictness, which is usually exhibited where there is no connexion or acquaintanceship to bias them, appears to arise from the better knowledge which they possess of the real wants of the applicants, and of the nature of the means of satisfying them.

Secondly. The magistrate, even if he have a general knowledge of the suhject, seldom has and seldom can acquire a knowledge of the individual facts on which he has to decide. A pauper claims 3*s*. on the ground that his family consists of five persons, and that he has earned during the last week only 7*s*. The overseers believe that he has in fact earned more, or that he might have earned more if he had thought fit to exert himself, or that the lowness of his acknowledged earnings is the result of a collusion hetween him and his employer in order to throw part of his wages on the parish. The vestry agrees in opinion with the overseer, and the pauper appeals to the magistrate. If questions like these, so difficult of proof, and the two latter matters of opinion not of perception, are to be decided, it must he by a trihunal acquainted with the habits and character of the applicant and of his employers, capable of collecting and weighing many minute indicia of evidence, and ready to undergo so tedious and unsatisfactory a task. Can it he expected that it will be performed, or even undertaken by the magistrates, who give a few hours

* Extracts, p. 833.

† Extracts, p. 835.

Printed for the Digitised by the University of Southampton Library Permissions

hours a week to the affairs of twenty parishes, who live at a distance from the scene of the dispute, and know little more than the names of the parties to it, and perhaps not even so much? In fact, the appeal is made from those who are acquainted with the general nature of the subject to be inquired into, to those who are ignorant of it; from those who either know the facts, or have the power to ascertain them, so far as they are capable of being ascertained, to those who have no previous knowledge of the matter, no interest in diligent investigation, and no means to render that investigation successful. MAGISTRATES.

We have selected from the vast body of evidence contained in the Appendix respecting the prevalence and effects of magisterial interference, the following passages, not as peculiarly striking, but because they illustrate most of the remarks which we have made.

Mr. Majeodie states, that in his district,—

"The opinion of many of the most experienced magistrates themselves, coincides with that expressed by occupiers and overseers, that the over liberality of magistrates in granting relief has been a principal cause of the high rates, and of the dependence of labourers on the parish. In many instances they have adopted a dictatorial tone to the parish overseers, which has induced men of respectability to avoid the office, and when harsh observations have been made in presence of the pauper, the authority of the officer is destroyed. Though the mischief of this proceeding has been apparent, and a more cautious plan has been adopted, still there are many complaints of magisterial interference, particularly in those districts where a scale of allowance is adopted: overseers represent that they give relief to a greater extent than they think requisite, from a conviction derived from experience, that such relief would be ordered on application to the bench. In some districts where the magistrates represent that they have discontinued a fixed scale, and decide each case according to its merits, the overseers still act under the impression that such a scale exists. A magistrate, whose opinion is looked up to with much respect, expressed to me his feeling, that deciding in cases of application for relief was the most unsatisfactory and painful of his duties; on the one hand, injudicious liberality might be a great injustice to the rate-payers; on the other, the denial of relief might be an act of cruelty to the applicant, who, in periods when the low wages of farmers and bad state of agriculture cause many to be out of work, might be reduced to severe distress. Great part of the mischief has been effected by the magistrate acting singly in his own house. A gentleman of property first starting in that office, without experience in the employment of labour, or the character of labourers, is easily imposed upon by their false representations; and should he obtain the character of the poor man's friend, he becomes in fact their greatest enemy, and may throw a spell over the industry of a whole district. Both in Kent and Sussex I have heard that paupers threaten application to some individual magistrate."*

"At Over," says Mr. Power, "a village not far from Cottenham, I found a person of great judgment and experience in Mr. Robinson, the principal farmer in that place. He is now serving the office of overseer for the fourth time. At present there are 40 men and more upon the parish; the average during eight months is 25. Part of this arises from farmers living at Willingham and Swavesey, occupying about one-fifth of Over parish; these persons employ none but Willingham and Swavesey labourers; it arises also in part from the growing indifference to private employment generated by the system of parish relief. A man with a wife and four children is entitled to 10*s.* and more from the parish for doing nothing; by working hard in private employ he could only earn 12*s.* and the difference probably he would require in additional sustenance for himself; consequently all motive to seek work vanishes. Coming into office this year, Mr. Robinson found 12 married men on the box, some of the best men in the parish; he knew they could get work if they chose at that time; he set them to work digging a piece of land of his own at 3*d.* a rod: they earned that week only about 7*s.* 6*d.* each, though they might have earned 12*s.*; and the next week they disappeared to a man. He complains bitterly of the obstruction given to these exertions by the decisions of the magistrates; they are always against him, and he regrets some unpleasant words spoken to him very lately by one of the bench. On one occasion he had refused payment of their money to some men who would not keep their proper hours of work upon the road; they complained to the bench at Cambridge, and beat him as usual, and returned to Over, wearing favours in their hats and button-holes; and in the evening a body of them collected in front of his house, and shouted in triumph.

"Mr. Robinson's evidence having brought me once more to the subject of the magistracy, I will take the opportunity of saying, that one disastrous effect of the general mal-administration is to prevent many gentlemen, the most eligible in respect of understanding and ability, from joining the body, or from acting with them in the general business of the petty sessions. I could mention, were it not perhaps invidious, the names of several persons whom I know to have been so influenced, and whose services have been lost to the side of good sense

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 168.

Digitized for public domain by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

MAGISTRATES. sense and propriety. Another cause of monopoly of the parochial business in the most objectionable hands is, the power which the paupers have of choosing their own tribunal. It was said by a farmer the other day, of a most excellent and benevolent gentleman in this county, 'We' (meaning the parish) 'could afford to give him 100*l.* a year, sir, if he would consent not to act.' Another anecdote communicated to me at Gamlingay is also pretty much to the point. The overseer there told me, that a few days ago he had a difference with several of the paupers about their parish pay, when they summoned him before a magistrate who lives about six miles off. On the day of their attendance there, something prevented the case being heard, and they all returned to Gamlingay together. In passing the house of another magistrate, about two miles from home, the overseer said, 'Now, my lads, here we are close by; I'll give you a pint of beer each if you'll come and have it settled at once, without giving me any more trouble about it.' The proposal was rejected without hesitation. I merely mention this to show that paupers have their preferences, and that they consider it important to abide by them.

"I shall only make one more observation on this subject. It is in vain for the magistrate to represent the difficulty of his situation, in cases where he sees the pauper does not deserve relief, but where it is also clear that he is in destitute circumstances. 'True,' say they, 'the man is a bad character, and he ought to have saved his money; but then you know, overseer, he must not starve.' There is no difficulty in the situation whatever; the overseer requires neither magistrate nor ghost to tell him that the man must not starve; he has human feelings like the magistrate, and he is also liable to be indicted for cruelty in the discharge of his office; therefore why not let him use his discretion, and abide the consequences? particularly when, after all and in spite of the order of relief, he may still misuse the man at the peril only of the like punishment. It remains, however, to be observed, that were parish officers left to their own discretion, there would probably be found very few who, like Mr. Robinson, would apply themselves with zeal and vigilance to the reduction of the parish expenses; the greater part have seemed to me but too happy to waive the trouble of a strict administration, and to shift from themselves to the magistracy the heavy responsibility of the parochial extravagance and ruin. Resistance to the demands of the bold and turbulent is seldom attempted, on the plea that the magistrates cannot be depended on for their support in such cases; while, on the other hand, the true objects of the charity, the helpless and impotent, are sometimes so harshly treated, as to justify that interference by the magistrate in their behalf, which makes the overseer's excuse in the former cases. By the joint operation of these two ill-assorted functions, mischief is progressing with a fearful rapidity." *

"In the case of appeals to individual magistrates," says Captain Chapman, "I found that the usual course of proceeding was to send the applicant back with a note to the overseer, desiring that the matter might be inquired into, and, if not satisfactorily arranged, that both parties would attend at the house of the magistrate at a time named.

"This, the most mild mode of exercising the power vested in the magistrates, was open to the objections of being influenced by the peculiar views of each individual, of reducing the inquiry into a statement on the part of the pauper, and a counter statement on the part of the overseer, and of thus tending to render the decision of the vestry of no avail. The result of this course was generally conclusive, so that instances of summonses were very rare.

"In the cases which were brought before the petty sessions which I attended, great pains were taken by the magistrates to get at the truth; but here again the question degenerated into a statement and counter statement, unsupported by any evidence or document, so that the bench, with every desire to do justice, had not the power to do so. The leaning in the spectators was decidedly in favour of the pauper; the magistrates considered themselves as the protectors of the poor, and whatever were the demerits or merits of the case, that they were equally bound to prevent the parties from starving; the overseers were looked upon as almost devoid of the feelings of humanity, and the tendency was still more decidedly to render the decisions of the vestry of no avail. Every appeal gained by the pauper was looked upon as a triumph over the overseers and vestry, and this feeling, in some cases, was participated in by the labouring classes in general. At St. Petherton, near Taunton, for instance, I was informed that on a recent occasion a pauper, who had gained his point, returned throwing his hat into the air, hurrahing and cheering, and that he was joined by many others, who conducted him in triumph to his home; but cases in which this feeling was so decidedly expressed are, I believe, of rare occurrence, although its existence was universally complained of by the overseers.

"The effect upon the vestries, I was led to believe, was, to cause many respectable persons to refrain from attending, and to have even caused many select vestries to have been given up; the members leaving the overseers to 'fight it out with the pauper and magistrates.'

"The duty which has been thus imposed upon the magistracy appeared to place them in a situation of peculiar difficulty. In almost every parish a proportion of idle and worthless are to be found, who are a constant source of trouble and of complaints, whatever may be their character, the magistrate has no power to punish unless a regular complaint is made by the overseers for the special purpose, and whatever may have been their previous earnings, he must prevent the pauper from starving. In the rural districts, where there are no workhouses, there are no means of control, and the only resource is work, or, where the family is numerous, pecuniary assistance, in addition. If the industrious, by any chance beyond their control, are reduced

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 252

Printed on behalf of the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

reduced to the necessity of applying for relief, the only means of marking a distinction in character is by making a difference in the amount of relief; thus leads to all the inconveniences of difference in opinion, and places the magistrates not only in collision with the vestry, but in an invidious and false position as regards each other.

"In most cases a sum, considered as the minimum on which a person can live (1*s.* 6*d.* per week), is the guide in ordering relief; but although a bench may have agreed upon this, they have no security that each individual member will adhere to it; so that the efforts of the experienced and the decision of the bench may be frustrated by the views of a mistaken, weak, or a designing man. This was frequently complained of, and thus forcibly expressed by a magistrate of long standing and experience:—

"'Great difficulties in the administration of the Poor Laws arise out of the power which one magistrate has of ordering relief arbitrarily; and one good effect of the Select Vestry Act is, that it limits the application for relief and the complaint of the pauper to two justices; for let a man's intentions be ever so good, he is subject to passions, and often errs when he acts alone; but where a second magistrate is present, his conduct and judgment will be more cautious and deliberate. The effect of this I have remarked even in men of the best intentions; but in the case of unprincipled or popularity-hunting magistrates, or of a weak and over-liberal dispenser of his neighbour's money, the evil of entrusting the power of giving relief at all to one magistrate is most apparent.

"'If two or ten magistrates of a division agree to act in unison or with vigour on the subject of relief to the poor, more especially the idle and dissolute poor, and one black sheep in that division, one popularity-hunter, chooses, he may thwart and destroy the effect of their endeavours, and perhaps they may get their stacks burnt about them for their hard-heartedness, or rather, I should say, integrity and principle.

"'I have often been threatened by paupers, to whom I have refused relief, that they would go to a neighbouring justice who was always kind to the poor; and I have had occasion to write to that justice on the subject, and to endeavour to stop his interference after I had refused relief. This is one of the crying evils of the Poor Laws.'" *

"The greatest evil of which I am aware, is the facility with which every plan of the vestry or overseer is brought into question on the complaint of the pauper, who selects a kind and often inconsiderately liberal magistrate as his patron."†

These extracts apply to country parishes. In towns, and above all, in the metropolis, the number of cases which require investigation, and the difficulty of obtaining information where every body is lost in the general crowd, renders the jurisdiction of the magistrates with respect to relief still more objectionable. The evidence of which the following is an extract, was collected by Mr. Chadwick, respecting the district within the jurisdiction of the Worship-street office; and its value is much increased by its having been subsequently read over to Mr. Benett, the magistrate principally complained of, and his replies and comments being inserted.

"Evidence of Mr. *Heritage*, Chief Clerk of the Magistrates at Worship-street.

"With regard to applications for summonses against parish officers for refusing to grant relief, I may state, that summonses are granted indiscriminately upon application at our office. When the parish officers attend upon the summonses, relief is ordered almost as indiscriminately. We have constantly fine, hale, hearty-looking young men applying for relief.

"I have known an officer sent with as many as twenty paupers in a day, with an order to see them relieved. It was not sufficient that the officer left the paupers with the overseer; he was enjoined by Mr. Benett to see them relieved, and if there was no overseer to be found, he was directed to relieve them out of his own pocket, the magistrate promising that he would undertake that the overseer should reimburse him the next day. This has been a practice for several years; it has occurred most frequently on Saturdays. Now the parish officers frequently attend, to render these steps unnecessary.

"Mr. *Benett*.—This is generally on the Saturday night, when the overseer has neglected to attend; of course, it would not be done when the overseer is present.

"To-day three hearty young women, from 18 to 20 years of age, applied for relief; summonses were granted them without any inquiry. I mentioned this case to Mr. Twyford, but he seemed to think we had no discretion.

"The Act now allows only one magistrate to interfere in cases of 'urgent necessity;' but they deem all cases to be of urgent necessity, for the summonses are uniformly ordered by one magistrate."

"Examination

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 474.

† App. (B. 1.) Question 44, Answer by Mr. Portman, Blandford Division, Dorset, p. 137 *d.*

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

MAGISTRATES.

" Examination of Mr. *John Othen*, Office-keeper at the Public Office, Worship-street.

" [Has been in office 18 or 19 years.]

" I generally have to make out the summonses granted at the instance of paupers against parish officers who have refused them relief. Of late years the applicants have greatly increased in number as well as badness of character; in badness of character particularly.

" I should think that there are, upon an average, 30 paupers receiving summonses daily. A very large proportion of these paupers are Irish, in St. Luke's parish especially. I think that there are more females amongst the applicants. I see the same characters constantly; from their dress and deportment I know a large proportion of them to be prostitutes. Every day we have a proportion of not less than 10 of this description amongst the applicants from the various parishes. They invariably have summonses when they apply, and say that the overseers have refused to give them relief. Then cant name for the parish money is, 'their reg'lars,' this is 6*d.* a day for each person, male or female; this is the allowance which the magistrates stipulate that they should have from the parish.

" Amongst the males who apply for relief are a number of able and hearty young fellows, who are vagabonds at large, and who will not work so long as they can get a sixpence from the parish. Their general object is the allowance of 6*d.* a day. I believe that there are many of these men who make out their living by petty depredation.

" We have had it happen that, after their cases have been heard and relief has been ordered to them, but when it has not been quite so much as expected, they have threatened to 'serve out the overseer,' and the paupers have waited outside the office in clusters, each encouraging the others, and waiting for their respective parish officers. If the beadle happens to be in waiting, he conducts the overseer home; but where the beadle has not been present, the overseer has applied to the magistrate for protection, and an officer has accompanied him home. In some instances, however, the beadle is not sufficient, and additional protection is required. The magistrates have so little knowledge of people of this class of life, that they cannot see what is seen by us who know more of them.

" Mr. *Benett*.—That is natural enough. The magistrates can hardly be expected to know so much of this class of persons as those who mix with them, and converse with them, and overhear them.

" In cases where the parish officer suspects that the applicant, being a strong hearty man, might obtain employment, or that he has employment or means of subsistence, is it usual for the magistrate to postpone the case, to give the parish officers an opportunity of investigating the case?—*No. The magistrate says, 'This man swears he is in want of subsistence, and you must give him relief; if you hereafter find out that he has the means of subsistence bring him before us, and we will punish him.'*

" Mr. *Benett*.—This relief is only for the exigency of the moment, and not permanent. This relief is never permanent, but only day by day, as the exigency occurs.

" In the great majority of cases the oath of the pauper is conclusive.

" It frequently, constantly occurs, that the applicants for relief inquire who is sitting. If it is one magistrate, they will say, 'We will go away, we shall get nothing.' If another sits, they say, 'Oh, that will do; we will stay.' They make themselves acquainted with the character of particular magistrates and their decisions, and know them well. It is the class of paupers who come the most frequently, the young and able-bodied, who make this application. It is with the most humane magistrate that the worst class of paupers succeed best. I have known them go away when they found that this magistrate was not in the way."

" Examination of Mr. *John Coste*, Relieving Overseer of *St. Leonard, Shoreditch*.

" In consequence of the practice which one magistrate (Mr. Benett) has pursued at Worship-street Police-office, I do believe that if that magistrate had the undivided control, it would be impossible for our parishioners to pay the rates.

" Mr. *Benett*.—My practice is invariably this. When the pauper applies for relief, the first question put to him is, 'Do you live in the parish?' The second question is, 'Have you asked the overseer for relief, and been refused?' If the answers are in the affirmative, I grant a summons. If the overseer does not appear to the summons, and the pauper applies again, I ask if he has given the overseer the summons. If the answer is again in the affirmative, I grant a second summons, with a recommendation in the margin, that immediate relief may be given to the pauper: it is only a recommendation. If the second summons is not attended to, and the pauper applies the *third* time, I ask him if he has given the second summons to the overseer, and if the answer is still in the affirmative, I send an order of 6*d.* a day for an adult, and 3*d.* a day for a child, for seven days, the Act of the 59 Geo. III. c. 12. s. 5, empowering me to make an order for fourteen days, or until the next petty sessions, where there is no select vestry. The order is served on the overseer by one of the officers of the establishment, who keeps a copy. This is my *general* practice; but in case of urgent distress, I send a summons, with the recommendation of 'immediate relief' in the margin, by an officer, and also on the Saturday night, when the overseer does not appear to a previous summons.

" His practice is, without swearing the parties as to whether they have applied for relief, to grant summonses to all who choose to apply for them, and who choose to say that they are in need.

" Mr. *Benett*.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

" Mr. *Benett*.—That is true, and that practice must be continued. The pauper must have his case heard. MAGISTRATES

" There is usually the following *nota bene* affixed to these summonses:—

('N B. It is requested by the sitting Magistrate that this pauper may be immediately relieved.'
(Signed with the Magistrate's initials.) *W. B*.')

" Mr. *Benett*.—This is the second summons, except in a case of urgent distress, and then an officer is sent with the summons, to explain the nature of the case to the overseer, who can appear before the magistrate, if he chooses to object, it not being an order.

" It is generally a mere matter of form for the pauper to say he has had no victuals that day, when the *nota bene* is at once attached.

" Mr. *Benett*.—The recommendation is not an order, and the overseer can answer that *nota bene* if he likes, he not having answered the summons. It is, in fact, a caution to him, equivalent to saying, 'That if you do not appear, and show cause why you do not relieve the pauper, an order will be granted.'

" It is very rare that any investigation into the real case of the pauper is made before this order is given.

" Mr. *Benett*.—Who is to be examined; the pauper alone, who will make good his own case, or the overseer, who refuses to appear and state his case before the magistrates, which refusal has occasioned the order to be made? The examination of 40 or 50 paupers would consume from three to four hours, at three or five minutes each person, which at the office of Worship-street, where there are occasionally upwards of 70 persons committed for trial in a month, and where the great variety of other business presses so severely on the magistrates' time, that the office is frequently kept open until six o'clock in the evening, and the business resumed again at seven in the evening.

" Since June 1831, I have received from the magistrates of this office about 590 summonses Of these there were from—

" Mr. Benett - - -	240	To the summonses of each	109		
Mr. Twyford - -	179	of these magistrates were	46	*nota benes.*	
Mr. Broughton - -	167	attached - - - - -	27		

" In the year 1827, I had as many as 50 names on one summons, on one day, from Mr. Benett, and I venture to say that of these above 30 were bad characters, prostitutes and thieves, who ought not to be relieved at all.

" Mr. *Benett*.—This is a proof of the justice of my complaint of the immense masses of paupers brought from the parish of Shoreditch before the magistrates of Worship-street. Many of these paupers ought to have been relieved without the intervention of the magistrates. In this instance, he says that 30 of the 50 were bad characters, who ought not to have been relieved. Why were not the 20 who were *not* bad characters relieved without the intervention of the magistrates; and were the cases of the 30 individuals objected to inquired into by the officer before the cases were brought before the magistrate? I do not think that the character of a pauper, if he is in distress, can be taken into consideration; for the Poor Laws were not established as a reward for good conduct, but as a provision for the person in immediate distress, and a person just discharged from the house of correction, or a prostitute, is as much entitled to relief as the most respectable pauper in the parish, because the principle of the English Poor Law is, that no one shall starve; therefore the magistrates are obliged to order relief to had characters as well as good, if they are incapable of supporting themselves. If you refuse to persons who are bad characters relief when they are in immediate distress, the collective result must be very injurious to the best interests of society.

" All this troop, about 50 persons, came to my door, with an officer at the head of them, demanding immediate relief on the magistrate's order. I said, 'No, I cannot think of letting the parish be robbed in this way; I shall attend the summons this night at the office.' I did attend, and stated to Mr. Benett that I should insist on the whole of those 50 cases being gone into separately before I gave any money.

" Mr. *Benett*.—To examine into these cases of 50 paupers, at five minutes per case, would take four hours and ten minutes, which is impossible to be done, and *unnecessary*, inasmuch as it was the duty of the overseer to have inquired into the cases himself, and relieved the deserving, and rejected the undeserving.

" He said he was not going to have a vestry-room made of his office, I then handed him up the summons, and said, 'That is your signature, and I am come to answer it.' He then went into two or three of the cases. I think the first or second of these cases was that of a lad named Perkins. One of the officers told me that he knew that this Perkins had been at work that week, and had earned 8*s*. or 10*s*. This was proved. I then asked Mr. Benett whether such a lad as this ought to have had a summons, and an order for immediate relief.

" Mr *Benett*.—It being Saturday night, and the overseer having neglected to inquire into the cases, it would not do to risk the chance of rejecting really distressed persons, and forcing them to go without relief through the Sunday, or starving until the succeeding Monday.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

ISTRATES. "Mr. Benett said, no; but that he had no means of inquiring into the cases. The lad was certainly discharged without relief. This lad has since been transported. I had no specific information, and had no means then of obtaining any with respect to the rest, and Mr. Benett having gone through about half a dozen of these cases, I then said to him, seeing him getting very angry at the prospect of a long detention, 'I will take the rest of them into the house.'

"Mr. *Benett*.—It is the usual practice which prevails now for the overseers to attend at the office on a Saturday night, I may almost say with masses of paupers. I have known 100, for I have had them counted. I have then said to the overseer words to this effect: 'There must be a great many distressed persons deserving of relief in this number; take them out, and relieve those who are deserving of relief, and bring back those whom you object to, and I will hear them separately;' and this has been frequently done by Mr. Coste. On these occasions I have sat at the office till ten and eleven o'clock at night. From the refusal of the overseers to relieve the paupers, and from their inattention to the summonses, such inconveniences constantly occur on a Saturday night.

"Myself and the beadle then went away, followed by the train of paupers, for on Saturday nights I find it necessary to take one or two beadles with me for personal security. The paupers used excessively bad language to us, and as they passed by-streets on the road to the workhouse, they slunk away, until at the workhouse, I think, we had only ten or a dozen, who chose to come in and accept the bread, for the want of which they declared to the magistrate they were starving at the time they first applied for immediate relief.

"Mr. *Benett*.—This is very probable; but how is the magistrate to help that, if, upon the investigation in the office, the pauper succeeds in his imposition? It is the duty of the overseer to inquire into the cases of the paupers, and he might come prepared with the evidence to prove the fraud.

"We frequently make the experiment of taking the applicants into the house with much the same results; but it by no means follows that when they are willing to go into the house they are deserving characters. They frequently get a magistrate's order to get into the house——

"Mr. *Benett*.—This cannot be; the magistrate has by law no power to order the parties into the house.

"But are no recommendations given which the overseers may call orders?

"Perhaps he means by an order a recommendation. We often recommend the overseer to admit the parties into the house, but the law gives us no power to order.

"—for the purpose of getting clothed, and then escaping with the clothes; and very commonly when they escape with their clothes, they sell them."

"Observations of *W. Benett*, Esq.

"From the injurious practice of the overseers of some of the parishes in the district of Worship-street, and particularly of the parish of Shoreditch, of refusing to relieve their poor, many of whom are deserving characters and in immediate distress, without the intervention of the magistrates, great numbers of their paupers apply daily at the office for summonses, if they are asked whether they have been to the overseer, such reports are frequently made by them of the answers of one of them to the applications, and so offensive, as far as they regard the magistrates, that they are often obliged to check them in their replies; and this completely puts an end to all confidence of the magistrates in that overseer, who once gave such an answer to an officer of the establishment who was sent with a pauper and an order for immediate relief, which was not obeyed.

"I have known an instance of another overseer of Shoreditch, appearing before me at the office at Worship-street, and in the presence of 105 paupers, who were counted, when I remonstrated with him, and desired him to relieve such as were in real distress, and bring those he objected to before me declaring that he cared not for me or the law, and that he would not relieve one of them. I then proceeded to make an order in each individual case, when he stepped forward, and as each order was made, said, 'I will relieve him,' (or 'her') and so continued throughout the whole number, converting by these means the magistrate into a relieving overseer, and the office into a vestry-room, and I did not finish this painful and unnecessary task till 11 o'clock that night."

No one can read Mr. Benett's evidence without being convinced of the excellence of his intentions; and our following remarks are directed not against him individually, but against the system, of which he is one, among many, administrators. It appears that he considers every adult within his district entitled, merely on his own showing, to 6*d*. a day from the public, unless the overseer can show cause to the contrary. The 59 Geo. III. c. 12. empowers a single magistrate, in case of emergency and urgent distress, to order such relief as the case may require, stating in his order the circumstances of the case. The Act throws on the magistrate the *onus probandi*; he is not only to ascertain that the party is in urgent distress, but he is to state in his order the circumstances of the case; that is, the nature of the urgent distress which has been proved to him. His practice, and it appears from other parts of the evidence to be a common practice, is to throw on the overseer the *onus probandi*; not to require the applicant to prove that he is in urgent distress,

Digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

tress, but the overseer to prove that he is not. The overseer, generally a person fully occupied by his own concerns, is to show this on a day's notice, with respect perhaps to fifty persons, scattered among the hundreds of thousands of this metropolis. "His practice," says the overseer of St. Leonard's, "is to grant summonses to all who choose to apply for them, and who choose to say they are in need." "That is true," replies Mr. Benett, "and that practice must be continued." "It is very rare," says the overseer, "that any investigation into the real case of the pauper is made before the order is given." "The examination," replies Mr. Benett, "of forty or fifty paupers would consume from three to four hours at three or five minutes each person." This might be a ground for altering the law, and for enabling a justice to order relief *without* inquiring whether it *is such as the case may require*, and *without stating in his order the circumstances of the case*, or it might be a ground for the magistrate's refusing to interfere, and leaving the overseer to exercise the discretion which the law throws upon him; a discretion, for the exercise of which he alone is responsible; but while the law remains unchanged, it is no ground for ordering the applicant relief without investigation, on the plea that, if the overseer *thereafter* finds that he had the means of subsistence, the magistrate will punish him; punishment of which we do not find a single example. "I stated," says the overseer, "that I should insist on the whole of the cases being gone into before I gave any money." Mr. Benett—"To examine into these cases of fifty paupers, at five minutes per case, would take four hours and ten minutes; which is impossible to be done." To examine into them satisfactorily would probably have taken fifty or a hundred hours; and there cannot be a clearer proof of the necessity of returning to the words and the spirit of the law. When the 3 & 4 W. & M. c. 11. enacted, that no person should receive relief except those whom the vestry should think fit and allow, but by authority of a justice of the peace; when the 9 Geo. I. c. 7. enacted, that no justice should order relief until oath should be made before him of some matter which he should judge to be a reasonable ground; when the 59 Geo. III. c. 12, s. 5, directed that in every order the *special* cause for granting the relief thereby ordered should be *expressly* stated; and when the liability of the overseer to an indictment for not affording necessary relief without a previous order, was carefully continued, and not a single Act *requires* the justice to make an order, who can doubt that the power given to the justice was a power to be regulated by a sound discretion, to be enforced only when he was convinced that the balance of evil was on the side of non-interference, and convinced by a careful examination of the facts of the case,—an examination so complete as to enable him to state them in his order!

MAGISTRATES.

The unquestionable fact, that sufficient inquiry cannot be made when fifty cases are to be decided on in an evening, proves only that the Legislature did not intend that the jurisdiction of the justice should form part of the routine of the administration of the Poor Laws. It was to be exercised *in case of emergency*. If its exercise is to be habitual, every populous parish must have its peculiar magistrate, as well as its peculiar overseers. On no other grounds can we explain why the justices are required to take into consideration the character and conduct of the applicant; a duty which is not enforced on the overseer. The justices are at liberty to interfere or not. The overseer has no such discretion. If he has suffered a man to starve, it is no defence that the applicant starved, only because such was his character and conduct that he could obtain no work, and he was unsuccessful in stealing. As he is not to be influenced by the character of the applicant, he is not directed to ascertain it.

The magistrates are to take it into consideration. For what purpose? That they may order to persons of good character more relief than is strictly necessary? Whatever may be the errors of our pauper legislation, it has stopped short of this. Necessary relief is all that the justices can order to the most meritorious applicant. How then are they to deal with the undeserving? Are they to consider their conduct, and then act precisely as if they had not considered it? The only conceivable construction of the Act is, that if they think the character and conduct of the applicant such as to render their interference inexpedient, they are to leave the matter in the hands of the overseer. The whole of the evidence shows how little this has been understood.

Our Appendix contains many complaints of the conduct of magistrates. It is to be observed that much of this is *ex parte* evidence, which the persons complained

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

Magistrates. SETTLEMENT.

plained of had no opportunity of contradicting or explaining, and that the overseers, from whom it was principally derived, may be supposed to have been anxious that the blame of mal-administration should rest on any persons but themselves. It must be acknowledged, however, that in so large a body as the magistracy of England and Wales, invested with powers so extensive and so uncontrolled, cases of misconduct must from time to time arise. Admitting, as we are anxious to admit, the general integrity and intelligence of the magistracy, and the importance of their services in the administration of justice, we yet cannot doubt that there are to be found among more than two thousand persons some exceptions to the general character. But we believe these exceptions to be rare, and that in a great majority of instances, so great as to form the general rule, the magistrates have exercised the powers delegated to them by the Poor Laws, not wisely indeed, or beneficially, but still with benevolent and honest intentions, and that the mischief which they have done was not the result of self-interest or partiality, or timidity or negligence, but was in part the necessary consequence of their social position, and of the jurisdiction which was confided to them, and in part arose from the errors respecting the nature of pauperism and relief which prevailed among all classes at the time when the allowance system and the scale were first introduced, and still appear to prevail among the majority. Under the influence of such opinions even good intentions may become mischievous. A more dangerous instrument cannot be conceived, than a public officer, supported and impelled by benevolent sympathies, armed with power from which there is no appeal, and misapprehending the consequences of its exercise.

We have now given a brief outline of the most striking points in the present mal-administration of the laws for the relief of the poor, and of the principal causes to which we attribute it. We have endeavoured to account for it by the immediate gain which large classes have hoped to obtain, and in many cases have actually obtained from that mal-administration, and from the constitution and character of the authorities by whom parochial relief is distributed and awarded. There remains however one source of evil which has been alluded to in our previous remarks, but never distinctly stated; and that is, the evil which has arisen, and is arising, from the law which throws the burthen of relieving the pauper in the first place, on those who occupy property in the district in which he is said to be *settled*. We will preface our account of them by a short history of the Law of Settlement.

SETTLEMENT.

SETTLEMENT.

The 43 Eliz. c. 2. contains no definition of settlement; but we have seen that in a long train of legislation, a person had been considered settled in the parish in which he was born, or in which he had dwelled or been principally conversant for the preceding three years; or under the 39 Eliz. c. 4, in the case of vagabonds, whose place of birth could not be ascertained, for one year. So that until the 13 & 14 Car. II. c. 12, there seem to have been only two statutory grounds of settlement, birth and residence, first for three years, and afterwards in some cases for one.

The 13 & 14 Car. II. c. 12, after reciting that "the necessity, number and continual increase of the poor, not only within the cities of London and Westminster, but also throughout the whole kingdom of England and dominion of Wales is very great, and exceedingly burthensome; and that by reason of some defects in the law, poor people are not restrained from going from one parish to another, and therefore do endeavour to settle themselves in those parishes where there is the best stock, the largest commons or wastes to build cottages, and the most woods for them to burn and destroy; and when they have consumed it, then to another parish, and at last become rogues and vagabonds to the great discouragement of parishes to provide stocks, where it is liable to be devoured by strangers," enacts, "That it shall be lawful upon complaint made by the churchwardens or overseers of the poor of any parish, to any justice of peace within forty days after any such person or persons coming so to settle as aforesaid in any tenement under the yearly value of £. 10, for any two justices of the peace whereof one to be of the quorum of the division where any person or persons that are likely to be chargeable to the parish shall come to inhabit, by their warrant to remove and convey such person or persons to such parish where he or they were last legally settled, either

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

as a native, householder, sojnurner, apprentice or servant, for the space of forty days at the least, unless he or they give sufficient security for the discharge nf the said parish, tn he allowed hy the said justices." SETTLEMENT.

Never was such important legislation effected hy means of exceptions, qualification, and hints, and seldom have any laws been so pertinaciously adhered to after the principal, and in some cases the only, reasons for their introduction had ceased. The direct purpose of the Act stripped of all that qualifies it, is to enahle the justices, ou complaint ol the churchwardens or overseers, to remove any new comer from a parish, though not applying for relief, if they think or profess to think that he is likely to become chargeahle. Such a power, however, was even then felt to iequire some restriction. It was required, therefore, that it should he exercised within the first forty days alter the arrival of the new settler, and persons settling in a tenement of the yearly value of £.10, a sum equal, according to the present value of money, to more than £.50, were directly excepted. Forty days' residence, without removal, or occupying a tenement of £.10 annual value, gave therefore a right to remain, or, as it is now called, a settlement, and the direction that persons should he removed to the place where they were *last* legally settled as natives, householders, apprentices, servants, or even sojourners, for forty days made also forty days' residence a means, not only of acquiring a settlement, hut also a meaus of losing any previous settlement, and estahlished birth as a settlement, where no other had heen acquired. To these the common law added estate, or property in land, hecause no person ought to be removed lrom his estate, and marriage in the case of a woman, and parentage in the case of a legitimate child, on the ground that a wife must not he separated from her hushand, or a child, until emancipated, from its parents; and the 3 & 4 W. & M. c. 11, s. 6, added, serving an annual public office, and contrihuting to the public taxes of a parish.

The ohject of all the suhsequent Acts on this suhject has been to restrict these modes of acquiring a settlement. 1st. By enacting, that except in cases nf persous serving offices, or paying parochial taxes, unmarried persous, without children, hired for a year, and apprentices, the forty days' residence shall be accounted only from the delivery of a notice in writing to the overseers, which they are bound to read iu church and register:* 2dly. By preventing residence from conferring a settlement on persons bringing a certificate from the overseers of their previous parish, acknowledging them to be settled there:† 3dly. By declaring that hiring shall not confer a settlement unless the persou hired shall continue in the same service a year;‡ 4thly. By enacting, that the *purchase of* an estate for less than £.30 shall not confer a settlement:§ 5thly. By preventing a settlement from heing gained by payment of taxes in respect nf tenements of less annual value than £.10;‖ a restriction which has virtually repealed this head of settlement: 6thly. By a series of Acts, all endeavouring to explain and define the circumstances under which renting a tenement shall confer a settlement. ¶

In the mean time, however, the circumstanees under which apprenticeship, hiring and service, estate, renting a tenement, and serving an office, had been held to confer a settlement had changed. We have seen that they were introduced as qualifications and restrictions on the power given by the 13 & 14 C. II. of removing all new comers whom the overseers chose to consider likely to become chargeahle. This power was put an end to by the 35 Geo. III. c. 101, which enacts, that no poor person shall be removed until he shall hecome actually chargeable. A change so imperiously demanded, not only by expediency hut hy justice, that it is difficult to conceive how the arhitrary enactment of the 13 & 14 Car. II. could have heen tolerated so long.

It might have heen expected that the grounds of settlement which were estahlished when the power of removal was given, would have heen reconsidered when that power was taken away. This, however, appears not to have heen dnne, for it cannot he supposed that, if attention had been called to the subject they would all have heen allowed to continue. The consequence has heen, that in this instance, as in many others, like a patient who continues the use of remedies after the disease has ceased, we are suffering under laws of which the grounds have long been removed.

The reply to our printed question, Can you suggest any and what alteration in the

---

* 1 James 2, c. 17. 3 W. & M. c. 11. † 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 30. ‡ 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 30.
§ 9 Geo. 1, c. 7. ‖ 35 Geo. 3, c. 101. ¶ 59 Geo. 3, c. 50. ¢ Geo 4, c. 57. 1 Will. 4, c. 18.

Produced by kind permission of the University of Southampton Library. Digitisation by

SETTLEMENT

the settlement laws? almost always contains a protestation against settlement by hiring and service. As the demand for agricultural labour varies with the seasons, it is of great importance to the labourer that he should be engaged by the year. When hired for any shorter period he is in danger of being out of work during the winter months, at the very time when his wants are greatest. It is of the greatest importance also to the farmer that the persons on whose conduct his own welfare so much depends should have the local knowledge and skill, and the attachment to his person and his interests, which only long continuance in the same service can produce. Accordingly we find that where things are left to take their natural course, the agricultural labourer is generally hired by the year, and often passes his whole life on the same farm. But instead of things being left to their natural course, the employer has always to consider how his interests may be affected if he allows a labourer to obtain a new settlement, and the labourer, what may be the consequence to himself, of losing his previous one. If the farmer, either from being a proprietor or a lessee, or a tenant at will, with the prospect of continuance, is interested in preventing settlements, he effects it either, 1st, by employing no non-parishioners; or, 2dly, by hiring all his non-parishioners for periods less than a year; or, 3dly, by preventing those whom he hires from sleeping in his own parish. The first plan, when generally adopted in a district, distributes the labourers, not according to the real demand for labour, but to the accidental divisions of parishes. The second plan is sometimes used as a mere evasion, the labourer being hired for 51 weeks, or for 364 days, or some other period less than a year, but practically retained without intermission from year to year. In this case, however, the only protection against settlement, is evidence that the contract between the parties, almost always a verbal one, was for less than a year. The danger that this evidence may be lost, or wilfully suppressed, or falsified, has occasioned it to be more usual to let the service, as well as the hiring, be for less than a year; an interval of a few days being interposed, after which a new contract is made, and a new service begins. This interval, however, is almost always spent by the labourer in idleness, and often in debauchery, to the injury of both parties; and even if it be not so spent, the constant recurrence of a separation and a new agreement destroys the intimacy and security of the connexion, and has a tendency to introduce the still worse practice of hiring by the season, the month, the week, or even the day; a practice which many of our most experienced informants describe as most mischievous to the character and happiness of the agricultural labourers. On the other hand, the labourer, if he thinks his parish a *good* one, that is, one in which public or private relief is profusely distributed, is averse to endanger his existing settlement, by leaving it. With that general and vague idea of the law on the subject which floats in the minds of those who have picked it up by hearsay, he is aware that there are many means by which a settlement may be lost as soon as a man has left his parish, though he is not precisely aware what they are, or how they are to be evaded; while he stays, however, he is safe. The land, to use his own expression, is to maintain him, and it is not his business to inquire whether he is wanted elsewhere or whether he is an incumbrance where he is.

The Rev. R. R. Bailey, chaplain to the Tower, who has had extensive opportunities of observing the operation of the Poor Laws in the rural districts, was asked, "Can you give any instances within your own knowledge of the operation of the existing law of settlement?—'I was requested by Colonel Bogson, Kesgrove-house, to furnish him with a farming bailiff. I found a man, in all respects qualified for his situation; he was working at 9*s.* a week in the parish where I lived. The man was not encumbered by a family, and he thankfully accepted my offer; the situation was, in point of emolument and comfort and station, a considerable advance; his advantages would have been doubled. In about a week he altered his mind, and declined the situation, in consequence, as I understood, of his fearing to remove from what was considered a good parish to a bad one, the parish to which it was proposed to remove him being connected with a hundred house, in which there is more strict management. I was requested by a poor man, whom I respected, to find a situation for his son, in London: the son was a strong young man, working at that time at about 8*s* a week: I eventually succeeded in getting him a good situation of one guinea per week, in London, where his labour would have been much less than it was in the country; but when the period arrived at which he was expected in London, he was not forthcoming. It appeared he had altered his mind, and determined not to take the place, as I understood, his reason for refusing to accept it arose from a reluctance to endanger his settlement in his parish. Such are the instances which are continually presented to my observation, with respect to the operation of the present system of settlement." *

"Among

* Mr Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II

Parliamentary Papers digitised by the University of Southampton Library Data Archive

"[illegible] present modes of conferring a settlement," says Mr. Russell, in the replies to which we have already adverted "that by hiring and service is incomparably the most pernicious; it tells the poor man that he shall encounter a prohibitory duty in every market in which he attempts to dispose of the only commodity he must live by selling; it shuts the door against the most respectable and advantageous employment in which a servant can engage; by abridging the term, it impairs the strength of the connexion between him and his master; and it not only drives the servant from his place, but often betrays him, during the interval between his being discharged from one house and hired at another, into bad company, dissipation and vice."*

There seems, indeed, good reason to suppose that the influx of Irish labourers into London is mainly attributable to the disinclination of the labourers in the neighbouring country to quit their existing settlements. "As far as my experience goes," says Mr. Tyler, the rector of St. Giles, "I think it probable that the Irish labourers obtain employment here to the extent to which they do, in consequence of the English labourers being kept in their parishes by the present mode of administering the law, and the effects of the present law of settlement."†

"I found," says Mr. Chadwick, "that in nearly every parish I examined, where bodies of Irish labourers are located, the evidence as to the cause of their location was of the following tenor:

"Mr. Joseph Whittle, one of the guardians of the poor and overseer of the poor, in the parish of Christchurch, Spitalfields, stated—

"In our parish it is a very rare thing to find any labouring men working for less than 12*s.* a week: indeed, the average rate of wages throughout the year is not less than from 15*s.* to 20*s.* a week. A man could not be obtained to work job-work at less than 3*s.* a day.

"Are there many Irish labourers in the parish?—Yes; there is a great proportion of them, and especially about Spitalfields market.

"Do they usually receive the average wages you mention?—Yes, they do.

"Why are English labourers not employed; or why are Irish labourers preferred?—Because English labourers are not to be had for love or money to perform the labour. Thousands of instances may be given, where the labourers will not stir for fear of losing their parishes. I think the law of settlement is the great means of keeping the English labourers confined to their parishes; it appears to them to be like running away from their heir-looms, or their freeholds. I am sure, from my own knowledge of the Whitechapel and other adjacent parishes, that there are not enough of English labourers to be had for such wages to perform the labour."

"Mr. T. H. Holland, some time vestry clerk of Bermondsey, stated:—

"There are great numbers of Irishmen employed in our parish; but they are only employed because English labourers cannot be got to do the same work for the same wages.

"And what sort of wages are those?—Not less than from 10*s.* to 15*s.* a week. An English labourer might live upon this. But English labourers would have more wages, if they were to be had for the work, because they are worth more. I have heard a saying amongst the employers of these labourers, that an Irishman must always have his master over him. An English labourer does not require so much superintendence.

"Why is it that, in your district, the English labourers have not taken the employment?—I fear that the facility of obtaining parochial relief indisposes them to exert themselves or seek about to procure employment, or to take the labour which is given to the Irish."‡

The third plan, that of preventing the unsettled labourer from sleeping within the parish, accounts for the frequent occurrence in the most pauperized districts of small parishes with very low or almost nominal rates. When a parish is in the hands of only one proprietor, or of proprietors so few in number as to be able to act, and to compel their tenants to act in unison, and adjoins to parishes in which property is much divided, they may pull down every cottage as it becomes vacant, and prevent the building of new ones. By a small immediate outlay they may enable and induce a considerable portion of those who have settlements in their parish to obtain settlements in the adjoining parishes; by hiring their labourers for periods less than a year, they may prevent the acquisition of new settlements in their own. They may thus depopulate their own estates, and cultivate them by means of the surplus population of the surrounding district. Against such conduct as this a parish in which the property is much divided, and that is the case in all towns, has no defence. Small master bricklayers and carpenters and retired tradesmen with trifling accumulations find cottages and houses inhabited by the poor a most lucrative investment. They must exercise, indeed, great vigilance and occasional harshness; they must be ready to wring their rents from their tenants or to extort them from the overseer, by constantly threatening, and sometimes effecting distresses and executions, and as no educated person could bear to seize the small property of the poor, or to turn whole families into the streets, those who seek a profit

* Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II. † Ibid. App. (A.) Part II. ‡ Ibid. App. (A.) Part II.

Printed image digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

SETTLEMENT. profit by providing accommodation for the labouring classes, are generally persons whose habits have rendered them not merely indifferent to the general prosperity of the parish, but anxious to promote the pauperism that creates the demand for their crowded and unhealthy habitations. On this point, as in many others, the evidence of the Rev. H. Millman, of Reading, is very valuable.

"I have now," says Mr. Millman, "between ten and twenty families residing together, who belong to one parish, and though working for the farmer of their own parish are obliged to reside in mine, at the distance of two, three or four miles from their work, and whose cottages have been almost literally pulled down over their heads. Even when cottages are not destroyed none are built where the population increases. Many again are bribed by presents in actual money, or by promises of advantage to seek their fortunes in the town. There are always plenty of speculative builders ready to run up cottages, which spring up around us like mushrooms. More than one has told me, that when they made a request for a cottage, the answer was, 'there are plenty in Reading.' I feel convinced, that if the present pressure long continues, that system of demolishing cottages in small parishes, and wherever the landlords can combine for the purpose, will become a general system, and the inevitable consequence will be to crowd still more those parishes which are already over crowded, and to force a large portion of the village population into the provincial towns." *

The instances of similar practices on the part of the manufacturers are comparatively few; but we cannot hope that so obvious a source of profit will long be overlooked. If the present system continues we may expect to see manufactories erected on one side of a parochial boundary, and cottages for the work people on the other, so that all the allowances to the labourers, all the casualties to which they are subject, and the great casualty of the failure of the manufactory may fall exclusively on that parish in which the master manufacturer owns perhaps nothing but the three or four acres which he has covered with his cottages.

The evils arising from settlement by apprenticeship, though less than those produced by hiring and service, are still very considerable. In the first place it leads to a shameful abuse of the trust reposed in the parish officers who have to bind out apprentices, a trust of which the importance cannot well be exaggerated, since the whole welfare of the child may depend on its faithful execution.

Mr. Henderson states,† that in some towns in Lancashire (and Lancashire ranks high among the best administered counties) "the practice pursued systematically is to bind the parish apprentices into out townships in order to shift the settlement, so that the binding parish may be rid of them. When he inquired how they turned out the answer was, 'we have nothing to do with them afterwards.'" This evil is much promoted in many parishes by charitable endowments for the purpose of apprenticing children. The premium supplied by the charity affords an easy mode of tempting an out-parishioner to take the children, and it is to be feared that in many cases the parish officers inquire no further; they have changed the child's settlement, and if he is ruined in consequence, his new parish must maintain him.

"The object of overseers," says Mr. Single, of Mile End Old Town, "is to get rid of the boy, to find a master in another parish. They seldom take any trouble to inquire into the character of the master who applies for one, nor ever make any inquiry about the lad after he is gone, they have got him off the parish, and they think they have gained something; but as other parishes do the same, nothing is gained: we have only placed ours on some other parish, and in return have got another one placed on ours. I have known many instances where the masters having obtained the first part of the premium then turned them adrift. It is a very rare instance now for a respectable, or even a decent tradesman to take a parish apprentice, consequently the poor boys get badly used, and badly brought up." ‡

Another evil of settlement by apprenticeship is the influence which it allows to mere accident. An apprentice is settled finally in the parish where he sleeps the last night in his condition of apprentice provided he has slept there either continuously or at different times, though with intervals even of years, for forty days in the whole. In the meantime he carries with him wherever he goes a contingent right of settlement, and may in fact gain as many settlements as there are periods of forty days in the period of his apprenticeship; each fresh settlement suspending all the previous ones, subject to their revival if his last night is spent in any parish in which he has slept as an apprentice for thirty-nine days. Bitter complaints are made of this grievance by the rate-payers of towns having ports, or situated on the banks of navigable rivers.

The

* Mr. Chadwick, Appendix (A.) Part II
† Extracts, p. 368.
‡ Mr. Chadwick, Appendix (A.) Part II.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

The following is an extract from a memorial addressed to us by the overseers and select vestry of South Shields, and printed at length in Appendix (A.) p. 149 :— SETTLEMENT.

"That the township of South Shields is a narrow piece of ground, bounded on one side by the river Tyne, and on the other by the township of Westoe, and that it consists of docks, manufactories, shops and houses, which houses are occupied for the most part by the working classes.

"That the township of South Shields becomes excessively burthened with 'sailor poor,' so much so, that of 1,500 paupers at present receiving relief, 75 per cent. belong to that class.

"That as the law at present stands, the settlement of a seaman is purely a matter of chance, depending on the direction and force of the wind, the state of the weather, the manner in which a ship has moored, and other circumstances purely casual; and that in by far the greater part of the applications made to this vestry by seamen, the settlement cannot be ascertained, and the difficulty is still much greater when the application is made by the widow.

"That all parishes and townships bordering on navigable rivers are more or less affected by the same circumstances."

"The following case of hardship, from this cause," says Mr. Maclean, "was represented to me by a gentleman resident in and occupying nearly the whole of *Itchenor*, a small parish at the western extremity of the county of Sussex. This parish is divided from that of Bosham by a small arm of the sea running up to the port of Chichester. It is the practice of vessels belonging to the above port to unload their cargoes at Itchenor, and consequently to moor the vessels there. It not unfrequently happens that articles of apprenticeship expire during the time that a vessel is moored there, and consequently the apprentice gains a settlement, as he has probably during the term of his apprenticeship slept the requisite number of nights at Itchenor. The allowing a settlement to be gained by the passing of 40 (not consecutive) nights off Itchenor is one grievance, but there is another in this case: the channel divides Itchenor from Bosham, and as the cable is long enough to allow the vessel to swing across to the Bosham side, according as the wind may blow, if a man will swear the ship was lying at Itchenor, and the parish officer is unable to prove to the contrary, he will be sent home on an order by the magistrates, and so obtain a settlement. The father of the present occupier tried the point and lost it, as the post to which the vessel was moored was on the Itchenor, and not on the Bosham side of the water. The appeal, I understand, was allowed. The parish of Itchenor derives no benefit from vessels unloading, or taking on board their cargoes. It is considered that more than half of the persons having settlements in this parish have obtained them in the above manner; and it is impossible to say how many other persons may have acquired settlements, or how soon, and with what families they may come home. From the above cause the expenditure has increased one-third within the last few years." *

Nearly the same objections apply to settlement by hiring and service, the servant being settled where he slept the last night before his discharge, provided he has slept there during the course of his service, though at different periods, for 39 days in one year. Years may elapse between the occurrence of the last of these important sleepings, and their consequences to the parish in which they occurred. A man applies to a London parish for relief for himself, his wife, and their six children. He states that he was born in Suffolk, and at the age of 15 apprenticed to a person in the parish of A.; that disliking his treatment he absconded at the end of the first two months; that his master, satisfied with having received the premium, made no inquiry about him; that he came to London, and has lived there for the last 30 years always hired by the day, or the week, or the job. On this statement he and his wife and family are sent to parish A.; parish A., however, endeavours to show that he did not go to London immediately after he ran away from his master, but was hired for a year as a gentleman's groom, and discharged at the end of his year's service at B., a small watering place in Wales, where his master had been spending six weeks. To B., therefore, the pauper with his wife and family are again removed, subject to still further removal if B. can show that the gentleman with a groom, who is said to have staid six weeks at the hotel, 31 years ago, in fact staid there for only five weeks and a half, or that though six weeks elapsed between his arrival and final departure, yet that during three days he was absent with his groom on a visit, or that though he kept his groom for a year, he did not hire him for a year, or that he discharged him a day before the year ended, or a day before the 40 days of residence ended, or can adduce any other fact, however apparently trifling, of equal legal force. And it is on absurdities like these that the question depends, whether parish A. or parish B, neither of which has any real connexion with the pauper, neither of which could by any vigilance

* Appendix A.) Part I. p. 570.

Printed in Great Britain by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

SETTLEMENT. vigilance have prevented his acquiring a settlement, is to support him and his family, and perhaps his children's children, for ever.

It is no slight aggravation of these evils that they may arise not merely from accident, but from fraud.

"Settlement, by hiring and service," says Mr. Maclean, "may be converted into a most prolific instrument of fraud upon parishes: *e. g.* an individual assessed to a large amount in the parish of A., and to a small amount in the adjoining one of B. wishing to relieve the burthens of parish A., takes into his service at a yearly hiring in the parish of B., parishioners of A.; these he employs for one year, and then discharges, to be a permanent burthen on B., and is again at liberty to take others, and act by them in a similar manner." *

The case supposed by Mr. Maclean is described by Mr. Cowell as actually occurring in Ely.

"A proprietor possessing nearly the whole of a parish at some distance from Ely, as we were told, hired a farm in Ely, which he manages by a bailiff; he sends his own parishioners to work on it. To these persons his bailiff gives settlements in Ely, by hiring, and at the end of the year they are turned off upon Trinity parish in Ely, and their places supplied by a fresh immigration from the mother parish. The proprietor may have had very different motives from those attributed to him by our examinants, and this circumstance is not mentioned for the purpose of casting any reflection on him (we do not know his name, nor what account of the transaction he himself might give,) but in order to point out the temptations which 'settlements by hiring and service' throws in the way of persons even of station and education. In the case of Great Shelford, are not the landowners, who daily see their property slowly but surely passing away from them, under a strong temptation to save themselves from ruin, by hiring a couple of farms for seven years in two distinct parishes, and bribing their supernumerary families to take service there? And this is clearly possible by the existing law."†

"Many settlements," says Mr. Everett, "have been obtained in Saint Andrew the Less, Cambridge, by persons who have rented houses of £. 10 yearly value; the rent for which has been in reality paid by other parties or parishes collusively, for the purpose of getting rid of troublesome parishioners, and fixing them in the parish of Saint Andrew the Less."‡

"I have been told," says Mr. Maclean, "that some parishes have arrangements with brokers and other persons in large towns, who are in the habit of letting small tenements, under which the broker or other person receives a premium upon each pauper of whom he so relieves a parish."§

Settlement by estate is a still easier mode of fraud than settlement by renting a tenement, as the slightest interest in land, if acquired gratuitously, even the last six months of a hovel, let at 5*s.* a year, confers a settlement. Mr. Majendie mentions the case of an Irishman to whom, for the express purpose of fixing him and his wife and family in a Sussex parish, his father-in-law conveyed some land. He now receives in consequence a fixed weekly allowance of 11*s.* 6*d.* from the parish. ‖

Settlement by marriage seems to be a fertile source of fraud.

"It is the usual custom," says Mr. Brushfield, of Spitalfields, "when single women are pregnant, for them, as a matter of course, to make application to the parish officers for relief. The parish officers inquire as to her settlement. She belongs to their parish; but they find that the father of the child is single, and belongs to another parish, and acting for the benefit of their own local circumscribed boundary, they immediately begin a sort of negociation for the purpose of marrying the father and the mother previous to the birth of the child. Such negociations frequently succeed, and so by removing the burthen from the shoulders of their parish altogether, a comparatively light burthen, they inflict on a neighbouring parish a heavy load, and on society a perpetually increasing evil. To such sources may be attributed, as I conceive, a very great portion of that misery, immorality, want of care and affection for their offspring, attachment to home, respect for themselves, and for domestic economy, which are so prevalent among the labouring classes of society. That such negociations are anticipated in many cases by the parties is very evident, for on the first application to the parish officers, the young woman is ready with 'He's willing to marry me *if he could afford it*, and he does not belong to you.' (viz. your parish.)"

"Marriages," says Mr. Mott, of Lambeth, "are frequently made up by parish officers, in order to throw the charges on other parishes. To evade the odium and avoid publicity, the arrangement is often made by some pretended disinterested person, and the money repaid by the overseers; but the beadles are commonly employed to effect the arrangement. The following case occurred last week: A young man, named Charles Brockley, belonging to some parish in Hertfordshire, applied to the overseers of Lambeth, offering to marry a young woman, named Sarah Isles, an inmate of Lambeth workhouse (a most determined drunkard.)

---

* Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 570. † Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 594. ‡ Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 673.
§ Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 571. ‖ Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 230.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

drunkard.) The overseers bargained with him for two guineas, and agreed to pay, in addition, the marriage fees. Monday, 22d April, one guinea was advanced to buy Isles some clothes; a gown was purchased, and Isles had it to make. Wednesday, 29th April, was appointed for the marriage. The gown was made by Thursday, and on Friday morning *Isles pledged it for one shilling.* On the Monday morning she related the circumstances to Mr. Drouet, with a mixture of pretended regret and laughter, imploring him to lend her a shilling to get the gown out of pawn, otherwise she could not be married. This was done. A person was sent to accompany them to church, and, upon the completion of the marriage, paid the fees, and gave the husband the remaining guinea. Such marriages are very common. In cases where young women are likely to inflict a burden upon parishes, being pregnant, the reputed fathers are frequently induced by such arrangements to marry the girl, and thereby throw the burden of the young woman and her offspring upon another parish. During some inquiries I made, a beadle, in a *small district* of one parish, assured me he had alone effected 50 marriages of this description in the course of a few years, and that the aggregate of such marriages in that parish in one year was very considerable."

To these evils must be added the perjury and falsehood which seem peculiarly incidental to these inquiries. Though the English law has assumed that the minutest interest overbalances in every man and on every occasion, both the love of truth and the fear of punishment, inasmuch as it has declared that a witness who has anything, however trifling, to gain or lose by the decision, is unfit, not merely to be fully trusted, but even to be heard,* it yet admits, and necessarily admits, the evidence of the proprietor on points which are to decide whether his property is or is not to support an additional burden, and that of the pauper, when the question is as to the place where he is to be fixed during the remainder of his life. It admits this questionable evidence where it cannot possibly be verified or contradicted. Settlements are claimed by hiring and service under masters who have long been dead, under apprenticeship when the indentures are lost or destroyed, by renting a tenement when houses have been pulled down. And they are rebutted or supported by narratives of conversations which occurred perhaps 20 years before, and which were not of a nature to dwell on the memories of those who proffer to report them. We cannot better characterise the evidence on which the justices have to decide in matters of settlement, than by saying, that it is almost as unsatisfactory as that which guides them in matters of relief.

These evils arise almost exclusively from the heads of settlement which were introduced in consequence of the 13 & 14 Car. II. and might be almost entirely removed if those heads of settlement were put an end to. But there are others greater and more extensive which arise from the mere existence of a law of settlement, whatever that law may be, which increase in intensity in proportion as the limits of the district which has to support what are called its own poor, are restricted, and could be mitigated only by its extension, and removed only by its entire abolition.

As soon as it was established by practice, whether legally or not we will not inquire, that all the persons having settlements in a parish must be supported, either paid for working or paid for being idle, it became the interest of every parish, having more parishioners than could be profitably employed, to apportion among the applicants the fund for the subsistence of the labouring classes in such a manner as to give to all a subsistence, and if possible to none more than a subsistence; to treat them in short like slaves or cattle. Every one who endures the painful task of going through this Report must be struck, and if the subject is new to him astonished, by the cases which we have cited, in which those men who have accumulated any property are found to be refused employment, to be denied even the privilege of working for hire until their savings have been wasted in idleness; by the difference in the remuneration obtained from the same master in return for the same exertion by the married and single; and by the studied attempts by means of mutual compacts among the farmers, and by rating strangers and excusing parishioners to drive all who have no settlement from the parish. But all these are the natural results of the parochial system, and cannot be got rid of unless we are willing either to refuse parochial relief to the able-bodied and their families, or to distribute the burden affording that relief over districts so large as to prevent any individual from feeling that its immediate pressure on himself can be increased or alleviated by his own conduct.

BASTARDY.

* Since this passage was written the law on this point has been materially improved by the 3d and 4th Will. 4. cap. 42, sec. 26 and 27.

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

BASTARDY.

BASTARDY

One subject remains to be considered, which, notwithstanding its importance, we have placed at the end of this portion of our Report, as it is a branch of the Poor Laws, distinguished from the rest both as to the principles on which it is founded, and the evils which it has produced. This comprehends the support of illegitimate children, the relief afforded to their mothers, and the attempts to obtain the repayment of the expense from their supposed fathers.

By the first Act on this subject, the 18 Eliz. c. 3. s. 2, concerning bastards begotten and born out of lawful matrimony (an offence against God's law and man's law) the said bastards being now left to be kept at the charges of the parish where they be born, to the great burden of the same parish, and in defrauding of the relief of the impotent and aged, *true poor of the same parish*, and to evil example and encouragement of lewd life, it is enacted, that two justices of the peace, upon examination of the cause and circumstance shall by their discretion take order as well for the punishment of the mother and reputed father, as also for the better relief of every such parish in part or in all; and for the keeping of every such child, by charging such mother or reputed father with the payment of money weekly, or other sustentation, for the relief of such child in such wise as they shall think convenient: and if after the same order by them subscribed under their hands the said persons, viz. mother or reputed father, upon notice thereof, shall not for their part observe and perform the said order, every such party so making default to be committed to gaol, there to remain, except he, she, or they shall put in sufficient surety to perform the said order, or else personally to appear at the next general sessions of the peace, and also to abide such order as the justices of the peace then and there shall take in that behalf.

The object of this Act was merely to force the parents to support their child, a duty which appears to have been previously performed for them by the parish. Its failure may be inferred from the next Act on the subject, the 7 Jac. I. c. 4. s. 7, which "because great charge ariseth upon many places within this realm by reason of bastardy, besides the great dishonour of Almighty God, enacts that every lewd woman which shall have any bastard which may be chargeable to the parish shall be committed to the house of correction, there to be punished and set on work, during the term of one whole year; and if she shall eftsoons offend again shall be committed to the said house of correction as aforesaid, and there remain until she can put in good sureties for her good behaviour, not to offend so again," a sentence which if executed must often have been imprisonment for life. The 50 Geo. III. c. 51, s. 2, repeals this power, and enables the justices to sentence the woman to imprisonment for any period not less than six weeks or more than one year.

It appears by the 13 & 14 Car. II. c. 11, s. 10, that the previous Acts were defeated by the parent's running away out of the parish, and sometimes out of the country, leaving their children on the charge of the parish where they were born. The Act therefore enables the churchwardens and overseers for the poor of such parish where any bastard child shall be born to take so much of the goods and chattels, and receive so much of the annual rent or profits of the lands of such putative father or mother as shall be ordered by any two justices of the peace for or towards the discharge of the parish for the bringing up and providing for such child

By the 6 Geo. II. c. 31. and the 49 Geo. III. c. 68 (by which the former Act is repealed, and then re-enacted with some variations,) it is enacted, That if any single woman declare herself to be pregnant, and charge any person with being the father, it shall be lawful for any justice of the division, on the application of the overseers, or of any substantial householder, to issue his warrant for the immediate apprehending such person, and be is required to commit such person to gaol, unless he shall give security to indemnify the parish, or enter into a recognizance, with sufficient surety to appear at the quarter sessions, and to perform the order to be then made:

"It seems," says Mr. Nolan, the principal text-writer on the subject, "that proceedings under this statute may be altogether *ex parte*. No summons need issue to bring the person accused before the justice, and it appears unnecessary that he should be present at the woman's examination When the reputed father is brought by warrant before the justice, the magistrate has no power to examine into the merits of the case, but is bound by the express terms of the statute to commit him to the common gaol or house of correction, unless he gives security, or enters into a recognizance with sufficient surety." *

* Nolan's Poor Laws, vol ii p. 288, 289.

If

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

If there were no other objections to these laws, than that they place at the mercy of any abandoned woman, every man who is not rich enough to give security or find sureties, that they expose him to be dragged without previous summons, on a charge made in his absence, before a tribunal which has no power to examine into the merits of the case; if these were their only faults, we should still feel it our duty to urge their immediate abolition. What can be conceived more revolting than a law which not only authorizes but compels the oppression thus detailed by Captain Chapman:

"At Exeter, an apprentice under 18 years of age was recently committed to the house of correction for want of security. It was admitted that there was no chance of his absconding, but the overseers said he had been brought for punishment. The woman stated that she was only three months gone with child; and thus the boy is taken from his work, is confined five or six months among persons of all classes, and probably ruined for ever, on the oath of a person with whom he was not confronted, and with whom he denied having had any intercourse." *

The overseers, it seems, said, "that he had been brought for punishment." For what was he punished? for having committed the act with which he was charged? That act was an offence not punished by the English law. Whether punishable or not, he denied having committed it; and the tribunal which sentenced him, though competent to punish, was not competent even to hear his defence; he was punished simply for his youth, poverty and friendlessness, for not being able to give security or find sureties, and his ponishment was five or six months imprisonment, a punishment severe even to hardened criminals, but absolutely ruinous to a boy of eighteen.

But these are not the only, they are not even the principal, objections to the enactments of which we have stated the substance. The mode in which they oppress the innocent, revolting as it is, is far less mischievous to society than that by which they punish the guilty. Without recurring to the proceedings which may take place during the mother's pregnancy, we will consider those which follow the birth of an illegitimate child. The mother, as a matter of course, requires the parish to support her child. The overseers apply to the magistrates, who make an order that the woman, and the man whom she swears to be the father, shall each pay to the parish a weekly sum for the child's support. The sum charged on the woman is scarcely ever exacted, as she is supposed to earn it by nursing the child. If the man, on demand, refuse to pay the sum charged on him, he may be imprisoned three months, and so from time to time while the order remains in force. Whatever is received from the man is paid over by the parish to the woman, and in almost every case the parish pays to the woman the sum, whatever it may be, that has been *charged* on the man, whether paid by him or not. The sum charged on the man varies from 7*s.* or 8*s.* a week to 1*s.* The average is about 3*s.* or 2*s.* 6*d.* in towns, and 2*s.* in the country; but generally higher if he is in good circumstances. In most cases the sum is as great, in many it is greater, than that for which a child can be put out to nurse, or than that which would be allowed by the parish if it were legitimate and its father dead. To the woman, therefore, a single illegitimate child is seldom any expense, and two or three are a source of positive profit. To the man indeed it is a burthen, unless, as is frequently, perhaps we might say most frequently, the case, he avoids it by flying to some part of the country where he is unknown, or so distant from the scene of his delinquency as to make the expense of endeavouring to enforce payment a sufficient motive to leave him unmolested. Still more frequently, however, as soon as he finds that the evil of becoming the father of a bastard is otherwise inevitable, he avoids it by marrying the woman during her pregnancy; a marriage of which we may estimate the consequences when we consider that it is founded not on affection, not on esteem, not on the prospect of providing for a family, but on fear on one side and vice on both.

We will support these statements and inferences by the following passages from the evidence:—

1st.—With respect to the pecuniary indemnity, and in many, and those the most aggravated cases, the pecuniary benefit offered to the woman for her incontinency.

"Colonel *A'Court*, J. P, Castleman's, near Maidenhead, Berks, June 1832.

"The certainty of women obtaining care and provision for themselves during pregnancy and birth of children born in bastardy, as well as parish allowance for the maintenance of their

* App. (A.) Part I p 452.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

BASTARDY.

their children so born, tends to remove those checks to irregular intercourse which might otherwise operate were they in such cases left more dependent upon the honour and ability of the men to support them in such difficulties. No restraint is now imposed by necessity of circumstances to influence women to observe caution or forbearance, or even decent scruples, in their choice. Middle-aged women will sometimes unblushingly swear mere lads to be the fathers of their bastard children; lads whom they have perhaps enticed to the commission of the offence. I have seldom observed any diffidence in woman in passing through the forms prescribed by the laws for the affiliation of bastards, but I have witnessed a disposition on their parts to persuade the magistrates to order the weekly payment by the men as heavy as possible, which being invariably paid by the parish to the woman, she considers as a sort of pension to herself." *

"*John Kirkham*, Assistant Overseer, Louth, Lincolnshire, has had six Parishes at a time under his charge.

"With respect to the woman, in the course of my personal acquaintance with those parishes I have had to manage, as well as from extensive inquiry, I find there are numbers in most parishes who have from two to four children, receiving different sums of money with each, according to the ability of the putative father; so that the sum the woman receives with the whole of the children, and what the mother can earn, enables them to live as comfortably, or indeed more so, than most families in the neighbourhood. It may be truly said that the money she receives is more than sufficient to repay her for the loss her misconduct has occasioned her, and it really becomes a source of emolument, and is looked upon by others as an encouragement to vice. Many of those escape punishment of any sort, and if some of them go to the house of correction for 12 months, it appears to have very little effect either upon them or upon the morals of others."†

"*John Dodgson*, Roanstrees, Parish of Bewcastle, Cumberland.

"We at this time, in our parish, are supporting two bastard children whose mothers have landed property of their own, and would not marry the fathers of their children. The daughters of some farmers, and even land-owners, have bastard children, who keep their daughters and children with them, and regularly keep back their poor-rate to meet the parish allowance for their daughters' bastards. We have no doubt the same grievance exists in many other parishes."‡

"*Edward Tregaskis*, Vestry Clerk, Penryn St. Glnvias, Cornwall.

"We know and are satisfied, from long and serious observation and facts occurring, that continued illicit intercourse has, in almost all cases, originated with the females; many of whom, under our knowledge, in this and neighbouring parishes, do resort to it as a source of support, taking advantage of the kindness of the provisions for the nurture of the offspring from their own known inability to contribute, and thus receive the fixed weekly allowances from the parish officers; and a deliberate repetition of offence gives them in this manner a right to claim the allowances, which, when added together according to the number of their children generally with them, is sufficient in many cases to afford support."§

"At Totness," says Captain Chapman, "the sum ordered upon putative fathers varies from 1*s.* 3*d.* to 2*s.* 6*d. according to means: the whole is given to the mother, whether paid to the parish or not*, the order being considered as an order upon the parish itself; one case of a person having absconded some years ago, on whom an order was made for 2*s.* 6*d.*, the parish continued to pay the full amount. In addition to the allowance, the mothers receive clothing.

"A widow, with a legitimate child, would in no instance receive more than 1*s.* 6*d.* per week.

"It was a matter of general notoriety that such persons receive money from those with whom they may have had intercourse, to induce them not to affiliate upon them, but to swear to some poor man who is frequently paid, and from whom nothing can be recovered. At Liskeard, the assistant overseer informed me, that a person of respectability had within a few days paid an allowance or composition for a bastard, and lamented that he had been such a fool as to refuse to give the mother a small sum, which she had asked for, and then would have sworn to some other person. Instances of such arrangements are said to be very common. In garrisons in particular, it is a common practice to swear the child to a soldier, from whom nothing can be recovered, and who can only be sent to the tread-wheel for a short time. Indeed so general is this system of compromise, that it was the opinion of the most experienced parochial officers, that from ignorance and wilful perjury combined, *nine bastards in ten are falsely sworn in towns*. But I heard of no instance of punishment for perjury, and believe that they are of very rare occurrence."‖

"In some districts," says Mr. Majendie, "the custom prevails of overseers paying over to the mother of a bastard the sum directed by the order of maintenance, whether it be recovered from the father or not, and this comes under the denomination of "Pay" in pauper language. The sum allowed to the mother of a bastard is generally greater than that given to the mother of a legitimate child; indeed the whole treatment of the former is a direct encouragement to vice. If a young woman *gets into trouble*, she is probably taken into a workhouse, where she is better lodged and fed than at any period of her former life, and maintained perhaps for a year in perfect idleness; it is not wonderful then that she comes back under the same circumstances; hence the bastardy debt sometimes amounts to £.500 or

* App. (C.) p. 214. † App. (C.) p. 216. ‡ App. (C.) p. 218. § App. (C.) p. 220.
‖ App. (A.) Part I. p. 453.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

or £.600 in agricultural parishes; not more than one-fifth of the expense is recovered from the fathers, and *that* subject to the deduction of heavy law expenses.

"In Croydon the number of bastards in the house is 12, out of the house 88=100; the vicinity of London is considered a cause of this large number. The total annual expense is on an average £. 500, of which about one-fifth is recovered from the fathers; the order of maintenance is from 2*s.* to 3*s.* per week, *according to the circumstances of the father, and is paid to the mother whether received from the father or not;* to the mother of a legitimate child, if in distress, the weekly allowance is 2*s*: thus the mother of a bastard is at all events as well provided for, and it may be better." *

"The administration of the laws on bastards," says Captain Pringle, "are the cause of great evils, without appearing to have almost any redeeming quality.

"The allowance made to the mother for the support of her child, *and secured to her by the parish in case of the putative father failing to pay the amount awarded*, is an encouragement to the offence; it places such women in a better situation than many married women whatever may be the number of children.

"The system of making the allowance vary from 1*s.* up to 5*s.* per week, according to the circumstances of the putative father, is an inducement to false swearing. It appears even to be a cause of leading the parish officer to encourage the woman to pick out a 'good man,' for the latter can easily be made to pay; whilst servants, labourers and mechanics often escape, so that from one-half to one-third is never recovered from the father, and consequently comes as a charge on the parish.

"Parish aid has a tendency to remove all shame; thus, in Cumberland, the daughters of farmers sometimes claim such allowance, or it is claimed by their fathers, and deducted out of their payment of poor rates." †

Mr. Tweedy—

"Snaith, Yorkshire.—The usual order on the father has been 2*s.* per week, and the same on a second or third child; but now the magistrates seem determined to allow no more than 1*s.* 6*d.* If a woman has 2*s.* a week allowed for each child, she may save something on having a third child; there is one instance in Carleton of a woman who is now receiving 4*s.* for two children, and is about to have a third; and she said, if she had a third, she could live as well as any body." ‡

Mr. Cowell—

"Swaffham, Norfolk.—A woman in a neighbouring parish had five illegitimate children, for which she was allowed 10*s.* per week, and 6*s.* for herself. She is now in the receipt of 18*s.* per week, the produce of successful bastardy adventures.

"My informant in this and the following instance was Mr. Sewell, clerk to the magistrates at Swaffham.

"A woman of Swaffham was reproached by the magistrate, Mr. Young, with the burdens she had brought upon the parish, upon the occasion of her appearing before him to present the parish with her seventh bastard. She replied, 'I am not going to be disappointed in my company with men to save the parish.' This woman now receives 14*s.* a week for her seven bastards, being 2*s.* a head for each. Mr. Sewell informed me that had she been a widow with seven legitimate children, she would not have received so much by 4*s.* or 5*s.* a week, according to their scale of allowance to widows. A bastard child is thus about 25 per cent. more valuable to a parent then a legitimate one. The premium upon want of chastity, perjury and extortion, is here very obvious; and Mr. Sewell informed me that it is considered a good speculation to marry a woman who can bring a fortune of one or two bastards to her husband.

"Holbeach, Lincolnshire.—Informants, the overseer and master of the workhouse.

"Many illegitimate children; 10 or 12 every year; bastards increasing; order from 1*s.* to 2*s.* 6*d.* and above,—*depends on the circumstances of the father.*

"An unmarried girl, upon leaving the workhouse after her fourth confinement, said to the master, 'Well, if I have the good luck to have another child, I shall draw a good sum from the parish; and with what I can earn myself, shall be better off than any married woman in the parish;' and the master added, that she had met with the good luck she hoped for, as she told him, a short time before I was at Holbeach, that she was five months gone with child.

"I asked him what she had for each child?—He answered, 2*s.*; and that women in that neighbourhood could easily earn 5*s.* a week all the year through. Thus she will have 15*s.* a week. §

Mr. W. Sefton, Collector of the Poor Rates of Lambeth.—"I have had the care of the bastardy accounts of the parish for seven years; and I am of opinion, that the crime has greatly increased in our parish within that period, far more than in the proportion in which the population has increased.

"In cases where the children are affiliated, we pay over to the mothers all the sums we receive from the fathers under the order of the magistrates; and they vary from 2*s.* to 7*s.* a week; indeed I know one case in which 8*s.* was awarded by the magistrates, and that sum has been paying for several years, and is still paid to the mother, who is now married and living respectably. ‖

Mr. George Chadwin, Vestry Clerk, and Mr. James Unwin, Overseer, of St. Mary, Battersea.—"We have many illegitimate children; and we think that the numbers have increased

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 165. † App. (A. Part I p. 330. ‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 828.
§ App. (A.) Part I p. 647-648. ‖ Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

BASTARDY.

increased of late years. If a young woman has two or three bastard children, and receives 2*s.* 6*d.* a week for each, it is a little fortune to them. As soon as the children can run about, they can be taken into infant schools for 2*d.* a week, and kept from nine in the morning till five in the evening; so that the mothers can get their living by work, or waste their time in idleness." *

" In Sunderland," says Mr. Wilson, " the witnesses dwelt on the shocking inequality established in the bastard's favour over the legitimate child. A respectable widow would actually receive less for her children, than a prostitute for the offspring of promiscuous concubinage; and when the overseers endeavour to correct this sort of regimen, the first question asked them by the magistrates when summoned before them, without allowing them time to explain the reasons of their conduct, is, 'Why don't you pay the sum named in the order?' and this in the girl's presence, who is thus encouraged to claim *her rights*. Witness mentioned a case within his own personal cognisance, of a young woman of four and twenty, with four bastard children; she is receiving 1*s.* 6*d.* weekly for each of them. She told him herself, that *if she had one more, she should be very comfortable.* Witness added, 'They don't in reality keep the children; they let them run wild, and enjoy themselves with the money.'"†

Secondly, as to its tendency to promote her marriage—

" *Charles Sawyer*, Esq. J. P., Bray, Berks.

" In the case of poor people, the magistrates of the Maidenhead division of the county of Berks order the father of the bastard to pay 2*s.* a week for the maintenance of the child; and it sometimes happens, that if a woman has two or more bastard children, she is considered a good object of marriage on account of these weekly payments, and thus marriages are contracted which are in the end productive of misery to the parties and of injury to the community, by becoming the source of a disorderly and profligate population."‡

" The charge of bastardies," says Mr. Power, " is accompanied by a very large share of mischievous and immoral consequences. The disgrace, such as it is, is the only punishment which awaits the mother; the other difficulties affect neither her nor her relations. The usual allowance of 2*s.* *guaranteed by the parish*, makes an illegitimate child a less incumbrance, almost by half, than a legitimate one. But the most active inducement to incontinence in the female, is the prospect of all being cured by a forced marriage, the usual consequence of a state of pregnancy in country parishes. Accordingly it is found, and the fact is so flagrant as to make a part of all testimony on this subject, that the female in very many cases becomes the corruptor; and boys, much under the age of 20, are continually converted by this process into husbands. At Girton, a small village about four miles from Cambridge (population 330 in 1831,) I was told that 12 marriages had taken place within the parish during the last year, and that all the parties were very young. It is difficult to say whether the Bastardy Laws, or the system of relief, have the greatest effect in the promotion of those early marriages."§

" Bastardy," says Mr. Villiers, " leads to marriage. At Bulkington, in Warwickshire, Mr. Warner stated, that he had lately questioned the clergyman of the parish, as to the proportion of pregnant women among the poor whom he married, and his reply was, 'not less than 19 out of every 20.' Having repeated this statement to the clergyman at Beckenhill, in the same county, he said that it precisely corresponded with his own experience in his own parish.

" At Nuneaton, the solicitor to the parish, Mr. Greenaway, stated, that his house looked into the church-yard; that he was in the habit purposely of watching the persons resorting to the church for marriage, and that he could confidently say, that 17 out of every 20 of the female poor who went there to be married were far advanced in pregnancy."‖

" Where early marriages are complained of," says Mr. Richardson, " that is every where, I have also been told that the women, as they feel no disgrace, either in their own eyes, or in those of others, at becoming the mothers of bastards, have still less reluctance in allowing the claims of a husband to anticipate the marriage ceremony, in fact they are almost always with child when they come to the church. I heard from the brother of a clergyman living at a parish which I had not time to visit, that his brother being anxious to reform the morals of his parish, had preached for some years with great vigour and plainness of speech against this custom, and had offered rewards to any woman whose first child was not born within a given time. It was only given once, and even then it turned out that the clergyman had been deceived. This parish, I believe, was a very bad one, for the corruption had extended there to rather a higher grade of society than the common labourers, but so far as they are concerned, the experiment might be repeated with the same ill success in all the pauperized villages in this country."¶

" In the parish of Midhurst," says Mr. Maclean, " there has been no increase of chargeable bastards, but a great increase of marriages to prevent it; and these, though not compulsory on the part of the parish, take place under the impression that it is better for them to receive an allowance for a legitimate, than to be liable to a weekly payment for an illegitimate child. **

" In the parish of Cranley, with a population of 1,350, the number of bastards chargeable does not average one in the year, as the man marries the woman as soon as she is with child, in the expectation of being better off. The order is generally 2*s.* on the father, and nothing on the mother.††

" Several clergymen told me that four-fifths of the women are with child, and frequently near

* Mr. Chadwick, App. (A.) Part II. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 136. ‡ App. (C.) p. 222
§ App. (A.) Part I. p. 261. ‖ App. (A.) Part II. p. 9. ¶ App. (A.) Part. I. p. 399
** App. (A.) Part I. p. 539. †† App. (A.) Part I. p. 540.

Printed marginalia by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

near their confinement at the time of their marriage, and that this want of chastity may be attributed in a great measure to the law of bastardy, which secures to the woman either a husband or a weekly allowance for the support of the child." *

"Bastardy," says Mr. Walcott, "is a growing evil in Wales. The laws on this subject were universally condemned, not only as inefficient to indemnify the parish, and repress the mischief, but as operating directly to cause its increase. I found, that in practice, so far from punishing the female, they intercept one of the punishments naturally consequential on the offence, the burthen of supporting the child; they hold out to her, if not a pecuniary reward, in many instances, the powerful aid of parish officers in obtaining a husband; they effect, and often by the most shameful practices, marriages which ought to have been discountenanced; they encourage perjury on the woman's part, to the injury and disgrace of innocent persons: they convert into vagrants and dissolute characters, many of the industrious; and worse than all, they tend to induce the crime of abortion, from the interest they give the man in preventing a birth, which presents the alternative of a prison, or (to him) a heavy weekly expense. Instances were mentioned to me of applications to medical practitioners, by males, for drugs for this purpose.

"A detail of all the instances adduced to exemplify the operation of these laws, would be tedious, but on the subject of improper marriages it may be observed that where the female is of a different parish to the male, the officers of her parish, upon default in payment under the order of maintenance (to use the expression of one of my informants) sometimes, 'takes the woman in one hand and a warrant in the other, and gives the man the option of going to church, or to gaol.' An aggravated case of this sort was related to me by a clergyman, where a man to whom a child had been affiliated by a woman of loose character, in order to avoid the imprisonment with which he was threatened, consented to marry her; but lest he should change his mind and abscond before a special license was obtained, he was kept under lock and key, and ultimately led handcuffed to the church-door. As soon as the ceremony was over he quitted the neighbourhood. The object, however, was gained in the transfer of the female's settlement to another parish.

"One gentleman stated that in 49 out of every 50 marriages that he had been called on to perform in his parish amongst the lower orders, the female was either with child, or had had one, and many affirmed this of 19 out of 20 cases.

"The remedy which the majority of witnesses thought would meet most, if not all of the present evils, would be to repeal the bastardy laws, and to make it unnecessary for parishes to interfere with illegitimate children, except they were orphans or deserted.

"The application of such a remedy to a first offence in North Wales, may perhaps seem too harsh, from the appearance of hardship in punishing one, whose fall a national custom may have greatly contributed to effect. But for a second or subsequent offence this could not be urged; and on the whole, I think the plan might be beneficially adopted. The natural consequences of misconduct would then be its punishment, and the motives for prudence, on the woman's part, rendered as powerful as they could now be made.

"I met with a striking instance, which proves that the female in these cases is generally the party most to blame; and that any remedy, to be effectual, must act chiefly with reference to her. In 1823, the then overseer of the parish of Machynlleth, who was represented to me of a strict and resolute character, made known his determination to punish every single woman offending in this way, and he kept his word; the consequence was, that in the two years succeeding his year of office, not one case of bastardy occurred in the parish; but in the third year, when the terror of his reign had somewhat abated, the evil recommenced with one case, and no punishment following, gradually increased to its former level.

"Desertion of children, with infanticide, were objections sometimes urged against the plan; but the great majority of clergymen, magistrates, and others, whom I examined on the subject, thought that the former would not be more frequent than at present; and that abortion and infanticide would be less frequent, not only from there being fewer cases to give rise to them, but because the man who in most instances is now the first to suggest these crimes, especially that of abortion, and to assist in their execution, would no longer have an interest in doing so; and the female left to herself, from maternal feelings, and natural timidity, would seldom attempt the destruction of her offspring. The repeal of the present laws would likewise deprive the man of a plea of great weight with the female, namely, that if she is likely to become a mother, he shall be compelled to marry her, or go to prison." †

We will conclude this picture by the following extract from the evidence delivered by Mr. Simeon before the House of Lords Committee on Poor Laws in 1831, pages 361, 362.

"The bastardy laws proceed upon the principle of indemnifying the parish, by throwing the onus of the bastard upon the father. Now I rather believe that we shall never be able to check the birth of bastard children by throwing the onus upon the man; and I feel strongly convinced, that until the law of this country is assimilated to the law of nature, and to the law of every other country, by throwing the onus more upon the females, the getting of bastard children will never be checked. Your Lordships are aware, that when a man has the misfortune to have a bastard child sworn to him, he is brought before a magistrate. The magistrates are placed in this predicament; they say to the man, 'Will you marry this woman, will you support the child, or will you go to prison?' The man very naturally says, 'I cannot support the child, for I have not got the means; out of 3s. 6d. a week it is impossible to give 2s a week, and I am exceedingly unwilling to go to Oxford

* Mr Maclean, App. (A.) Part I. p. 541. † Mr. Walcott, App. (A.) Part II p.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Un

BASTARDY.

Oxford gaol, and therefore, of the three evils, I will choose the least, and marry the woman, although it is probable that the child is not mine.' Your Lordships are aware, that when a bastard child is sworn to a man, the magistrates will not go into the question whether the woman has had any connexion with any other man. The consequence is, that a woman of dissolute character may pitch upon any unfortunate young man whom she has inveigled into her net, and swear that child to him; and the effect of the law, as it now stands, will be to oblige the man to marry her. The consequence is that the parish, instead of keeping one bastard child, has to keep half a dozen legitimate children, the result of the marriage. As far as regards the females the case is infinitely worse. You say to a woman, 'as long as you continue virtuous and modest you have no chance of getting a husband, because, in the present state of things, the men are cautious about marrying; but if you will be intimate with any person you please, the law will oblige him to marry you.' You thus secure to her what every woman looks upon as the greatest prize—a husband. You thus make the vice of the woman the means of getting that which she is anxious to get; and I feel convinced that three-fourths of the women that now have bastard children would not be seduced, if it were not for the certainty that the law would oblige the man to marry.

"Is it not an unlawful act on the part of the magistrates?—The magistrates do not put it in so many words; but the man comes before the magistrate knowing perfectly well that such and such will be the case; and the magistrate would never venture to say to the man, 'if you do not marry the girl I will send you to prison,' but the man knows that will be the case. For myself I am so convinced of the iniquity of the Bastardy Laws, that I have always refrained from acting upon them in my own house, and send the cases to the petty sessions.

"What alterations can you suggest in the Bastardy Laws?—By refusing to give any order upon the father for support, or upon the parish even. I would throw the *onus* entirely upon the woman. I know of many instances in which the mothers have themselves been instrumental in having their daughters seduced, for the express purpose of getting rid of the *onus* of supporting them, and saddling them upon any unfortunate young men of the neighbourhood whom they could get to the house. Now as long as their consent can meet with that result it will invariably be continued, and the population must go on increasing.

"Do you then attribute the rapid increase of the population very much to the effect of the Bastardy Laws in forcing early marriages?—Almost entirely."*

The objects of these laws appear to be two; the diminution of the crime, and the indemnity of the parish when it has occurred. Of these the first is of course the most important. Unhappily both the attention of the legislature and the efforts of those who administer the law have been principally directed to the second; and with the usual fate of pauper legislation and pauper administration, the indemnity of the parish has not been effected, though every other object has been sacrificed to it. The guidance of nature has been neglected, the task of resistance has been thrown upon the man instead of the woman; marriages in which the least fault is improvidence, have been not only promoted but compelled, every possible inducement has been held out to perjury and profligacy, simply to save parishes from expense, and the direct effect has been in all probability to double or quadruple that expense,—the indirect effect to augment it still more. As far as we can judge from our returns, it appears that not one-half of the money paid by parishes to the mothers of bastards is recovered from the putative fathers, and that the portion so recovered is generally recovered at an enormous expense; on the other hand, whenever an unmarried female becomes pregnant in a parish of which she is not a parishioner, a new and artificial expense is created by her removal to her place of legal settlement. Captain Pringle states, that in a Cumberland parish the clergyman told him that in one year to seven legitimate children he had baptized nine bastards, almost all of them the children of women who had been out at service out of the parish, and removed thither to lie in; one from Suffolk at great expense.† It may be added, that in many, perhaps the majority of these cases, the women, if allowed to remain unremoved, would have earned their own and their children's support.

"There are many cases," says Mr. Wilson, in his report from Durham, "of mothers of bastard children, who would struggle on for years without applying for parish relief. So soon however (as my informant Mr. Hall, of Wickham, expressed it) as the ice is once broken, so soon as the overseer has once spoken to the female, all shame and reluctance are at an end, and she ever after comes to demand the allowance, which she regards as her right. In evidence of the expediency of the parish abstaining from interference, and leaving the offence to be attended with its natural consequences, witness mentioned three cases in which relief had never been asked. In two of these the women had secreted themselves before birth of the child, in order to avoid removal; in the third, she had clandestinely returned after removal; in all three, the mothers had struggled on without aid from the parish.‡

When

* Minutes of Evidence taken before the Select Committee of the House of Lords on Poor Laws in 1831, p. 284, 285. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 323. ‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 133.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

NATIONAL CHARGE.

When we add to these sources of expense the profuseness of the allowances to the mothers in compliance with the order on the father, not half of which is, as we have seen, recovered, the tendency to vice which the hope of those allowances creates, and the number of illegitimate births, and the still greater number of legitimate births which are the consequence, it is impossible to doubt that even the saving, for which all these evils have been let loose has not been effected. Even among the laws which we have had to examine, those which respect bastardy appear to be pre-eminently unwise.

Before we quit this subject we must advert to one class of illegitimate births mentioned in the evidence as productive of great and growing inconvenience. It appears that the Irish in the capital and in large towns, either with a view to effect the consequences which we are going to state, or from ignorance or negligence, are frequently married by Roman Catholic priests alone. These marriages satisfy the conscience of the wife, and while the family requires no relief, their invalidity is unknown or unattended to. But as soon as the man becomes chargeable, and the parish proceeds to remove him and his family, he shows that he is not legally married, and his children claim settlements on the parishes in which they were born. A magistrate who has sat for only a very few months, informs us, that as many as a dozen of these cases have come under his notice in a single day.

We have now reported the result of our inquiry into the practical operation of the Laws for the Relief of the Poor, and into the mode in which those laws are administered; and we proceed to the performance of the remaining part of our duty, that of reporting what alterations, amendments or improvements may be beneficially made in the said laws, or in the mode of administering them, and how the same may be best carried into effect.

We shall preface this part of our Report by a short statement of the principal amendments which have been suggested to us, and to which we cannot add our recommendation.

Many persons, for whose opinion we have a great respect, have proposed that the relief of the poor should be made a national instead of a parochial charge, and be both provided and administered under the direction of the government.

The advantages of making it a national charge would be great and immediate.

It would put an end to settlements. With settlements would go removals, labour-rates, and all the other restrictions and prohibitions by which each agricultural parish is endeavouring to prevent a free trade in labour, and to insulate itself by a conventional cordon as impassable to the unsettled workman as Bishop Berkeley's wall of brass. There would be no longer a motive for preferring in employment the men with large families to those with small, the married to the unmarried, the destitute to those who have saved, the careless and improvident to the industrious and enterprising. We should no longer have these local congestions of a surplus, and therefore a half employed dissolute population, *ascripta glebæ*, some driven, not by the hope of reward but by the fear of punishment to useless occupation, and others fed on condition of being idle; character would again be of some value to a labouring man. Another advantage much smaller than the first, but still considerable, would be the diminution of expense; a considerable sum would be instantly saved in litigation and removals, and we might hope to save a still larger sum by substituting the systematic management of contractors and removeable officers, for the careless and often corrupt jobbing of uneducated, unpaid and irresponsible individuals.

It may be added, that there is no change that would have so numerous and so ardent a body of supporters; all the heavily burdened parishes, and all those which, though still in a tolerable state, foresee, from the annual increase of their expenditure, the ruin that is creeping on them, all the rate-payers who are hesitating between a voluntary exile from the homes to which they are attached, and remaining to witness vice and misery, and encounter loss and perhaps danger, would hail with transport the prospect of such a relief. Other changes may be submitted to; this alone would have enthusiastic partizans.

Still admitting the force of all these arguments in favour of a national charge we do not recommend one.

In the first place, it is objectionable in principle. To promise, on the part of the government, subsistence to all, to make the government the general insurer against misfortune, idleness, improvidence and vice, is a plan better perhaps than

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

NATIONAL CHARGE.

the parochial system, as at present administered; but still a proposal which nothing but the certainty, that a parochial system is unsusceptible of real improvement, and that a national system is the only alternative against immediate ruin, the only plank in the shipwreck, could induce us to embrace.

It is probable, indeed it is to be expected, that at first it would work well; that there would be a vigilant and uniform administration, a reduction of expenditure, a diminution of pauperism, an improvement of the industry and morality of the labourers, and an increase of agricultural profit and of rent. But in this case, as in many others what was beneficial as a remedy might become fatal as a regimen. It is to be feared, that in time the vigilance and economy, unstimulated by any private interest, would be relaxed; that the workhouses would be allowed to breed an hereditary workhouse population, and would cease to be objects of terror; that the consequent difficulty of receiving in them all the applicants would occasion a recurrence to relief at home; that candidates for political power would bid for popularity, by promising to be good to the poor; and that we should run through the same cycle as was experienced in the last century, which began by laws prohibiting relief without the sanction of the magistrates; commanding those relieved to wear badges, and denying relief out of the workhouse; and when by these restrictions the immediate pressure on the rates had been relieved, turned round, and by statutes, with preambles, reciting the oppressiveness of the former enactments, not only undid all the good that had been done, but opened the flood-gates of the calamities which we are now experiencing. If we ought to be on our guard against the unforeseen effects of any untried institution, even when its obvious consequences appear to be beneficial, how much more is there to dread from one that in itself is obviously injurious, and is recommended only as less mischievous than what exists. If a national system had been adopted 100 years ago, it is probable that our present situation would have been worse than we now find it; that the mischief would have been still more general, and the remedy still more difficult. Another objection is the difficulty of providing the necessary funds. In Guernsey the poor are provided for by one general fund; but even in that island, one of the most flourishing parts of the empire, it is found necessary to provide for it by a general income tax of not less than three per cent. A property tax would be called for, for that purpose, in England. But all those who are domiciliated in Ireland and Scotland must be exempted from it, as respects their personal property. How should we be able to distinguish between the English, Irish and Scotch funded property, even if the claim of fundholders to immunity from direct taxation were abandoned? And if funded property were exempted, how could we assess personal property of any other description? If personal property is exempted, and the assessment confined to lands and houses, how bitter would be the complaints of those whose rates are now below what would then be the general average?

OCCUPATION OF LAND BY LABOURERS.

OCCUPATION OF LAND by Labourers.

The plan which we have just been considering, aims at distributing more equally the existing burden, and applies both to the impotent and the able-bodied. Other schemes have been suggested, which propose to remove or diminish the burden created by the able-bodied: 1st. By emigration; 2dly. By enabling them to become occupiers of land in England; 3dly. By enabling and compelling the present occupiers of land to employ more labourers in its cultivation. We shall defer the subject of Emigration to a subsequent part of our Report, and now proceed to consider the probable effects of any legislative measures for the purpose of enabling labourers to become occupiers of land.

We directed our Assistant Commissioners to inquire in each parish into the mode in which the occupation of land by labourers had been effected. The following are extracts from some of their Reports on this subject.

Mr. Okeden, after stating* that there is scarcely a parish in Wiltshire or Dorsetshire, in which the labourer has not the use of land, concludes his remarks on that subject in the following words:—

"The allotment of land to labourers divides itself into two chief points: first, as to that quantity of land just sufficient for the cultivation of a labourer and his family, during their "spare

* Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 7—15.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

OCCUPATION OF LAND by Labourers.

spare hours; and, secondly, as to that larger quantity, which requires to be worked by the assistance of others, or by the entire dedication of the labourer's time. The day is not long past, since in every industrious cottage family, the wheel and the distaff, the shuttle and the knitting-needles, were in full activity. At present, to compete with machinery, would be a useless waste of time, money and labour. We must however see, if the hours formerly devoted to manufacture may not be profitably applied, and habits of industry created. I cannot suggest any mode of doing so more profitable to the agricultural labourer and his family, than the cultivation of exactly that quantity of land which will occupy these hours as well as his own spare time. This quantity is calculated to be the one-sixteenth part of an acre, or ten lug, or rods, to each individual capable of work.

"To this, or to the system of renting of the farmer, and letting *him* manure and plough, and bring home the potatoe crop, I see no reasonable objection. It has sometimes struck those who have regarded the matter superficially, that the sum given for rent, viz. at the rate of 8*l.* per acre, is enormous; but arithmetic will show us that the profit to the labourer is considerable. The general rent of land thus let is 8*l.* per acre.

"The farmer's Expenses and Profits are as under:—

	£.	s.	d.
Rent paid his landlord, for the acre	1	10	–
Two ploughings	1	6	–
Twelve loads of manure	1	16	–
Tithe	–	10	–
Rates	–	3	–
£.	5	5	–
Profit to the farmer upon, let at 8*l.* per acre	2	15	–
£.	8	–	–

"The labourer's Expenses and Profits are:—

	£.	s.	d.
To rent	8	–	–
Labour in setting crop, and housing when brought home	3	–	–
Five sacks of seed potatoes	1	12	6
£.	12	12	6

Per contra:—

	£.	s.	d.
50 sacks of potatoes, at 6*s.* 6*d.* per sack	16	5	–
Small potatoes, for pigs	1	–	–
£.	17	5	–

	£.	s.	d.
Value received in potatoes	17	5	–
Expenses as stated	12	12	6
Net profit to labourer, on the acre £.	4	12	6

"If this system of allotment be pursued, one of its benefits is the finding manure for the labourer; the family must cultivate the garden so as to gain a large supply of vegetables for themselves, and of food, at least, for one pig.

"The allotment of larger portions of land than 10 rods to an individual, has this evil—if the labourer cultivates it himself with only the aid of his family, he over-forces his strength, and brings to his employer's labour a body exhausted by his struggle.

"This I have witnessed, and of this I have heard frequent complaints.

"But, let us consider a still more enlarged allotment, one which will occupy the *whole* time of the man and his family to obtain support. The labourer then becomes a petty farmer, without capital, working land inadequately manured and half cultivated, and yielding, of course, insufficient crops as the return of fruitless exertions. Nor is this the only evil of the large allotments; a hovel perhaps is erected on the land, and marriage and children follow. In a few years more, the new generation will want land, and demand will follow demand, until a cottier population, similar to that of Ireland, is spread over the country, and misery and pauperism are every where increased." *

"Of the acquisition of land by labourers," says Mr. Majendie, "the effect is invariably beneficial; their character and conduct seem immediately raised, by having means of exerting themselves in some other mode in addition to the uncertain demand for labour. It is contrary to the principles of human nature that labourers should be happy and contented when they are turned off at short notice to the parish-roads or gravel-pit, or degraded by what they term convict-labour; while land immediately before their eyes is passing out of cultivation.

"There

* Appendix (A.) Part 1. p. 26.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OCCUPATION OF LAND by Labourers.

"There is no class in society whose feelings and opinions are so much known to each other as the labourers; it can be no secret to them that the crops which may be raised by their exertions on small plots of land are infinitely greater than those produced by ordinary cultivation. The denial of land to them will constantly produce an increase of ill-feeling on their part. It is to the proprietors that they must look for this boon; and it seems probable that nothing can more effectually tend to restore the good feeling which formerly prevailed between the different classes of society than the allotment system, under prudent regulations.

"In the minds of many occupiers there exists considerable prejudice on this subject; they are afraid of making labourers independent; and some look with an evil eye to a supposed diminution of their profits by introducing a new class of producers. The favourable reports which are made from all quarters will, it is hoped, diminish these prejudices. The system of cottage allotments is one of the most effectual modes of doing away with the noxious practice of allowance according to the number of children; many instances have occurred in which labourers have preferred retaining their land without relief, rather than give it up and return to parish pay, which in money would be at least an equivalent. Other instances of good feeling have occurred of labourers to whom land had been allotted, making a voluntary relinquishment of weekly relief. It is generally considered that a quarter of an acre can be cultivated by a labourer with a family at his leisure time, still making his dependence on regular farming employ. The danger of giving a further stimulus to population does not seem to attach to small allotments; on the contrary, the tendency to reckless improvidence in marriage seems rather to be checked by placing before the labourers something to look forward to beyond the resource of daily labour for a master. Extraordinary instances of accumulation of capital from small beginnings are reported, and the mere circumstance of enabling a labourer to sell so many days' labour to himself, diminishes the demand either on the farmer's or the parish purse.

"The following practice may be worthy of notice. A farmer gives up to a labourer a portion of a field for a single crop of potatoes, dividing the produce with him. The farmer has the advantage of spade culture without expense; the labourer has a stimulus to exertion, an interest in the soil; and this plan steers clear of any danger of the introduction of the cottier system, by a permanent subdivision of the land."*

Mr. Walcott in his Report from North Wales states, that—

"A few leases for lives are occasionally met with, chiefly in Montgomeryshire, which have been granted by the lords of manors with from 5 to 15 acres of land; not enough to make the tenants farmers, and too much to permit them to be labourers. On comparing the condition of these small freeholders with that of labourers, who have only just sufficient land to occupy their leisure time, the result is greatly in favour of the latter. My own observation was confirmed by the testimony of others. Mr. Davies, the rector of Aberhavesp, stated that in his 'neighbourhood, several persons had obtained leases for lives of a few acres of land which had been recently enclosed, and that the majority of them are now actually in a worse condition than paupers. They trust solely to the produce of the land, and if there is a bad season, or they are improvident, which is often the case, in the consumption of a short crop, they are reduced to a dreadful condition, as the possession of the land operates against their obtaining parochial relief.'

"The quantity of land which a labourer can beneficially occupy, without interfering with his ordinary labour, is admitted, with scarcely an exception, to be about one quarter of an acre, and certainly not more than half an acre. I examined, on this subject, several small farmers who, from working on their own land as labourers, were the best judges of the matter; and in giving the testimony of one or two, I in effect give that of all. A farmer of the parish of Guildsfield, in Montgomeryshire, stated that a labourer could not do justice to his master and the land, if he had more than half an acre, and that he must be a very industrious and good workman, and be assisted by his wife and family, to work up even that quantity, which he thought was too much. He added, that if he wanted a labourer, and two men, equally strong and equally skilful, were to apply, one of whom had a quarter of an acre and the other one or two acres of land, he should without hesitation prefer the former. A farmer in Kerry likewise stated, that if a labourer had more than a quarter of an acre, he is not a valuable servant, since he is apt to curtail the time which belongs to his master in order to attend his own land; this he said, he had found to be the case. The Rev. Mr. Jones, of Treiorworth, in Anglesea, says on this point, that he is the owner of several cottages let to labourers, and he finds that he has committed an error in giving to each half an acre, as they rely too much on the land, to their own detriment.

"Over the greater part of North Wales the labourers are permitted, on payment of so much a bushel, either in money or in kind, to plant as many potatoes as they may need, on the fallow land of the farmer, who in most instances manures and prepares it ready for use. If the labourer finds manure, which is sometimes the case where a pig is kept, he has the use of the land without any charge. The plan is advantageous to both parties; the labourer obtains a crop at a cheaper rate than if he rented in the usual way and manured the land, and the farmer, besides the remuneration in money, produce, or manure, has his ground carefully cleaned and better fitted to receive a crop, after the potatoes are reaped, than if it had continued fallow.

"Where

* "This practice is mentioned as a very common one in France, by M. de Chateauvieux, in his excellent Essay on the Condition of the Labouring Classes in France, which he has kindly enabled me to present to the Board."—Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 170.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

OCCUPATION OF LAND by Labourers.

" Where a labourer was possessed of a small portion of land, sufficient, and not more than sufficient, to occupy his leisure time, and furnish his children with employment, I found a striking improvement in the general condition of the whole family. The children were early and practically taught the beneficial effects of industry, and the man appeared to be more contented with his lot, and had less inducement to keep loose company. From what I witnessed, therefore, I cannot too strongly recommend that every facility should be granted to encourage the occupation of land to this extent, by the labouring classes. The measure was warmly advocated by all classes, and is universally popular."*

Mr. Power, in his Report from Cambridgeshire, states, that—

" Allotment of small portions of land to labourers, for the purpose of employing their leisure hours, giving them a feeling of dependence on their own exertions, and bettering their condition by increased sustenance and comforts, is beginning, much to the credit of the land-owners, to be very generally adopted in this county. Of the excellent effects of this practice, I am provided with testimony from many quarters; but as separate details would present few varieties of circumstance, it will be sufficient to say generally with regard to the objects above specified, that they have been invariably realized, to a greater or less degree, in all instances which have come within my observation. Those cases in which I have found those effects combined also with a reduction of parochial expenditure, distinctly assignable to the adoption of this practice, I regret to say are not many; but the universal increase of rates from various causes, may have frequently prevented a demonstration of this effect, where actually existing. That the effect ought to exist universally, and that it would under a strict system of relief, I have no doubt from the representations made of the considerable profits which the rent leaves in the hands of the occupiers of these small allotments. This is confirmed by the regularity with which the rents are paid, and the anxiety of the labourers to obtain occupations or additions to them, in parishes where the experiment has been tried. Under the present state of things, these advantages, which certainly are most desirable as accessions to the comforts of the labourer, are little looked upon by himself as a means of keeping him from the necessity of parish relief, when for a season unemployed, or when visited by the infirmities of sickness or age. Much of this is due to the habit of not saving, at this time too generally established by the Poor Laws.

" The farmers object very generally to the introduction of allotments. They are jealous of such deductions from their holdings; they have to go farther for their manure; and they object to the increased independence of the labourers. As to the first, if the allotment system is regarded in its proper light, namely, as a cheap charity on the part of the landlord, there seems little reason to apprehend its trenching materially on the large farms; for, the instant it should change its character, and be viewed as a source of rent, those influences which have caused the absorption of small farms into large ones, will check the breaking up of the latter into small ones again. As to the increased independence of the labourers, there is no doubt that leisure hours will not always be sufficient, and that absence of half-days and days must occasionally not only deduct from the market of labour, but place the allotment-occupier on a better footing as to the terms of the contract with his employers. But who does not exult in this, who considers at what advantage the farmer has the labourer during the greater portion of the year, and remembers how little, during the times of dear bread, wages kept their due proportion to the price of corn; and reflects that pauperism, in its present aggravated shape, almost dates from that period of immense farming profits?

" I regret, however, to say, that in several cases I have found these considerations operating to the exclusion of allotments; at the same time it must be added, in justice to the class, that in some instances, after a successful introduction of the system, these prejudices have yielded to humanity and good sense."†

Allotments of Land.

" The principal cases of allotments of land," says Capt. Chapman, " which came under my observation, were at Wells, West Looe, St. Germains, Warminster, Frome, Westbury, Trowbridge, Shepton Mallet and Bradford.

" At Wells, 50 acres are now granted by the Lord Bishop of Bath and Wells to 203 persons, in quantities varying from $\frac{1}{8}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ of an acre, at a rent of 12*s.* 6*d.* the quarter of an acre. Of these persons not above 10 are unmarried, and many are widows. The average of each family being taken at 5, upwards of 1,000 persons are thus benefited.

" The conditions are, that no lot shall exceed half an acre; that the land shall be tithe and tax free; that the holders shall pay their rents regularly, and previous to the crop being dug up, unless the agent shall allow a part to be removed (not exceeding the half) for the purpose of paying the rent; that the land shall be kept properly manured; that no damage shall be done to the walls or fences round the land; and by way of encouragement, the sum of 2*s.* 6*d.* annually is allowed to each on punctually paying his rent, and who has not broken any of the above conditions (thus reducing the rent to 10*s.* the quarter acre); and the Bishop also annually gives premiums to those occupants who produce the largest quantity of potatoes on the same portion of land. The tenure is considered as secured during the life-time of the Bishop, and during good conduct.

" No stipulation is made against the receipt of parochial relief, but the result has been to the same effect, as only three of the number actually receive such relief; two of whom are infirm persons who would otherwise be in the workhouse, and the third, also infirm, belongs to Bristol; 29 names were pointed out of persons who formerly had received relief, but had discontinued it since they had got land. Many Dissenters have allotments.

" The

* Appendix (A) Part II. † Appendix (A) Part I. p. 254, 256.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OCCUPATION OF LAND by Labourers.

"The system was commenced in 1826, with three pieces amounting to 30 acres, which were given in lots to 109 families; a fourth portion was added in 1831, and a fifth has been given in 1832, but has not yet been brought under cultivation, making the whole amount to 50 acres.

"The land, which was previously worked out, is much improved, and the crops very abundant.

"The following is an account, on an average of six years, of the profits of a quarter of an acre. (Furnished by the Agent.)

	£.	s.	d.
Rent for a quarter of an acre	–	12	6
Digging	–	8	–
Manure	–	10	–
Seed	–	3	–
Planting	–	4	–
Hoeing, &c.	–	8	–
Digging and hawling	–	10	–
Supposing the man to hire and pay for every thing £.	2	15	0
Produce:			
Twenty sacks potatoes	4	10	–*
Other vegetables	1	–	–
	5	10	–
Less, Labour, &c. as above	2	15	6
Clear Profit, supposing man to hire and pay for every thing £.	2	14	6
If all be done by the man £.	4	4	6

"The opinion expressed by the agent was, that a man who works for a farmer for twelve hours, from six to six, with the help of his wife and family, can manage half an acre, supposing it half potatoes, keep a pig, and support his family; and that a mechanic can do more.

"The continued increase in the demand for allotments is the best proof of the advantage derived from them.

"There is a general improvement in the character of the occupiers, who are represented as becoming more industrious and diligent, and as never frequenting those pests, the beer-houses. Frequently they have been known to work by candle-light.

"Not a single instance has occurred in which any one thus holding land has been taken before a magistrate for any complaint.

"The rents are collected without difficulty; and as a proof of the good feeling produced, the pheasants in the adjoining wood, so far from having been destroyed, as was foretold by some, have been most carefully preserved; and at the time of the Bristol riots, the occupiers offered to come to the defence of the Bishop's palace.

"This is, however, a very peculiar case, as few instances can occur in which land situated so close to a town, and of such quality, can be procured on terms so favourable to the holders. The nature of the soil, which is clay, is also peculiarly favourable, as ashes, which are easily procured in a town, form the best manure. Under these circumstances, subsequent inquiries lead me to believe that the land is let considerably below its value.

"The amount of the allotments to which a person can do justice is therefore larger than under ordinary circumstances. But even here it will be seen that the quantity in no case exceeds the half acre.

"The favourable effects of this measure, which were admitted by all, have most deservedly directed attention to the subject, and the system has not only been followed up on the Mendip hills by the Bishop, but I was led to believe is very extensively adopted in other parts of the county, the extent of the allotments being generally regulated on the same principle as those at Wells.

"West Looe.—Within the last five years a portion of a common belonging to the borough, and which from time immemorial had been waste, was inclosed; it amounted to about 22 acres, and was let in acres, half and quarter acres, in no case more than one acre. The price was fixed from 20*s.* to 15*s.* per acre; the distribution was made by lot. In the first instance it was confined to the poor belonging to the borough of West Looe. The only conditions were, that the land should be properly cultivated; the rent paid annually to a committee, or, in default, the occupier to give up possession. The money to be applied to the poor-rate.

"The result of this experiment was such as to induce the committee to enclose another portion of about the same extent, which was let to any of the poor resident in the town, without consideration as to their being parishioners.

"The

* It will be observed that Captain Chapman states the amount of produce per acre higher than Mr. Okeden, but the price of a given quantity of produce lower. Mr. Okeden's estimates of prices appear to us much too high.

Protected by copyright rights held by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

OCCUPATION OF LAND by Labourers.

"The rent of the first portion has been punctually paid, but that of the second was not due.

"The effect on the poor-rate has been a diminution from 10*s.* in the pound to 3*s.*; but the moral effect upon the poor is beyond calculation, the population being principally seafaring men, who in bad weather had no occupation, and who idled about, a dead weight upon the poor-rate; but who have now occupation, and are happy, contented and laborious.

"'I went over the land, and found it in excellent condition; the men can pick up sea-weed, and procure lime on easy terms, so that they can do justice to a larger portion of land than under ordinary circumstances.

"The borough only contains 100 acres, and the population is only 593.

"St. Germains.—Allotments have been made under Lord St. Germains, in no case exceeding 30 perches, but without limit as to quantity; this is, however, found to be as much as a man with a family can do justice to. The land is good, and celebrated for its potatoes. The price paid is 6*d.* per perch, but an annual dinner, with premiums, more than absorbs the whole. Even this small quantity requires occasional assistance in hauling, lime, &c.

"In Cornwall, the miners have a practice of purchasing from three to six acres of rough land, on three lives, but they are a distinct class, having great advantages over the ordinary labourer, so as to form an exception to the rule; but even they frequently find the quantity too great, as may be seen from the following extract from a pamphlet by Dr. Carlyon, a magistrate of Truro, 1827:—

"'Above all, no industrious cottager should be allowed to remain unprovided with such a spot of ground as he is capable of cultivating at leisure hours; and from one-eighth to a quarter of an acre will generally be better than more; for without the aid of a lucky start in mining, or some other piece of good fortune productive of means beyond the proceeds of daily labour, no poor man should attempt to cope with several acres, especially of a coarse description. After years of hard struggling, a severe winter, sooner or later, will arrive, and find him ill provided for the maintenance of his little stock, and a petition, such as may be seen perpetually in circulation, will soon inform the humane and charitable that the loss of a horse or of a cow has brought him to great distress. Besides, when there is too much to be done at home, the labourer will seldom be worthy of his hire elsewhere; so that, whether we have regard to the interests of the labourer himself, or of his employer, or of the parish, with reference to the poor-rate, in which he lives, it will equally, I believe, be found that he cannot be placed better for the maintenance of a family than where the produce of a well-cultivated garden goes to help out the earnings of regular daily labour.

"'There may be something very captivating with cursory observers in the praiseworthy efforts of a poor miner who contrives to erect a cottage for himself on a dreary common, and to enclose acre after acre, full of quartz stones, which must be removed at infinite pains before cultivation can begin; yet judging from the usual results, I am persuaded that such attempts should not be encouraged, and with respect to cottagers generally, and miners in particular, that they should confine themselves to gardens, and lay up their little savings in some neighbouring savings bank.'"

"Agricultural labourers generally have gardens; those in steady employ have about one-sixteenth to one-twelfth of an acre, given rent-free, for a crop of potatoes; others rent a piece of ground, for the crop at 6*d.* the pole if they find manure, and for 1*s.* if the farmer finds it.

"The value of a crop of a quarter acre thus held was estimated at S. Petherwin at 3*l.*, for which the labourer would pay 20*s.*, and have a clear gain of 40*s.*; but it was stated, that if the labourer had the money to lay out, he might buy a larger quantity of potatoes for the same sum.

"This plan is considered by the farmers as more advantageous than that of allotments.

"The same system of renting prevails in Devonshire and parts of Somerset.

"In the latter county, as previously mentioned, the influence of the example of the Bishop of Bath and Wells is causing the plan of allotments to be adopted very extensively; but in consequence of illness, I was unable to return to that district, as I had proposed.

"Warminster.—Twenty acres have been many years let to the poor, in lots from 20 to 50 poles, at 4½*d.* the pole, on condition solely of the rent being punctually paid. But this is never considered at the pay-table. Many have held their lots for several years. Twenty acres in addition were recently taken by the parish, and offered, rent-free, on condition that all claim to parochial relief should be forfeited; but no one would take it on these terms.

"The opinion expressed at Warminster, by a gentleman of great experience, was, that the quantity of land occupied by any labourer should be sufficient to supply his wants, but *not to furnish* any quantity for sale; for this purpose a quarter of an acre would in general be ample.

"Frome.—The letting of gardens to the poor was an experiment on a small scale. In 1820 the Marquis of Bath granted about six acres of excellent pasture land in Frome. It was divided into small portions to the poor, seed being given them, on the condition of their relinquishing some a part, and in some cases all parish pay. Industrious persons were selected, and neither rent, poor-rates or tithes were paid. The letting was for one year. No manure was wanted. All went on pretty well the first year, under careful management. In the second year various complaints were heard. It was said the poor robbed each other. Some of them demanded their pay as before. Some refused to cultivate the ground, alleging that the very small portion of time at their command would be consumed in going to and from the gardens. Finally, it was relinquished as of no advantage to the parish or the paupers.

Digitized by Google Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

OCCUPATION OF LAND by Labourers.

"Westbury.—Allotments of land have been tried for 20 years past in this neighbourhood, labourers generally giving 6*d.* per perch, free of all tithes, &c. for land manured by themselves, and 1*s.* per perch, when manured and ploughed fit to receive the seed, and the crop carted home. Labourers have also received land from the parish, but when they conceive that they have worked out their rent by abstaining from the parochial allowance to the same extent, they consider themselves entitled to full relief again.

"The following is a statement for the year 1831–2 of the land thus let by the parish of Westbury:—

Dr	£.	s.	d.
One year's rent to Michaelmas 1831	50	–	–
One year's land tax	2	7	3
Ditto - poor-rate	2	11	–
Ditto - tithe	7	–	–
Seed potatoes	6	1	–
	£. 67	19	3

Cr	£.	s.	d.
Rent of pasture, being part not occupied by poor	14		–
Rent of potatoe land	47	17	–
Rent of barn	–	11	8
	62	8	8
Loss to parish	5	10	7
	£. 67	19	3

"Bradford.—Forty acres in four portions, situated in different parts of the parish, were taken by the parish, and let in lots for spade husbandry at from a half to a quarter of an acre. In three cases they were cultivated on account of the parish; the fourth was given up to occupants; but they have been abandoned, with the exception of one portion, which is about to be given up.

"The failure here is attributed to the want of an overlooker. No effect was produced upon the poor-rates.

"Shepton Mallet.—Thirty-two acres are rented by the parish at 5*l.* per acre, which have let in portions from a quarter to one acre at the same price. The parish pay rent and taxes. Portions have been hitherto taken by persons of a superior class, but only 12 acres are at present let. No effect has been produced upon the poor-rate, so that it is in contemplation to give the whole up, when the term for which it was taken expires. It was considered beneficial to the poor, by making them more comfortable, and by keeping prices down.

"Trowbridge.—Seven acres of land were hired by the parish three years ago, and were given out in lots from 30 to 40 perches, or more, if required, free of rent, tools being found; no conditions were made. The people appeared very indifferent about it, and did not take much trouble to cultivate it. They sold the crops, and then came, as before, to the pay table.

"The experiment is considered a total failure, and is about to be abandoned.

"As far as I am enabled to judge, the effect of allotments, when made by the parish, is not likely to be beneficial, because the land is taken with suspicion and distrust, and because it rarely happens that it is attended to, and steadily looked after, either by the parish or by the poor; but, when made by individuals, allotments are thankfully received, and have a most beneficial effect upon both the character and condition of the poor. They form, in fact, the natural resource against those inequalities in agricultural labour which are almost inevitable.

"It appears, however, far preferable for the labourer to hire a small portion of fresh land every year, from which he can reckon upon a crop with some degree of certainty, than to have a larger portion of poor land, on which both his time and labour may be thrown away; and to be important so to regulate the quantity as to be sufficient to supply his wants, but not to send him to market with his crop.

"Both of these conditions appear to result from a practice previously mentioned, and which is very general in the west of England, but particularly in Cornwall, of letting land at 6*d.* the pole, the labourer finding manure; or (in some cases) of dividing the crop, on the same condition. The quantity of land is thus limited by the supply of manure, and the farmer has it in his power to give whatever quantity he pleases; the poor man and the farmer are both benefited, and a degree of kindly feeling created, instead of jealousy and distrust.

"The portion of land thus rented very rarely, if ever, exceeds a quarter of an acre, and confirms the opinion generally expressed, that the average quantity of land to which an agricultural labourer can do justice, under ordinary circumstances, and at the same time fulfil his duty to his employers, does not exceed a quarter of an acre.*"

A large body of testimony to the same effect is to be found in the Appendix, particularly in the Reports of Mr. Stuart,† Mr. Everett,‡ Mr. Lewis,‖ Mr. Maclean,§ and Mr. Tweedy,¶ and in the evidence taken before the House of Lords' Committee on Poor Laws, in 1830 and 1831, especially that of Mr. De Maimbray, Mr. Pollen, the Bishop of Bath and Wells, and Mr. Estcourt.

The

* Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 439–443. † Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 378. ‡ Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 677.
‖ Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 669. § Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 575. ¶ Appendix (A.) Part I. p. 739.

Part I. Vol. V. Digitized by the University of Southampton Library. Digitized by Google

OCCUPATION OF LAND by Labourers.

The general results seem to be, 1stly, That the extent of land which a labourer can beneficially occupy is small,—seldom exceeding, even when his family is large, half an acre. Such an amount appears to be the utmost which he can cultivate and continue to rely on his wages as his regular and main support. And if he ceases to rely on his wages; if he becomes, in fact, a petty farmer, before he has accumulated a capital sufficient to meet, not merely the current expenses, but the casualties of that hazardous trade; if he has to encounter the accidents of the seasons, instead of feeling them at second hand after their force has been broken on the higher classes, his ultimate ruin seems to be almost certain. The following statement by Mr. Day respecting the effects of large allotments, at Rotherfield, Sussex, is very instructive.

"I shall here insert part of a series of questions proposed a few years since to the parish officers of Rotherfield, by a gentleman in this neighbourhood, together with the answers returned by them.

"'*Q.* 11. Have the inclosures and system of cottage-building on Crowborough materially contributed to increase the pauper population of Rotherfield?—Yes, very much. 'Resolved, at a vestry meeting, Feb. 22, 1827, that, in consequence of the increasing evil daily arising from huts and small tenements erected in this parish, we are determined to object to all grants and admittances in this parish, requested in future by any person or persons whomsoever.

'Signed by the Churchwardens, Overseers and several Inhabitants.'

"'*Q.* 12. When were they first allowed to be made?—In a slight degree upwards of 100 years, but at the fullest extent about eight years ago.

"'*Q.* 13. By whom?—The Earl of Abergavenny.

"'*Q.* 14. Upon what terms?—In consideration that the person should pay 5*s.* per acre quit rent, and after two years to receive no relief from the parish: if he did, to give up his land to the said Earl. The consequence has been, that the occupier has been obliged to sell his land, thereby bringing other families into the parish, and himself ultimately has become a pauper.'

"I believe the facts at present are much stronger than as represented in these Answers. The evil has now become so great, that the parish buys up the allotments as they are offered for sale, to prevent a succession of families from becoming paupers on them. The language of the resolution of the vestry uses the word "huts." They are, however, very decent and indeed good, cottages, built of stone found on the spot, with slated roofs. The allotments vary in size, generally about four acres, but some as large as 10 or 12.*"

2dly. That where the system of letting land to labourers has been introduced and carried on by individuals, it has generally been beneficial; and on the other hand, that where it has been managed by parish officers, it has seldom succeeded.

The causes of this difference are well pointed out by Captain Chapman, in the passage which we have cited. Under the unhappy system which has prevailed for the last 40 years, charity has been converted from a bond of union into a source of discord. The applicant for relief has been trained to consider the distributors of that relief, the very persons who are to minister to his necessities, as his enemies. He views even their gifts with suspicion, and distrusts still more their attempts to bargain with him. He neither brings to any contract with them the cheerfulness, nor performs his part with the activity and perseverance which would be necessary to the success of the undertaking, even if all that is to be done on their part were wisely and diligently executed. The overseers, on the other hand, anxious to escape with as little trouble as possible from the thankless office that has been forced on them, are likely to bestow little care on the selection of tenants, or in the framing of rules, and still less on enforcing their observance. It cannot therefore be matter of surprise, that undertakings which succeed where each party co-operates, should, under opposite circumstances, fail.

3dly. That the occupation of land by the labouring classes may be made, and in fact is made, beneficial to the lessor as well as to the occupier. This appears to us the most important result of our inquiries on this subject.

If letting land to the poor, though beneficial to the occupier, required a sacrifice on the part of the lessor, it is clear that it could not prevail extensively unless it were effected at the expense of the public. And that, if such a system were adopted, as the land applicable to that purpose, or indeed to any other purpose, is limited, and the number of applicants is rapidly augmenting, every year would increase the difficulty of supplying fresh allotments, and diminish their efficiency in reducing the increasing mass of pauperism, until the arrival of a crisis when it would be necessary either to give up the system, resume the land, and clear it as we

* Appendix (C.) p. 154.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

OCCUPATION OF LAND by Labourers.

we could of its inhabitants, or abandon the whole country to a helpless and desperate population. Still the immediate advantages of allotments are so great, that if there were no other mode of supplying them, we think it might be worth while, as a temporary measure, as a means of smoothing the road to improvement, to propose some general plan for providing them. And in that case, it would be necessary to collect the fullest possible information as to the quantity which ought to be awarded to each family, or to each member of a family, the terms as to rent, taxes, mode of cultivation, and other points which ought to be imposed on the lessees, and the assistance in stock, manure, seed, or otherwise, which ought to be supplied to them; and it would be necessary to inquire far more diligently than has yet been done, into the amount and the situation of the land which might be thus employed, into the means of keeping up, for a time at least, the supply of allotments, and into the mode by which the population bred up on them could be disposed of.

But since it appears that land may be let to labourers on profitable terms, the necessity for any public inquiry on these points seems to be at an end. A practice which is beneficial to both parties, and is known to be so, may be left to the care of their own self-interest. The Evidence shows that it is rapidly extending; and we have no doubt that as its utility is perceived, it will spread still more rapidly; and that experience will show, if it has not already shown, on what mutual stipulations it can be best effected. It would probably be facilitated by some legislative provisions respecting settlement, rates, tithes and ejectment. The two first we shall advert to in the course of this Report. As to the two last, tithes and ejectment, though we think it probable that in time the liability of small allotments to tithes would be found dangerous, and that the lessors' present legal remedies would prove too expensive and dilatory, we do not think it necessary that this Commission should propose any alteration. Both subjects have been already brought before Parliament, and we have no doubt that they will be considered with reference, among other things, to the occupation of land by labourers.

LABOUR RATE.

LABOUR RATE.

WE now proceed to a third Scheme for removing or diminishing the burthen created by the able-bodied, namely, that which proposes to effect it by compelling the rate payers to provide employment at a given rate of wages for those labourers who are or profess to be unable to procure it for themselves. The mode by which this is effected, we have already designated as the Labour Rate System. Under this system each rate payer is required either to employ and pay at a certain rate a certain number of labourers, or to pay to the overseer the wages of those whom he makes default in employing and paying.

Before the 2 and 3 Will. 4, c. 96 was passed, such an agreement was not binding on those who refused to accede to it; any one rate-payer therefore, who would not employ or pay for his proportion of labourers, was able to set the rest at defiance, and profit by the immediate diminution of rate, without bearing his share of the cost.

This difficulty was attempted to be removed by the first clause of that Act which enacts, "that when a majority of three-fourths of the rate payers of any parish, the votes being taken according to the provisions of the 58 George III. c. 69, shall come to any agreement, solely for the purpose of employing or relieving the poor of such parish, such agreement when approved of by a majority of the justices at petty sessions, shall be binding on the contributors to the poor rates of such parish, for any period not exceeding six months, therein specified."

Clauses follow, declaring that the Act does not sanction the custom of paying labourers less than the common rate of wages, and making up the deficiency from the Poor Rates; that it shall not be lawful to employ any part of the Poor Rates of one parish, in the employment of persons in any other parish, and confining its operation to those parishes in which the rate exceeds 5*s.* in the pound. But as no penalty is imposed on disobedience to the agreements thus declared to be binding, the Act has contributed to the increase of labour rates, rather by the sanction which it gives to them, than by the actual force of its enactments

The agreements generally set forth that the labour rate is made "for the better employment of the poor;" and go on to state that all or certain of the rate-payers

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

payers shall employ labourers in proportion to their assessment or acreage, or to some other standard. LABOUR RATE

Considerable difference exists, varying almost with each parish adopting the system, as to the classes of rate payers who are to furnish employment, as to the degree in which it is to be furnished by each class, and as to the working men who are to be considered within the agreement.

One of the three following plans is generally adopted as regards the rate-payers who are to find employment.

The principal, as being by far the most common, is—

That each rate payer shall employ labourers in proportion to his assessment to the poor's rates.

This plan is almost exclusively adopted in Surrey and in Sussex, besides being very frequently found in every other county in which labour rates are instituted.

The next most frequently used is—

That the occupiers of land shall share among themselves the whole of the agricultural labour (including the surplus).

This plan is almost exclusively followed in Buckinghamshire, but occurs rarely in any other county.

The third, and least common plan of all is—

That the occupiers of land shall be compelled to employ a fixed number of labourers according to acreage or rental, and then in common with the tradesmen and other rate payers, to employ, according to their respective rental, a share of the surplus labourers.

This plan is only adopted in five parishes with the labour rates of which we are acquainted; viz. in Aylesbury, Bucks; Farnham and Frensham, Surrey; and Downton and Westbury, Wiltshire.

Although the three plans stated include the principal bases of the agreements, each separate agreement differs in its details from almost every other agreement, though based on the same common plan, as much as each separate plan differs from the other two. Thus it may truly be said, that scarcely two agreements are in all their provisions the same. The details in which consist the differences in the various agreements are.—

The amount of assessment or the number of acres rendering it incumbent to employ a labourer.

The deduction to be made on the assessments on trade, on houses below a certain rental, on mills, malt-houses, &c. or on occupations under a given number of acres.

The time for which each rate is made.

The amount of the rate.

The mode in which the return of labourers employed is to be made.

The wages to be paid to the labourers.

The description of work people to be considered and allowed for as labourers, and particularly whether farmers working on their own farms, or their sons, and how many, are to be considered labourers.

Yet among modifications as numerous as the parishes resorting to the system, not a single instance will be found in which classes of individuals do not complain of the peculiar severity with which the labour rate affects them. The practice seems to be, not a sharing in fair proportions of the burthen amongst all, but a shifting of the burthen from one class to some other.

Under the first plan, either the whole surplus labour is cast upon trade, and the whole of the agriculturists share the advantage; or the larger agriculturists, or those whose proportion of arable land is large, cast the weight upon the small occupier, the occupier of grass land, the occupier, who alone or with his sons can do all the labour his farm requires, and the tradespeople and householders. In some cases a strong desire has been shown to place it upon the tithes, and were it not for the number of compositions, it is probable that such instances would have been frequent

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

LABOUR RATE.

At Stebbing, in Essex, which is under the first plan, the farmers generally are gainers at the expense of trade. The following are replies of the trades-people.

"I have not an acre of land, nor any employment whereby I can employ an agricultural labourer. The effect is as follows:

Old System.	£.	s.	d.	New System.	£.	s.	d.
1 Year's poor-rate - -	21	–	–	1 Year's charge for labour -	20	–	10
				1 Year's poor-rate - -	13	10	–
					33	10	10
				Deduct old system -	21	–	–
				Annual loss - - -	£. 12	10	10

"As I have no profitable employment for agricultural labour, the system has the effect of raising my contributions to the poor from 11*s.* 6*d.* to 18*s.* 6*d.* in the pound, which I contend is severe and unjust.

"As a proof that it works well for the farmer, and that it does not impose upon him too much labour, the first rate we had, which was a six weeks rate, was worked out in four weeks, with the exception of about 15*l.*, and the subsequent rate was over-worked by almost every farmer.

"*Thomas Jasper.*"

"It has a very injurious effect on me, as it charges me for labour which I cannot find, unless I stand still myself, in order to have a man to do the work which I can do myself; which I do not hesitate to say is unjust. I am a publican, and have a small garden, which is all the business I have. I am principally concerned in the beer-trade, which in a country place like this is very small; so that I have plenty of time to attend to the concerns of business, without the incumbrance of an agricultural labourer; and I consider that all the money that I pay for labour rate is as though so much money was given away.

"*Thomas King,* Victualler, Assessed to the Poor at 6*l.*"

"It affects me in a small trade, and occupier of about two acres of land, rated 2*l.* 10*s.*; trade rated 9*l.* 10*s.* My trade, on the labour rate, is taken at 5*l.*, which if I had not the two acres of land, it would be most oppressive, and much worse than the old custom of paying at times 60 or 80 unemployed poor out of the poor rates.

"In my humble opinion, the labour rate only ought to be on the land, as I could on my two acres employ double; and I think a man ought to be paid so that he could support himself by his own labour, not to have half from his employer and half from the parish.

"The trade would then have a plenty to do to pay the necessitous poor rates, loaded as the trade is with taxation.

"*Edward Taylor,* Shopkeeper,
Assessed to the Poor at 12*l.* 12*s.* 6*d.*"

"To me it proves an evil, because I have but little land, not so much as I can cultivate with my own spade; and then I am obliged to have a man so many days in the labour rate, or pay so much money as the labour rate amounts to, though I have not work for myself.

"*Jeroboam Ffitch,* Gardener and Beer-shop keeper,
Assessed to the Poor at 2*l.* 10*s.*"

"I am obliged to have an errand lad, by whom I easily work out the labour rate. But supposing I was inclined to take an apprentice of any respectable individual not belonging to the parish, or not a pauper of the parish, the labour rate would then have a very injurious effect upon me, as I should be compelled to pay the charge for labour, without an opportunity of working it out, and the consequence would be that my payments for the relief of the poor would be considerably increased, which I consider would be unjust. I consider that the labour rate has had a beneficial effect upon the morals of the poor, as well as upon the agricultural interest; but the contrary is to be said as it regards several tradesmen who reside in this parish.

"*Robert Monk,* Glazier, &c.
Assessed to the Poor at 3*l.*"

The following two being able to work out their rate, are benefited:—

"It has been to me beneficial; as it saves in the poor rate assessment 4½*d.* in the pound in six weeks, and does not cost me so much for the labour rate as I paid for errands, &c. before to idlers, who are now better employed. I and a person similarly situated to myself now employ a boy at 2*s.* per week, which is more than our labour rate amounts to, but less than what we paid before.

"*Elisha Mumford,* Harness-maker,
Assessed to the Poor at 3*l.*"

"I

Parliamentary Papers Online. © ProQuest Information and Learning Company. All rights reserved.

" It has benefited me, and all others in a similar situation; as we now get work done for our money which before we had to pay to support from 50 to 60 men in idleness or useless employ. LABOUR RATE.

" *W. Messent*, Victualler,
Assessed to the Poor at 7*l.*"

Four farmers do not speak decidedly in favour, but their leanings are favourable; a fifth is likewise favourable, provided a slight alteration be made, giving an allowance to grass farmers. A sixth, John Tarbert, objects decidedly, because he can himself do all the work his land requires, and is therefore precisely in the situation of a tradesman; the labour is of no use to him. M. Choppin and Wm. Thurgood, the one being allowed to return himself, and the other his son, being thus clear of the rate, are benefited.

" I for myself holding 121 acres of land, which gives to me six and half labourers, and having one-third meadow, of course not requiring the labour, I find myself inconvenienced, and beg to recommend a distinction to be made; such as giving about one man to 40 acres on the pasture instead of apportioning it altogether, as three parts of the year there can be nothing done but what would be injurious to crops upon pasture. The law undergoing a few modifications, I am of opinion will tend to a great general good, in giving employment on the land to the idle and dissolute, as also putting the land into a better state of cultivation. As regards rate-payers in towns and villages, there are but few but have gardens that can dispense with the allotment of one man for one or two days, as their assessments are in general very low.

" *Henry Clarke*, Farmer,
Assessed to the Poor at 126*l.* 4*s.* 6*d.*"

" I do not consider mine any criterion, on account of the land being in a very bad state of cultivation. I have felt myself under the necessity of employing more than twice the number of labourers than I shall be able to find employment for two or three years hence; but as my residence is in Stebbing, although my occupation is much larger several miles distant, doubtless I shall be able to employ as many or more labourers than will be allotted to me. I approve of the labour rate, because it keeps the principal part of the labourers' bodies and minds employed, and tends to make them better subjects than their parents, who have been for years unemployed otherwise than at parish work.

" I believe I may say the labour rate is a system almost universally approved of in this parish. I know of only one person who objects to it; that is Thomas Jasper.

" *J. Budge.*"

" I certainly think it a general benefit to the farmer and labourer, if it was put on a right foundation; but as it now stands it presses heavily upon me and all others in the same situation in a most ruinous manner. I am a small landholder, and cannot get a livelihood except I put my hand to the plough, but if the law was so made as to allow all small landholders to be returned as labourers, it would be the most beneficial Act that ever passed; for it cannot be supposed a small holder can employ the same number of men as the great holder, except he and his sons are allowed to work out their proportion of the rate.

" *John Tarbert*, Farmer,
Assessed to the Poor at 42*l.* 10*s.*"

" It has done me good, as it is a saving to me of 6*s.* in the year. As I am a small landholder the parish allow me to return my son as half a man, and that is more than covers my labour rate.

" *M. Choppin*, Gardener,
Assessed to the Poor at 2*l.* 10*s.*"

" It has done me good, as it has taken a great many men out of the road and those who were supported in idleness, which has reduced the poor rate. The parish allowed me to be returned to labour rate half a man, which is as much as I require; if the law was made for us small holders to be returned as this parish has allowed them to be, it would benefit all small holders like myself; and if to the contrary, it would be most ruinous. The labourers feel themselves better satisfied than when they had to go to the overseer for their money, as it makes them more independent.

" *William Thurgood*,
Assessed to the Poor at 12*l.* 12*s.*"

The parish of Henfield, Sussex, also under the first plan, is an instance of the large farmers deriving advantage, whilst the small farmer, the occupier of grass land, and the tradespeople, are oppressed.

The following are some of the letters received from the tradespeople and small farmers.

" I am a carpenter in a small way, but do it all myself, not wanting a man. I have also about five acres of meadow land, which only requires a labourer in the summer time, when the labour rate is not required; therefore I cannot work out my labour rate in the winter months. I must say, I have never paid so much any year to the poor as last year, being nearly as much

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

LABOUR RATE. again in proportion as the large farmers, 18*s.* 6*d.* in the pound to them 10*s.* A few years back, many of the farmers in our parish paid their labourers half the amount due to them for labour, and sent them to the poor-book for the other half; and I must say that this labour rate seems intended to act upon the same principle.

"*Arthur Brooke.*"

"I am a gunsmith, but do all the work myself; I have not any garden or any means of employing a labourer. If myself and many of my neighbours who cannot, and having no use for a husbandry man, ought not to pay for labour we do not want; if such is to be the case, many of those who now contribute towards the support of the poor would soon become receivers, by belonging to that class of persons. I cannot think it right that I should pay 18*s.* 6*d.* in the pound, while the farmers are paying only 10*s.* in the pound.

"*Peter Ward.*"

"Being a *shoemaker* in a small line of business, without any *land* to employ a labourer on, it has done a hurt to me, having been rated to pay after the rate of 18*s.* 6*d.* where the farmers have paid only 10*s.* I consider that a person without land has no right to be *reckoned* to pay towards a labour rate.

"*Richard Ware.*"

"I am convinced that myself and every one, not using land, must feel the inconvenience of paying money for labour to the farmers, that they may apply it towards the wages of the men they employ: the farmers in this parish, last year, paid only 10*s.* in the pound, while I paid 18*s.* 0*d.* They may well exclaim that never was any plan adopted that worked so well, when one farmer in this parish acknowledged that he paid 40*l.* less last year for labour than he ever did before. And with whose money was it paid? why, it was taken out of the pockets of the inhabitants, who could not employ labourers. Would it be at all reasonable for householders, tradesmen, &c. to call a meeting, to say, 'Our rents are due, we have contributed towards paying your men their wages; now in return, you must assist us in paying our rents?'

"*James Wattsford.*"

"I am compelled to contribute to the poor-rate, in alleviation of the opulent farmer. The churchwardens, as well as the overseers of the parish, are composed of opulent farmers and millers; therefore it is their interest to uphold and support a labour rate, because they alone are benefited, to the great injury of very many tradesmen, shopkeepers and others, who have no opportunity of employing any surplus labour, and which labour they, the farmers, cannot dispense with; it is a fact well known here, they, the farmers, have discharged their usual labourers, that they might employ the surplus labourers and deduct their wages from their labour rate; added to which the farmers have deducted from their labour-rate for their sons as part and parcel of their families; and one large farmer in the parish has acknowledged that his poor rate cost him 40*l.* less on account of the labour rate.

"The plain fact is, the opulent farmers, who can best afford, have paid 10*s.* on the pound, while the dependent tradesmen and shopkeepers have paid 18*s.* 6*d.* for the same time.

"*W. Williams.*"

"I consider it would have been a *decided injury* to me, but that I happen to be in partnership with my father and brother, as surgeons, and using at the same time about 20 acres of arable and meadow land; and therefore our servants *happening to belong to this parish*, we were allowed to *work*, or rather outset for them according to the labour scale, which otherwise would not have been permitted. Of course, to all persons who had the means of working out their rate, *in lieu of paying money*, (by the employment of the parochial labourers,) it must be said to have been a decided advantage; whilst to *all the small occupiers of houses, rated for that species of property only, the whole of whom are either small mechanics just able to live by their own individual industry, or widows living on a small income*, or little tradesmen, it was a very great and *unequal impost*, inasmuch as they had to contribute 4*s.* in the pound more under the labour rate system, than they ever did before under *the old one*; whilst to those who worked their rate, such as the large and moderately large farmer, it was a *benefit of* 8*s.* 6*d. in the pound.*

"*Nelson Smith Morgan.*"

"Large farmers in our parish were quite elated with the labour rate; they exclaimed, in raptures, 'the best thing that ever was done.' Why? they paid in the same period of time 10*s.* in the pound, while the shopkeeper, small tradesman and various householders, not employing a man of any description, nor wanting them, had to pay under the labour rate 18*s.* 6*d.* in the pound; and with much difficulty it was prevented being carried on two months after Lady-tide. Some of them declared they would have it all the summer.

"*John Hicks.*"

"Mine is a small farm, and most part of it is marsh land, and in the winter it is frequently under water, that being the case, I have not sufficient employment to enable me to work out the rate. It is the large farmers that receive the benefit from it, as the regular labourers they are in the habit of employing work out the whole of their rate; consequently they pay nothing towards it, and my rate goes to their benefit at my loss; so you see in this case, and many others, it acts unequally.

"*T. L. W. Dennett.*"

"It operates, in my opinion, much in favour of the great farmer, and against the little one. The great farmer always having a set of regular workmen in his employ, will always outset the labour rate; whereas the little farmer is compelled to do the greatest part of the labour himself

Parliamentary Papers digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

myself, for which no allowance is made from the rates; and the tradesmen, I think, are nearly in a similar situation, not having the power of working out the rate, but at a great loss, as many of the great farmers pay little or nothing, and the little farmer and tradespeople nearly the whole of the rate. LABOUR RATE.

"*John Dennett.*"

"I am a householder, and occupy a small grass farm, and only employ a labourer occasionally, and have been enabled to work out nearly all my rate; therefore I do not consider myself hurt or injured by it.

"When the labour rate was first proposed in this parish, I voted against it, for this reason, that all the labour population of the parish being put into the rate, consequently the rate falls very unequally on the rate-payers, namely, the householders, little farmers and tradesmen, as many have not employed extra labourers to work out their rate.

"And I am also of opinion that the great land occupiers, by placing their regular workmen, whom they must necessarily employ, in the rate, are reaping the benefit of the same at the expense of the other classes.

"*L. D. Smith.*"

The rate seems to have been enforced even to the injury of the labourer, who is striving to keep from pauperism.

John King says—

"I am a gardener; having no land of my own, I go to work for any person who will employ me; I keep no person to assist me whatever; therefore I have no chance of working out my labour rate. Now I have desired my neighbour to say, that this labour rate is an injury to every one except the large farmer."

At Pulhorough, in Sussex, the labour rate, also on the first plan, threw the principal burthen on the tithes. The following is the effect as stated by the incumbent, the Rev. J. Austen:—

"The parish of Pulhorough is thus rated in round numbers to the poor rate: land, 4,000*l.*; glebe and tithes, 1,050*l.*; houses, 950*l.*:—6,000*l.*

"By the 1st resolution one man is to be taken for every 30*l.* rating, 30*s.* 1,050*l.* for glebe and tithes - - - - - - - - - - -	35 men.
"By the 2d resolution, 1*s.* in the pound is to be paid every six weeks—1,051 shillings; this divided by 6, gives 175 shillings weekly, which will pay, at 10*s.* each - - - - - - - - - - - - -	17½ men.
	52½ men.
"The glebe and tithes must employ or pay, as all the houses and ratings under 30*l.* are exempt from the 1st resolution; there would still be 60 men left on the highways, of whom the tithes and glebe would pay one-sixth - -	10 men.
The rectory would thus pay - - -	62½ men.

Besides the common poor-rate of 8*s.* in the pound.

"Sixty-two men, at 10*s.* each, weekly—31*l.* and for a whole year, 1,612*l.*, and 420*l.* for the common poor-rate; in the whole, 2,032*l.*"

The Rev. J. Calvert, of Whatfield, Suffolk, speaking of Cosford Hundred, in which that parish is situated, says—

"It is almost superfluous to state the temptation which is thrown in the way of occupiers of land, to combine against the tithe owner. One instance of this I conceive to have taken place in the parish of Layham; and another is likely to occur in a parish where the tithes belong to a lay impropriator.

"The clergymen of other parishes within this hundred have, on account of their compositions in lieu of tithes been exempted from any causes of complaint; I, for one, am a gainer in the diminished rates which I have to pay this year."

The Rev. T. S. Hodges, of Little Waltham, states—

"I cannot conceal from myself the fact, that were the labour rate a permanent measure, it would very materially affect the value of tithe property, and in fact be a tax upon it to that amount; inasmuch as at present the clergyman's income arises from a tenth of the produce of the land, free of the cost of producing it, whereas the labour-rate would inflict upon him one-tenth of the whole labour of the parish: the hardship would be greater, as he alone would be unable to employ the labour imposed upon him, unless during the harvest-work, the law not allowing him (a law advisable rather to restrain than to enlarge) to occupy more than fifty acres beyond his glebe."

Many expressions will be found in the replies evincing the desire of the farmers to establish a labour rate, for the express purpose of reaching the tithes.

Mr. Wm. Venton, a farmer at Lenham, Kent, says—

"I objected, upon the ground that the labour rate did not embrace all assessable property, *and most particularly the tithe*, which as it now stands, is a very great check upon employing a superabundant number of labourers."

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

LABOUR RATE.

The attempt to throw the weight of pauperism upon particular classes has been as successful under the second plan, under which the agriculturists divide the whole labour amongst themselves, as it has been under the first plan.

The tradespeople, not being assessed to the labour-rate under the second plan, will in no instance be found to complain; if indeed they are not as extensively benefited as particular classes of the agriculturists, they are at least slightly benefited by a reduction in the poor's rates consequent upon a portion of the receivers having been withdrawn from the parish pay table.

Under this plan in the parish of Great Kimble, we find the large occupiers of arable land casting the burthen on the grass farmers and on small arable farmers, who with their children can perform the requisite labour. The distribution in this parish is made according to assessment; a double injustice to grass land, which requires least labour, and on that very account pays the highest rent, and is subject to the highest assessment. If the distribution of labourers had been by the acre, the grass farmer would have received as many labourers as the arable farmer, whilst he has employment for only half the number; by the distribution according to rental he is compelled to take twice the number of labourers allotted to the farmer occupying an equal quantity of arable land, he therefore receives four times as many labourers in proportion to his wants. The following are answers received from Great Kimble:—

"I cannot like the name of a labour rate, as I know I cannot get a living with it. I have got a living for a large family without it for 50 years, on a small farm of 61 acres. I know that a labour rate will soon ruin small farmers, like myself, if the grass land is to take the same quantity of labourers as the arable land. There must be twice the work on arable land as on grass, therefore they ought to have twice the labourers. Grass in our parish is taxed higher than the arable, therefore with a labour rate they will have more men, and not so much work.

"If there is a labour rate allowed by Act of Parliament, I hope it will be only for the winter half-year; for I know the large farmers would like to have their harvest done by the parish. And if there is a labour rate allowed, I ask to be allowed my sons as labourers on the farm, according to the scale in the parish, without being beholden to the overseers, to allow just who they may think proper, as was the case last winter. If renting farmers like myself are not allowed to count their sons, I ask the Commissioners, how are they to live? If it be possible, I beg the labour rate will be done away with, and still let us be free.

"*John Plested.*"

"The labour rate is to me very injurious, as it compels me to take men which I have no employ for. I occupy only 40 acres of grass land, and have two sons, and not got half work enough for ourselves, while I am compelled to take a man and a boy; the boy's pay I about save, by paying less poor rates; the man's wages is all loss to me; therefore it injures me 8*s.* per week.

"I consider the arable farmer ought to take double the men as grass farmers do, to make it on a fair scale. If there is a labour rate allowed, I hope there will be a fair difference made between arable land and grass land, and I hope all renting farmers will be allowed to count their sons as labourers, on their fathers' farms, according to the scale in the parish, without being beholden to the overseer to allow just whom he thinks proper. I do not ask for them to be allowed as do not work; if sons are not allowed, it must soon ruin men like myself with families. The labour rate will undoubtedly soon ruin small concerns; the inclosures have ruined three parts of the little farms, and the labour rate will soon ruin the remaining few farms.

"*Edmund Callam.*"

"I occupy seven acres of grass land, and have not half work enough for myself; therefore the labour rate compels me to pay for other men's labour which cannot do without them.

"*John Hughes.*"

"I have only six acres of grass land, and have two sons. The labour rate wrongs me very much, as I cannot get any employ for my sons, as my neighbours will not employ my sons, as they cannot be allowed by the overseer.

"Therefore while there is a labour rate, we three are obligated to live on our little, and have a boy to do our little work for us; without this rate we could get a little work at times.

"*John Langstone*"

Princes Risborough, likewise under the second plan, affords an instance of the occupiers of rich soil making an acreage disposition of the labourers equally upon lands of all qualities, although the parish includes a large tract of sheep-walk. By this means of course the burthen is thrown upon two or three sheep-walk occupiers, who, with the occupiers of all other qualities of land, are compelled to receive one man to 35 acres. The degree of injury thus inflicted in Princes Risborough may be judged of by the fact, that in the parish of Westbury, Wiltshire,

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

shire, only one man is allotted to 400 acres of sheep walk, whilst one man is given to every 30 acres of arable land, and one to every 50 acres of meadow LABOUR RATE.

The following is an extract from the letter of J. Grace, occupier of 600 acres of sheep walk in Princes Rishorough.

"The parish of Rishorough contains about 5,000 acres, part of which is very good land, and a part very bad; the occupiers of the good land are more numerous than those of the poor land. Myself and another hold nearly 600 acres each, of nearly the worst land in the parish: you may therefore easily see that we are out-voted in the select vestry, who agreed that the labour rate should be levied, not by value, but by acreage; thus making land, now occupied, a considerable extent of it, as sheep-walk, and consequently producing no labour at all: what is not so applied is of poor quality, and cannot produce so much, and require so much labour as the best land, which produces a larger bulk of corn and straw. I therefore considered this mode of levying the labour rate very unfair, and, with others in the same situation, objected to it; but we were out-voted by the rest of the select vestry, who were occupiers of good land, and would not consent to a fair allowance."

In this parish the little farmers league with the large farmers; it is not the large farmer shifting the burthen upon the small farmer, but the occupiers of rich land, both the large occupiers and the small occupiers casting the burthen upon a few occupiers of extensive sheep-walk.

Even under the third plan, on the face of which great fairness appears, the same system of burthen-shifting will be found to prevail. At Farnham, in Surrey, where the third plan was used during the last year, and is now in use, two provisions formed the basis of the agreement. The first—

"That for every 30 acres of *pasture, wood and arable land*, and for every six acres of hop ground, the occupier shall find constant employ for one man, before he will be permitted to work out his portion of the above rate."

Here no allowance is made for the smaller quantity of labour required for pasture and for wood lands; no exemption is made of the small holder occupying less than twenty acres of land, exempted in many parishes on the express ground that he is *bonâ fide* a labourer, and capable of performing all the labour that his little holding requires. No mention is made of the sons of the small farmer who, with himself, are more than sufficient for working his farm. Thus then we find that under the terms of the agreement, a large share of the burthen may be cast upon the holder of meadow, the holder of woodland, the holder of less than twenty acres with or without sons, or the small holder with two or three sons.

The second provision enacts—

"That all persons who are assessed to this rate, and are not occupiers of land, may participate in the benefit of the rate *by making terms with the occupiers of land to work out their rate for them*:"

Under this provision a further burthen is necessarily cast upon traders. It appears that the agrieulturists generally worked out the rate for those not holding land, at one-half of the wages awarded by the parish. Mr. Pain, an extensive grower of hops in that parish, says—

"Rate payers who had no land were allowed to get their portion of the labour rate worked out by any farmer who had previously complied with the terms of the agreement, *and it has generally been done at one-half*. *Every* such rate payer might have had his rate thus worked out if he had chosen; very many have done so, amongst others the proprietors of the large and small tithes, and thereby have directly effected a saving of half the amount."

Thus the trade portion of the surplus labourers is awarded to the large agrieulturists at half wages, trade bearing the other half. This agreement in fact partakes of the unfairness of the first plan, as oppressing the tradespeople, and of the unfairness of the second as oppressing the small agriculturists and the owners of meadow land.

The following is the statement of a rate payer:—

"When the labour rate was first introduced, I had hoped it would have been beneficial to all parties; but if it is to be continued as it is now acted upon in this parish, it will increase rather than diminish pauperism. Almost every person in the town now has something to do with the pauper labourer; he must either keep a man in idleness, or send him to work upon some farm, and pay half his wages. Such a system as this is both monstrous and disgraceful on the part of the farmers, and if continued, will make the men worse instead of better, because there is no hope of their being freed from the thraldom of pauperism.

"The labour rate was adopted, because, as the farmers would have it, there was more labourers than could possibly be employed upon the land. Now, supposing there was 30 men too many, the fair proportion would be to allot 20 to the farmers and 10 to the town; the town people would have taken the 10, and employed them the best way they could,

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

LABOUR RATE. but the farmers now turn round and say, 'No, we can employ your 10 in addition to our 20 if you will pay half the amount of their wages, and if you will not agree to that, you must pay the whole amount of the labour rate assessed upon you;' what can be more convincing than this, that here is not a man too many! I intend, when another labour rate is proposed, to make a strong objection to such shameful proceedings as this. "*Wm Mellersh.*"

The Farnham labour rate, however, appears to have been among the most successful experiments of the kind, which may probably be attributed to the following clause, and to the fairness with which the arbitrators have performed their duty.

"Sixth. That Messrs. J. M. Paine, Charles Knight, J. Ludbetter, George Smith, and William West be, and are hereby appointed arbitrators to determine in case any dispute should arise between the parish officers and the parties who apply to work out their rate; and likewise that they be, and are hereby appointed to make such alteration in the application of that part of the second resolution which relates to the quantity of land upon which a labourer must be constantly employed, as they may consider just and reasonable, in order to obviate any particular grievance which may arise from its strict and literal enforcement, and that the decision of any three of such arbitrators shall be final and conclusive."

But what can be said in favour of a legislation which depends for its success on the impartiality of persons empowered to decide on matters in which they themselves have a strong and immediate pecuniary interest, which enables three persons out of a population of 5,858, to make a decision which shall be final and conclusive as to the quantity of land upon which a labourer must be constantly employed: which enables them to decide, as they appear to have done, that the sons of farmers are labourers, but that gardeners, grooms or assessed servants are not?

In an adjoining parish, Frensham, the third plan was also tried; but instead of each occupier of land being compelled to take labourers according to his acreage, as at Farnham, he was compelled to take one man *for every* 20*l. assessment*; and in this parish no restriction was placed upon those who did not hold land as to the disposal of their share of the surplus labour. Against this agreement no complaints were made by the tradespeople. The small farmers having sons who work as labourers upon their farms, seem numerous in this parish, and upon them the burthen appears to have fallen. The following are statements made by that class:—

"I have sons (eight) enough to do the whole work on my farm (about 60 acres), and to be compelled to pay for labour, the consequence would be, I must bring my own children in idleness, to employ and pay others; and in that case it must be injurious; and in all cases of small farmers, if the farmer cannot do the labour without hiring (when there is a family) it is impossible in the present state of things for that man to live and pay his way.

"*Wm Mayhew.*"

"The resolutions of the parish exclude the sons of farmers above one from working out the labour rate, although they be actually employed as labourers by their parents. I have three sons, and am under the necessity of sending them to work as labourers. It therefore places on me two more labourers than it does on many of my neighbours, who hold an equal farm with me and have no family. Such proceeding is very injurious to the working farmers, who have a family, and would on me and all others so situated, I think, end in ruin.

"*Stephen Baker.*"

"It will be a great good to the great rich farmers; no doubt they sing 'O be joyful,' that all others not of like caste are obliged to help pay their labourers. But to all little farmers and others it will be a great evil and tax; I know two or three little farmers who have sons grown up, and do all their business; now is it not a hard case they should be obliged to hire a labourer or two to work out their rate, or pay 25 per cent. more than their opulent neighbours pay, as a full rate is made, and 25 per cent. deducted on such persons as work out the whole rate? Since this labour rate have been in operation, the poor rates have been the same as usual. I have paid since October last three 5*s.* rates in the pound, and perhaps another will be brought forward before next October.

"*James Keet.*"

It may be assumed that there are not any grass farmers in this parish, as no complaint is made by any such person. Had there been such, the injury to them would, as we have seen, have been great, labourers being forced on them on the precise ground that they do not want them.

The parish is thus described by Mr. Mason:—

"The parish of Frensham is an agricultural parish. The land is of a very similar quality throughout, and there is scarcely a rate payer who is not in the occupation of land, or otherwise in a situation to give employment. These circumstances afforded peculiar means of equalizing the burthen, and yet success was not obtained."

The

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

The agreement at Downton, in Wiltshire, is also under the third plan, the labourers being apportioned according to assessment. The following is the statement of Mr. R. H. Hooper, a select vestryman of that parish: LABOUR RATE

"There ought to be a difference between a farm that is chiefly of arable and one with a large proportion of meadow land, as those occupying the former admit, that both with their quota of regular and freemen, they have barely sufficient, while those occupying the latter, state that they have considerably more than they can find work for: it can be accounted for only in this way; the meadow lands standing three times as high on the rate as arable land, are in consequence obliged to employ three times the number of regular labourers, although they do not want so many, as there is no ploughing, sowing, carting manure, or threshing required on meadow land."

It is evident from this that the burthen rests upon the grass farmer, and no douht also on the small arable farmer, who by himself or with his sons can perform the labour which his farm requires.

Great oppression upon an individual rate-payer, or upon a class of rate-payers, may likewise be exercised by the overseers determining by whom each labourer shall be employed. Mr. Griffin of Send and Ripley, says—

"A few days ago I hired a strong, healthy, middle-aged labourer at 10s. per week, the next day a little old man came and told me the overseer had sent him instead of the man I had agreed with."

We might continue to illustrate the unfairness with which the system is conducted, until we had exhausted the parishes from which we have received information on the subject: for in each parish the surplus labourers are thrown chiefly upon one class by a combination amongst the other classes. The small occupier, who by himself or with his children, is able to perform the labour necessary to his little farm, will however, in the great majority of cases, be found to be the severest sufferer, whether the labour-rate be upon the first plan, the second or the third. The only small occupiers who seem content, are those without sons, and whose farms are sufficiently extensive to require their own time in superintendence. This class will invariably be found to prefer the system, and the reason is admitted by themselves; viz. That they save in poor rate, and do not receive under the labour-rate more persons than are sufficient to do their work. In some instances they state that they do not receive under the labour-rate as many labourers as they previously employed.

It may perhaps appear strange, that perpetrating, as they usually do, such serious injury upon the largest portion of the rate payers, labour-rates should have been so extensively adopted; the explanation is, that the large farmers are benefited, and that in an agricultural parish they command a majority in vestry.

Mr. Bullen, of Kelvedon, Essex, says—

"There was no occasion in this parish, nor would it have been done but for a junto of powerful landholders, putting down opposition by exempting a sufficient number, to give themselves the means of a majority."

Unfortunately, the power is often vested in the very class which has the least inducement to make a good use of it—those who hope to find in paupers an abundant supply of cheap labour. The Rev. R. Johnson, of Lavenham, Suffolk, says,—

"I am told that language to the following effect has been made use of in this neighbourhood: *i. e.* 'The more men unemployed the better, and the higher the poor-rates the better for us; the landlords must reduce their rents in proportion, and we shall be benefited by employing men at such wages as we choose to give them.'"

The small farmer who by himself or with his sons can perform all the labour he requires, gains nothing by the real or apparent cheapness, for he employs none. The tradesman, too, is similarly situated; he must lose by pauperism, and, unlike the farmer, to whom local connexion is of no importance, is tied to the spot, and must bear all the evils which belong to the parish, for to leave it would be to leave his bread. He is likewise very frequently a small proprietor, owning his house, and a few acres of land.

In many cases the large occupiers have been enabled to carry the plan by intimidation. A rate payer in a parish in Surrey, whose name and residence from fear of injury to him are suppressed, says,

"I am bound by the overseers or guardians of the parish (who have influence over trade) not to vote against a labourer's rate, or to lose their work or custom." *

Again,

* See Answers from Surrey, Appendix (D.)

Printed in the digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

LABOUR RATE. Again, Mr. Hicks, of Henfield, says—

"There are very many small farmers in this parish, who, *from fear of losing their little farms, or of offending their more wealthy neighbours*, or from not understanding how the labour-rate would operate, consented to giving it a trial. The result has been that several have proved the expenses 25 per cent. more than before the existence of a labour-rate. *There are smiths, coopers, harness-makers, &c. who from fear of offending abstained from making their objections.*"

In many instances, after having tried the system for a year or two, a majority of the rate payers have succeeded in discontinuing it. So strong, however, is the apparent promise of a labour-rate, that even those who complain bitterly of its oppressive nature according to the plan adopted in their own parishes, conclude with admiration of the principle, "provided it can be carried into operation with an equal pressure upon all." No one, however, has yet pointed out any means for effecting the desired "equal pressure," which means have not been tried in some other parish, and there proved ineffectual.

A Bill was introduced into Parliament during the last Session, for amending the 2 & 3 Will. IV. c. 96. The principal object of this Bill was to enforce the adoption of the third plan, that by which each occupier is compelled to employ men in proportion to his acreage (reference being had to the description of cultivation), before he is considered as taking a share of the surplus labourers. The intention of the Bill, however, as to the equalization of the burden, would probably have been defeated by the wide discretion which it gave to the rate-payers, under the provision,

"That every occupier should take one able-bodied labourer "for so many acres of arable land *as may be determined by the majority aforesaid of the rate-payers in vestry assembled* to require one such labourer for the proper cultivation of the same, regard being had to the description and quality of the land; and also that every such occupier shall employ one able-bodied labourer for so many acres of grass land, one for so many acres of wood-land, and one for so many acres of hop ground, in his occupation *as shall respectively be determined by the majority as aforesaid*, before he shall be permitted to claim any exemption from the payment of his proportion of the said rate or derive any benefit or assistance therefrom."

Under this provision the arable farmers, if the majority of votes, might make the grass land, the wood land, and the down land take the same amount of labour as the arable land; or the farmers, generally combining against trade, might vote, that after the farmer should have received one man, or even less, to every 100 acres, the remaining labourers should be considered surplus, and be distributed amongst the rate-payers generally, according to assessment.

A plan for remedying all unfairness in the distribution of the labourers, has been proposed in a circular letter issued by Mr. Hillyard, the President of the Northamptonshire Farming and Grazing Society.

Mr. Hillyard's scheme resembles the first plan, that generally used in Surrey and Sussex. It proposes to obviate the objections to an assessment distribution by a graduated scale, intended, of course, to represent the exact proportion of demand for profitable labour possessed by each of the rate-payers. But the data upon which the calculations are founded appear to be arbitrary. Mr. Hillyard says, "Occupiers of houses above 5*l.* to be rated at one-third of the amount of the poor-rate." Such houses then ought to have as much real demand for the one-third allotted to them, as arable land has for the three-thirds. But the assertion is wholly unsustained. The proposition may appear liberal, but it appears so only because to rate householders in the same sum as landholders would be the height of injustice. Again, he proposes that "Occupiers of pasture or woodland be rated at one-half of the amount of the poor-rate." Grass land is generally rated twice as high per acre as arable, and therefore, if burthened with labourers in proportion to one-half of its assessment, would be bound to employ as many labourers per acre as arable, though requiring only half as many. Again, "Occupiers of land, one-half or more of which is pasture, the remainder arable land, to be rated at three-fourths of the amount of the poor's rate." Supposing the pasture to exceed one-half, in the degree that it exceeded the half, the burthen would increase in unfairness. Lastly, "No land to be deemed pasture that has not been constantly in grass for seven years and upwards." This is a proposition for a seven years' injustice. If legalized, it would act almost as a prohibition of laying down land.

A nearer

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

A nearer approximation towards preventing the oppression of any class of rate payers would be to ascertain the average number of labourers required by each mode of cultivation, and then to enact that one man be employed by— LABOUR RATE.

— acres of arable land,
— acres of pasture,
— acres of down,
— acres of hops,
— acres of woodland,

And for smaller or larger quantities of land in proportion.

That the owners of water meadow should be exempt during the time their lands are under water.

That every farmer should take one man for every — horses.
—— — — oxen, cows, &c.
—— — — sheep.
—— — — pigs.

That farmers and their sons who work as labourers should be acknowledged as such, and allowed for accordingly.

And that after this apportionment had been made, the remaining labourers should be apportioned amongst the employers of labour in proportion to their assessment to the poor's rate.

This would be as near perhaps as a statute could reach; all further adjustment must be left to the parishioners themselves. And yet there remains abundant room for disagreement and oppression. Between the farmers, as to the quality of arable land; is land that requires little labour in its cultivation to be burthened, and that which requires much to be comparatively exempt? Again, What shall be considered pasture or meadow land, is land laid down for grass to be charged as arable, and if not, how can a farmer be prevented from pretending that his land is laid down when he intends to plough it at the recurrence of the season? Who shall determine where down land ceases and meadow begins? Who shall determine when water meadow is in a fit state to admit labour upon it? What shall constitute "working as a labourer?" Is the farmer who works one day in the year as such to be exempt, or is the man who can prove that he worked twelve hours a day during a whole year, with the exception of a few days, to be denied his claim to be reckoned a labourer because he did not work those few days? Again, as between the farmers and the rate-payers generally. The farmers may vote all the arable land in the parish inferior, and requiring a less quantity of labour; they may vote the pasture to be down land, or a species of poor marsh, or unfit to bear labour; they may vote that all farmers and their sons have performed the labour necessary to include them as agricultural labourers, and such occurrences might follow as are described in the following communication from Mr. Griffin of Send and Ripley:—

"Farmers having any number of sons were allowed to rate them as labourers out of this rate, so that we have now yeomanry paupers, hunting, shooting, and riding about the country, enjoying themselves on labourer's allowances."

With the utmost care of the legislature, most of these means of oppression must remain open. The legislature cannot arrange the detail requisite for every parish even for one year, and on the details depends the working of the general provisions.

Even were it possible to prevent injustice between the rate-payers of the same parish, it would be impossible to prevent injustice between parish and parish. In almost every labour-rate which we have seen, a provision exists expressly prohibiting employment to labourers who have not a settlement. Indeed, many persons avow their object to be the expulsion of non-parishioners. At Thorney Abbey, Cambridge, the number of labourers remaining in the parish gives only one man to 60 acres.

Mr. John Pask says—

"The labour-rate has done good, by excluding strangers from the parish at a period of the year when they were not wanted, and by giving employment to the labourers belonging to the parish."

Mr. William Stanford, of Henfield, Sussex, states—

"There are some parishes who speak highly of a labour-rate, viz. such parishes as have not enough of their own poor to do all the labour within their parish; although they may have had several of their own out of employ, occasioned by some farmers employing out-parishioners; but by confining their resolutions in the labour-rate to their own parishioners, those who employ men belonging to other parishes are compelled to discharge them."

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

LABOUR RATE.

The parishes from which the least dissatisfaction is expressed will generally be found to be of this class. Polstead, in Suffolk, is an example; in that parish the resident parishioners amount only to three and a fraction for each 100 acres. Semer is another instance, and so is Nuthurst, in Sussex.

Mr. J. W. Smith, of Nuthurst, says—

"We have about 2,600 acres of land in the parish, and but 83 labourers *belonging to it*, which is not sufficient to do the work."

But those parishes whose labourers have sought employment elsewhere, and are driven back, make loud complaints.

Mr. Newman, of Crawley, in Sussex, complains of the evil thus inflicted upon his parish: he says—

"There has been no labour-rate in the parish of Crawley, in consequance of there not being sufficient agricultural land to employ half the labourers; and I am sorry to say, owing to the Labour-rate in the adjoining parishes, our labourers have been sent home, and we have been compelled to support them in comparative idleness ever since the above rate was adopted, and must continue to do so under the present system."

The advantage thus unfairly gained, however, has in many cases been of short duration.

Mr. Wm. Stanford, of West Grinstead, Sussex, says—

"As soon as we could arrange, a rate was made for the better employment of the poor in this parish, and continued in force until the 16th of April last, and certainly enabled us to get through the first part of the winter with less men out of employ than we otherwise should have done. After Christmas, we began to feel the effect of other parishes adopting a labour-rate, and confining themselves to their own parishioners, in consequence of which several labourers came home, and although men of good characters, had no chance of finding employment but on the parish account."

A still more serious injury appears to be caused to parishes not having a labour-rate, when a portion of its occupiers hold land in a labour-rate parish. To make room for the labourers imposed on them by that parish, they discharge their own parishioners, preferring, of course, a smaller burthen in the form of a poor-rate to a greater one in that of a labour-rate.

The following communication from Mr. Glascock, churchwarden of Castle Hedingham, Essex, will show the extent of evil to that parish from the practice.

"The labour-rate was not adopted in this parish, although it has been tried in all the surrounding parishes except Little Yeldham, and is now in force in Great Yeldham, Gestingthorpe and Great Maplestead. The result has been, that in these parishes there has been no demand for the labourers of this parish, that some of our labourers who were employed by farmers holding land in this and one of the labour-rate parishes have been discharged, and that farmers whose principal occupations are in the labour-rate parish have employed in our parish the labourers of the other parish to our prejudice, so that, as is truly observed by Mr. Stubbins, overseer of this parish, the surplus labour of other parishes has been thrown upon Castle Hedingham. The facts from which you will draw your conclusion are these. Mr. ————'s principal labourers in this parish are from Great and Little Yeldham; Mr ————, on 114 acres in this parish, has but two men belonging to this parish, the rest are all from Gestingthorpe, whom under the labour-rate he is obliged to employ; Mr. ———— has discharged one man, he had discharged two belonging to this parish, alleging that he must employ a certain number of Gestingthorpe men, and he has not work for all, and a Gestingthorpe man is now actually residing in this parish and having work in this parish. Mr. ———— is also obliged to employ Gestingthorpe men. Mr. ———— has been also called upon to employ one Yeldham man."

A witness residing in Hurstperpoint, in Sussex, occupying land in that and in two adjoining parishes, in the former of which only has the labour-rate been adopted, admits that he has pursued this course. He says, speaking of Hurstperpoint, the labour-rate parish,—

"I hold 33 acres of land in the parish, half arable and half meadow; also I have about 450 acres in two adjoining parishes, and I employ some labourers in those parishes out of this parish, because they are not very full of paupers. In neither of those parishes (which are, Albourne, a small parish, and Woodmancoat, a larger one) has the labour-rate been employed."

Having thus shown the operation of the labour-rate system on the rate-payers, we will now consider its effects on the labourers: effects of far greater importance, both as applying to a much more numerous class, and as influencing not only their prosperity but their morals.

There appears to be no doubt that the adoption of a labour-rate has, in many instances, produced an immediate improvement in the condition and character of a portion of the labourers within its operation.

It

Print Image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

It must be remembered, that working on the roads and in the gravel pits is considered by the labourers as wholly useless, and therefore only inflicted upon them to gratify the malice of the overseers; in some cases, perhaps, of the rate-payers generally. And this opinion is no doubt often strengthened by the incautious and petulant remarks of an overseer, who feels himself surrounded by difficulties in the performance of his office, and being an uneducated man, has not perceived that the vicious, as the victims of ignorance, are truly objects of compassion, and therefore deserving of all the lenity which is compatible with their own good, and the good of society. Considering themselves the victims of persecution, it is not wonderful that ignorant men should thus be impressed with vindictive feelings towards the rest of society, and become thoroughly vicious. Under such circumstances almost any alternative would prove to be, and would by them be considered, an improvement in their condition. A feeling of contentment is thus created which no doubt exhibits itself in more moral conduct, and in increased industry. LABOUR RATE.

John Boxall, a labourer, at Frensham, Surrey, says,—

"It has completely allayed that feeling of discontent which was so general a short time ago, by the improved mode of employment it has given to a great number of us. The value of our labour is all we want."

Mr. John Marchant, of Hurstperpoint, Sussex, says,—

"When they work for the parish in such numbers, they corrupt each other (being chiefly the worst labourers), but when divided amongst the occupiers of lands and houses, they become better men in every sense."

Mr. Morgan, of Henfield, says,—

"I believe *we all agree* that the peace and morality of the lower orders were much improved during its continuance."

Mr. Long, of Farnham, says,—

"From the circumstance of no young men having been brought before the magistrates for any disobedience or misdemeanor, it may be inferred that they have become even steadier under their improved condition."

Mr. Hooper, of Downton, Wiltshire, says,—

"Previous to its employment, large numbers of men were congregated together, either at the gravel-pits, the roads or some other public work, badly paid, not overlooked, and consequently daily becoming more indolent, discontented and insolent; but by distributing them to the different farms, these evils were got rid of."

The Rev. Richard Blunden, of Alton, Hampshire, says,—

"I have no doubt the labour-rate, dismissing the pecuniary saving from our view, has been very advantageous both to the morals and the comfort of the labourers in this parish, and would have been much more so was it not for the pernicious operation of the present Beer Bill. In reference to the morals of the labourers, it disperses them from their consultations in *the gravel-pit and on the road*, where was concocted the means by which they might rob the preserves of game, hen-roosts of their inmates, and gardens of vegetables."

Another circumstance may likewise account for the change in the state of mind of this portion of the labourers; they generally obtain increased wages.

B. Pease, Holywell,—

"Agricultural labourers receive a benefit from the labour rate, as they are employed and paid better than they would be when they are employed at parish work."

J. Cranfield, Holywell,—

"As it respects agricultural labourers, they receive a benefit from the working of the rate; for they are employed, and paid better than they would be were they on the parish."

W. H. Paine, Farnham,—

"The labourer now finds little difficulty in procuring work, and generally gets better wages than before."

But this partial improvement appears to be dearly purchased. We have seen that the first act of a labour-rate is to expel every unsettled labourer. No matter how long he may have been a steady and faithful servant; no matter how good a workman. He is not a parishioner, and however much he may be attached to his employer, and to the spot, he must give up his employment, and go where he is not known, and where none but parish work may be found him.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

LABOUR RATE.

Mr. Robert Aichison, of Westbury, Wilts, says—

"An effect of the system has been severely felt in our part of this parish, (which is almost exclusively an agricultural district, and remarkable for the sobriety and good behaviour of the labourers,) namely, that it has obliged several of my farming neighbours to discharge workmen of approved character and tried fidelity, (some of whom had been brought up on their estates from their youth,) simply because they did not happen to have a settlement here, and take in their places men less qualified and not so trustworthy. With some of these persons I have had opportunities of conversing, and have felt much pained to observe the sad consequences of the breaking up of that mutual attachment which had for so many years subsisted between their masters and themselves."

Mr. Lacy, of Farnham, Surrey, speaking of out-parishioners, says—

"There are cases where good labourers and old servants have received their discharge."

Mr. Clapson, of Hellingley, Sussex,—

"I employ two men who do not belong to this parish, and if I were forced to employ my proportion of those who belong to this parish, I must of necessity discharge the two above mentioned. This case is very common in this neighbourhood, and I have no doubt but the labour-rate would cause the removal of many of the best workmen in the country."

The Rev. F. Calvert, of Whatfield, Suffolk,—

"Labourers being able to obtain employment only in the parishes to which they belong, are often obliged by a labour-rate to leave situations in which they may have maintained themselves by industry many years, in order to remove to their own parishes, where they must, in all probability, become paupers."

H. Barker, of Henfield, Sussex,—

"The labour-rate has had this effect; those who have had their servants for years in their employ, in our parish, have sent them home to their parishes, because they would employ those belonging to the parish."

Mr. Stanford, of West Grinstead, Surrey.—

"The adoption of any plan that will, in its effect, remove good and industrious workmen from their present employers, and send them home to their own already burthened parishes, must be attended with the worst of consequences, that of destroying the morals and principles of the men, and bringing utter ruin on the parishes."

Mr. Hicks, of Henfield, Sussex,—

"It is very plain that such a Bill may have the effect of cruelly injuring the industrious labourer under a kind but distant master, by sending both him and his family home to their parish; and whatever parish might hereafter become burthened with poor, the labourers would have no hope of distant employment, because the universal cry would be, 'We have a labour-rate, and it obliges us to employ or pay (exclusively) our own parishioners, whether they are good workpeople or bad.' It surely must be an error to attempt to remedy surplus agricultural labour, by driving men home to their parishes by indirect means, and then compelling the farmers to employ them through parish vestries and bench boards of agriculture!

"It has been customary in Sussex to hold out rewards to labourers who could bring up their families without parochial relief; but such a system as the labour-rate would entirely prevent so desirable an object; they would soon become slaves instead of free."

The following are the replies of four labourers residing at Ifield, Sussex, but not belonging to that parish.

"It is an injury to the labouring classes in general, as the farmer and the gentlemen are alone benefited by it, and not the labourer. In particular, people situated like myself, out of their own parish, are driven from their work and their homes to their parish, and are wholly dependent on the overseers for employment, when but for that they might be earning a comfortable living, with a very little assistance. This has been the case with many families since the labour-rate commenced, and should it continue, the injury will be great to many an industrious labourer.

"*James Edwards.*"

"I inform you as a labourer, that if a labour-rate had taken place in this parish as proposed, it would have been an injury to me. I inform you that I am an out-parishioner, and nothing to depend upon but my daily labour. Not belonging to the parish, I find it difficult to get employ, and in addition to being out of employ, I have the rate myself to pay, and the system, in its present form, will certainly injure me.

"*William Razzle.*"

"I do not belong to this parish, therefore I cannot say any thing in the behalf of the labour-rate, as it appears that it prevents all out-parishioners getting a day's work, so that it is a harm.

"*Richard Smith.*"

"I am afraid the labour-rate is of no good to me as I do not belong to the parish; am afraid it will throw me out of work, and drive me home to my parish for employment, and I think it of little use for out-dwellers.

"*James Stradwick.*"

But

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

LABOUR RATE.

But the evil to the labouring population is not confined to the non-parishioners. The ultimate effect of a labour-rate, or, in other words, of a measure which forces individuals to employ labourers at a given rate of wages, must be to destroy the distinction between pauperism and independence. Our inquiries have convinced us that it is only by keeping these things separated, and separated by as broad and as distinct a demarcation as possible, and by making relief in all cases less agreeable than wages, that any thing deserving the name of improvement can be hoped for. But under the labour-rate system relief and wages are confounded. The wages partake of relief, and the relief partakes of wages. The labourer is employed, not because he is a good workman, but because he is a parishioner. He receives a certain sum, not because it is the fair value of his labour, but because it is what the vestry has ordered to be paid. Good conduct, diligence, skill, all become valueless. Can it be supposed that they will be preserved? We deplore the misconception of the labourers in thinking that wages are not a matter of contract, but of right; that any diminution of their comforts occasioned by an increase of their numbers, without an equal increase of the fund for their subsistence, is an evil to be remedied, not by themselves, but by the magistrates; not an error, or even a misfortune, but an injustice. But can we more effectually maintain this state of feeling than by proclaiming that, at the expense of the landlord, the tithe-owner, the small farmer and the shopkeeper, all the labourers of the parish are to be kept at the ordinary wages or nearly the ordinary wages of the district, in a state free from anxiety, restriction or degradation, however great their numbers, however little their diligence, or however reckless their profligacy or their improvidence?

The following is the labour-rate Scale of wages used at Princes Risborough:

"Each able-bodied labourer above 25 years of age,			8*s.* weekly.
	from 20 to 25	„	7*s.*
Lads	from 18 to 20	„	5*s.*
	from 16 to 18	„	4*s.*
	from 14 to 16	„	3*s.*
	from 12 to 14	„	2*s.* 6*d.*
	from 10 to 12	„	2*s.*

The Scale used at Farnham, Surrey, is curious, as showing that a youth of seventeen, and a man above seventy-five are held equally capable.

"Boys	from 12 to 15	years old,	2*s.* 8*d.* per week.
	from 15 to 18	„	5*s.*
	from 18 to 21	„	7*s.* 6*d.*
Men	- - - -		10*s.*
	from 65 to 75	„	7*s.* 6*d.*
	Above 75	„	5*s.*

It is true that these are *minimum* scales, but we know the tendency of the *minimum* to become a *maximum*.

In some parishes in Suffolk an attempt is made to pay each labourer according to his comparative value, and consequently the labourers are divided into classes, each class having a separate rate of wages. At Polstead, in Suffolk, the labourers are classed at 9*s.* 8*s.* 7*s.* and 6*s.* per week; boys at 5*s.* 4*s.* 3*s.* and 2*s.* It is however probable that these classes have reference to age, and number in family, rather than to the comparative value of the labourer's work. The following is the List circulated amongst the rate-payers at Polstead:

"That the following labourers be entitled, when so employed, to the respective wages as under mentioned:

Men at 9*s.* per Week.	(Here follow about 80 names.)	
Labourers at - - 8*s.* per Week	- - - -	5 names.
Labourers - - at 7*s.* per Week	- - - -	7 names.
Labourers - - at 6*s.* per Week	- - - -	7 names.
Boys - - - at 5*s.* per Week	- - - -	5 names.
Boys - - - at 4*s.* per Week	- - - -	7 names.
Boys - - - at 3*s.* per Week	- - - -	14 names.
Boys - - - at 2*s.* per Week	- - - -	8 names.

The following are opinions expressed by rate-payers as to the probable effect of the labour-rate upon the habits and morals of the independent labourers. Mr. Joseph Sexton, of Westbury, Wilts, says,—

"One evil connected with the labour-rate is omitted, I believe, by all; that its operations bring many industrious labourers on the parish, who otherwise never would have been paupers.

Printed in Great Britain by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

LABOUR RATE. paupers. Every kind of labour is done in some way or other by the stem-men, and consequently there is nothing left for the usual labourer, and there is no alternative but for him to go to the parish for relief: thus the independent labourer becomes a pauper. There are many instances of this nature in the parish from the plan last year.

"Another evil is, the plan throws out of employ many who come on the rates; and it is impossible to prevent this, unless all men would act conscientiously. As soon as the stem system commences, immediately farmers do not want so many regular labourers; these are consequently paid off and placed on the stem. I met with a case this week to illustrate this fact: An industrious young man who had been a soldier, and married a wife abroad, was discharged; he returned to his parish, Westbury, but did not apply for relief. His wife, who is a respectable woman, with himself, slept on straw; they endured the greatest privation rather than go to the parish. At length he got regular employ at 9*s.* per week. He now became comfortable; he furnished his house, and every thing was going on well, till the stem commenced, when he was informed he could not be employed any longer; and he could not get labour till he consented to be stem'd at 3*s.* per week, for which he labours about three days. He is now off the parish again by his industry, but he told me the stem was 4*l.* out of his pocket last year, only for labour.

"The consequences are injurious: when once a person is registered on the parish book he loses his independence, and generally is not anxious to return to labour. The stem is finished; the work is all done; he then goes to the overseer and demands his allowance; the parish is burthened; the man is degraded; he forms associations with other paupers; idleness and corruption of morals go together; family ties are broken; misery enters the family, and a great deal of the parish money goes to the beer-shop. Thus the number of paupers are increased, the rates are increased, and we are all involved in greater expenses, with less trade to support them."

The Rev. F. Calvert, of Whatfield, Suffolk,—

"Piece-work must be generally discontinued where farmers have so many labourers quartered upon them, that they have not employment enough to supply their increased number of hands with piece-work, nor sufficient capital to pay the increased weekly wages if they could find such work for them.

"A consequent diminution of the industry of all labourers. A gentleman who is a neighbour of mine, and one of the principal occupiers in the hundred, tells me, that it is his opinion there would not be found, under the operation of a labour-rate continued two or three years, a single industrious man in the whole neighbourhood.

"Single men obtaining constant work under a labour-rate, in whom habits of improvidence have already been fixed by the existing system of pauperism, spend the greatest part of their increased earnings at beer-houses."

Mr. Brand, of Kelvedon, Essex,—

"I believe it has done harm to the rate-payers, by making the labourers less laborious, by giving them less encouragement and more cause for discontent, by not giving an adequate compensation to the industrious man, and assuring the idle a certainty of employment."

Mr. Meade, of Princes Risborough, Bucks,—

"But it is not advisable that all the labourers be paid their wages by the labour-rate, as many employers wish: such a proceeding will render the men all paupers, and destroy the little respectability and independence that yet remains; and at the same time gradually deprive the proprietors of the soil of all rent whatsoever, as at Cholesbury."

Mr. J. Grace, of the same parish,—

"The effect of a labour-rate would be good, as far as regards the profitable employment of the labourers, instead of congregating together as they now do on the roads; but for no other purpose do I think it desirable, or likely to improve the condition of the labouring class; because it holds out no stimulus to encourage the working sort, but puts them on a level with the idle, who will never move from the parish as long as they can demand a certain income from it."

Mr. Hooper, of Downton, Wiltshire,—

"They never try to get employment, but now look constantly to the regulator, and are little desirous to please. The labourer is aware that he is a pauper; that when he has served one master a few days or weeks, he shall be sent to another, and so changing from master to master, he acquires no interest with any."

Mr. Goodman, of Thorney Abbey, Cambridge,—

"It has made my labourers, who belong to this parish, regardless of civility and of giving satisfaction in their work, and been the cause of their not remaining so stationary and attached to the place where they have had employment during the winter as they otherwise would be; they knowing and saying it is not now necessary, as it used to be, for them to care about pleasing their master by staying with him during harvest, as when the winter sets in, he, or some other farmer in the parish, must find them constant work at not less than 10*s.* per week; and the consequence is, that most of my labourers belonging to this parish who I employ in the winter leave me in the months of May, June and July, and the chief part of my harvest-work is done by strangers, who know nothing of my ways, and I nothing of their characters, which, to say the least of, is to me very objectionable."

Mr.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Mr. Hennant, of Thorney Abbey, Cambridge,—

"If I complain of the little work done, or its being ill done, the reply is, (interlarded with the grossest blackguardism,) 'Oh, we don't care a ——; if you don't like it as it is, you may do your work yourself; for if you discharge us, you must keep us, or have others of the same sort in our stead.'"

Mr. Stephen Cadby, of Westbury, Wilts,—

"The greatest evil, in my opinion, is the spirit of laziness and insubordination that it creates; if you remonstrate with these men, they abuse or injure, certain, however their conduct, they shall receive their money."

Mr. John Harris, of the same parish,—

"Knowing they must receive a certain sum per week, they seldom come to work till seven o'clock, and generally leave by four, and if remonstrate with them, you only get abuse."

While such are often the effects of a labour-rate on the industry of the labourers, it is equally unfavourable to their frugality and independence. We have already dwelt on the oppression of refusing employment to the labourer who has saved any property. Under the system which we are now considering the possession of property, or even the mere abstinence from pauperism, becomes sometimes a ground of further taxation.

The following are the replies of some working men who are assessed to the labour-rate:

Those of Ifield, Sussex, say,—

"I have built a small cottage on the waste land, given me by the lord of the manor, and I have not employment at all times at my trade, that is, bottoming sieves and chairs, and rake-making; *and when I am out of employ the farmers will not employ me, because I have got the cottage.* Therefore to send me a man for me to employ, I think an imposition. I pay the highway tax, poor tax, and tithe, which taxes were quite as high when the labour-rate prevailed.

"*William Lidbettor.*"

"I am a journeyman bricklayer, and when the labour-rate came into operation last winter I was myself out of employment; it therefore cannot be supposed that I had any thing to set a man to work at, as the large farmers in this neighbourhood can at any time of the year employ the labourers who are out of work to advantage. I myself, as well as others, are of opinion that the labour-rate should be chargeable only on the farmers, and not on cottagers such as myself.

"*James Still.*"

"To persons situated like myself, it is an injury to us, as we have men sent us, and we have no employment for them. I employ no men, and I work at farming-work myself, and only hire a small cottage; therefore the money for labour is paid out of our own pockets, nearly useless. It appears that the poor-book in our parish has been quite as high all the same

"*Thomas Elsey.*"

Those of Boddicot, Oxfordshire, say,—

"As to myself, in the winter I have not work half my time, and have nothing for a labourer to do, and it is a grievous thing for me, and it has done a deal of harm to us poor house-dwellers; many of us can scarce get our living.

"*Joseph Lovell*, Painter."

"A labour-rate will do me an injury, as I am a labourer, and receiving no more than 9*s.* per week, with an infirm wife, sometimes not able to wait on herself. My cottage and garden contains five poles only; my rent 3*l.*; and each rate 2*s.*; therefore I have no employment for a labourer.

"The mark × of *John Adkins*, Labourer,
Assessed at 1*l.* 10*s.*"

"I am a poor labourer, and receiving no more than 10*s.* per week to support myself, wife and two children, and occupy a small cottage, with a garden but a few yards square, and have nothing for a labourer to do. My poor-rate is 2*s.*; therefore a labour-rate would be an injury to me, and in the shape of a double poor-rate.

"*John Stanley.*"

"It will do me an injury, as I am a poor labourer, and receiving no more than 10*s.* per week for my labour, and that to support myself, wife and three children, and to pay a rent of 4*l.* per annum for my cottage, with a small garden, containing altogether by admeasurement eight poles. My poor-rate is 1*s.* 3*d.* each rate. A labour-rate would be a double poor-rate, as I have nothing for a labourer to do. Thus it will be seen that a poor-rate considerably distresses me in attempting to pay it, and am worse in circumstances than many that receive parish support.

"*John Adkins*, jun. Maltman."

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

LABOUR RATE.

Samuel Barnett, of Westbury, Wilts, says,—

"I feel myself much aggrieved by it. I rent a small quantity of land, 4½ acres, for which I have paid last year nine grants and a half of poor's rates, at 7*s.* 3*d.* per grant. This, Sir, is exclusive of rent and every other tax. These men are sent to me when I want labour myself, and one of them I offered half his week's wages of 8*s.* to go about his business; but he refused it, and I was compelled to find him employ at a serious loss to myself; because, as he was a clothworker, and I am agricultural labourer, he did not understand his work."

Thomas Mercer, of Hurstperpoint, says,—

"I think it pressed very hard. I am a labouring man in agriculture, and have nothing to depend on for support but my labour. I am charged with poor-rates which I can ill afford to pay, and I was also charged to the labour-rate, and hired a man to outset my quota, although at the same time I was out of employ myself."

A further objection to a permanent labour-rate system, is the great additional difficulty which it will create in the already arduous task of Poor Law amendment. When the direct employers of labour have for some time been sanctioned by the Legislature in extorting from others the payment of a part of the wages of their labourers, when the best class of labourers, those who are not settled in the place of their employment, have disappeared, when what now remains of repugnance to relief or of degradation in accepting it has been destroyed by its being merged in wages, when all the labourers have been converted into a semi-servile populace, without fear but without hope, where can we look for the materials of improvement?

On these grounds, we believe that the labour-rate system, or any other system of forced employment by individuals at a compulsory rate of wages, if it ever become extensive and permanent, will purchase at the expense of enormous and lasting mischief and injustice, whatever immediate advantages it affords.

Our preceding remarks apply principally to agricultural parishes, to which, as we have already stated, labour-rates have as yet been confined. But a manufacturer has as much inducement to tax the rest of the parish for his own benefit as a farmer; in fact, he has a much greater inducement. As an extensive employer of labourers, his advantage over the farmer is as great, or still greater, than that of the farmer over the rest of the community. If we suppose there to be in the same parish a clergyman, a farmer and a manufacturer, each rated at £.200 a year, it is probable that the manufacturer would be found to employ at least 100 men, the farmer about ten, and the clergyman two. In such a case, the gain to the manufacturer from a labour-rate would be ten times as great as to the farmer. We see therefore no reason for hoping that, if labour-rates become more frequent, they will continue to be confined to the agricultural districts.

It is true, that although some of the objections which we have stated apply to all the labour-rates which we have seen, a rate might be framed which should be free from many of them. The rate might apply only to the occupiers of land, leaving out houses and tithes. It might be assessed on the land, not according to acreage or rent, but according to the real demand of the occupier for labour, arable being taxed more heavily than pasture, and pasture than wood. A distribution of this last kind is made in the Lenham rate. And, lastly, the distinction between those having and those not having settlements in the parish might be given up. But, in the first place, a labour-rate so qualified as this would scarcely ever be adopted; and, secondly, the worst ingredient of the system would continue. The line between the pauper and the independent labourer would be *pro tanto* obliterated; and we do not believe that a country in which that distinction has been completely effaced, and every man, whatever be his conduct or his character, ensured a *comfortable* subsistence, can retain its prosperity, or even its civilization.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

THE most pressing of the evils which we have described are those connected with the relief of the Able-bodied. They are the evils, therefore, for which we shall first propose remedies.

Persons within the province of a correct principle of relief.

If we believed the evils stated in the previous part of the Report, or evils resembling or even approaching them, to be necessarily incidental to the compulsory relief of the able-bodied, we should not hesitate in recommending its entire abolition. But we do not believe these evils to be its necessary consequences. We believe that, under strict regulations, adequately enforced, such relief may be afforded safely and even beneficially.

In all extensive communities, circumstances will occur in which an individual, by the failure of his means of subsistence, will be exposed to the danger of perishing. To refuse relief, and at the same time to punish mendicity when it cannot be proved that the offender could have obtained subsistence by labour, is repugnant to the common sentiments of mankind; it is repugnant to them to punish even depredation, apparently committed as the only resource against want.

In all extensive civilized communities, therefore, the occurrence of extreme necessity is prevented by alms giving, by public institutions supported by endowments or voluntary contributions, or by a provision partly voluntary and partly compulsory, or by a provision entirely compulsory, which may exclude the pretext of mendicancy.

But in no part of Europe except England has it been thought fit that the provision, whether compulsory or voluntary, should be applied to more than the relief of *indigence*, the state of a person unable to labour, or unable to obtain, in return for his labour, the means of subsistence. It has never been deemed expedient that the provision should extend to the relief of *poverty*; that is, the state of one, who in order to obtain a mere subsistence, is forced to have recourse to labour.

From the evidence collected under this Commission, we are induced to believe that a compulsory provision for the relief of the indigent can be generally administered on a sound and well defined principle; and that under the operation of this principle, the assurance that no one need perish from want may be rendered more complete than at present, and the mendicant and vagrant repressed by disarming them of their weapon, the plea of impending starvation.

It may be assumed, that in the administration of relief, the public is warranted in imposing such conditions on the individual relieved, as are conducive to the benefit either of the individual himself, or of the country at large, at whose expense he is to be relieved.

The first and most essential of all conditions, a principle which we find universally admitted, even by those whose practice is at variance with it, is, that his situation on the whole shall not be made really or apparently so eligible as the situation of the independent labourer of the lowest class. Throughout the evidence it is shown, that in proportion as the condition of any pauper class is elevated above the condition of independent labourers, the condition of the independent class is depressed; their industry is impaired, their employment becomes unsteady, and its remuneration in wages is diminished. Such persons, therefore, are under the strongest inducements to quit the less eligible class of labourers and enter the more eligible class of paupers. The converse is the effect when the pauper class is placed in its proper position, below the condition of the independent labourer. Every penny bestowed, that tends to render the condition of the pauper more eligible than that of the independent labourer, is a bounty on indolence and vice. We have found, that as the poor's rates are at present administered, they operate as bounties of this description, to the amount of several millions annually.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering relief, practical application of.

The standard, therefore, to which reference must be made in fixing the condition of those who are to be maintained by the public, is the condition of those who are maintained by their own exertions. But the evidence shows how loosely and imperfectly the situation of the independent labourer has been inquired into, and how little is really known of it by those who award or distribute relief. It shows also that so little has their situation been made a standard for the supply of commodities, that the diet of the workhouse almost always exceeds that of the cottage, and the diet of the gaol is generally more profuse than even that of the workhouse. It shows also, that this standard has been so little referred to in the exaction of labour, that commonly the work required from the pauper is inferior to that performed by the labourers and servants of those who have prescribed it: So much and so generally inferior as to create a prevalent notion among the agricultural paupers that they have a right to be exempted from the amount of work which is performed and indeed sought for by the independent labourer.

We can state, as the result of the extensive inquiries made under this Commission into the circumstances of the labouring classes, that the agricultural labourers when in employment, in common with the other classes of labourers throughout the country, have greatly advanced in condition; that their wages will now produce to them more of the necessaries and comforts of life than at any former period. These results appear to be confirmed by the evidence collected by the Committees of the House of Commons appointed to inquire into the condition of the agricultural and manufacturing classes, and also by that collected by the Factory Commissioners. No body of men save money whilst they are in want of what they deem absolute necessaries. No common man will put by a shilling whilst he is in need of a loaf, or will save whilst he has a pressing want unsatisfied. The circumstance of there being nearly fourteen millions in the savings banks, and the fact that, according to the last returns, upwards of 29,000 of the depositors were agricultural labourers, who, there is reason to believe, are usually the heads of families, and also the fact of the reduction of the general average of mortality, justify the conclusion that a condition worse than that of the independent agricultural labourer, may nevertheless be a condition above that in which the great body of English labourers have lived in times that have always been considered prosperous. Even if the condition of the independent labourer were to remain as it now is, and the pauper were to be reduced avowedly below that condition, he might still be adequately supplied with the necessaries of life.

But it will be seen that the process of dispauperizing the able-bodied is in its ultimate effects a process which elevates the condition of the great mass of society.

In all the instances which we have met with, where parishes have been dispauperized, the effect appears to have been produced by the practical application of the principle which we have set forth as the main principle of a good Poor Law administration, namely, the restoration of the pauper to a position below that of the independent labourer.

The principle adopted in the parish of Cookham, Berks, is thus stated:

"As regards the able-bodied labourers who apply for relief, giving them hard work at low wages by the piece, and exacting more work at a lower price than is paid for any other labour in the parish. In short, to adopt the maxim of Mr. Whately, to let the labourer find that the parish is the hardest taskmaster and the worst paymaster he can find, and thus induce him to make his application to the parish his last and not his first resource."*

In Swallowfield, Berks, labour was given "a little below the farmers' prices."

The principle adopted by the Marquis of Salisbury, in Hatfield, Herts, is set forth in the following rules:

"All persons, except women, employed by the parish, under the age of fifty, shall be employed in task work. The value of the work done by them shall be calculated at *five-sixths* of the common rate of wages for such work. Persons above the age of fifty may be employed in such work as is not capable of being measured, but the wages of their labour shall be *one-sixth* below the common rate of wages."†

The rule adopted in the parish of Welwyn adjacent to Hatfield is that—

"When employment is found for an able-bodied labourer, who is willing to work, but unable to find it, he shall be as much as possible employed in task or piece-work, and at wages

* App. (A.) Part II. † App. (A.) Part II.

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

wages below what are usually given, so as to make him desirous of finding work elsewhere, rather than of applying to the overseer." *

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering Relief.

In the parish of St. Mary, Nottingham, the principle adopted is thus stated—practical application of

"1st. Steadily refusing to make up wages. 2dly. Invariably taking every applicant for relief and the whole of his family, however large, entirely on the parish, and setting him to work of some sort or other, without any view to profit, or to any principle but that it should be more irksome than ordinary labour."†

The principle adopted by Mr. Lowe, at Bingham, was also that of "rendering it more irksome to gain a livelihood by parish relief than by industry."

The principle adopted by Mr. Baker, in the parish of Uley, in Gloucestershire, is thus stated by him:

"To provide for those who are able to work, *the necessaries of life*, but *nothing more*, to keep them closely to work, and in all respects under such restrictions, that though no man who was *really in want* would hesitate a moment to comply with them, yet that he would submit to them no longer than he could help; that he would rather do his utmost *to find work*, by which he could support himself than accept parish pay."‡

All labour is irksome to those who are unaccustomed to labour; and what is generally meant by the expression "rendering the pauper's situation irksome," is rendering it laborious. But it is not by means of labour alone, that the principle is applicable, nor does it imply that the food or comforts of the pauper should approach the lowest point at which existence may be maintained. Although the workhouse food be more ample in quantity and better in quality than that of which the labourer's family partakes, and the house in other respects superior to the cottage, yet the strict discipline of well-regulated workhouses, and in particular the restrictions to which the inmates are subject in respect to the use of acknowledged luxuries, such as fermented liquors and tobacco, are intolerable to the indolent and disorderly, while to the aged, the feeble and other proper objects of relief, the regularity and discipline render the workhouse a place of comparative comfort.

The measures adopted at Southwell are thus stated by Mr. Cowell, on the authority of the governor of the workhouse.

"All the orders were:—

1. To separate the men and women. 2. To prevent any from going out or seeing visitors, and to make them keep regular hours. 3. To prevent smoking. 4. To disallow beer. 5. To find them work. 6. To treat and feed them well.

"If they misbehaved themselves very grossly, I had authority to imprison them in a solitary cell with the consent of the overseer. But never since I have been governor have I had occasion to imprison but one person, a woman, who was a violent idiot. To the violent turbulent young paupers who came in, swearing they would beat the parish, I gave bones or stones to break in the yard—had a hammer made on purpose."§

But it appears that in others of the dispauperized parishes, the course adopted was simply refusing all relief, except in the workhouses. In the parish of Llangaddock, in Brecon, it is stated—

"We placed the parish under Mr. Sturges Bourne's Act; we made a small poor-house out of some houses adjoining one another, borrowing 300*l.* upon the security of the rates All persons applying for relief were compelled to move into the poor-house or go without."

In the parish of Leckhamstead, Berks, the means are described to have been—

"1.—By the establishment, in the autumn of 1827, of a poor-house for the maintenance of the aged and infirm, and for the employment of children, we have reduced the expenses of the parish about one-third.

"2.—By adhering strictly to the statute of 43d of Elizabeth, and by setting all the children that required relief to work, feeding and lodging them in the poor-house, we have done away entirely with the bread system, or head allowance, now totally unknown in this parish; and the alteration induces our poor to look out for employment for themselves and children, which before they did not trouble themselves about."‖

It

* App. (A.) Part II. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 600 ‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 623
§ App. (A.) Part I. p. 17 ‖ App. (A.) Part II.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering Relief. Effects absorption of surplus Labourers and Reduction of Rates.

It is to be observed, that, although they are variously stated, all these modes of relief, whether by paying wages lower than the ordinary rate in return for out-door labour, or by maintenance in the workhouse, imply that the condition of the independent labourer is taken as a standard, and the condition of the pauper purposely kept below it, and that these objects seem to have been effected with little real severity in any point, and least of all in that of food. In some instances a low diet was prescribed *in terrorem*, but there appears to have been scarcely ever a rigid enforcement of the rule; and in general the paupers within the workhouse enjoyed a diet, profuse compared with that of the independent labourers of the same district.

In the course of an investigation induced by the fact already noticed, that wherever any members of vestries, or of boards of parish officers were distinguished for strictness in the administration of relief, these members, were generally persons who had themselves risen from the labouring classes; it appeared that the principle which we have set forth for the administration of the poor's rates (and to which we shall frequently refer in subsequent passages of this Report) is generally adopted by the labouring classes themselves as the only safe principle for the government of their friendly societies. Mr. Tidd Pratt was examined on this point. Under the 10 Geo. IV. c. 56, which was brought into Parliament at the instance and with the concurrence of delegates from the friendly societies, composed of the labouring classes throughout the country, he has examined and certified about three thousand sets of regulations for different societies, all of which, with the exception of about two per cent., were framed by the members. He was asked—"In these institutions, is the condition of a member receiving relief, or living without work, ever allowed to be as eligible on the whole, as the condition of a member living by his work?—He answered "In most cases the allowances made by the societies are so adjusted as to make it the interest of every member not to receive relief from the society so long as he can earn his usual wages. The average allowance which they make is about one-third of what a member can earn. Thus, if the average earnings of the members of a benefit society were £. 1. 4. a week, the allowance in the case of sickness would be, *on an average of the whole time of the sickness*, about 8 *s.* a week. During the last session Mr. Slaney brought in a Bill for the purpose of sanctioning the formation of societies for the relief of members when out of employment. At his instance I made inquiries amongst some of the most intelligent and respectable of the labouring classes as to what should be the extent of allowance to those who were out of work. I suggested to the parties that one-half the usual wages might be a proper allowance. The unanimous reply of all the operatives with whom I conversed on the subject was, that an allowance of one-third would be ample, and that more than that would only induce the members to continue on the society rather than endeavour to find work."

We now solicit attention to the various classes of effects produced by the application of this principle, though less strictly, to the administration of the poor's rates.

The 1st Class of Effects: the Absorption of the Surplus Labourers, and the Reduction of the Parochial Expenditure.

The first immediate effects produced in Cookham, were, the conversion of the able-bodied paupers into independent labourers, and the reduction of the parochial expenditure.

"About sixty-three heads of families which were formerly constantly on the parish, at once disappeared from the poor books."

In the course of Mr. Whately's examination he was asked—

"Did the change of system drive any of the parishioners into other parishes?—Certainly not. Not a single family of parishioners of the labouring class has removed; and what is more remarkable is, that although the allowance formerly given to parishioners living at a distance was discontinued, none were brought home.

"Do you mean that not one family of parishioners of the labouring class has removed from the parish since the change of system?—I do. Of course I do not mean to state that the youth of both sexes are not encouraged to look out for suitable services wherever they may be found; and many have done themselves and their friends credit by doing so, who under the old system of relief might have had their efforts paralysed, and have continued through life a burden both to themselves and their friends."*

The

* App. No. I. Part II.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

The money payments were reduced from £.2,608, to less than half the amount. During the first eight years of the operation of the new system £. 15,000 was saved as compared with the expenditure of the eight years preceding.

Principle of administering Relief. Effects: absorption of surplus Labourers and reduction of Rates.

In Swallowfield, the annual rates were reduced from 6*s.* 8*d.* to 3*s.* 4*d.* in the pound. All able-bodied labourers left the workhouse, and the total number of able-bodied claimants was diminished one half.

Among the effects produced at Leckhampstead, it is stated that 43 able-bodied labourers were formerly chargeable to the parish, and that three only are now chargeable. In answer to further inquiries, Mr. Brickwell who effected the change states, that—

"The 40 have mostly found employment within this parish; there may be four or five employed generally in the adjoining parish of Lillingstone Lovell, where they have not a sufficient number of labourers for their ordinary work, and at some periods of the year others obtain work in the neighbourhood. Since the change of our system the farmers are more inclined to employ labourers; previously they would leave all the work that could by any means be put off until some roundsmen came to their turn, or until they could get men at reduced wages, the parish making up the remainder; now the case is different, that inducement for protracting their work is done away with, and there is a more regular supply of labour, the men find it much easier to obtain work at fair wages; none are driven out of the parish by our improved system, but we do observe a greater desire and anxiety to obtain work amongst the labourers than was formerly the case."*

In this parish it appears that the total population is not more than 499, and that 77 of these are labourers employed in agriculture. The population was, in 1801, 346; in 1811, 397; in 1821, 519. During the five years preceding the change, the total expenditure, was £.4,172; during the five years subsequent it was £.3,000.

In Hatfield also, the able-bodied labourers found independent work within the parish. There appear indeed to have been no means of emigration. The master of the workhouse was asked—

"Do the labourers ever go out of your parish to seek work?—No, they know it would be of no use; they are certain of it, as it is a general understanding in this part of the country that each parish shall employ its own poor."†

The saving in money in this parish, during the ten years succeeding the alteration, as compared with the ten years preceding, was £.14,000, the population having during that time increased by 378 persons.

In Welwyn, the results of the system were very soon perceived. The Rev. Mr. Clutton states, that,—

"Since the alteration, the labourers are less disposed to throw themselves out of work; not applying to the parish on every emergency; there are few new paupers. Some of those who had been on the parish as permanently sick for years have partially recovered, and have returned to work, supporting, or at least helping to support themselves and their families. Several of the girls who came into the house when it was first opened have obtained respectable places, and have turned out well; but for the discipline and habits of the poor-house, they would in all probability have been ruined."

In Southwell, the inmates of the workhouse dwindled from 80 in the first year, to 30, and in the second to 11. The total expenditure during the ten years preceding the change, was £.13,929; the total expenditure during the ten years succeeding, was £.4,005.

In Bingham—

"The inmates of the workhouse dropped from 45 to 12, all of them old, idiots or infirm to whom a workhouse is really a place of comfort. The number of persons relieved out of the workhouse dropped from 78 to 27. The weekly pay from 6*l.* to 1*l.* 16*s.* to pensioners, all of whom are old and blind, or crippled."

The expenditure in poor's rates was as follows:—

"1816 to 17	£.1,231	1823 to 24	£.365
1817 to 18	1,206	1824 to 25	431
1818 to 19	984*	1825 to 26	356
* New system began this year.		1826 to 27	345
		1827 to 28	360
1819 to 20	711	1828 to 29	334
1820 to 21	510	1829 to 30	388
1821 to 22	338	1830 to 31	370
1822 to 23	228	1831 to 32	449"‡

In

* App. (A.) Part II. † App. (A.) Part II. ‡ App. (A.) Part I. pp. 611, 12.

Printed in association with the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering Relief. Effects: absorption of surplus Labourers, reduction of Rates.

In Turton, near Bolton, Lancashire, where a well-managed workhouse was introduced—

"No sooner had this system been put into full operation in the house, than the able-bodied, hereditary paupers began to disappear; the discipline was new to them—they disliked the restraint; they soon found, that by persevering industry and a little management, they could live above pauperism; and they left us with their habits improved, to make their way in the world without parochial assistance.

"Our poor rates in 1790 were, upon a full valuation, nearly equal to nine per cent., and at some subsequent periods, viz. in 1816 and 1817, have been even more. After the establishment of our workhouse they began to decrease; for many years preceding the last, they have been very little more than five per cent., and last year they were less, although the population has become nearly double." *

In Ilfracombe the overseer found that when 2*d.* a day less was given by the parish than by private persons, applications were no longer made to him by the able-bodied paupers. Not a single able-bodied man has been relieved since that time, and they are all in the employment of the farmers; the rate of wages being 1*s.* 4*d.* per diem, with an allowance for beer or cider. †

In Uley, the burthens of the rate-payers were reduced more than one-half; and Mr. Baker in speaking of the subsequent conversion of paupers into labourers, states,—

"That it is not so difficult for them to find work for themselves as it is generally believed to be, is proved from the shortness of the time, that with not above two or three exceptions, any able-bodied person has remained in the house; and by a list which has been made of more than 1,000 persons who were on the parish books, and who now can be proved to be otherwise maintained, chiefly by their own exertions. The list shows what they used to receive, and for whom they now work. All who received parish pay before the workhouse was opened are accounted for, excepting about eight or ten. Some few have left the parish, but not many. About 500 are now on the books, and most of those on reduced pay. I did not advise the introduction of the plan till I had read much and thought much, and till I had removed many doubts by private correspondence with those who had witnessed its beneficial effects for several years. Among these doubts the most important was, '*how, in the present scarcity of work, can those employ or support themselves who are now receiving parish pay?*' The answer was, 'You will be surprised to find how soon the impossibility will dwindle down to an improbability, the improbability to a distant hope, and that again to complete success.' I was also told that industry and frugality would increase, and that crime would become less; but I never was told, nor had I the most distant hope, that the success would have been so complete. When it began, the poor were idle, insolent, and in a state bordering upon riot; they openly acknowledged that they would rather live on the parish pay in idleness than work for full labourer's wages, and, when hired, their behaviour was such that they could not be continued in work. Now all are glad to get work. I employed many of them in the winter of 1830, and in the spring I let them go, but I promised them work again in the next winter, for which they expressed more gratitude than I expected; but when the winter came very few claimed my promise, *they were in work which they had found for themselves*; and in this winter, up to this time (December 5th, 1832) only one person has asked me for work. There is one man at Uley whose character is, and ever has been, exceedingly bad, and his feet being inverted he is lame. He was allowed parish pay till very lately; he applied for an increase of it; he asserted no one would employ him, and I believed him. At a vestry meeting, however, his pay was entirely taken off: he instantly found work for himself, and has lived by his labour ever since." ‡

In no instance does the actual population of a parish appear to have been disturbed by these changes; no complaint has been made by adjacent parishes of the labourers of the dispauperized parishes having been driven in amongst them. The reason assigned by the witness at Hatfield for the labourers continuing in their own parish is applicable to most of the agricultural districts. Non-parishioners may gradually introduce themselves without exciting a murmur, but it appears that the law of settlement and the present general administration of the Poor Laws, render the transference of bodies of the labouring population from parish to parish a matter of considerable difficulty. It is found less difficult to drive them into other parishes for residences, and even for settlements, than for labour; which last object arouses the immediate opposition of the settled labourers. It appears, however, that they had no need to seek labour in other parishes, as they found it on the best terms in their own parishes, as soon as motives to steady industry were re-imposed upon them. In some large town parishes the same principle of administration, with relation to able-bodied paupers, has been tried and, as will appear from our subsequent quotations, found equally efficient in rendering them independent of parochial aid; but from the want of knowledge of the individual circumstances of the paupers, or the means of tracing them amidst

* App. (A.) Part I. pp. 635. 6 † Mr Villiers' Report, App. (A.) Part II. p. 44. ‡ App. (A.) p. 623.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

crowded population, the witnesses can seldom speak otherwise than on conjecture as to any further effects.

The evidence, however, which we have been able to obtain from towns resembles that afforded by the rural districts. Mr. Gordon, a parish officer of All Saints, Poplar, one of the parishes in the metropolis where stricter management of the able-bodied paupers has been established, states,—

Principle of administering Relief. Effects rise of Wages.

"I have lived 30 years in the parish, and being a cooper and ship-owner, employing in my own business between 40 and 50 men, I am conversant with the condition of the labouring classes, and can state that the effect upon them in our district has been very beneficial. It has been beneficial in inducing them to rely more on their own resources than they did formerly. It has long struck me, that they contribute more regularly and largely to savings' banks and benefit societies; in fact, I know this to be the case, as I am one of the trustees of the Poplar Savings' Bank. Now I have only one man working in my yard who does not contribute to a benefit society established amongst the men some years ago, at the instance of my brother; formerly, there were a great number of the men who did not contribute to it. Speaking of my own men, I can state that they are much more steady in their work, and more careful than formerly in not throwing themselves out of work."

2d Class of Specific Effects: Rise of the Wages of Labour.

The absorption of the able-bodied paupers, or, in other words, their conversion into independent labourers employed within the parish, and the reduction of the poor's rates, were immediately followed by an improvement in wages, so far as the amount of wages in a pauperized parish, confounded as they are with partial relief under the roundsman or billet or allowance or labour rate system, can be compared with the amount of wages in the same parish after it has been dispauperized and the labourers paid by their employers.

At White Waltham, where the same system had been adopted, the wages of the labourers are stated to be "rather better," although there were one hundred or one ninth more labourers in the parish in 1831 than in 1821, the year before the change took place.

In Cookham, the wages of the great body of the labourers were improved.

In Hatfield, the permanent overseer was asked, with respect to the independent labourers in the parish,—

"What effect has been produced on their wages?—The wages have improved somewhat: I cannot state exactly how much, but I believe the wages have improved by 1*s.* a week; they formerly got 9*s.* and 10*s.* a week, now they get about 11*s.* This I think is about the average of their wages here." *

The Rev. F. J. Faithful, rector of Hatfield, J. P. examined.

"Have the wages of the independent labourers been improved since the change of system of administration of the Poor Laws?—Decidedly so; and the wages are higher here than in any parish in the neighbourhood where a similar system has not been adopted." †

In the adjacent parish of Welwyn, where the same system has been adopted, the wages of the independent labourers were improved.

In Swallowfield one of the first results of the change was, that single independent labourers received better wages. One of the witnesses stated at the time of the visit of the Commissioner, that he had that day been seeking for a young man to hire, but that he had been obliged to go out of the parish for one; an event which he had never before known.

In Bingham, Southwell, and St. Mary's, Nottingham, Mr. Cowell made special inquiries as to the effect of the change upon the wages of labourers belonging to the classes receiving parochial relief, and found that in every instance there had been a striking improvement.

In Thurgarton, where wages have never been tampered with, and where no partial relief has been given during the last forty years, wages have remained steady in money, and advanced when estimated in kind. In the surrounding parishes which are pauperized, wages have been subject to mischievous fluctuations during the same period.

R. P. Garratt, the overseer of Downham Market, Norfolk, states, that "We "began a change of system by invariably refusing relief in aid of wages. If "the farmer would not give the labourers fair wages we took them wholly away "and employed them for the parish, and we found very soon that, although it "cost us much more at first, it soon had the effect of making the farmer pay "his labourers fairly." ‡

It

* App. (A.) Part II. † App. (A.) Part II. ‡ App. (B, 1.) Question 39, p. 312 *d*.

Produced in association with the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES

Principle of administering Relief. Effects: rise of Wages: check to Population.

It must be added that the mere amount in money does not accurately represent the increase in wages. Beer, milk, potatoes, meat, flour and other provisions, or the use of land, are so often allowed to the labourer, or furnished to him under the market price, as to form an important part of his means of subsistence; and these advantages are of course given to the best and steadiest workmen. The Marquis of Salisbury states that at Cranborne, where he has successfully opposed the allowance system, the rate of wages is higher, if not in money, yet in value, if these privileges are to be taken into account, than in the neighbouring pauperized parishes.

Before the experiment was made, it might fairly have been anticipated that the discontinuance of parochial allowance would effect little or no improvement in wages unless a similar change were made in the neighbouring parishes. When a considerable proportion of the labourers who had been entirely dependent upon the parish were driven to rely on their own industry, it might have been anticipated that the wages of the entire body of labourers within the parish would have been injuriously affected by their competition. And this certainly would have been the case if they had added nothing to the fund out of which their wages came. That fund is in fact periodically consumed and reproduced by the labourer, assisted by the land and the farmer's capital, and, all other things remaining the same, the amount of that fund, and consequently his share of it, or, in other words, the amount of his wages, depends on his industry and skill. If all the labourers in a parish cease to work, they no longer produce any fund for their own subsistence, and must either starve, or be supported, as they were at Cholesbury, by rates in aid. A single person who has no property and is supported without working, bears the same relation to the labourers who do work as the parishioners of Cholesbury bore to the neighbouring parishes. He is supported by a sort of rate in aid on their industry. His conversion from a pauper wholly or partially supported by the labour of others, into an independent labourer producing his own subsistence, and in addition to that, a profit to his employer, so far from injuring his fellow workmen, produces on them the same effects as the enabling the inhabitants of Cholesbury to support themselves has produced on the parishes which had to supply them with rates in aid. This has been perceived by some of our witnesses. A farmer of considerable intelligence, who had resided in Cookham, and observed the effects of the change in that parish, declared his conviction that if such a change could be generally introduced, the money saved in poor's rates would almost immediately be paid in wages. The withdrawal of relief in aid of wages appears to be succeeded by effects in the following order: first, the labourer becomes more steady and diligent; next the more efficient labour makes the return to the farmers capital larger, and the consequent increase of the fund for the employment of labour enables and induces the capitalist to give better wages.

The instances of the application of the same principle of administration in those of the manufacturing districts which are pauperized are comparatively scanty, but where they have occurred the effects are in general similar. The following answer to one of our queries from the parish of St. Werburgh, Derby, by Mr. Henry Mozley, affords an example of the operation of the discontinuance of allowances in aid of wages in a manufacturing district.

"When I was overseer I refused to relieve able-bodied men working for other people, considering that by relieving them I was injuring the respectable part of the poor (I mean those just above pauperism), by running down their wages. I found that some of the children in the workhouse were put out to the cotton and silk mills, and because they were workhouse children the manufacturers paid them less wages than were given to the children of independent work people, who, on applying for employment for their children at 2 *s.* a week, were told, 'I only give that girl, who is older and bigger, 1 *s.* 6*d.*;' I determined therefore to take them away from the mills, and that they should do something, or even nothing in the house rather than injure the deserving poor. I am certain that for every 5 *s.* loss that the parish sustained by this conduct it gained 5 *l.* by assisting the respectable poor, and by preventing them from requiring parish relief."*

3d Class of Effects: Check to the increase of Population.

The next class of specific effects which have followed the application of the principle of keeping the condition of the pauper inferior to that of the independent labourer, is, that it has arrested the increase of population, which the evidence shows to be produced by the present state of the law and of its administration.

In

* Appendix (B, 2.) Quest. 44, p. 31 *k*.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

In the parish of Burghfield, Mr. Samuel Cliff, the assistant overseer, states that he was

"Convinced that the discontinuance of the allowance system had saved the parish from destruction; it did this by the immediate check which it gave to population. Whilst the allowance system went on, it was a common thing for young people to come to me for parish relief two or three days after they were married: nay, I have had them come to me just as they came out of church and apply to me for a loaf of bread to eat, and for a bed to lie on that night, and, moreover, for a house for them to live in. But this sort of marriages is now checked, and in a few years the parish will probably be brought about. If the former system had gone on we should have been swallowed up in a short time.'"

Principle of administering Relief: Effects: Content of the Labourers and Diminution of Crime.

"Is your knowledge of the individuals resident in your parish such that you can state without doubt that there are persons in it, now single, who would, under the influence of the system of allowing rates in aid of wages, have married had that system been continued?—I have no doubt whatever that several of them would have married; I know them so well that I am sure of it."*

In the Report from Cookham, it is stated, that "Some very striking consequences have resulted from the operation of the present system. In the eight years preceding the operation of the new system, the increase of population was very rapid; for the eight years subsequent there was, as compared with the eight years preceding, a positive diminution. Improvident marriages are less frequent." In the Report from Swallowfield, it is stated, that "the number of improvident marriages is diminished about one half." In Bingham, the diminution of improvident marriages was about one half; and yet in all these three parishes, illegitimate births, instead of having been promoted by the diminution of marriages, have been repressed still more effectually, and, in the last, almost extinguished.

The master of the workhouse at Hatfield was asked—

"What has been the effect, as regards marriages, of altering the system, and paying according to the value of each man as a labourer, so far as that has been done?—I believe they think more before marriage. They would often formerly, as I have been informed, marry without having provided a home or a bed, or any thing, leaving all to the parish. I am not aware of any such marriages having taken place recently."†

In the course of the examination of the manager of the poor in the parish of Great Farringdon (Berks), to which we have already referred, in answer to the question—

"What has been the effect in respect of marriages?"—He answered, "It has been remarked that there are fewer marriages than in previous years; but the change has not perhaps been in operation a sufficient length of time to produce the full effect. During the last twelve-months, however, we had only two cases of bastardy; whereas the average, for the previous years, has been about six or seven. This alteration has been remarked as the result of the change of system." ‡

The population of some of the dispauperized parishes has increased since the change of system; but generally in a diminished ratio as compared with the preceding rate of increase. The diminution was in the class of improvident and wretched marriages described by the witnesses above cited.

4th Class of specific Effects: increased Content of the Labourers, and Diminution of Crime.

Whatever impels any class into courses of sustained industry must necessarily diminish crime; and we find that one characteristic of the dispauperized parishes is the comparative absence of crime. In Bingham, before the change of system took place, scarcely a night passed without mischief and during the two years preceding 1818 seven men of the parish were transported for felonies, now there is scarcely any disorder in the place. In Uley and Southwell parishes crime has similarly ceased.

In almost every instance the content of the labourers increased with their industry.

The evidence on this subject, collected by the Commission is confirmed by that taken in the last session by the House of Commons Committee on the state of Agriculture. We refer particularly to the following extracts from the evidence of Mr. Smith Woolley, a land agent and an occupier of land in the incorporation, so ably superintended by the Rev. Mr. Becher.

"How much have the poor rates in your parish fallen?—Including the roads, I think about one-third, that is, from about 600*l.* to 400*l.*

"What is the condition of the poor in your parish with the 400*l.* a year expended upon them, compared with their condition when 600*l.* was expended upon them?—Vastly improved in comfort and usefulness, as well as character.

"Are they more happy and comfortable now?—Much more so; we endeavour to remove cause for complaint, and generally they are satisfied.

"Has

* App. (A.) Part II. † App. (A.) Part II. ‡ App. (A.) Part II.

Printed on behalf of the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering Relief: Effects in the general condition of the Labourer.

" Has the gross produce in your parish, or in those 50 parishes to which you have referred, diminished or increased since your poor rate fell?—As far as I can judge, increased, the employment of the labourers in draining, and other improvements, has produced much effect, and the advantage is felt by the farmers more every year.

" Even in these bad times the land has been permanently improved, and the gross produce increased under this system?—Most decidedly; in the last three seasons indeed, our cold wet soils have suffered so much from continued rains that they have been very unproductive; but this has shown the advantage to be derived from draining, and more is done.

" Have you any emigration?—Not to such an extent as to produce any effect.*

" Do all classes join in estimating the benefit of this system, the tenants and the labourers?—In the first instance there was strong prejudice in both parties quite as much in the employer as the labourer; but they generally begin to see their mutual interest in it.

" They were willing to incur the expense of the erection of the poor house?—There were objections, but not in many instances.

" With respect to the introduction of the anti-pauper system of Mr. Becher, not taking into consideration merely the expense of raising a workhouse, are there not other outgoings to be submitted to on the part of the farmer?—Yes; but they are more than repaid in the current year. The reduction in the poor rates, of which I spoke, was when we paid 50 guineas to an overseer, and the instalments of the money borrowed to build the workhouse. †

" When in your parishes, you (what you call) force people upon their own resources, to find the means of providing for themselves, you are in a country at no great distance from manufacturing towns, where there is considerable resource for persons so forced upon their own resources'—Not in ordinary times. I do not think we derive any benefit from them. In the very excited state of the lace trade, a few years ago, even labourers accustomed only to agricultural employment were engaged, but it was only temporary, and produced much more harm than good.‡

" Do you think, from considering the poverty of the farmers, that they can afford paying those [*i.e.* high] wages for labour?—The question is, in what shape it shall be paid; certainly he can afford better to pay for labour for which he may expect a return, than in poor rates, for which he can expect nothing but ruin." §

Further effects on the general character of the labourers.

The general effects on the labouring population viewed collectively, as contrasted with their condition previous to their change, appear from the evidence to have been equally striking and important.

Mr. Whately describes in the following passage the antecedent condition of his flock;

" While the weekly wages of an agricultural labourer were still kept at very low, that an industrious man could not subsist himself upon his earnings, this allowance of bread money adapted itself to the circumstances of each particular family, without any reference at all to their moral qualities. The consequence was, that all distinction between the frugal and the prodigal, the industrious and the idle, the prudent and the thoughtless, was destroyed *at once*. All were *paupers* alike. The most worthless were sure of *something*, while the prudent, the industrious and the sober, with all their care and pains, obtained ONLY *something*; and even that scanty pittance was doled out to them by the overseer. Like the Israelites in the Wilderness, 'They gathered some more, some less; yet he that gathered much had nothing over, and he that gathered little had no lack; they *only* gathered every man *according to his eating*.' Wages were no longer a matter of contract between the master and the workman, but a *right* in the *one*, and a *tax* on the *other*; and by removing the *motives* for exertion, the labourer was rendered by this mischievous system, as far as was possible, *totally unworthy of his hire*. The *moral* and intellectual character of the good old English labourer (who in former times had boasted with honest pride that he never was beholden to a parish officer) was destroyed altogether; all habits of prudence, of self-respect and of self-restraint, vanished; and since a family was a sure *passport* to a *parish allowance*, it is not to be wondered at that the most improvident marriages were the consequence of this most pernicious and most demoralizing system. Indeed, we have seen *three generations of paupers*, (the father, the son and the grandson) with their respective families at their heels, trooping to the overseer every Saturday for their weekly allowances; boys and girls marrying without having provided a bed to sleep upon, or a roof to cover them: the parish was to provide every thing. The most wretched hovels were converted into houses, the rents of which were charged to the parish account. In this village, a carpenter's workshed has been divided into *four* tenements, for which *the parish was charged five pounds a year apiece*." ‖

The following extracts from the examination of Mr. Whately, and from Mr. Chadwick's Report, show the subsequent condition of the parish.

" Is it observed that the personal condition of the labourers has in any respect changed since the change of system of administering the poor-rates?—Decidedly. A labourer, formerly a pauper, came to the vestry not long since, to make inquiries respecting a house, in order to rent; when he had retired, one of the farmers exclaimed how neatly he was dressed, and how good his coat was; to which I answered, 'I can explain the reason of the change; it is, that there is no longer a bonus offered by the vestry for rags and dirt. You all remember when ragged clothes were kept by the poor for the express purpose of coming

---

* Agricultural Report, page 573. † Ibid, page 574.
‡ Ibid, page 577. § Ibid, page 575. ‖ App (A.) Part II. Report from Cookham.

Printed in Great Britain by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

coming to the vestry in them; whereas the articles of clothing which we sell to the poor at prime cost have every year, since the establishment of a select vestry, been required to be of an improved quality.'

" Do you mean to state that they purchase more expensive articles?—I do; the blankets I send for from Witney are required to be larger and of a better quality: and so of all other articles.

Principle of administering Relief: Effects on the general condition of the Labourers.

" Do the labourers care to acknowledge to you that they wish to have the articles they purchase of a better quality?—Yes; and I find them less jealous of acknowledging their real condition than formerly; they now rather value themselves upon their respectability, than, as formerly, attempt to impose and extort money by pretended destitution.

" Is their food better or worse than formerly?—I think better. The labourers have a meal of meat once a day, and there is hardly a cottage that has not a supply of bacon on the rack.

" Has their general moral conduct improved, so far as you, as a minister, have observed?—It decidedly has: and I state this as a magistrate as well as a minister."*

Mr. Chadwick mentions that Mr. Russell, the magistrate of Swallowfield, stated that

" In riding through Cookham, he was so much struck with the appearance of comfort observable in the persons and residences of some of the labouring classes of that village, that he was led to make inquiries into the cause. The answers he received determined him to exert his influence to procure a similar change of system in Swallowfield."

" I visited," says Mr. Chadwick, " a large proportion of the cottages in the village of Cookham and some in Cookham Dean. Their internal cleanliness and comfort certainly corresponded with the condition of the exteriors, which had attracted the attention of Mr. Russell In company with Mr Whately I visited several of the residences of the labourers at their dinner time, and I observed that in every instance meat formed part of the meal, which appeared to be ample, and was set forth in a very cleanly manner. One cottage in the village of Cookham, and the wife and family of the cottager were most repulsively filthy and wretched in their appearance; and it was somewhat singular that this family was a pauper family, the head of which received an allowance in aid of his wages from an adjacent parish

" I noticed some very trim hedges and ornaments in the gardens of the labourers, and it was stated to me that nothing of that sort had been seen in those places before the parishes had been dispauperized. Mr. Knapp, the assistant overseer, stated that the labourers were no longer afraid of having a good garden with vegetables and fruit in it; they were no longer 'afraid of having a pig,' and no longer 'afraid of being tidy.' Before the changes took place he had been in public-houses, and had seen paupers drunk there, and heard them declare in the presence of the rate-payers, that they (the paupers) had had more strong drink than the rate payers had; and *would* have it, and that the rate-payers could not help themselves.

" During the agricultural riots there was no fire, no riots, no threatening letters in the parish. In the midst of a district which was peculiarly disturbed, Cookham and White Waltham, where a similar system of poor law administration was adopted, entirely escaped, although in Cookham there are several thrashing machines, and the only paper-mill had, at the time of the riots, been newly fitted with machinery."

" At the time of my visit, the deposits in the savings bank from the parishioners of Cookham amounted to about £.7,000. A considerable number of the present contributors had been paupers chargeable to the parish at the time of the old system being discontinued. Mr. Sawyer, the treasurer and constant attendant of the savings bank, told me that the deposits from Cookham were greater than from any other part of the district comprehended by that bank. The average annual deposits from Cookham had risen from £.310 to £.682, and £.39. 3s. 8*d.* was collected in eight months from the children of the village. Three new schools had been opened at the instance of Mr. Whately, and were maintained partly by the labourers themselves."†

Mr. Whately was asked—

" Do you believe that the reduction of the poor's-rates by the application of the new system would be as great throughout the country as it has been in your parish?—I have no reason to doubt it. I think one-half or two-thirds of the poors'-rates might be saved; but judging from my experience in my own parish, I should say, that even if no money were saved, the moral improvements and increased comforts of the community to be derived from such a system would more than compensate the trouble of the legislature. I have often declared, both in public and private, that if all the money we have saved (which was upwards of £.15,000 in the first eight years) had been thrown into the Thames, the parish at large would have been enriched by the acquisition of wealth by the improved nature of the labour of the late rate-receivers, independently of the moral improvement which has accompanied their improved frugality and industry." ‡

Although the change in Hatfield was not so general similar effects were perceived.

" The Rev. *F. J. Faithful*, Examined.

" I am decidedly of opinion that the moral benefits obtained are much greater, much more important, than the pecuniary saving. Though as a minister I have every day much to

* App. (A.) Part II., Report from Cookham. † App (A) Part II.

‡ App (A) Part II., Report from Cookham.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering Relief: Effects on the general condition of the Labourers.

to lament, I am sure that I should have infinitely more to lament had the old system of mal-administration continued. The most important effect of the new system is, first, in calling forth domestic sympathies and filial and paternal affections, and next, in creating provident habits (which is shown in the increase of deposits in the savings banks.) Under the old system, when a child was left an orphan, it became, as of right, a pensioner to the parish, and owed gratitude to no one. I constantly see children left orphans; and now, under the influence of our law, that no one shall receive a pension out of the house, relations and friends come forward and support an orphan child, whom they would, without hesitation, throw upon the parish if they could do so. They do not like the idea of seeing in the workhouse, a relation whom they would not mind having on the parish pension list, and they exert themselves to maintain the person. A child who owes its subsistence to relations, owes a moral debt of gratitude to particular individuals, and is under moral securities for good character. but there is little gratitude to an abstract entity, the parish. What is singular is, that we have scarcely any persons come to the workhouse now who are not persons of bad character.

"Have the *personal* habits of your parishioners improved since the new system of parochial management has been introduced?—There has certainly been a very general improvement, and the advance was very considerable, until that most mischievous measure of licensing beer-shops came into operation."*

Mr. Paul Borser, who settled in the parish of Southwell in 1812, and became assistant overseer in 1813, gave the following evidence to Mr. Cowell, with relation to the effects of the allowance system, and of its discontinuance:

"At the time of his settling in the parish the character of the labouring population was very bad, and it continued deteriorating till 1822; their habits grew more and more dissolute, and the average quality of their industry lower, while their demeanor got more and more turbulent and disorderly. Mr. Borser gave me a great number of instances in proof of these general assertions, but I do not think it necessary to detail them; I was completely satisfied of the fact. The parish weekly pay-room, Mr. Borser declares, was a constant scene of disorder and violence; he, as overseer, was constantly threatened, and on three occasions was personally assaulted, for which the offenders were committed to the house of correction. The women were equally violent with the men; remembers a woman seizing a sum of money (5s.) on the pay table, saying she would have it, and getting clear off with it. In general, the day following the weekly pay there were from 8 to 12 cases before the petty sessions, between paupers and himself; sometimes there might be only three, but has known as many as 20. The behaviour of the paupers was frequently very violent in the justice room. Has heard his predecessor say that he was constantly treated in a similar manner, and in general Mr. Borser declares, that the labouring population of the parish was a terror to the authorities, and that the burdens and troubles caused by them were annually increasing. Various plans and expedients were tried from 1813 to 1821 for remedying these evils, but nothing produced any benefit till the adoption of the new system. Since that time the character of the population, and their habits, have entirely changed, and their former state has gradually passed into one of order, happiness and prudence.

"The prudence and economy, the desire of having comfortable homes, exhibits itself in a great variety of ways; for instance, many now keep pigs who did not and would not have done so before, because the fact of their being known to possess them would have precluded them from any claim on the parish; they are more anxious now to hire bits of garden ground for cultivation at odd hours; their cottages are better furnished; the men keep more at home, and are less at alehouses; are more independent in their characters altogether. He knows that they bring up their children with a scorn of pauperism; does not believe that they would wish to change to their former state if they could; believes so because many of those who used to hate and revile him as overseer, are now quite changed, have saved money and placed it in the savings bank, of which they know he is secretary, and never show any jealousy of his being acquainted with the amount of their savings."†

It is noticed by Mr. Faithful, that scarcely any persons but those of bad character came into the workhouse. A similar result was also very strikingly exhibited at Cookham. Mr. Baker, of Uley, states,—"It has been said that many respectable poor persons are now starving in Uley from a dread of the workhouse—I know no such persons, but I have very lately heard of one woman who is in distress, and who said that if she took her family to it, they should all live much better than they now do, but the character of the inmates was so exceedingly bad, that she did not choose to be among them with her family."‡

These general statements are supported by many detailed examples.

Mr. Cowell states, that when the relief, though adequate, has been rendered ineligible—

"New life, new energy is infused into the constitution of the pauper; he is aroused like one from sleep, his relation with all his neighbours, high and low, is changed, he surveys his former employers with new eyes He begs a job—he will not take a denial—he discovers that

* App. (A. Part II. † App. (A. Part I. p. 615. ‡ App. (A. Part I. p. 622.

Printed in the digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

that every one wants something to be done. He desires to make up this man's hedges, to clear out another man's ditches, to grub stumps out of hedgerows for a third; nothing can escape his eye, and he is ready to turn his hand to any thing."*

Principle of administering Relief: Individual Examples.

"In fact, the speed with which this method produces its ameliorating effects, is one of its most remarkable characterists, Mr. Baker told me, that one man, after having been in Uley workhouse *but a few hours*, was so disgusted, that he begged permission to leave it instantly; and upon being told that the rules did not permit any one to quit the workhouse who did not make application before 12 o'clock in the day, displayed the greatest anxiety at the prospect of being kept in till that hour the next day, and pestered the governor with repeated requests to be permitted to depart in the interval. Yet this was a man pretending that he was starving for want of employment; and though he knew that he was secure of enjoying in the workhouse excellent food, lodging and clothing, yet the prospect of restraint spurred him instantly to quit it, and seek to maintain himself. But still more remarkable is the fact, that the instant the system was put in action at Uley, the workhouse changed the whole of its inmates *three* times in *one* week." †

In Southwell, the workhouse-keeper

"Only had occasion to try two with the bone plan. One said immediately with sulky violence, that he would never break bones for the parish when he could go out and get something for breaking stones for others, and he went out next day. The other said it hurt his back to bend so much, and he would start the next day, which he did. A third had a hole to dig, which he liked so little that he went off the third day. He had been for nine or ten years before one of the most troublesome men in the parish, but he went off very quietly, saying, that he did not complain of the victuals or accommodation, but if he was to work, would work for himself; he has never troubled the parish since, and now gets his own living in a brick-yard, and by thrashing and other jobs, and has done so ever since." ‡

In the Report from Cookham, the following instance is given in respect to the change of system in discontinuing out-door relief by money-payments:—

"The following case will serve as an example of the effects of the change of system, in respect to out-door relief by money payments: A man who went by the name of Webb was hanged for horse-stealing. He left a widow and several small children. The widow applied to the select vestry for relief the week after his execution. It was suspected they possessed resources which would enable them to provide for their own wants without parochial relief; and in consequence of this suspicion the vestry ordered them to come to the workhouse three times a week for such relief in kind as was deemed necessary. The woman begged to be allowed the money, or less money than the value of the bread, which was refused. The result was that she never applied, and she never received any relief whatever. In this case, as in almost all others, it would have been utterly impossible for the parish officers to have ascertained whether the pauper did or did not possess the suspected resources. Had relief, such as was requested, been readily granted, as it generally would, under the influence of the feelings of pity and from the impulse of blind benevolence, or from the love of popularity in appearing to yield to the demand for assistance in a case so deeply affecting the sympathies, or from a dread of unpopularity from the imputation of hard-heartedness 'towards poor children who could not be supposed to participate in their father's crime,' or from the love of ease and the want of firmness to refuse, a WHOLE FAMILY would have been placed as paupers or consumers of the labour of the industrious; the children of the woman would have been further demoralized, and rendered as miserable themselves as they were worthless and mischievous to others. The course of blind benevolence, but real cruelty, would have been productive of pain to this family, and the extra indulgence applied for would moreover have been injustice towards the children of the meritorious, to whom the rule was applied without relaxation. All the members of the family are well known to Mr. Whately, in whose parish they reside, and they are in a satisfactory and thriving condition. So that in this case, which will apply to all others, the pauper would have had the relief of the exact kind and suitable (*i. e. bread*, not *gin*), had it been absolutely necessary, but would be driven to her own resources, if she possessed any." ‖

In a communication dated in January last, Mr. Whately states—

"Nothing can be more prosperous than we are here. I am this moment returned from the vestry, which meets every fortnight, and where we talk of the state of Portugal, having nothing else to do there. I carried £. 15 to the savings bank at Maidenhead a fortnight ago for a poor man who earns 12*s.* a week, and yesterday delivered 93 tons of coals to the poor, for the purchase of which they had subscribed last summer; I am to have for the use of the poor 14 tons more. But that which gives me the greatest satisfaction is, that the wife of a poor man (who was insane, and was about to be sent to St. Luke's) told the overseer that if he would advance the money for her husband's expenses of admission, carriage to London, &c. she would repay him, for that she did not wish to trouble the parish. Pleased with this account, I went to the woman and gave her a guinea: it happened that before the man could be admitted at St. Luke's he partially recovered the use of his reason, upon which his wife, with her duty, returned to me my guinea."

The following letter from Mr. Russell, of Swallowfield, in answer to one requesting from him a detailed account of the subsequent fortunes of those who in that

* App. (A) Part I. p. 621 † App. (A) Part I. p. 638 ‡ App. (A) Part I. p. 617
‖ App. (A) Part II.

Printed in the digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

that parish had been refused out-door relief, is so curious and instructive that we venture to insert it, notwithstanding its great length :—

Principle of administering Relief: Individual Examples.

" Swallowfield, November 5th, 1833.

" A LIST of those men who, before we had a select vestry, were dependent principally upon parochial relief, and who, since the establishment of the vestry, have supported themselves, would comprehend almost every labourer in the parish except those who were in constant employment as carters, gardeners or any other permanent capacity, and who consist of course of the men of the best character and steadiest habits. On examining the books, I have detected the following fifteen persons as instances of the improvement that has taken place under our new system, in the conduct and condition of the labourers. The whole population of the parish, according to the last return is only 390; and of that number 68 are agricultural labourers above the age of 14. The persons here mentioned therefore are nearly one-fourth of the whole; and, taking into account only the married men, to whom the inquiry principally relates, the proportion is still larger. It is necessary, however, to premise, that in considering all statements upon this subject having reference to the county of Berks, it must be remembered that the system called 'make up,' or 'bread money,' prevails I believe universally, and that a man is not regarded as being 'upon the parish,' if he only has his weekly earnings made up to the price of two gallon loaves for himself, and one for every other member of his family.

Elijah Wheeler.	James Cordery.	James David.
John King.	Charles Cordery.	Richard Read.
William Oakley.	James Deane.	David Read.
Joseph Oakley.	George Cooper.	Richard Dance.
John Oakley.	James Davis.	Thomas Davis.

It is several years since Elijah Wheeler had any relief from the parish. I meet him frequently with his cart, and have reason to believe that his habits and condition are perfectly respectable. The house occupied by John King, and now used as a beer-house, with an acre and a half of ground adjoining it, belong to himself for a term of which 38 years are still unexpired; and he is perhaps, on that account, the strongest instance in the parish how much the facility of procuring relief has the effect of making men dependant upon it. But for the pernicious practice under the old system, of giving relief to almost every body that asked for it, there is no reason why this man should have been more in want of assistance formerly than he is now. Until some sort of control was introduced into the parish by the select vestry William Oakley was in the lowest possible state of idleness and misery. He never did any work at all; he was covered with rags and vermin; he had no fixed home, but slept under a hedge, or in any out-house to which he could get access. The clothes with which the parish occasionally supplied him were made away with for food or liquor; and, for some time, every attempt of the vestry to reclaim him was unavailing; by degrees, however, an amendment was wrought; and, although it would be too much to say that his reformation is complete, it is still greater than under such circumstances I could have expected. He now works steadily; he has no money but what he earns; he buys his own clothes, and keeps them; he sleeps at least with a roof over his head, and he has lost those reckless habits, and that squalid appearance which before distinguished him from every other man in the parish. And for this change there is no other reason than the necessity of the case; he shifts for himself, because he is obliged to do so.

" Joseph and John Oakley are the brothers of William, and though not so abject in their personal habits, they were hardly more respectable in character or conduct. Joseph is the only one of the three that is married. He has three children under eight years old. Formerly he lived upon the parish, and was always in want and idleness. John was, some years ago, in constant employ in my garden, but he absconded to avoid a warrant which was issued against him for theft, and was absent for some time. On his return he threw himself on the parish, and lived chiefly on the relief he obtained. Since the establishment of our vestry, a great improvement has taken place in both these brothers. Joseph has, for the last three years, been almost in constant employ with the same farmer, John works with different employers, and occasionally for the surveyor; and neither of them receive any relief out of the rates.

" James Cordery is an instance of the dissolute habits into which ingenuity too often betrays persons in low life. By trade he is a hurdle maker; he is also a carpenter, chair-mender and tinker, and used to play the violoncello in church, and to teach the parish children to sing. But the more money he was able to earn the more he was given to squander; he wasted his time at the alehouse and among prostitutes, and was never off the parish. Since the vestry refused to maintain him, he has had no difficulty in maintaining himself. He provided himself with a set of implements, and now lives in Reading, and earns an ample livelihood in grinding knives, and mending pots and pans. With the exception of a fortnight last summer, when he was taken into the poor-house in consequence of an attack of rheumatic gout, he has had no relief for the last four years; and instead of bringing up his children in their former idle habits, he is now endeavouring to apprentice one of his sons to a shoemaker.

" Charles

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering Relief: Individual Examples.

"Charles Cordery, no relation of the foregoing, is a married man with four children, of whom the eldest is under fifteen. He is so skilful and diligent a workman, that it must be his own fault if he is ever out of employ. Yet, under the former system, he was almost always dependent upon the parish; his wife and children were as idle and ragged as himself; and so bad was their character for pilfering and depredation, that they were successively turned out of every cottage that was occupied by them. At last they were absolutely without a roof to shelter them, and the vestry refused to support them any longer out of the rates. I was always disposed to think the man better than he appeared to be; and on his promise of amendment, I consented to place his family in a cottage belonging to my father, notwithstanding the remonstrance of the farmer on whose land it stood. Except in one instance, just after they had taken possession, I have had no complaint from their neighbours. The man is in constant work; his family seems to be in comfort; his rent is regularly paid; and his garden has been so well cultivated, that I am now enlarging it to such an extent as, I hope, will enable him to grow vegetables enough for his consumption.

"James Deane is married, and has three infant children. He has never borne a good character, and was, some time ago, imprisoned for robbing his master's garden. He was formerly always idle, and a constant burden on the parish; but since the change of system introduced by the select vestry compelled him to depend on his own exertions, he has found work and supported his family. He occupies a cottage under the same roof as Charles Cordery; he is employed by the farmer, on whose land it is situated; and at his request I have consented to make an addition to his garden, similar to that described in the case of his neighbour.

"George Cooper, though he had advantages superior to most other men in the parish, was always as much in want of relief as any of them. Until 1830 he had a cottage, with an acre of land, rent-free; he kept bees; he had an allowance from a gentleman in the parish, which produced him about £. 5. a year, for clearing and trimming a range of young hedges, which he did at unemployed intervals; and he was capable of draining, ditching, planting, and all the most profitable kinds of work; yet he seemed to be always in need and was constantly applying to the parish for assistance. Since relief has been refused to him by the vestry, his cottage has been sold to a new landlord, and he has no facilities in procuring work that he had not before; yet he now not only supports himself, but pays his rent without complaint, and his children seem as much improved in their industry as he is himself.

"James Davis has three children under ten years old. He is a good labourer, and understands draining, ditching, and all the better sorts of agricultural work; but before the affairs of the parish were under the management of a select vestry, he was constantly dependent upon relief. He is of a sullen discontented temper, owing to which he lost a good place in a gentleman's garden; but he now supports himself and his family, and appears to have his full share of ordinary work.

"James David is an elderly man with a grown-up family. By trade he is a thatcher; but he is also a carpenter, sawyer and shoemaker, and can turn his hand to various jobs requiring dexterity. In his own trade alone he might always have found ample employment. There is but one other thatcher in the parish, and the work is more than he can get through; but David's dishonesty keeps pace with his skill, and nobody will trust him out of sight with their straw. He was always in want, and always on the parish; but since the vestry have peremptorily refused him relief, he has contrived to do without it. His condition is apparently better than it was, and, for nearly four years, we have had neither complaint nor application from him.

"Richard and David Read are father and son. Richard is about 56 years old, and has seven children, of whom the youngest four still live with him. David's age is about 34, and he has five children, of whom the oldest is under 12. The loose character and habits of the whole of this family, of both sexes, have always been such as to exclude them from permanent, and therefore from the most advantageous and respectable employment. But both the father and the son are remarkable for their skill and diligence as workmen, and the son is the strongest man in the parish. I happened to be one of the visiting justices of the gaol when he was committed for deserting his family; and, on the occasion of a disturbance among the prisoners, I found that he had been chosen, by common consent, as the most powerful man within the walls. They both understand draining, ditching, planting, making roads and walks, levelling and laying out grounds, and every sort of agricultural and ornamental work requiring dexterity and neatness. They have both worked a good deal, and still are working for me, to my entire satisfaction in every respect; Richard as superintendant, and David in the same capacity, when his father has found an advantageous job elsewhere. Under the old system they both lived in habitual reliance on the parish, though Richard has a cottage rent-free for his life. David, by his own loose habits, actually reduced himself and his family to take shelter under a hedge, when he was put into a cottage taken for him by the parish, the overseers becoming responsible for the rent, which, however, he now pays regularly; and both the father and the son, though no essential amendment can be said to have taken place, either in their own propensities or those of their families, now support themselves and their children; and no application for relief has been made by either of them for a considerable time past. Richard Read's wife was the first person from whom I had a complaint of the distress occasioned to herself and her children by her husband's frequenting the new beer-houses. With him, and with most others in his condition, this evil is and must continue to be unabated, in spite of all that the local authorities can do to prevent it. The more I see of the effect of these houses, the more

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering Relief. Individual Examples.

I am convinced that they have done and still are doing more to impoverish and corrupt the English labourer, than all the mal-administration of the Poor Laws for the last 50 years put together.

"Richard Dance is a widower, with four children, of whom the eldest is about 16. He was a soldier, and has a pension of 1*s.* a week. Neither his habits, nor his skill as an agricultural labourer, were improved by his being in the army, and notwithstanding his pension, and the advantage of occupying a cottage belonging to the parish, for which he pays no rent, he used to be in constant want and the constant receipt of relief. Since the establishment of the vestry, he has been independent of the parish, and is now free from those indications of distress which his appearance used to exhibit.

"Thomas Davis is one of the most active young men and best labourers in the parish. He is able to perform every sort of agricultural work; but he has never borne a good character. He is a loose, blustering fellow, a loud and specious talker, and acts, upon occasion, as the spokesman for his brethren. At the time of the riots, in the winter of 1830, he was the only man in the parish who offered any objection to being sworn in as a special constable. He endeavoured to make terms for the compliance of the labourers, and was beginning to advocate the alleged grievances, but he was soon put down by the spirited interposition of a gentleman who was present. If his courage kept pace with his wishes, he might be a dangerous man; as it is, he is rather the instigator than the perpetrator of mischief. He has seven children, of whom the eldest is under 14, and, until the establishment of the vestry, was constantly dependent upon parochial relief. Since the change of the system, I have heard no complaint from him of his being in want, though he does not apply so much of his earnings as he ought to the support of his family. This is the man to whom I referred in one of my answers to the circular queries, as having, in November last year, been earning 15*s.* a week at thrashing. Some years ago he was allowed by the parish officers to build a cottage upon a piece of parish land, for which he was to pay a yearly rent of £.1; but he seldom has paid it. He is as well able to do so as any other man in the parish; but having the parish for his landlord, he reckons upon their forbearance.

"I referred the foregoing list to our assistant overseer, and this is the note with which he returned it to me. 'These men were working principally on the parish from April 1820, (the date of the assistant overseer's appointment) to December of the same year, when they were employed by ————; and from that time till the present we have never had any one on the parish for more than a month at a time, except in case of illness.'

"The sum of this is, that the labourers generally have the means of independent support within their reach, but that, except in a few instances of rare sobriety and providence, they will not, of their own accord, make the efforts necessary to command them. Of most of the men here described, I have said that they are good and diligent workmen. A want of ability and willingness to work, when work is given to them, is not among the faults of English labourers; and it cannot be expected that they will be at the trouble of finding work, if they can find support without it. They will not go in search of the meat of industry, if they can sit down and eat the bread of idleness. If you maintain them in doing nothing, and put the key of the beer-house into their hand, what right have you to complain that they are idle and dissolute? A gentleman who has for many years farmed largely in this parish, told me that before the select vestry was established, he frequently saw the labourers, in parties of 12 or 14, sauntering along the streams, in pursuit of moor hens, and of course poaching fish, when it was out the season to poach game. Their time, and the money they obtained from the overseer, were necessarily spent in drunkenness, dissipation and pilfering.

"The effect of the system to which this statement refers has been materially to reduce the amount of the poor's rate. In the year in which we established a select vestry (1829–30) our expenses were increased by various charges arising out of the change of system. In that year the rate was 6*s.* 8*d.* in the pound on the rack rent. The average rate of the three years preceding the change was 6*s.* 1*d.* but that of the three years subsequent to it has been only 4*s.* 5*d.*; nor has the benefit been confined to the payers. The condition of the poor has undergone a visible amendment. They are better fed and better clothed; they bear an appearance of greater ease and comfort, and they are more healthy than they were. When some exceptions were taken to our new regulations in 1829, I referred to the gentleman who contracts for the medical treatment of our poor, to know what effect the change had had upon their health. He told me that, under the old system, disease had become so prevalent in the parish, that he had made up his mind to relinquish the contract as no longer worth his holding; but that so great an improvement had taken place under the new system, that he abandoned his intention, and he has continued to attend the parish ever since. I repeated the same question to him yesterday, and his reply was, that although the parish had partaken of such disorders as had at various times been prevalent in the country, the improvement in the general health of the poor still continued relatively to what it had been before our change of system.

"Even among the labourers themselves the change was productive of little discontent. What alarm they did shew, was when the select vestry was first talked of, and when they had an indistinct apprehension of unknown and indefinite changes, rather than when the new system had been actually put in force. One man only, James David, who is mentioned in the foregoing list, attempted any resistance. We proceeded against him, by complaint before the Bench, and he was sent to the tread-mill. Before the expiration of his sentence the parish officers solicited a remission of the remainder, and we have never since had occasion to resort to coercive measures. Our vestry was established in 1829. The agricultural disturbances took place in the following year. We were in the midst of the disorder, surrounded

Digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

surrounded by the devastation committed by machine-breakers and incendiaries, yet there was neither a riot nor a fire in the parish, nor any single instance of malicious injury to property."

Principle of administering Relief: rating Cottages.

The important changes produced in the habits of the able-bodied paupers by means such as those displayed in the preceding extracts, were in some instances aided by a measure which at first sight might appear calculated to become an obstacle and a means of producing permanent discontent and opposition amongst the whole of the labouring classes. It was determined to rate the whole of the cottages, and make the occupiers (or ultimately the owners) contribute towards the payment of the poor's rates.

In Cookham,—

"The measure which excited the most tumult was the rating of the cottages, and the refusal to contribute to the payment of rents; finding many of this class most tumultuous, it was thought by the vestry prudent to take a few from each division of the parish as examples. One of the ringleaders (William Sexton), who had never paid rent or rates, and who had behaved very insolently in consequence of his son (a lad of 16, who was out of work) being refused relief, was selected to be made an example of; and the demand for rates was enforced upon him. He has since constantly paid his rates and rent, and though his family has much increased since that time, he has never received any parochial relief. He has become an orderly and respectable person, and shows great attachment to Mr. Whately, to whom formerly he behaved in a dogged and ungracious manner. I saw the account of this person in the savings bank, and for his station the money was considerable. The lad above alluded to is now a respectable shopman in London. He came to see Mr. Whately, and thank him for all past favours, the greatest of which was the refusing him relief. Had the old system of relief been continued, this boy and his brothers would probably have been paupers for life."*

In Southwell,—

"The parish paid as much as £. 184 per annum for rents of cottages. After Captain Nicholls had succeeded in abolishing this custom, his next step was to assess all the cottages to the rates. When he had succeeded in carrying this measure, he directed the permanent overseer to give formal receipts to all the payers, though for sums no greater than 2½*d.* or 3*d.*

"The poor looked upon these receipts in the light of testimonials of their independence, and proud of showing that they, as well as their richer neighbours, contributed to the parish burdens, they hung them up in the windows of their cottages. Captain Nicholls had ordered the overseer to treat them, when he was receiving their contributions, with respect, but he was surprised at this unexpected result, and at finding that they were loth to be in arrear, and generally brought their money without solicitation on the day it was due."†

Mr. Borser states, with relation to the improved condition of the labouring classes in that parish, that,—

"They have themselves told him they are better off, and it is notoriously the fact. Though he collects money for the poor rates, and all their cottages are now assessed, none of the labouring class now are ever uncivil to him. Has observed since cottages were rated, that the tenants become very jealous of those who receive relief; they give him such information as they think will prevent his granting relief where it is not merited; will often come to his house and tell him when they think he has been imposed upon by any one pretending to be ill. Since cottages were rated, such as apply for relief without real necessity are looked upon very shily by others; they call it 'attempting to impose on one another.' They are very jealous of those who receive relief, thinking and saying it is given out of their earnings."‡

In Bingham it is stated—

"Great good resulted from refusing to pay rents for cottages and from rating all cottages, and strictly enforcing payment, thinks more good came from this than almost from any thing else; it made all those who paid rates, jealous of any one receiving relief. Only last week a woman, to whom he went for her rate, said 'I say, I sha'n't pay any more rates if my money is thrown away. I hear that idle fellow, Jack ———, had 5*s.* from the parish some weeks ago, because he said his child was ill, I sha'n't pay my money to such like.' He has seen many instances of the jealousy of the poor in this respect; if they pay rates, they say, they don't like to be giving their earnings to their neighbours, who are only idle; and now they abuse those who want to get help from the parish."§

It might be conceived, *à priori*, that the standard of comparison, *i. e.* the condition of the lowest class of independent labourers, is indefinite; but when examined,

* App. (A.) Part II. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 618.
‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 616. § App. (A.) Part I. p. 613.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering Relief. General Effects: instances of Non-parishioners.

examined, it is found sufficiently definite for the purpose: their hours of labour in any neighbourhood are sufficiently uniform; the average of piece-work which able-bodied labourers will perform may be correctly ascertained, and so may the diet on which they actually sustain health.

In several instances opposition to the enforcement of labour on the ground that it was too severe, was defeated by a direct comparison between the work exacted from the paupers and that cheerfully performed by the independent labourers.

At Cookham,—

" Mr. Knapp, the assistant overseer stated, that when the able-bodied paupers were first set to work at trenching, they pretended that they could not do so much work as would enable them to get a living at the prices fixed. Knowing this to be false, I paid an independent labourer, an old man of 70, to work, and as he did a great deal more than two of the stoutest young men amongst the paupers pretended they were incapable of doing, they declared 'we must cut this; this work won't suit us,' and they took their departure to search out regular employment." *

Mr. Barnett the permanent overseer of the parish of St. Mary, Nottingham,—

" Began by offering *piece-work* to every applicant for relief, and employed an intelligent labourer to fix the price. Forthwith 60 or 70 paupers would appeal to the magistrates every week, complaining that they were not strong enough to perform the quantity of work which, at his rate of pay, would entitle them to receive a sum adequate to the maintenance of their families. Anticipating this manœuvre, he had provided himself with men of less than the average physical strength, whom he produced before the mayor, and who deposed to their ability to perform a greater quantity of work than that allotted by Mr. Barnett. By expedients of this nature he baffled the complaints of the paupers, their opposition grew gradually weaker and weaker, and now there are, speaking generally, no applications to the magistrates." †

The circumstance of a rural parish being, to a considerable degree an independent community, separated by the barriers of the law of settlement from other parochial communities, and the general knowledge possessed by the witnesses of the principal circumstances of all or most of the individuals of its labouring population, give a very high value to the results of the experiment made in each of the rural parishes which we have mentioned. The uniform success of the principle and the remarkable similarity of its incidents in different parishes, in different parts of the country, and under different circumstances, appear to us to prove its correctness, and to leave no doubt that it would be productive of similar effects throughout the country.

Effects of the principle of Relief.

Further evidence of the beneficial operation of the principle on which the improvements described in the preceding statements were founded, is afforded in almost every pauperized district: first, by the comparative character of those resident labourers, who having a distant settlement, can only claim temporary relief, and that subject to an order of removal to their own parishes; and secondly, by the condition of that part of the labouring population which still remains independent of parochial aid. We have already stated, that in every district the condition of this class is found to be strikingly distinguishable from that of the pauper, and superior to it, though the independent labourers are commonly maintained upon less money.

" I found," says Mr. Chadwick, " the witnesses in all the parishes, town or country, agreed as to the superior value of non-parishioners as labourers. Mr. J. W. Cockerell, the assistant overseer of Putney, stated, that many of the paupers who had applied for relief from his parish had withdrawn their claims when they were told that they would be removed to their parishes in the country; and in answer to further questions as to what became of these persons who so refused, he stated (in common with all the other witnesses with similar opportunities of observation) that these persons remained, and afterwards attained a much better condition than they had ever before attained while they considered that parochial resources were available to them on the failure of their own. He cited the cases of nine persons who had applied for relief, but had refused it when they were told that they would be removed. Six of these families had not only been saved from pauperism, but they were now in a better situation than any in which he had ever before known them. In two instances particularly, the withdrawal of dependence on parochial relief had been the means of withdrawing the fathers from the public-houses and beer-shops, and making them steady and good workmen. 'Indeed,' said he, 'it is a common remark amongst the employers of labourers in our parish, that the non-parishioners are worth three or four shillings a week more than the parishioners. This is because they have not the poor's rate to fly to. The employers

* App. (A.) Part II.

† App. (A.) Part I. p. 601.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

employers also remark, that the non-parishioners are more civil and obliging than the others.' In this parish the usual wages of the single labourer are about 12*s.* per week; and the deterioration of the labourer by the influence of the present system of administering the Poor Laws, may therefore according to the witness's statement, be set down as from five-and-twenty to more than thirty per cent. Other witnesses declare that the deterioration is much more considerable." [a]

Principle of administering Relief; Non-Parishioners.

This superiority indeed is so notorious as to be the argument most frequently employed against facilitating the acquisition of settlements. The Rev. Henry Pepys, a magistrate and clergyman of extensive experience, in a letter deprecating the facilitation of settlements, states—

"That the objections to the operation of a poor's rate do not apply to the unsettled labourer, as the latter knows full well, that should he neglect to provide against sickness, should he be unable to support his family upon the wages of his labour, or should he fail to get employment, his only resource, would be, an application to the overseer who, as a matter of course, would immediately take him before the nearest magistrate for the purpose of having him removed to his place of legal settlement, where he is perhaps a stranger, with all the inconvenience of having to quit the house in which he may have been born, to remove with him at a considerable expense, or sell at a probable loss his household furniture, and separate from the companions with whom he has associated from infancy. That a poor man should be subject to such a distressing alternative, may perhaps appear harsh, but the consequences are most beneficial, even to himself, for from it he derives that inducement (which we have been all seeking as the only remedy for the present evils of the Poor Laws) to depend upon his own industrious exertions and not upon parish relief, to belong to a savings bank or benefit society, that he may not become chargeable, and thereby removeable in the event of sickness, to abstain from wasting his wages at a public-house, and thus by frugality and industry, to render himself capable of maintaining his family, however large, upon his own resources; in short, with regard to him the Poor Laws are perfectly harmless, he still remains a sample of the industrious, sober, honest and independent labourer, such as we are taught to believe constituted the peasantry of England before the statute of Elizabeth was passed.

"Should we not pause then before, by facilitating the acquisition of settlements, we reduce all to the same level of idleness and intemperance. It is true that when the unsettled inhabitants of a parish are residing in the neighbourhood of their own parishes, they will sometimes apply for assistance to their own overseer, who is occasionally disposed to accord it without requiring them to be previously removed home by an order of removal. But the relief, which under such circumstances is administered, will be administered with a much more sparing hand than in the case of settled inhabitants, and only because the overseer is himself satisfied that it is really required. The *unsettled* poor are well aware they have no legal claim upon their overseer; *the magistrates have no right to interfere between them*, and hence the relief which is given, though probably much more scanty than in the case of settled inhabitants, is thankfully received as a *boon* instead of being claimed as a right."

If while the general administration of the Poor Laws were allowed to remain on its present footing, such occasional or partial relief as that which is available to the settled labourers of a parish, were rendered equally available to the unsettled labourers, we cannot doubt that such a proceeding would demoralize and depress this respectable and valuable class to the level of the settled and pauperized labourers. This is ample reason against assimilating the condition of the unsettled to that of the settled labourers, but none against placing the settled on the same footing as the unsettled. The present practice as to unsettled labourers is almost exactly that which we propose to make the rule for all classes, both settled and unsettled.

The non-parishioner has no right to partial relief; to occasional relief; to relief in aid of wages, or to any out-door relief whatever from the parish in which he resides, and yet the assurance which we propose to preserve to every one, that he shall not perish on the failure of his ability to procure subsistence, is preserved to him. If that ability actually fail him, he is assured that he can immediately obtain food until he can be passed home to his own parish, where he will be saved from perishing, and he maintained at the public charge. By this course, however, he would be taken wholly out of employment, and reduced to the condition of a permanent pauper, and that condition being less eligible to him than the condition of an independent labourer, he struggles with all the occasional difficulties from which if he were a parishioner and improvident, the usual administration of the Poor Laws would relieve him. Relief is accessible to him whenever a case of necessity occurs; it is indeed accessible to him whenever he chooses to avail himself of it; it is simply *ineligible* to him so long as he can subsist by his own industry. The ordinary workhouse of his own distant parish,

[a] App. (A.) Part II.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

parish, with the inconveniences of removal superadded, produces on him effects of the same description as those which we find produced on parishioners by a well regulated workhouse.

Principle of Legislation.

We attach much importance to the general superiority of the conduct and condition of the non-parishioners, the unsettled labourers. Although the evidence afforded from the dispauperized parishes appears to us to be conclusive as to the effects which may be anticipated from a similar change of system throughout the country, it is still liable to the objection, however unreasonable, that these parishes are individual and scattered instances, too few to establish a general conclusion; but the evidence afforded by the character and condition of the unsettled labourers pervades the whole country. Every body of labourers resident and labouring within a parish of which they are not parishioners, and where the distance of their own parishes, and the administration of the poor's rates does not render partial relief available, may be referred to in proof of the general effects which would follow an improved system of administering relief. These labourers make no complaints of their having no right to partial relief, and we have not met with an instance of their having suffered from the want of it. The fact of the non-settled labourers maintaining an independent condition, whilst they have a right by law to return at the public expense to their own parishes, and claim parochial aid, proves that they themselves consider their present condition more advantageous than that of paupers, and that so considering it, they are anxious to retain it.

From the above evidence, it appears, that wherever the principle which we have thus stated has been carried into effect either wholly or partially, its introduction has been beneficial to the class for whose benefit Poor Laws exist. We have seen that in every instance in which the able-bodied labourers have been rendered independent of partial relief, or of relief otherwise than in a well regulated workhouse—

1. Their industry has been restored and improved.
2. Frugal habits have been created or strengthened.
3. The permanent demand for their labour has increased,
4. And the increase has been such, that their wages, so far from being depressed by the increased amount of labour in the market, have in general advanced.
5. The number of improvident and wretched marriages has diminished.
6. Their discontent has been abated, and their moral and social condition in every way improved.

Results so important would, even with a view to the interest of that class exclusively, afford sufficient ground for the general introduction of the principle of administration under which those results have been produced. Considering the extensive benefits to be anticipated from the adoption of measures, founded on principles already tried and found beneficial, and warned at every part of the inquiry by the failure of previous legislation, we shall, in the suggestion of specific remedies, endeavour not to depart from the firm ground of actual experience.

We therefore submit, as the general principle of legislation on this subject, in the present condition of the country:—

That those modes of administering relief which have been tried wholly or partially, and have produced beneficial effects in some districts, be introduced, with modifications according to local circumstances, and carried into complete execution in all.

The chief specific measures which we recommend for effecting these purposes, are—

I. First Recommendation.

FIRST, THAT EXCEPT AS TO MEDICAL ATTENDANCE, AND SUBJECT TO THE EXCEPTION RESPECTING APPRENTICESHIP HEREINAFTER STATED, ALL RELIEF WHATEVER TO ABLE-BODIED PERSONS OR TO THEIR FAMILIES, OTHERWISE THAN IN WELL-REGULATED WORKHOUSES (*i. e.* PLACES WHERE THEY MAY BE SET TO WORK ACCORDING TO THE SPIRIT AND INTENTION OF THE 43d OF ELIZABETH) SHALL BE DECLARED UNLAWFUL, AND SHALL CEASE, IN MANNER AND AT PERIODS HEREAFTER SPECIFIED; AND THAT ALL RELIEF AFFORDED IN RESPECT OF CHILDREN UNDER THE AGE OF 16, SHALL BE CONSIDERED AS AFFORDED TO THEIR PARENTS.

It

---

* *See* post 167.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of Legislation in conformity to the statute of 43 Elizabeth.

It is true, that nothing is necessary to arrest the progress of pauperism, except that all who receive relief from the parish should work for the parish exclusively, as hard and for less wages than independent labourers work for individual employers, and we believe that in most districts useful work which will not interfere with the ordinary demand for labour may be obtained in greater quantity than is usually conceived. Cases, however, will occur where such work cannot be obtained in sufficient quantity to meet an immediate demand; and when obtained, the labour by negligence, connivance or otherwise, may be made merely formal, and thus the provisions of the legislature may be evaded more easily than in a workhouse. A well-regulated workhouse meets all cases, and appears to be the only means by which the intention of the Statute of Elizabeth, that all the able-bodied shall be set to work, can be carried into execution.

The out-door relief of which we have recommended the abolition, is in general partial relief, which as we have intimated, is at variance with the spirit of the 43d of Elizabeth, for the framers of that Act could scarcely have intended that the overseers should "take order for setting to work" those who have work, and are engaged in work; nor could they by the words: "all persons using *no* ordinary and daily trade of life to get their living by," have intended to describe persons "who *do* use an ordinary and daily trade of life."

Wherever the language of the legislature is uncertain, the principle of administration, as well as of legal construction, is to select the course which will aid the remedy; and with regard to the able-bodied, the remedy set forth in the statute is to make the indolent industrious. In proposing further remedial measures we shall keep that object steadily in view.

And although we admit that able-bodied persons in the receipt of out-door allowances and partial relief, may be, and in some cases are, placed in a condition less eligible than that of the independent labourer of the lowest class; yet to persons so situated, relief in a well regulated workhouse would not be a hardship: and even if it be, in some rare cases, a hardship, it appears from the evidence that it is a hardship to which the good of society requires the applicant to submit. The express or implied ground of his application is, that he is in danger of perishing from want. Requesting to be rescued from that danger out of the property of others, he must accept assistance on the terms, whatever they may be, which the common welfare requires. The bane of all pauper legislation has been the legislating for extreme cases. Every exception, every violation of the general rule to meet a real case of unusual hardship, lets in a whole class of fraudulent cases, by which that rule must in time be destroyed. Where cases of real hardship occur, the remedy must be applied by individual charity, a virtue for which no system of compulsory relief can be or ought to be a substitute.

The preceding evidence as to the actual operation of remedial measures, relates principally to rural parishes. We shall now show, from portions of the evidence as to the administration of relief upon a correct principle in towns, that by an uniform application of the principle which we recommend, or, in other words, by a recurrence to the original intention of the Poor Laws, other evils produced by the present system of partial relief to the able-bodied will be remedied. The principal of the further evils which it would extirpate is, the tendency of that system to constant and indefinite increase, independently of any legitimate causes, a tendency which we have shown to arise from the irresistible temptations to fraud on the part of the claimants. These temptations we have seen are afforded—

First. By the want of adequate means, or of diligence and ability, even where the means exist, to ascertain the truth of the statements on which claims to relief are founded: *

Secondly. By the absence of the check of shame, owing to the want of a broad line of distinction between the class of independent labourers and the class of paupers, and the degradation of the former by confounding them with the latter:

Thirdly,

* See p. 26.

Printed image digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Test of the Merits of Claims to Relief.

Thirdly. By the personal situation, connexions, interests, and want of appropriate knowledge on the part of the rate distributors, which render the exercise of discretion in the administration of all relief, and especially of out-door relief, obnoxious to the influence of intimidation, of local partialities, and of local fears, and to corrupt profusion, for the sake of popularity or of pecuniary gain.

1. The offer of relief on the principle suggested by us would be a self-acting test of the claim of the applicant.

It is shown throughout the evidence, that it is demoralizing and ruinous to offer to the able-bodied of the best characters more than a simple subsistence. The person of bad character, if he be allowed anything, could not be allowed less. By the means which we propose, the line between those who do, and those who do not need relief is drawn, and drawn perfectly. If the claimant does not comply with the terms on which relief is given to the destitute, he gets nothing; and if he does comply, the compliance proves the truth of the claim, namely, his destitution. If, then, regulations were established and enforced with the degree of strictness that has been attained in the dispauperized parishes, the workhouse doors might be thrown open to all who would enter them, and conform to the regulations. Not only would no agency for contending against fraudulent rapacity and perjury, no stages of appeals, (vexatious to the appellants and painful to the magistrates) be requisite to keep the able-bodied from the parish, but the intentions of the statute of Elizabeth in setting the idle to work, might be accomplished, and vagrants and mendicants actually forced on the parish; that is, forced into a condition of salutary restriction and labour. It would be found that they might be supported much cheaper under proper regulations, than when living at large by mendicity or depredation.

Wherever inquiries have been made as to the previous condition of the able-bodied individuals who live in such numbers on the town parishes, it has been found that the pauperism of the greater number has originated in indolence, improvidence or vice, and might have been averted by ordinary care and industry. The smaller number consisted of cases where the cause of pauperism could not be ascertained rather than of cases where it was apparent that destitution had arisen from blameless want. This evidence as to the causes of the pauperism of the great mass of the able-bodied paupers, is corroborated by the best evidence with relation to their subsequent conduct, which has corresponded in a remarkable manner with the effects produced in the dispauperized parishes of the rural districts. Ill-informed persons, whose prepossessions as to the characters of paupers are at variance with the statements of witnesses practically engaged in the distribution of relief, commonly assume that those witnesses form their general conclusions from exceptions, and that their statements are made from some small proportion of cases of imposture; but wherever those statements have been put to a satisfactory test, it has appeared that they were greatly below the truth. The usual statements of the permanent overseers in towns are, that more than one half or two-thirds of the cases of able-bodied paupers are cases of indolence or imposture; but it rarely appears that more than five or six in a hundred claimants sustain the test of relief given upon a correct principle. We select the following instances, in illustration of these statements.

"Mr. *Thomas Langley*, Examined.

"I have been in office 14 years, principally as out-door inspector of the parish of Mary-le-bone.

"When you were here before, you stated that the result of your having offered work in the stone-yard to 900 able-bodied paupers at piece-work, at which they might have earned from 10*s.* to 18*s.* a week, was, that only 85 out of the 900 remained to work. If instead of paying the men in the stone-yard such wages as those from 10*s.* to 18*s.* a week, you had given them piece-work at about 1*s.* a day for a full day's work, and that 1*s.* had been given, not all in money, but chiefly in kind, that is to say, if you had given them at the end of each day's work a three pound loaf of brown bread, and cheese, or other food, and 3*d.* to pay for the night's lodging, how many out of the 85, who remained to work at the full wages first mentioned, would have remained to work for the remuneration of the latter description?—I do not think that 10 of them would have remained.

"Would less than six have remained to work?—Never having seen such an experiment tried, I could not undertake to speak confidently; but there might, out of so large a number, be half a dozen who are so peculiarly situated, as to accept work on such terms for a time.

"Then

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

" Then you consider that it would in any case only be for a time, meaning, I presume, for a short time?—Yes, some of them might stay one day, others three or four, but none of them, I conceive, more than a week or so. REMEDIAL MEASURES.

" Would you consider the fact of a man accepting such work on such terms a sure test of his condition?—Yes, it would certainly be an infallible test of his being in a state of distress, and disposed to work, and unable to get work any where. Test of the Merits of Claims to Relief.

" If I were to open a stone-yard in your parish, and offer to give to all comers such work on such terms, how many square yards of stone do you think I should get broken?—I think none, or if any, very few, although three pounds of bread is a good allowance of food, and far above a starving point.

" What evasions do you think could be resorted to?—The only evasion I can see is in the cases where a man evaded the work by pretending to be ill, which is a trick now resorted to where men say, 'they have a pain in their insides,' and the doctor is not able to say positively that they have not; but these cases, judging from our present experience, would be very few.

" Might not these cases be met by workhouse regulations, confining a man as a sick patient, and on a low diet?—Yes, that I think would fully meet the case I have supposed.

" Do you see any cases in which such regulations (making the condition of the pauper on the whole less eligible than that of the independent labourer of the lowest class) do not constitute a self-acting test?—I certainly conceive it is a test which would go to the root of pauperism, if it were carried into full execution. I can see no mode of evasion but pretended sickness.

" Do you see any difficulty in the way of the execution of those regulations?—None whatever."*

" Mr. *Leonard*, Overseer of *St. Giles, Middlesex*, Examined.

" In the year 1831, we tried the application of labour at stone-breaking in 260 cases of able-bodied labourers at piece-work, at 2*s.* per ton, at which work they might have earned about 2*s.* per day with tolerable application. That was in the summer; but during the winter, we gave them 2*s.* 6*d.* per ton. The labour performed from amongst the whole number only amounted to £.9. 18*s.* 2*d.* during six weeks. There were never more than five or six at work at the same time. The effect of the introduction of the stone-yard, and of work in the house, and generally for the able-bodied, was to produce such peace and order as had not existed before. I am sure that where there is no work there will certainly be disorder. Where I have heard of disorder in workhouses, and riots of paupers, I conclude, from the mere mention of such occurrences, that labour is not there properly applied, or the workhouses properly regulated.

" I am certainly of opinion that if regulations could be enforced which would place the pauper in every case below the condition of the lowest class of independent labourers, that these regulations would supersede investigations of officers with relation to able-bodied paupers. This, in fact, is the principle of our employment for able-bodied paupers at the stone-yard, and has produced the effects anticipated, so far as it has been carried into operation."†

" Mr. *W. Hickson*, jun. of the Firm of *Hickson & Sons*, Wholesale Shoe Warehouse, *Smithfield*, Examined.

" We once engaged to supply the workhouse of St. Giles's with shoes, on the condition that we should give work to all the journeymen shoemakers who were then receiving relief from the board. This was about four years back. We expected a great number to apply, and made preparations for upwards of a hundred; the number of applicants were however under twenty. Of those some endeavoured to spoil the work, that they might be dismissed and have an excuse for returning to the board, others ran away with the materials, and finally but one man remained, who was steady and industrious, and is working for us to this day."‡

Mr. Teather of Lambeth, examined—

" If you could get hard work for your able-bodied out-door poor, so as to make their condition on the whole less eligible than that of the independent labourer, what proportion of those who are now chargeable to the parish do you think would remain so?—On a rough guess, I do not think that more than one out of five would remain.

" Have you any facts which you can adduce to justify that conclusion?—From the instances of the proportions who have left on the occasions of their having had work given them. Some time ago, for instance, we had a lot of granite broken: there were not above 20 per cent. of the men who began who remained to work at all; there were not above two per cent. who remained the whole of the time during which the work lasted. Many of them, however, were not idle men, but they found other jobs: they were doubtless more stimulated to seek work by the stone-breaking. I think it would save much money if the parish officers were to advertise to break stones for the roads for nothing for all persons who chose to bring the granite and take it away again."§

" Mr. Richard Spooner, who resides near Worcester, mentioned to me," says Mr. Villiers, " the following instance, illustrative of the calculation made by paupers with respect to parish

* App. (A.) Part II. † App. (A.) Part II.
‡ App. (A.) Part II. § App. (A.) Part II.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Test of the Merits of Claims to Relief.

parish work. A bridge was to be built in his neighbourhood, and it was determined to employ all the able men who applied for relief. While the bridge was building, not a single pauper who was able to work applied to the overseer for relief. A short time afterwards, and when the work was completed, the overseer had frequent applications for relief, and having no work to give them, he was compelled, as usual, to relieve them in money." *

"*John Hooper*, Assistant Guardian, Poole St. James's, Dorset.

"No allowance is ever given to any able-bodied man, nor are we ever applied to by such for relief, unless he is ill or cannot get work; we then give them piece-work as before stated, and it is seldom he remains in such work many days before he finds employment at his trade or calling. This number is very small, and generally consists of shoemakers, bricklayers and such as are not so fully employed in winter; but previously to our purchasing 20 acres of land for the purpose of giving them employment, we had many such applications during the winter, and all the idle and lazy were a great pest to us almost continually; but this is now at an end, as they say they may as well work for other parties as for the parish." †

Mr. Butt, the Secretary of the Surrey Asylum for discharged prisoners, states—

"In the year 1824, I availed myself of a hint which I got from the Mendicity Society, and with the sanction of our committee, entered into an agreement with Messrs. Thorington & Roberts, who at that time kept a stone wharf on the Regent's Canal, and who undertook to furnish employment to as many able-bodied men as we chose to send them, at breaking stones for the roads, finding them in tools and paying them at the rate of 8*d.* per ton for flints, and 1*s.* 8*d.* for granite. After some discussion and difficulty, I prevailed on the Committee of the Refuge for the Destitute at Hoxton, of which I was then a member, to adopt the same plan. Both institutions were, however, soon obliged to discontinue it because they found that the orders for work were scarcely ever presented, though the price paid was notoriously sufficient to enable any man with common industry to support himself. The men to whom orders were given by the Surrey Asylum were almost exclusively taken from the five worse classes in the house of correction at Brixton, which from its proximity to London, contains perhaps as bad a description of people as could possibly be found, and we soon ascertained that about three and three quarters per cent. of the orders given were presented *i. e.* about one man out of 27 went to work. I soon afterwards learnt from an active member of the Mendicity Society, that of the working tickets issued to *beggars* by the subscribers to that institution, about one in 23 was used, or about four and a quarter per cent."

When witnesses have answered that they have tried the application of labour in the case of the able-bodied paupers, and that it has failed, it has appeared on further examination that the failure was merely a failure to yield pecuniary profit, or to meet their expectations of immediate results. Considerable sagacity and patience are requisite to conduct such proceedings without being misled by false appearances of failure. It is found that paupers are in general well aware, that the enforcing labour is an experiment, and therefore resist. They view the contest as one in which it is worth while to hazard the labour of a week or a month or a much longer period, for a year or a life of comparative ease, and the inexperience or the ignorance of the person superintending the experiment sometimes gives them the victory.

The most efficient application of the principle is usually by means of a workhouse. The following extracts from the evidence and communications from different parts of the kingdom, show at once the uniformity of its effects, and the general nature of the evil to be contended against.

"Mr. Oldershaw, the vestry clerk of Islington, states:—'It sometimes costs us more—(the grinding corn by a mill)—than the wheat ground; but then it keeps numbers away, and in that way we save. When, in consequence of the stoppage of the mill it became known that we could not get work for the whole of our able-bodied, we had, in two or three days, one-third more of this class of applicants, and unless we had been able to provide work of some sort, so as to keep the great body of the able-bodied employed, we should have been inundated with them.'" §

Mr. Henderson states in his report from Liverpool, that—

"The proceedings of the select vestry show, that the workhouse is frequently used as a test of the real necessities of applicants for relief; and that while some, who pretend to be starving, refuse, others, really in want, solicit admission.

"The introduction of labour thinned the house very much: it was sometimes difficult to procure a sufficient supply of junk, which was generally obtained from Plymouth; when the supply was known to be scanty, paupers flocked in; but the sight of a load of junk before the door would deter them for a length of time." ‖

Mr. Atkinson,

* App. (A.) Part II. p. 11.
† App. (B 2.) Town Queries, Question 30, p. 38. *g.*
‡ App. (A.) Part II.
§ App. (A.) Part II.
‖ App. (A.) Part II.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Mr. Atkinson, Comptroller of the Accounts for the township of Salford, states, that—

Test of the Merits of Claims to Relief.

"Finding work for those who apply for relief, in consequence of being short or out of work has had a very good effect, especially when the work has been of a *different kind* from that which they have been accustomed to. In Salford, employment to break stones on the highways has saved the township several hundred pounds within the last two years, for very few indeed will remain at work more than a few days, while the bare mention of it is quite sufficient for others. They all manage to find employment for themselves, and cease for a time to be troublesome; although it is a singular fact, that when the stock of stones on hand has been completely worked up before the arrival of others, they have, almost to a man, applied again for relief, and the overseers have been obliged to give them relief; but so soon as an arrival of stones is announced they find work for themselves again. This fact is in itself sufficient to show the nature and effects of pauperism. I sincerely believe, that if, instead of giving relief in money, all persons were taken into workhouses, and there made to work, and have no other benefit than a bare maintenance, that it would almost *immediately reduce pauperism one-third*, and in less than twenty years nearly annihilate it. *

Mr. *Huish*, the assistant overseer of St. George's Southwark, examined—

"What do you think would be the effect of putting an end altogether to the system of out-door relief, and enacting that all persons should either be wholly on or wholly off the parish, and that those who are on should be relieved in a strict workhouse?—I am convinced that in the first year of any attempt to take all the poor into the workhouse, no more than one in ten of the out-door paupers will remain in the parish, and that this tenth person would, in a great proportion of the cases, do so to tease them.

"I am convinced that in the second year not one out of twenty of the out-door poor would remain chargeable to the parish.

"Do you assume that the workhouses are to be conducted much as at present?—Nearly the same: but all the workhouses should be managed alike, which can only be done by Government; for whilst the world lasts, parishes will not unite to do anything.

"On what grounds do you form your opinion that the reduction of pauperism would be at the rate you mention?—As a practical man, I form my opinion on the proportion who have always, since I have been in office, refused to go into the workhouse when it has been offered them, and the instances where they have continued to get their living without parochial relief." †

Mr. Osler, in an account of the introduction of the improved workhouse system at Falmouth, states that, in the first instance—

"A select vestry was appointed, and a good house built, but the improvement effected was not so considerable as it might have been, because the house was inefficient. There was a total want of discipline; the dormitories were the common sitting rooms of their inmates, who cooked their own food, and the whole house was in consequence dirty and disorderly: finally, it was regulated upon principles agreeing with those explained in my former Report, and all proper cases were ordered in. The effect was, not only to cut off a great number of out-paupers, but also actually to diminish the numbers in the house.

"Last year before the use of the new workhouse—			
Year ending 1820	£. 2,321	13	–½
"Select vestry and workhouse, but without discipline—			
1821 (Population 4,392)	2,112	6	2½
1822	1,888	15	5½
1823	1,903	14	6
1824	1,686	5	3½
1825	1,670	6	6½
1826	1,599	19	10
1827	1,511	1	9
1828	1,492	14	1
1829	‡1,938	3	3
"Introduction of moderate regularity into the house, with increased strictness in ordering paupers—			
1830	£. 1,378	1	8
"Introduction of efficient domestic discipline; no relief given out of the house except in casual or peculiar cases—			
1831 (Population 4,761)	£. 1,151	11	2
1832	1,029	12	7

"Profit

* App. (C.) † App. (A.) Part. II.

‡ "The casual list for 1829 is enormous, owing to a cargo of distressed German emigrants who remained for several months, their vessel being unseaworthy. The extraordinary charge thus incurred included a rate of £. 122. 7 raised expressly for contributing to the hire of a vessel to carry them to their destination."

Printed in digital rights Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Test of the Merits of Claims to Relief.

"Profit is not to be expected from workhouse labour. If it were practicable to convert workhouses into manufactories, which it is not, the measure would be most impolitic; for every shilling thus earned in the house would be at the expense of a labourer out of doors.

"The true profit of parish labour is to form industrious habits in the young, and to deter the indolent; and the perfection of a parish establishment is for its inmates to be scarcely equal to its own work. Into such a house none will enter voluntarily; work, confinement, and discipline, will deter the indolent and vicious; and nothing but extreme necessity will induce any to accept the comfort which must be obtained by the surrender of their free agency, and the sacrifice of their accustomed habits and gratifications. *Thus the parish officer, being furnished with an unerring test of the necessity of applicants, is relieved from his painful and difficult responsibility; while all have the gratification of knowing, that while the necessitous are abundantly relieved, the funds of charity are not wasted upon idleness and fraud.*"*

Under the present system it is found, that wherever relief is permitted to remain eligible to any except those who are absolutely destitute, the cumbrous and expensive barriers of investigations and appeals erected to protect the rates, serve only as partial impediments, and every day offer a more feeble resistance to the strong interests set against them. To permit this system to continue, to retain the existing permanent officers, and yearly to subject a larger and larger proportion of those who are pressed into the public service as annual officers, to a painful and inefficient struggle, in which they must suffer much personal inconvenience and loss, a loss which is not the less a public loss, because borne by only a few individuals, must excite great animosity against themselves, and ultimately be borne down in a conflict in which the ingenuity and pressing interests of a multitude of paupers, each having his peculiar case or his peculiar means of fraud, are pitted against the limited means of detection, and the feeble interests in the prevention of fraud of one or a few public officers.

In the absence of fixed rules and tests that can be depended upon, the officers in large towns have often no alternative between indiscriminately granting or indiscriminately refusing relief. The means of distinguishing the really destitute from the crowd of indolent impostors being practically wanting, they are driven to admit or reject the able-bodied in classes. Now however true it may be that the real proportion of cases which are found to have the semblance of being well founded, may not exceed three or four per cent. of the whole amount of claims, yet since each individual thus rejected may possibly be one of that apparently deserving minority, such a rejection, accompanied by such a possibility, is at variance with the popular sentiment; and it is found that the great body of the distributors of relief do prefer, and may be expected to continue to prefer, the admission of any number of undeserving claims, to encountering a remote chance of the rejection of what may be considered a deserving case.

On the other hand, the belief which prevails that under the existing system some claims to relief *are* absolutely rejected, operates extensively and mischievously. It appears that this belief, which alone renders plausible the plea of every mendicant (that he applied for parochial relief, and was refused) is the chief cause of the prevalence of mendicity and vagrancy, notwithstanding the existence of a system of compulsory relief; a system which, if well administered, must immediately reduce and enable a police ultimately to extirpate all mendicity. If merit is to be the condition on which relief is to be given, if such a duty as that of rejecting the claims of the undeserving is to be performed, we see no possibility of finding an adequate number of officers whose character and decisions would obtain sufficient popular confidence to remove the impression of the possible rejection of some deserving cases; we believe indeed, that a closer investigation of the claims of the able-bodied paupers, and a more extensive rejection of the claims of the undeserving, would for a considerable time be accompanied by an increase of the popular opinion to which we have alluded, and consequently by an increase of the disposition to give to mendicants.

We see no remedy against this, in common with other existing evils, except the general application of the principle of relief which has been so extensively tried and found so efficient in the dispauperized parishes. When that principle has been introduced, the able-bodied claimant should be entitled to immediate relief on the terms prescribed, wherever he might happen to be; and should be received without objection or inquiry; the fact of his compliance with the prescribed discipline constituting his title to a sufficient though simple diet. The question as to

* App. (C.) pp. 164 and 173, 4.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

to the locality or place of settlement, which should be charged with the expense of his maintenance, might be left for subsequent determination.

Test of the merits of claims to relief.

"On this point, as on many others, the independent labourers may be our best teachers. We have seen, that in the administration of the funds of their friendly societies, they have long acted on the principle of rendering the condition of a person receiving their relief less eligible than that of an independent labourer. We have now to add, that they also adopt and enforce most unrelentingly the principle, that under no circumstances, and with no exceptions, shall any member of their societies receive relief while earning anything for himself. Mr. Tidd Pratt was asked whether, in the rules for the management of friendly societies framed by the labouring classes themselves, he had ever found any for the allowance of partial relief; such as relief in aid of wages, or relief on account of the number of a family?—He answers—

"No, I never met with an instance.

"Then do the labouring classes themselves, in the rules submitted to you, reject all partial relief or relief on any other ground than the utter inability to work?—Invariably.

"By what penalties do they usually endeavour to secure themselves from fraud, on the part of persons continuing on the sick list after they have become able to work?—In all cases by utter expulsion and enforcement of the repayment of the money from the period at which it was proved the party was able to work.

"Does that utter expulsion take place whatever may have been the period at which the party had contributed towards the society?—Yes; and all his contributions are forfeited to the society; and so strict are they in the enforcement of these regulations, that I have known them expel a party for stirring the fire, or putting up the shutters of his window, these acts being considered by them evidence of the party being capable of going to work. A small shopkeeper has been expelled for going into his shop; and the only exception I have found in favour of such a rule is, that of a party being allowed to sign a receipt, or to give orders to his servant. They are perfectly well aware, from experience, that to give relief in an apparently hard case, would open the door to a whole class of cases which would ruin them. The other day the steward of a friendly society came to consult me as to the re-instatement of a member who had been expelled for having neglected to pay his quarter's subscription on the regular quarter night half an hour after the books were closed. The party had been a member 32 years, and during that time had received little or no relief. The case struck me as an extremely hard one, and I endeavoured to prevail on the steward to reinstate the member, but the steward stated to me so many facts, shewing that if they yielded to this one case, that it would determine a whole class of cases, and let in so much abuse, that I was ultimately forced to agree in the necessity of the decision of the society. These rules may appear to be capricious and arbitrary, but my observation leads me to believe that they are necessary to protect the society. Although there is an extremely severe enforcement of them, societies are seriously injured, and frequently ruined, by the frauds committed under this mode of relief, notwithstanding the incessant vigilance exercised against them.

"What description of vigilance is that?—It is generally provided by the rules that a domiciliary visit shall be paid by the stewards, or by a member, generally every day; these visits are to be paid at uncertain times, that they may increase the chances of detection. It is also usually provided that a sick member shall not leave his house before or after such an hour, and that on his leaving home at other times he shall leave word in writing where he has gone, by what line of road he has gone, and by what line he intends to return, in order that the stewards or members may track him. In some instances the members follow up these precautions by requiring a member, when he 'declares off' the box, to swear that he was unable to work during the whole time that he has been receiving relief of the society.

"Are these precautions effectual?—No; notwithstanding the utmost vigilance, serious frauds are committed, especially by the members of those trades who can work at piece-work within doors; such, for example, as tailors, shoemakers, watchmakers and weavers. An operative of these trades keeps his door shut and works, and when the visitor comes, the work is put under the bed clothes or otherwise concealed, and he is found in bed apparently sick. I find that in those societies where the members' work is of a nature to render fraud liable to detection, such as painters, plumbers, glaziers, stone masons, carpenters, and any other occupation that takes a man out of his own room, the money paid for sickness in the course of a year is less than in societies composed of equal numbers of the class of members before mentioned. From the opportunities of fraud, I always judge of the certainty of fraud, and from those opportunities the certainty of the ruin of societies may be predicted."

This vigilance in the administration of out-door relief to the sick, a vigilance to which we have never found any parallel in the administration of the poors rates, would *à fortiori*, be requisite in the case of the administration of out-door relief to the able-bodied. But this is obviously impossible. No salaried officer could have the zeal or the knowledge of an inspector of a friendly society, who is always of the same class, and usually of the same trade as the claimant. And if it were possible,

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering relief: effect of, in distinguishing paupers from the independent classes.

possible, we believe that it would not be effectual. The labouring classes themselves find these daily visits and strict regulations inadequate substitutes for the means of supervision and prevention, which well-regulated workhouses afford, and which those classes, if their circumstances permitted, would doubtless adopt. In fact, the experiment has long and often been tried, and always with the same ill success. Visits are made to the claimants, their residences are inspected, and it appears that at these visits and inspections, false and fraudulent scenes are prepared with little more difficulty and much more effect than fraudulent stories, and that those who disregard all statements and trust only to what they call the evidence of their senses, are often the most completely deceived. The testimony of the most experienced and intelligent of our witnesses shews the extensive opportunities for fraud which the most rigid inspection leaves; and in the case of paupers much more than in the case of the sick members of friendly societies, from the extent of the opportunities may the extent of fraud be predicted. Mr. Pratt is asked—

"Have you as a barrister had much poor law practice?—Yes, I have practised 10 years at sessions, I have also edited Bott's Poor Laws and other works connected with the subject.

"Would you apply to the progress of out-door relief by parishes the same rules as are founded on the experience of the labouring classes in benefit societies?—Certainly, and considering a parish as a large friendly society (the members being mostly honorary, or persons who contribute without the intention of partaking of the benefits of the contribution, as the majority in most parishes are), I should look to them much more rigidly.

"If the regulations of a parish, or of a friendly society consisting of a parish, were brought to you to authorize under the statute of Elizabeth, would you certify them if you found in them rules for granting partial relief of any sort, or relief in aid of wages, or relief according to a bread money scale, or relief in proportion to the number of a family, or out-door relief of any description?—As a lawyer I should undoubtedly consider all such allowances entirely at variance with the spirit and intention of the statute of Elizabeth, and I should without hesitation reject them. My experience also derived from the observation of less dangerous regulations in friendly societies, would enable me to pronounce them to be mischievous and ruinous to whatever community adopted them. I am sure that no members of any benefit society, incomplete as their knowledge is, would ever frame rules upon such ruinous principles. The only definite ground of relief, as it appears to me, is utter inability to work, and so it appears to the labouring classes themselves, for whose benefit, and with whom I act, for their allowances are always made upon that ground.

"In what way do the members generally regard parochial assistance? As discreditable?—'In their rules it is generally provided, that in the case of the death of a member, notice be given to the treasurer, who summonses two of the stewards;' and, says the rule, 'They both shall attend such funeral, and see that the corpse is decently interred, *and free from parish grants*;' or it is expressed, as in the following rule: 'That the president and vice-president shall attend funerals of members and their wives, see they be decent, *and free from parochial assistance*; and if either of them neglect so to do, he shall be fined 5*s*. but for such attendance, each of them shall receive 2*s*. 8*d*. from the fund.'"

We believe that the following evidence expresses the sentiments of a large proportion of the most respectable mechanics:

Launcelot Snowdon examined,—

"Are you acquainted with the operative classes?—Yes, having been a journeyman printer 20 years, and one half of the time foreman, and having been in different situations in our own societies, as well as connected with various other societies of operatives, I believe I am well acquainted with them.

"In what way do they regard the fact of any one of their body receiving parochial relief?—I know that none but the worst characters would ever think of applying for parish relief; and that the respectable workmen consider it disgraceful. The other day, a list of those who received out-door parish relief was brought to a printing office to be printed. One of the men saw on the list the name and address of one of the journeymen in the same office. This man was challenged with the fact, which he did not attempt to deny. He had been receiving as much as 6*s*. or 8*s*. a week out-door relief, during two years, for four children, although he had been in receipt of 36*s*. a week steady wages, during the same time. The men stated the circumstance to the employer, and he was discharged.

"Did they request that he might be discharged?—The proceeding was tantamount to that, and of course the master acceded.

"Suppose the whole of the relief were regulated by an independent, or, say a Government authority, on a fixed rule, that of not rendering the condition of the pauper within the workhouse so good as that of the lowest class of workmen living by his labour out of the house?—That of course. No reasonable man would, I should conceive, expect to have his condition in the workhouse bettered. I think a Government authority would be much the best, as the parish officers are now, in ninety-nine cases out of a hundred, interested parties."

2. Little need be said on the next effect of the abolition of partial relief (even independently of workhouse regulations) in drawing a broad line of distinction between

Printed to give rights to by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of administering relief: effect of in relieving distributors from undue influence.

hetween the panpers and the independent labourers. Experience has shown, that it will induce many nf those, whose wants arise from their idleness to earn the means of suhsistence; repress the frandulent claims of those whn have now adequate means of independent support, and obtain fnr others assistance from their friends who are willing to see their relations pensioners, hut would exert themselves to prevent their being inmates of a workhouse.

3. It will also remove much of the evil arising from the situation of the distributors of relief.

It has heen shown that destitution, not merit, is the only safe ground of relief. In order to enable the distrihutors to ascertain the indigence of the applicant, it has been prnposed to subdivide parishes, and appoint to the suhdivisions officers whn, it is supposed, might ascertain the circumstances of those under their care. Bnt when instances are now of frequent occurrence where a pauper is found to have saved large sums of money, without the fact having been known or suspected hy the memhers of the same family living under the same roof, how should a neighbour, much less a parish officer, be expected to have a hetter knowledge of the real means of the individual? We are not aware that our communications display nne instance of out-door pauperism having heen permanently repressed hy the mere exercise of individual knowledge acting on a limited area. What our evidence noes show is, that where the administration of relief is hrought nearer to the door of the pauper, little advantage arises from increased knowledge on the part of the distributors, and great evil from their increased liahility to every sort of pernicious influence. It brings tradesmen within the influence of their customers, small farmers within that of their relations and connections, and not unfrequently of those who have beeu their fellow workmen, and exposes the wealthier classes to solicitations from their own dependents for extra allowances, which might he meritoriously and usefully given as private charity, hut are abuses when forced from the puhlic. Under such circumstances, to cootinue out-door relief is to continue a relief which will generally he given ignorantly or corruptly, frequently procured by fraud, and, in a large and rapidly increasing proportion of cases, extorted hy intimidation—an intimidation which is not more powerful as a source of profusion than as an obstacle to improvement. We shall recur to this subject when we suhmit the grounds for withdrawing all local discretionary power, and appointing a new agency to superintend the administration of relief.

Many apparent difficulties in the proposed plan, will be considered, and we hope removed, in a suhsequent part of this Report. One ohjection, however, we will answer immediately; and that is, that it implies that the whnle, or a large proportion of the present paupers must become inmates of the work house. One of the most encouraging of the results of our inquiry is the degree in which the existing pauperism arises, from fraud, indolence nr improvidence. If it had been principally the result of uuavoidable distress, we must have inferred the existence of an organic disease, which, without rendering the remedy less necessary, would have fearfully augmented its difficulty. But when we consider how strong are the motives to claim puhlic assistance, and how ready are the means of ohtaining it, independently of real necessity, we are surprised, not at the numher of paupers, hut at the number of those who have escaped the contagion. A person who attrihutes panperism to the inahility to procure employment, will douht the efficiency of the means hy which we propose to remove it, tried as they have heen, and successful as they have always proved. If snch a person had been present when the 900 ahle-hodied paupers applied to the Mary-le-bone officers, on the ground that they could find no work, he would have treated lightly the proposal of getting rid of them hy the offer nf wages and the stone-yard. He would have supposed that work must have been provided for the 900, not for the 85, who actually accepted it. If a workhouse had been offered, he would have anticipated the reception of the 900, not the 85, nr rather, according to the opinion of the officer, the 10, who would probably have entered it. He would have come to the same conclusion respecting the 20 shoemakers, to whom relief was offered by Mr. Hickson. We have seen that the test showed that among the 20 there was one deserving person: if the test had not heen applied, and to meet the chance of there being one such persou, the whole 20 had received out-door relief, even that person wonld have received relief instead of wages, and 19 persons, really capable nf earning their snpport, would have heen converted into permanent paupers, besides those whom the example would have attracted. Before the experiment had heen tried,

Printed nn ge digitised by the Univel sity of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Principle of Administration. Answers to Objections.

the 63 heads of pauper families at Cookham might have been confidently pronounced to be a surplus population, and emigration have been urged as the only remedy. "The low rate of wages," it might have been said, "proves the redundancy, and the certain effect of throwing upon the depreciated labour market nearly one-third more of competitors (rendered desperate by their privations) will be to increase the prevalent misery; the proposal to take them into the workhouse, which will require expensive preparations for the whole of them, is impolitic and indeed impracticable." Such, in fact, were the anticipations of persons deemed competent judges as to the number of the pauperized labourers who would remain permanently chargeable. It is stated in the Report from Cookham that "The work provided was trenching; an acre of hard gravelly ground was hired for the purpose. Some of the vestry at the outset considered that this quantity of land would be utterly inadequate. Many of the farmers thought the parish officers would have to trench the whole parish; but it turned out that not more than a quarter of an acre was wanted for the purpose." In several others of the dispauperized parishes, the erection of workhouses and other remedial measures were strongly and sincerely opposed on similar grounds. In answer to all objections founded on the supposition that the present number of able-bodied paupers will remain permanently chargeable, we refer to the evidence which shows the general causes of pauperism, and to the effects produced by administration on a correct principle, as guaranteeing the effects to be anticipated from the general application of measures which have been tried by so many experiments. But we cannot expect that such evidence will satisfy the minds of those who sincerely disbelieve the possibility of a class of labourers subsisting without rates in aid of wages; and we have found numbers who have sincerely disbelieved that possibility, notwithstanding they have had daily presented to their observation the fact that labourers of the same class, and otherwise no better circumstanced, do live well without such allowances; still less can we expect that such evidence will abate the clamours of those who have a direct interest in the abuses which they defend under the mask of benevolence.

Such persons will, no doubt, avail themselves of the mischievous ambiguity of the word *poor*, and treat all diminution of the expenditure for the relief of the poor as so much taken from the labouring classes; as if those classes were naturally pensioners on the charity of their superiors, and relief, not wages, were the proper fund for their support; as if the independent labourers themselves were not, directly or indirectly, losers by all expenditure on paupers; as if those who would be raised from pauperism to independence would not be the greatest gainers by the change; as if, to use the expression of one of the witnesses whom we have quoted, the meat of industry were worse than the bread of idleness.

We have dwelt at so much length on the necessity of abolishing out-door relief to the able-bodied, because we are convinced that it is the master evil of the present system. The heads of settlement may be reduced and simplified; the expense of litigation may be diminished; the procedure before the magistrates may be improved; uniformity in parochial accounts may be introduced; less vexatious and irregular modes of rating may be established; systematic peculation and jobbing on the parts of the parish officers may be prevented: the fraudulent impositions of undue burthens by one class upon another class—the tampering with the labour market by the employers of labour—the abuse of the public trust for private or factious purposes, may be corrected; all the other collateral and incidental evils may be remedied;—but, if the vital evil of the system, relief to the able-bodied, on terms more eligible than regular industry, be allowed to continue, we are convinced that pauperism, with its train of evils, must steadily advance; as we find it advancing in parishes where all or most of its collateral and incidental evils are, by incessant vigilance and exertion, avoided or mitigated.

It has been strongly, and we think conclusively, urged, that all local discretionary power as to relief should be withdrawn. Mr. Mott, when he was examined on the subject of workhouse management, was asked, whether, under a well-regulated system, he thought that the local officers might be entrusted with the power of modifying the dietaries. He answers,

"I am decidedly of opinion that no such authority can be beneficially exercised, even by the local manager and superintendent of any place; whatever deviation there is in the way of extra indulgence has a tendency to extend and perpetuate itself which cannot be resisted. If

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Agency for carrying into effect the intentions of the Legislature.

If you give to particular people an extra allowance on special grounds, all the rest will exclaim, 'Why should not we have it as well as they?' and too often they get it. That which was only intended to be the comfort of the few, and as an exception, at last, one by one being added to the list, becomes the general rule; and when once established, there are few annual officers who will interfere to abridge the accustomed allowance."

Thus uniformity of excess is produced; and then again, it is often deemed necessary to make distinctions, in the way of increase, which increase is again diffused, and the whole is again equalized to the profuse standard. Uniformity in the administration of relief we deem essential as a means, first, of reducing the perpetual shifting from parish to parish, and fraudulent removals to parishes where profuse management prevails, from parishes where the management is less profuse; secondly, of preventing the discontents which arise among the paupers maintained under the less profuse management, from comparing it with the more profuse management of adjacent districts; and thirdly, of bringing the management which consists in details, more closely within the public control. The importance of the last object will appear more clearly in our subsequent statement. The importance of uniformity in reducing removals appears throughout our evidence. We have found that the confirmed paupers usually have a close knowledge of the detailed management of various parishes (although the managers rarely have), and act upon that knowledge in their choice of workhouses. Many of the out-door paupers, when they have the means, avoid those parishes in which there are workhouses. The Rev. Rowland Williams, Vicar of Mylod, Montgomery, states in his communication,—

"It is notorious, that when paupers come to swear their settlements, they show a strong inclination to be removed to parishes where there are no workhouses. Those magistrates who are experienced in such removals exercise great caution in believing testimony given under such influence."

The next subject for consideration is the agency by which partial relief to the able-bodied may be abolished, and a continued administration of relief, on the principle suggested by us, maintained.

The simplicity of that principle, and the effects which it has produced, and apparently with ease, in the dispauperized parishes, naturally suggest to those who have observed only these striking instances, that the change may be effected by a single enactment. That there would be much able and correct administration of any law which the legislature might pass we entertain no doubt, since we find much ability, and often eminent ability, displayed in the administration of the existing system; neither do we doubt that the number of cases of voluntary improvement would greatly increase; for we have been informed of some instances where improvements have actually been commenced in consequence of the light thrown upon the subject by the published extracts from the Reports of our Assistant Commissioners; but the evidence collected under this Commission proves, that whilst the good example of one parish is rarely followed in the surrounding parishes, bad examples are contagious, and possess the elements of indefinite extension. The instances presented to us throughout the present inquiry of the defeat of former legislation, by unforeseen obstacles, and often by an administration directly at variance with the plainly expressed will of the legislature, have forced us to distrust the operation of the clearest enactments, and even to apprehend unforeseen mischiefs from them, unless an especial agency be appointed and empowered to superintend and control their execution.

While we find on the one hand that there is scarcely one statute connected with the administration of public relief which has produced the effect designed by the legislature, and that the majority of them have created new evils, and aggravated those which they were intended to prevent, we find on the other hand that the obstacles to the due execution by the existing functionaries of any new legislative measure are greater than they have ever been. The interests of individuals in mal-administration are stronger, the interests in checking abuses are proportionately weaker; and the dangers to person and property from any attempts to effect the intention of the statute of Elizabeth, are greater than any penalties by which the law might be attempted to be enforced. That the existing law admits of a beneficial administration of the provisions of that statute is proved by the instances of the dispauperized parishes, but those instances were produced by the circumstance of there being found within each of those parishes, an individual of remarkable firmness and ability, often joined with a strong interest

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Agency for carrying into effect the intentions of the Legislature. Inadequacy of the Interests of the Annual Officers.

in good administration, great influence to overcome opposition, and leisure to establish good management. In the majority of instances, the change originated with the clergyman or some of the largest holders of land within the parish. In the absence of these fortunate accidents the example has not been followed. In Cookham and White Waltham the benefits of the improved administration have been manifested since the year 1822, but manifested without imitation.

In Faringdon, Berks, which we have already cited as an instance of improvement, the governor of the workhouse was asked,—

"Are the surrounding parishes aware of the effects produced in your parish by the change of system?—They are quite aware of them.

"If legislative measures were taken for the adoption of such a system as that adverted to by you, do you think that obstacles would be found to prevent their execution?—If the adoption of the measures were not enforced by some strong means, I do not believe they would be extensively carried into effect voluntarily.

"Are those parishes heavily or lightly burthened?—Most heavily burthened. Property is a great deal deteriorated in value in consequence of the progress of pauperism. One gentleman, the other day, mentioned to me that lately, in consequence of the heavy burthen of the poor's-rates, by which, for the last two or three years, he had lost upwards of a hundred a year upon the farm his family had held for upwards of two centuries, he had thrown up that farm, and gone to another parish, which was not yet so heavily burthened with poor's-rates. I know that in the surrounding parishes capital is fearfully diminishing, and property deteriorating.

"Are you aware of any steps being taken in those parishes to follow the example of your parish?—I am not aware of any steps being taken to follow the example. I have indeed heard some persons say they should be very glad to see the same system followed.

"What are the obstacles which stand in the way of their following it?—Partly fear, and partly the want of persons of influence and energy to come forward to take the first steps.

The Commissioner who examined Cookham, visited Bray, and made inquiries of persons connected with that and other adjacent parishes, why they did not adopt the means of reducing their heavy rates, which (as they were well aware) had been found so efficient and salutary in Cookham. The answers were usually to this effect:—"The farmers are so disunited and unwilling to stir." "The members of the vestry are so jealous of each other, that they can do nothing." "We have no one to take the lead." "We have no one who will take upon himself the responsibility." "It never can be done, unless we have among us a man of the talent and influence of Mr. Whately."

Mr. Whately himself was asked—

"Do you think your example would be followed if extensively known?—I very much doubt it. I believe it is pretty extensively known, but it has been followed only in one or two solitary cases, so far as I am aware of.

"Are you aware that any pains have been taken by the neighbouring parishes to ascertain the nature of your system?—Yes; many have made themselves fully acquainted with it by personal application to me; but either through indolence or want of firmness, or some other cause, have not availed themselves of the information they have received, nor have I any reason to hope that a great national benefit can be effected by the personal exertions of individuals, who must necessarily expose themselves to considerable obloquy, if not to great loss of property, and who, in many cases, have no immediate personal interest.

"If you were to withdraw your exertions, do you think that the present system would be carried on in your parish?—Many of the principal rate-payers with whom I act, are of opinion that it would not."*

In the communication of Messrs. Cameron and Wrottesley will be found an account of the ignorance and apathy prevalent amongst the rate distributors of the adjacent parishes, with relation even to the important pecuniary results of the change of system at Cookham. Mr. Whately having been prevented, by a severe illness from attending the vestry, the effects of his absence soon exhibited themselves in the management of the poor; and some of the members of the select vestry were convinced that the safety of the reformed system depended upon his restoration to health. It appears from Major Wilde's Report, that when the master of the workhouse at Southwell, who had long been accustomed to manage that establishment, under the admirable superintendence of Mr. Becher, went to another parish, he soon relapsed into the common habits. In Hatfield the management fell back during the short illness of the permanent overseer, who is a person excellently qualified; and it appears from various other instances, that the voluntary adoption and continuance of an improved system is dependent on obtaining,

* App. (A.) Part 2

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

obtaining, within each parish, an individual of great firmness, ability and disinterestedness, to originate it and carry it on; or in other words, that the good general administration of the existing system is dependent on a perpetual succession of upwards of fifteen thousand men of firmness and ability agreeing upon a system, and conducting it voluntarily.

Agency for carrying into effect the intention of the Legislature.

We must again state that while there is no province of administration for which more peculiar knowledge is requisite than the relief to the indigent; there is no province from which such knowledge is more effectually excluded The earlier part of our Report shows the consequences of acting upon immediate impressions, or upon conclusions derived from a limited field of observation. At present the experience which guides the administration of relief is limited to the narrow bounds of a parish, and to a year of compulsory service. The common administration is founded on blind impulse or on impressions derived from a few individual cases; when the only safe action must be regulated by extensive inductions or general rules derived from large classes of cases, which the annual officer has no means of observing. Capacity for such duties comes by intuition even to persons of good general intelligence as little as an intuitive capacity to navigate a ship or manage a steam engine. The influence of the information and skill which any officer may acquire, may be destroyed by other officers with whom his authority is divided, and even though he may prevail, it usually departs with him when he surrenders his office. The improvements which he may have introduced are not appreciated by his successor. In petty and obscure districts, good measures rarely excite imitation, and bad measures seldom yield warning. "I have seen," says Mr. Mott, "sets of officers succeed sets; I have seen a great many plans and systems suggested and tried; I have seen them tried by officers of the highest respectability and intelligence, and the little good derived from the practical operation of their plans utterly defeated by their successors, who, though equally honest, come into office with different opinions and views. Here and there an extraordinary man will come into office, and succeed very satisfactorily. But when he goes, there is generally an immediate relapse into the old system. His example works no permanent change in his own parish, still less is it attended to in the adjacent parishes. In short, I am quite convinced, from all my experience, that no uniform system can be carried into execution, however ably it may be devised; nor can any hopes of permanent improvement be held out, unless some central and powerful control is established."

Want of appropriate knowledge.

Short duration of the authority of the Officers.

Division of authority.

Such being the qualifications essential to the performance of parochial offices, our evidence abounds with indications that in devising any new legislative measures it would be necessary to guard not only against adverse interests, but against the actual incapacity of the persons usually filling parochial offices. The following are instances from our communications:

Frequency of incapacity for the performance of duties.

The Rev. Robert Ellison, the rector of Slaugham, in Sussex,—

"The accounts of eight or ten surrounding parishes should be audited by a person with a proper salary, resident in an adjoining town. It is difficult to get a proper person in villages to audit accounts. My vestry clerk is a pauper, and not a good character; the two last overseers could neither read nor write. Need I say more? The rates rose last year 9*s.* in the pound, which amounted to near £.700 additional. The poor cost upwards of £. 1,000; the population not 800."

Major General Marriott, an acting magistrate of the Pershore division, containing sixty-six parishes, of Worcester, states that some of the overseers (small farmers),—

"Can scarcely write their names, and few can keep accounts (witness the Returns made to Parliament), and are so ignorant or inattentive to the magistrates' orders, wishing to slip through their half year with as little trouble as possible, that many appeals against removals and other expenses are very unnecessarily incurred, which would have been saved to the parish by a regular assistant, and at a trifling expense. In the above sixty-six parishes there may be twelve or fifteen where gentlemen or clergymen reside, and take part in parish affairs; in most of the rest, I fear, I might draw too exact a picture by saying, their affairs are managed by some few principal farmers and landholders, generally at open variance, and formed into two inveterate parties; the poor parishioners are obliged to take one side or the other, and are favoured or oppressed as their party prevails. *Such are the persons for whom it is necessary to legislate (as well as for inhabitants of large towns) in making or altering laws for the poor.*"

Although clear and often able replies to our queries have been received from the officers of the town parishes, some of the answers even from the metropolis, were evidently written by illiterate and ignorant men. One of the population returns

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Agency for carrying into effect the intentions of the Legislature: Incapacity of ANNUAL OFFICERS.

from Middlesex, to which we had occasion to refer, was attested by the mark of the returning officer. The revision of the lists of votes under the Reform Act, however, brought to view, in some respects much more completely than the present inquiry, the qualifications of the general body of overseers; and it appears from the information of the revising barristers, that the inability of a large proportion of them was not confined to the comprehension of legal distinctions, but extended to the execution of the most simple directions.

"The class of persons," says Mr. Moylan, "whom I have seen in the office of overseer are generally men who, far from being able to fulfil the duties imposed upon them, seem unable to comprehend those duties. The general ignorance and stupidity of the overseers in country parishes with whom I came acquainted as Revising Barrister in Cheshire and Nottinghamshire, surpassed any thing which I could have previously conceived. In some of the agricultural parishes we found a × substituted for the overseer's signature to the list of voters. Many lists were made out and signed by the village schoolmaster, or some other person who accompanied the overseer in attendance upon our court, and was alone competent to answer on his behalf any inquiries we deemed it requisite to make. In some cases where the overseer had not had recourse to the aid of others, his blunders were ludicrous. Instead of making the list a fair transcript of the claims, he would perhaps undertake to insert what he thought a more accurate description of the qualification, which would prove, in point of fact, no qualification at all."*

"In 1832," says Mr. Maclean, "I revised the list of voters for the Western Division of the county of Sussex, and in the present year I have revised the lists of the Northern Division of the county of Essex. In both counties I met with many overseers apparently perfectly unable to comprehend, from reading the Reform Act, what they were required to do. Many were unable to write at all, and others could with difficulty affix their names to the lists. Some appeared unable to copy accurately the schedule of the Act according to the form there given. Those lists which had any pretension to correction had been invariably written out by the parish schoolmaster, or under the advice and direction of some resident gentleman. Few were capable of furnishing any information, or of understanding that any distinction existed between a freehold and a leasehold qualification. Through ignorance or obstinacy, many had neglected several of the duties distinctly pointed out in the Act; such as to publish the names which were upon the register of the preceding year, or to sign the lists previous to affixing them on the church door. I met with few lists which did not require considerable alteration. Attempts at an alphabetical arrangement seemed to have completely failed. Several had omitted to make out lists at all. In one instance I was attended by a female overseer, and it is due to her to state, that the list furnished by her, and in her own handwriting, was one of the most correct I met with."

Mr. Flood, Revising Barrister for the Northern Division of the county of Leicester, states,—

"I found very great difficulty in revising the lists of voters, owing to the illiterate character of the overseers of many of the parishes. In one instance, where there were two overseers, one had not acted, and did not sign the list, though he was able to write; and a mark × was substituted for the signature of the other. There were, I think, three or four lists unsigned, none of the overseers being able to write, and about the same number only signed by one overseer. In about 16 or 18 lists the overseers had resorted to the assistance of the parish schoolmaster, or some other person, to assist them. In not more than 10 parishes did the overseers appear in the least to comprehend the duties they were required to perform. I found, however, the overseers of the parishes of Loughborough, Castle Donington, Melton Mowbray and Ashby-de-la-Zouch exceedingly intelligent men, while in the eastern side of the county, where the population is exclusively agricultural, I met with a degree of ignorance I was utterly unprepared to find in a civilized country."

Inadequacy of the interests in voluntary support of a correct administration.

Mr. Villiers, when acting as a Revising Barrister in North Devon, found that not less than one-fourth of the overseers were unable to read, and he mentions one overseer who had not that qualification, and yet was intrusted with the distribution of rates to the amount of £.7,000 per annum.

Such being the *capacity* of a large proportion of the distributors, we shall find the state of their *motives* to either the commencement or the support of improvement equally unpromising. Persons engaged in trade have represented the management of parochial affairs to be analogous to the management of a bankrupt's estate by creditors, where, although each creditor has an interest in the good management of the estate, yet as the particular creditors who were appointed assignees had not an interest sufficient to incite them to exertions which necessarily interfered with their other and stronger interests, no estates were ever so extensively mismanaged, or so frequently abandoned to plunder until a special and responsible agency was appointed for their protection. The common fallacy in which the management by overseers, that is by two or three persons, is treated as a management by the people of the "people's own affairs," and an "attention to their own interests," meaning the affairs and interests

* App. (A.) Part I. Report of D. C. Moylan, Esq. p. 280 a.

Printed in Digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

interests of some hundreds or thousands of other persons, may be exposed by a slight examination of the evidence. It will be found that the private interests of the distributors of the rates are commonly at variance with their public duties, and that the few pounds, often the few shillings, which any parish officer could save to *himself* by the rigid performance of his duty, cannot turn the scale against the severe labour, the certain ill will, and now, in a large proportion of cases, the danger to person and property, all of which act on the side of profusion. And it must be recollected, that the consequences of a large proportion of the existing mismanagement do not fall on the parishes in which they have originated, but upon those against whom, under the present system of parochial warfare they are aimed, and that much of that mismanagement is, consequently, mismanagement by the officers and by the vestries, not of their own affairs, but of the affairs of other parishes, or of the public at large. Even if the whole power were left to the vestry, and the vestry were composed of the proprietors as well as of the occupiers, it could not be said, except in very small parishes, that the governing body were the managers of their own affairs. Numerous bodies are incapable of managing details. They are always left to a minority, and usually to a small minority; and the smaller that minority, the greater, of course, is the preponderance of private and interested motives.

Agency for carrying into effect the intentions of the Legislature: inadequacy of motives to support a correct Administration.

It must be added, as indeed might have been expected, that as parochial duties become more arduous, as they require more leisure and ability, those who have that leisure and ability appear less and less inclined to undertake them. This is shown in the great falling off in the number of representative vestries, in consequence of the difficulty of obtaining the attendance of those who were the best qualified; although such vestries are amongst the best existing instruments for systematic management, with the least annoyance to those who perform the duties. It has been stated to us, that in one district where the income of the proprietors was reduced nearly one half, chiefly by the progressive increase of the rates, several of them declared that they would abandon the remainder rather than encounter the annoyance of having to contend against the system. The property of the whole parish of Cholesbury was abandoned to pauperism, apparently without a struggle.

We need only revert to the evidence quoted in the earlier part of our Report to mark the extent to which interests *adverse* to a correct administration prevail amongst those who are entrusted with the duties of distributing the fund for relief.

Interests adverse to a correct Administration

We must anticipate that the existing interests, passions, and local habits of the parish officers will, unless some further control be established, continue to sway and to vary the administration of the funds for the relief of the indigent; and that whatever extent of discretion is left to the local officers, will be used in conformity to those existing interests and habits. Wherever the allowance system is now retained, we may be sure that statutory provisions for its abolition will be met by every possible evasion. To permit out-door relief as an exception would be to permit it as a rule. The construction which has been put on the 59th Geo. III. shows that every case would be considered "a case of emergency;" and under provisions directing that the able-bodied shall be relieved only in the workhouse, but allowing relief in money to be continued to the sick, we must be prepared to find allowances continued to many of the able-bodied, as belonging to the excepted class. We have bad instances where, after the use of fermented liquors in workhouses had been forbidden, they were found in use in extraordinary quantities as medicines.

In addition to these strong elements for the perversion of any legislative measures, we cannot omit to notice again the comparatively new and still more powerful element of intimidation now openly avowed in the most pauperized districts.

Intimidation.

The labouring men in a large proportion of the districts, where the allowance system prevails, must have seen and felt, what indeed the labourers who have been examined explicitly declare, that the discretion and irresponsible power allowed to the distributors of relief are often used prejudicially to them. We believe, however, that the acts of injustice properly imputed to those who have so exercised that power, bear no proportion to the injustice imagined, and erroneously attributed to them by the receivers, under the notion generated by the indefiniteness of the existing system of relief, that the poor's rates are an inexhaustible fund, from which all

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

all who call themselves poor are prevented drawing to the extent of their desires, only by the cupidity or partiality of parish officers.

Agency for carrying into effect the intentions of the Legislature.

However groundless this suspicion may be, its existence appears to us a sufficient reason for endeavouring to remove its pretext. Every man ought, in fact, to distrust his own judgment and his own actions in the affairs of others in proportion as his interests and affections are concerned. Our law, in its jealousy of the influence of similar interests, has rendered the taint of pecuniary interest a ground for incompetency in the case of a witness, and for exclusion from the execution of trusts, and in both cases to a degree which is very inconvenient. The powers vested in the overseers by the statutes of Elizabeth can only be accounted for on the supposition that the distribution of the poor's rates was little more than an occasional distribution of alms from the poor's box, too small in its amount and influence to be regarded. Not a century had elapsed, however, before the evils of the "unlimited power of the overseers" and their "giving relief upon frivolous pretences, but chiefly for their own private ends, to what persons and number they thought fit," had been stated and attempted to be remedied. The remedy however was, as we have seen, unsuccessful, indeed worse than unsuccessful. It gave, or was construed as giving, powers to the justices, of which we have described the effects, and it does not, in practice, appear to check the powers of the overseers, powers which enable them to reduce the value of the labour, of which they themselves are the purchasers, and even to throw on others a part of its price, to increase the productiveness of their own property, and depreciate that of their neighbours, and generally to gratify their own feelings and promote their own interests at the expense of every other portion of the community.

Whatever may have been the various causes of the agricultural riots in various districts, whether the object was to force an increase of wages or a reduction of tithes or rent, the one effect has been to prove that the discretion exercised in the distribution of the poor's rates can be affected by intimidation, and the rate receivers every week show themselves more completely aware that intimidation may be made as efficient a means of producing mal-administration as the corrupt interests of the distributors. Various communications made to us in 1833, correctly anticipated the continuance of incendiarism, during the present winter. Intimidation is not unfrequently exercised in the town parishes, and the police called in for the protection of the distributors. To such an extent has it been carried in a large parish in the metropolis, that the officers thought it necessary for their safety to go armed to the vestry.

Under these circumstances, any discretionary power left to the local officers must be a source of suspicion, and so far as their persons or properties are obnoxious to injury, a bounty on intimidation. The ignorant rarely estimate, or even take into account the motives which lead men to pursue any line of conduct except the narrow track pointed out by their own immediate interest, and are prone to exaggerate any power that may be used against them, and to fear and hate those who exercise it. It is matter of common observation, that acts of incendiarism have been most frequently committed against persons who had done "nothing to excite animosity," or who were "distinguished for their kindness," or were "the last persons who would have been expected to become the victims of such revenge." We see no ground for expecting that any purity in act or intention in the distribution of rates will render the distributors less obnoxious to hatred, which is always the stronger as they are the more closely connected with the rate receivers. A refusal by a person who is nearly an equal, excites more animosity than one by a person who is comparatively a stranger and has greater authority. Can a farmer at a vestry be expected to refuse relief, and endanger his own property and person to save funds to which he is only one of many contributors, when, in proportion to his belief that the applicant is undeserving, must be his conviction of the capability of that applicant to resort to any criminal means of obtaining compliance with his demands, or of gratifying his revenge? But the immediate distributors of relief, are not the only persons obnoxious to such motives. Mr. Villiers states, that a magistrate declared to him that in his neighbourhood if a gentleman living upon his own property were strictly to perform his duty in a large proportion of the cases where paupers appealed from their overseers, he would be in danger of having his property destroyed. Such dangers, it is to be observed, are generally incurred by refusals to increase allowances, which are *now* wholly illegal,

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Agency for carrying into effect the intentions of the Legislature.

illegal, and, therefore, to expect the voluntary execution of new and strict regulations by persons placed under such circumstances, appears unreasonable. Mr. Day, the magistrate at Maresfield, to whose communication we have before referred, in the following passage forcibly expresses opinions, which we have reason to believe are entertained by a numerous class.

"I must here guard against an impression that may be conveyed by these remarks, which might lead to a fatal disappointment. The workhouse system is at present legal, and funds for emigration may, in many instances, be raised by voluntary contributions. But were the plan, advocated by me, attempted to be put in execution at the mere instigation of an individual, or by a vote of vestry, it would probably induce an irritation that would lead to disastrous consequences. When in the parish of Mayfield it was rumoured that I intended interfering to reduce the rates, it was immediately suspected by the paupers that I was opposed to their interests. On the door of the first vestry I attended, I found affixed a notice, 'that they intended washing their hands in my blood.' In 1826, a threat of that kind was readily disregarded; at present it would be consummated in a riot or fire. But if the alteration be the act of the legislature, it assumes a different aspect. It comes with the sanction of the law, and however it may be murmured at, the odium is removed from the obnoxious vestryman, or the individual magistrate. The complaining pauper looks round to the adjacent parishes and the neighbouring benches. He sees his lot the lot of all; and is told that however he may meet with sympathy, there is no power of redress. He may hope to intimidate a vestry, but he cannot dare to oppose a government." *

We believe however that general regulations made under the immediate control of the executive, would meet with comparatively ready obedience; not from despair of the success of resistance, but from confidence in the disinterestedness of the source from which the regulations emanated. We are happy in having found no distrust of the Government amongst the labouring classes in the pauperized districts: we rather apprehend that they entertain extravagant expectations of what can be accomplished by legislative interference. In the instructive letters from emigrants of the labouring classes to their friends in England, we see few traces of discontent with the political institutions, or the general government of their former country; few expressions of satisfaction that they now live under other institutions; but we do find in those letters, felicitations that they are no longer under local control or parochial management; "Here" say the labourers, in speaking of their new abodes, "there are no overseers to tread us under foot." Wherever in the course of this inquiry it has been deemed requisite to communicate directly with the labouring classes, the Commission appears to have been regarded with entire confidence. Our written communications from labouring men on the subject of the labour-rate are abundant; our Assistant Commissioners found their inquiries answered with alacrity by all the labourers who were examined. Under the conception that the Commissioners were invested with extraordinary powers, the labourers have appealed to us for interference against local malversations. One of the Sussex labourers was asked in the course of his examination—

"What alterations of the Poor Laws are talked about by the labourers?—They have hopes that Government will take it in hand, as they would then be contented with what was allotted to them; they would be sure that they would have what was right, and would not be driven about by the overseers.

"Are you sure that the labourers would be pleased to see the overseers deprived of their power?—Yes, that they would, for they often fail, and take the parishes in; and besides, all parish business now goes by favour. Many people do now say that they talk about reform in the Government, but there wants reform in the parish.

"Suppose that the workmen were deprived of the allowance in aid of wages, but deprived in such numbers that the farmers would be compelled to pay wages to the same amount, how do you think such a measure would be received by the workmen?—That would give a great deal more content, and I am sure that they would do the farmer more work. The parish money is now chucked to us like as to a dog." †

The jealousy felt by the labourers towards the local authorities, from a suspicion of their being under the influence of adverse interests, combined with distrust of their possession of knowledge qualifying them to interfere with advantage, was strongly displayed in framing the present Act for the Regulation of Friendly Societies.

Dr. *James Mitchell*, Examined.

"We are informed that you have paid great attention to the formation of friendly societies, and the legislative proceedings with relation to them?—I have lectured and published works

* Appendix (C) p. 152.

† App. (A.) Part II.

Printed in the digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

works on the subject of benefit societies, and took an active part in assisting the delegates of the working men of the benefit societies in London in framing the present Act of Parliament under which benefit societies are regulated, and, as an actuary, I am very often consulted on the subject.

Agency for carrying into effect the intentions of the Legislature.

"Was the appointment of a central authority or control, under the authority of the Government, to revise the regulations of the benefit societies, and enforce conformity to the will of the Legislature, popular with the representatives of the working classes?—Yes; in order to prevent the capricious control of the various local authorities, each of whom had his own notions, which probably differed from the notions of every body else, and were formed from very limited experience and observation, and often from no observation whatever, the working men thought it would be very beneficial to get one person appointed to revise the rules of all the societies throughout the country, in order that their administration might be rendered uniform, and that the detailed regulations might be the result of more extended information. The chief object of the labouring men was to prevent capricious local interference, which might often be the interference of employers. The clause for the purpose was framed by the delegates themselves."

In the various dispauperized parishes, the enforcement of one inflexible rule of administering relief, prevented the exercise of any discretionary power by the employers of labour. The contentment which followed, is to a considerable extent attributable to this circumstance.

The circumstances which tend gradually to drive discreet and trustworthy persons from voluntarily undertaking the management of the poor's rates, leave it in fact either to compulsory service, performed by officers whose authority is transient, who have no appropriate knowledge, and whose only interest is to get through their service with the least personal inconvenience to themselves, or to voluntary service by persons who have either a strong private interest, or who are actuated by ardent feelings. If those feelings are well directed they produce indeed the effects which have followed at Southwell, Bingham, Cookham and Farthingoe, but in ill disciplined minds they may be more injurious than the basest self interest. On these grounds many of the most respectable parochial officers who have been examined under this Commission have urged the necessity of withdrawing from themselves and from their associates and successors, all discretionary power in the distribution of relief. They implore even as a mere protection, that they may be released from that discretion, and declare that while it lasts they *dare* not pursue the course which they deem the most beneficial even to the paupers by whom the intimidation is exercised.

The following Extracts exhibit the tenor of the independent Communications to the Board, as well as of the Reports of our Assistant Commissioners as to the state of opinion on this subject in the most pauperized districts.

Mr. Okeden's Report, Appendix (A.) *p.* 4.—"The magistrates of that county (Oxfordshire) are so fully aware of this [the evils produced by the scale and head-money system], that they are ready to concur in and support any measures proposed by Government for averting the increasing curse."

Mr. Majendie, Appendix (A.) *p.* 188.—"The vestries held every fortnight for determining relief are very ill attended, the parishioners seeming to despair of any improvements; and anxious hopes are expressed of the interference of Government."

Ibid. Appendix (A.) *p.* 198, *Disturbed Districts.*—"The allowance system is represented to be so established, that without some legislative enactment neither overseers, vestries, nor magistrates can make any effectual change."

Ibid. Appendix (A.) *p.* 216.—"It was observed to me at Maidstone, that the management of the poor is beyond the power of parish officers, and requires the superintendence of Government."

Mr. Power, Appendix (A.) *p.* 240, *Cambridge.*—"I have reason to think that opinion points rather to a total change of the system than to partial and palliative amendments."

Ibid. p. 249, *Bottisham.*—"They have no workhouse there at present; an assistant commissioner 10 years hence would probably find them with doubled rates, and no workhouse still; so little chance is there of the mere propagation of opinion on the subject of that system inducing its general adoption, without some active interference by the legislature to that effect."

The conclusion of most examinations of witnesses in the deeply-pauperized districts is usually of the following tenor:

(The parish officers of the parish of Bethnal Green, London, examined:)

Mr. Hooker.—"My trade is declining; so is the trade of my neighbours. From year to year my returns are less: so are theirs; and respectable people are leaving the place, which makes it still worse.

"The

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Agency for carrying into effect the intentions of the Legislature.

"The condition of your parish being such as you describe, sunk deep in debt, if not absolutely bankrupt; houses deserted in consequence of the pressure of the rates; the pressure increasing; rents declining, and ruin impending; what remedies have presented themselves to the minds of those who govern the parish; what new courses are they prepared to take?—I do not know; I have not heard of any thing; we cannot do any thing; we must depend on Providence; I do not see what is to save us from ruin, if Government does not do something for us."

Mr. Brushfield, of Spitalfields—

"The outcry for the establishment of some strict regulations is very generally increasing throughout our parish. They ask what remedy is there for the increasing evil? I have said I see no way but by some superior and central control being established. Since I was here before the subject has been the topic of conversation at our Board of Governors, and it is agreed on all hands that some powerful central control ought to be established."

Mr. Thomas Single, of Mile End Old Town, says—

"I hear it very frequently said in the parish, that it would be a very excellent thing if the Government would take the parish affairs in their own hands, for the inhabitants see no chance of the present rates being reduced under the present system. Some regulating power should be established.

"I consider it a very necessary interference for the protection of the good order of society, against the worst misgovernment. I think it necessary for the protection of property, which is now giving way, and must continue to give way under the pressure of pauperism. Rents are now much reduced in consequence of the heaviness of the rates. We have 800 empty houses in our parish, and persons are constantly leaving it to go to other parishes where the rates are lower. As the owner of houses, I can speak to these effects from my own knowledge."

The *Rev. Thomas Pitman*, vicar of Eastbourne, Sussex.—"I have no hope void of the interference of Government. If Government take up the administration, we may be relieved, and the present laws, upon revision, may effect this; but as long as the system which is at present adopted here and in the neighbourhood is permitted to continue (and we have no means void of the interference of Government of having it discontinued), we have no prospect but the destruction of our property, the corruption of our people, and the distress of all."

A recommendation that the legislature should divest the local authorities of all discretionary power in the administration of relief, appears to us to follow as a necessary consequence from the mass of evidence to which we have adverted.

Witnesses, when speaking of the necessity of withdrawing all discretionary power from the distributors in their own parishes, usually express a hope that the relief may be fixed, and to the "smallest detail unalterably prescribed by the Legislature." The evidence, however, proves that little more reliance can be placed on the voluntary execution by the present agency of any regulations, than on their correct execution of any general principle of management prescribed to them.

It appears too that the actual condition of the pauperized districts does not admit of legislation in detail. The differences in the modes of administering the law in different districts have produced habits and conditions of the population equally different. The best-informed witnesses have represented that the measures applicable to adjacent districts are totally inapplicable to their own; and it appears to us, that measures which might be safely and beneficially introduced into the majority of parishes in a district might, if immediately introduced, be productive of suffering and disorder to the remainder. Even if the simultaneous and complete execution of so great a change of system throughout the country were practicable, we consider it desirable to avoid it

It must be remembered that the pauperized labourers were not the authors of the abusive system, and ought not to be made responsible for its consequences. We cannot, therefore, recommend that they should be otherwise than gradually subjected to regulations which, though undoubtedly beneficial to themselves, may by any sudden application inflict unnecessary severity. The abuses have grown up in detail, and it appears from our evidence that the most safe course will be to remove them in detail. We deem uniformity essential; but in the first instance it is only an approximation to uniformity that can be expected, and it appears that it must be obtained by gradations in detail, according to local circumstances.

Printed in the digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Agency for carrying into effect the intentions of the Legislature.

circumstances. And although uniformity in the amoont of relief may he requisite, it may not be requisite that the relief should he invariably the same in kind. In Cumberland, and some others of the northern counties, milk is generally used where heer is used in the southern counties. The reqnisite equality in diet would probably he obtainable without forcing any class of the inmates of the workhouses in the northern counties to take heer, or those of the southern counties to take milk.

The most practical witnesses concur with Mr. Mott in representing the voluntary adoption of detailed regulatioos hopeless, and legislation on details ineligible, if not impracticable. He is asked—

"Do you think it practicable to hring parishes to the voluntory adoption of any uniform regulation when their importance is proved to them?—He answers, I certainly do not think it practicable. I think it utterly impossible to bring the 14 or 15,000 parishes in England and Wales to one miud upon any one subject, however clear the evidence may be; much less so to act with uniformity in any one point. The Commissionera must he well awure, that great frauds are committed hy psupera in the metropolis receiving relief from different hoards on different board days. I have known instances of paupers receiving pensions from three or four different parishes. It was proposed some years ago, and it has been proposed from time to time to remedy this evd, which all the parishes are aware is very great, hy one simple but effectnal expedient, which it would be very easy to adopt, namely, hy all the parishes psying on the same day; hut they oever could be got to do this. Individual coovemences prevented the remedy being applied, and the system of fraud stdl prevails, and will continue to prevail, so long as the present management prevails. Now, if the parishes in the metropolis cannot be got to act in concert for the suppression of an evil which affects only one part of the system, I think it will be seen that I am justified in my opinion, that any reform or cooperation in the country is quite hopeless without the establishment of a strong central manngement; nothing else will check the system.

"Might not such general regulations as those to which you have allnded be preseribed hy Act of Parliament?—No, certainly oot. The regulations of sny system must be very numerous; and though they may he uniform, it would be necessary to vary them from time to time; and nnless Parliament was to do nothing hut occupy itself with discussions on details of workhouse manngement, it would he impossihle to effect any great alteration in that way. Msny regulations, however ably devised, must hs experimental. Unforeseen snd apparently unimportant details might haffle the best plans, if there were not the means of msking immediate alteration. Suppose a geoeral regulation were prescribed by Act of Parliament, and it was found to want alteration; you must wait a whole year or more for an Act of Parliament to amend it, or the law must be broken. A central authority might make the alteration, or supply unforeseen omissions in a day or two. Besides, a ceotral board or authority might get informatioo immediately on the matters of detail. If they had for instance to settle some uniform diet, they could at once avail themselves of the assistance of men of science, physicians or chymists; hut you would find that Parliament, if it could really attend to the matter, and would do any thing efficient, must have almost as many committees as there are different details. If there was a central board established, snd it were easily accessihle, as it ought to be, persons in local districts would consult them or make suggestions, who would never think of applying to Parliament. Who would think of applying to Parliament to determine whether four or five ounces of butter should he used as a ration in particular cases, and whether the butter should he Irish nr Dutch? or, if Irish, whether Cork or Limerick; or to determine whether the old women's under-petticoats should he flannel or bauze, and how wide or how long? Yet on details of this sort, beneath the dignity of grave legislators, good or had management would depend."*

By many it is considered that the only means hy which the system can he effectually amended, is the management of the whole Poor Law administration as a hranch of the general Government. The advocates of a national rate, and those who are willing and desirous that the Government should take upon itself the whole distributinn of the funds for the relief of the poor do not appear to have coosidered the expense and difficulties in the way of obtaining such an agency throughout the country.

We have received no definite plan for the porpose and have prepared none. We trust, that immediate measures for the correction of the evils in question may be carried into effect by a comparatively small aod cheap agency, which may assist the parochial or district officers, wherever their management is in conformity to the intention of the legislature; and control them wherever their maoagement is at variance with it. Suhject also to this control, we propose that the management, the collection of the rates, and the entire supervisioo of the expenditure, under increased securities against profusion and malversation, shall continue in the officers appointed immediately hy the rate-payers. This coorse we believe will he the most

* App. (A) Part II.

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

most easily practicable, and will best accord with the recommendations of the majority of the witnesses, and with the prevalent expectation of the country.

Central Board.

The course of proceeding which we recommend for adoption, is in principle that which the legislature adopted for the management of the savings banks, the friendly societies and the annuity societies throughout the country. Having prescribed the outline and general principles on which those institutions should be conducted, a special agency (which in this instance was constituted by one barrister only) was appointed to see that their rules and detailed regulations conformed to the intention of the law. This agency we believe has accomplished the object effectually. From magistrates and clergymen, who act as trustees and managers of savings banks, we have learned, that it is found to work satisfactorily to them and to the members at large, because they are aware that the decision by which any regulation is established or disallowed is made on extended information derived from all similar institutions throughout the kingdom, instead of being made only on such as the neighbourhood might chance to afford. We believe that the control has also been found beneficial by the members of friendly societies, and has put a stop to many which were founded either ignorantly or dishonestly on principles fraught with ruin to the contributors. Since the adoption of this measure, there has been only one appeal against the barrister's decision, and that appeal was disallowed.

II. Second Recommendation.

WE RECOMMEND, THEREFORE, THE APPOINTMENT OF A CENTRAL BOARD TO CONTROL THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE POOR LAWS, WITH SUCH ASSISTANT COMMISSIONERS AS MAY BE FOUND REQUISITE; AND THAT THE COMMISSIONERS BE EMPOWERED AND DIRECTED TO FRAME AND ENFORCE REGULATIONS FOR THE GOVERNMENT OF WORKHOUSES, AND AS TO THE NATURE AND AMOUNT OF THE RELIEF TO BE GIVEN, AND THE LABOUR TO BE EXACTED IN THEM, AND THAT SUCH REGULATIONS SHALL, AS FAR AS MAY BE PRACTICABLE, BE UNIFORM THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY.

We have already recommended the abolition of partial relief to the able-bodied, and particularly of money payments. It appears to us that this prohibition should come into universal operation at the end of two years, and as respects new applicants, at an earlier period, and that the Board should have power, after due inquiry and arrangements, to shorten these periods in any district: one of their first proceedings should probably be the gradual substitution of relief in kind for relief in money.

With such powers the Central Board might discontinue abusive practices, and introduce improvements gradually, detail after detail, in district after district, and proceed with the aid of accumulating experience.

Another advantage of this course, as compared with that of a simultaneous change is, that trouble and expense may be spared to all those parishes where abusive modes of administration do not exist.

The Commissioners would assist those who were willing to exert themselves in bringing about the change, and would exonerate from responsibility those who found it too heavy, or who could not sustain it beneficially. Since the Commissioners would have no local interests or affections, they would enforce the law without ill temper on their parts, and without exciting animosity. Unless those measures which have hitherto caused a decrease of pauperism, and diminished its pecuniary hurthen, the only measures which it would be the duty of the Commissioners to enforce, should produce bad effects instead of good, the benefits of the change in the first districts in which it will be effected, must be such as to remove from the minds of the ill-informed or the timid all the undefined apprehensions which beset the subject, and suppress the interested opposition with which every such change will be assailed.

As one barrier to increase of expense in the detailed management, the Commissioners should be empowered to fix a maximum of the consumption per head within the workhouses, leaving to the local officers the liberty of reducing it below the maximum if they can safely do so.

The following are exemplifications of the regulations which might be transferred from district to district, when found applicable by the Commissioners. An officer of Whitechapel parish in London was asked,

"What sort of work have they in the workhouse?—They have various sorts of work in the workhouse. Out of the workhouse we employ them as general scavengers for cleansing

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board: Regulations which it may enforce.

the parish, contracting for carting only, and making the paupers cleanse all the lanes, alleys and streets, and fill the carts, giving them a small allowance.

"What has been the effect of this regulation?—It had been in operation some years before I came into office, and has been found very beneficial. The parish is much better cleansed, and is more healthy than if left to contractors only. The contractors generally shuffle off cleansing the alleys as they cannot get the cart up them, but we make our men take the wheelbarrows up the avenues. The paupers are by this system made spies to prevent any nuisances that may occasion them trouble. If they see any one throwing down filth, they fetch the superintendent and the party is made to take it up again. For this purpose we find that the paupers are better than the police. The efficiency of this system depends mainly on the superintendent, who is paid to attend to the labour of the paupers. The parish was fortunate in making choice of a proper officer."

In Mr. Codd's Report there is a similar instance. In the parish of St. Paul's Covent Garden, the able-bodied paupers were employed to cleanse the streets:

"Our parishioners," the witness states, "say that the streets were never kept so clean as they have been since the new system prevailed. The fact is, that it is the interest of the contractors to employ as few labourers in this work as possible, and to leave the streets until they are so dirty that large portions may be removed at once."

In the answer from Penrith, it is stated by the assistant overseer,—

"We have at present about 10 acres of land, two of which are planted with potatoes every year by the paupers, with the spade; the remainder is sown with corn and hay-grass. We also collect manure from the streets, which we farm of the Duke of Devonshire for that purpose, and for the sake of cleanliness and employment for the poor. The streets are kept clean by those in the workhouse; and at times, when able-bodied out-door paupers apply for relief, we offer them work in the streets, which they invariably refuse. By this means, and that of spade husbandry, we get rid both of our male and female applicants."

Mr. Tweedy states, that at Huddersfield—

"Two years ago a number of men (15) applied for relief as out of work, and were ordered to come next morning, and have employment in cleansing the streets. Of the 15, but one came the next morning, who said the others had got jobs elsewhere." *

The same results may always be expected where the applicant cannot plead actual inability; and the labour of cleansing the streets can be offered in every town. The Reports of the various Local Boards of Health on the state of the densely peopled neighbourhoods, show how grievously this source of employment has been neglected. Even where it has been introduced, it has seldom been enforced with regularity and upon principle: even the success of the experiment does not ensure its repetition, still less its imitation.

Another instance is the mode in which the out-door paupers are paid in some of the large parishes in the metropolis. The vestry clerk of the parish of Saint Luke, Middlesex, states that—

"For several years past a new system of paying the pensioners has been adopted in our parish. Formerly they came in crowds, the regular pensioners being then about 800, and were paid promiscuously on the presentation of their cards. It was found that some persons obtained payment twice over by getting other persons to present their cards after they had been once paid. The whole coming together, a large proportion of them was kept waiting a considerable time, and in addition to the time lost by the paupers, there was much mischief done by an extension of the opportunities of communication, and the formation of vicious acquaintances. The mothers of bastard children might form acquaintances with others still more depraved. The children of more creditable people became familiar with the confirmed paupers.

"The improvement consisted in the pensioners being paid in sets of 100 each; each 100 is paid, and each payment entered within a quarter of an hour. Any person within the same 100 may be paid within the same quarter of an hour; the quarter of an hour, it may be observed, is printed on each ticket. If the party does not attend at the proper time their pension is suspended during the ensuing week. An hour and a half of the pauper's time is thus saved; and on an average, the crowd is reduced from 800 to 50, and the commission of fraud by repeated payments on the same ticket, is rendered impossible."

The regulation might probably be made much more efficient, but such as it is it appears to have been little imitated. The overseer of the adjacent parish of St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, states in his evidence—

"There were 400 people with new faces for me to pay the first night I sat. I had no one to assist me or inform me, and I gave money away on the mere statements made to me; I am confident I paid some of the people twice over that night."

These crowds are kept often the whole day, and usually during several hours congregated together in the most corrupting state of idleness around the workhouse

* Tweedy, App. (A.) Part I. p. 808.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

house door. The conduct of these crowds is thus described by the governor of the St. Pancras workhouse.

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board—Regulations which it may enforce.

"Even this course has not entirely gotten rid of the evil; for while they are congregated round the workhouse doors, their language and conduct are so degrading and obscene, as to be a subject of heavy complaint with the neighbours and passengers; no decent female can approach them without being insulted; and I grieve to say, that the young women especially seem to have entirely lost all sense of propriety, or rather of common decency, it is no unusual sight to see them upon these occasions in situations of indecency that are most revolting.

"These very shameful practices have not subsisted for more than five or six years; but they have increased in force and frequency within that time, and we have tried every means of prevention within our reach, without success. We have called in the aid of the police, have taken the parties before the magistrates, &c. but all to no purpose."

Other witnesses, whose own parishes are the boundaries of their knowledge, as well as of their experience on the subject, assert that such evils are incurable. One parish evinces perfect ignorance of regulations which have long been in force as efficient remedies in adjacent parishes. The instance mentioned at St. Pancras relates to a form of relief which we hope to see abolished; but during the period of its unavoidable continuance, provision should be made for the introduction of regulations by which its evils may be abated. Some valuable practical improvements of the existing system are found in the voluminous codes and by-laws under which incorporations are managed.

If the sum of the good regulations which are found in single and separate, and therefore partial operation, scattered amidst a multitude of parishes, were carried into complete execution in every parish or district to which they were found applicable, the improvement would probably be greater than can be hoped for from untried enactments. We recommend, therefore, that the *same* powers of making rules and regulations that are now exercised by upwards of 15,000 unskilled and (practically) irresponsible authorities, liable to be biassed by sinister interests, should be confided to the Central Board of Control, on which responsibility is strongly concentrated, and which will have the most extensive information. Even if the Board were to frame bad regulations (and worse regulations than those now in practice they could scarcely devise), it would be a less mischievous arrangement than the present, inasmuch as the chances of opposition to a pernicious measure would be increased in proportion to the extension of the jurisdiction, and success in such opposition would be success throughout the jurisdiction. Those who are now maintainers of their own errors would be vigilant and unsparing censors of the errors of a distant authority. Under the existing system, when opposition is made to the continuance of a bad practice, and the opposition is successful, the success is limited to one parish, or to one fifteen thousandth part of the whole field in which the practice may prevail. In the next parish, and in other parishes, the form of the abuse is generally varied, and requires a varied as well as a renewed opposition. These variations elude legislative enactments, and divide and weaken the force with which the opinion of the intelligent part of the community would act against them. But if a bad practice is rendered uniform, it becomes obnoxious, in proportion to its extent, to the full force of public opinion; the aggregate of its effects, immediate or collateral, which may appear insignificant, and unworthy of attention, in the single and obscure parish, or in any group of parishes, may be correctly estimated, and brought completely within the cognizance of the Legislature. For this purpose, therefore, in addition to the others which we have already laid down, we consider that uniformity of management would, in many cases, be essential to improvement, and to the permanency of any improved system. To the accomplishment of these objects, other measures, to which we shall shortly advert, appear to us to be requisite. By means, however, of the agency which we have proposed, by alterations of detail after detail, with which the Legislature could not occupy itself, bad practices may be weeded out of every district, good practices may be planted in every district. The precedent which we have adduced with relation to the control of savings banks and friendly societies illustrates this course of operations. Mr. Tidd Pratt states,

"I invariably forward to all the institutions suggestions of the expediency of adopting rules which have been found to work beneficially; and I also warn them of mischievous results experienced from particular rules in other places. For example, with regard to the former, I found in one of the savings banks (the Exeter) a rule which allowed the trustees to apply to the member's benefit any portion of the deposits in case of insanity or imbecility; not one of the other savings banks possessed such a rule. The consequence was, that when a member became insane, they would have had no other mode to enable them to apply the

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board: Union of Parishes.

member's money to his use than an application to the Lord Chancellor. Sometimes the sums to be applied were only 10*l.*: this rule I communicated by circular to the members of every savings bank, with a recommendation that it should be adopted: many of them have already adopted it; and I believe that in a short time it will be generally adopted. Where I find a good rule, I send it to all; and where I find a bad rule, I stop it in all, and the chances of finding good rules are just in proportion to the extent of the jurisdiction."

The central agency instituted by the Legislature for the control of the administration of the Poor Laws, would form a depositary of comprehensive information to guide the local officers in cases which, from their comparatively limited experience and knowledge, might appear to them to be, or which really were, anomalous. Applications in cases of this nature have already been made to the Commissioners. Their information would be received with the conviction of its being the best existing upon the subject. The last witness cited was asked, with reference to this point,

"Are you often consulted in cases of difficulty experienced by magistrates and others who are managers of the several societies within your supervision?—Yes; and by chairmen of quarter sessions, by Members of both Houses, under the supposition, as I conceive, that I am paid by salary, and that, being a servant of the Crown, they are entitled to apply to me in cases where they themselves feel difficulty. I invariably give the assistance asked, although it takes up a great deal of a professional man's time."

The chief remedy for the principal evil of the system, the increase of the number of the able-bodied paupers, having been shewn to be, their reception in a well-managed workhouse: we shall next consider by what means by which such workhouses can be provided, and the requisite management enforced.

The first difficulty arises from the small population of a large proportion of the parishes. Of the 15,535 parishes (including under that name townships maintaining their own poor) of England and Wales, there are 737 in which the population does not exceed 50 persons, 1,907 in which it does not exceed 100, and 6,681 in which it does not exceed 300. Few such parishes could support a workhouse, though they may have a poorhouse, a miserable abode, occupied rent free by three or four dissolute families, mutually corrupting each other. Even the parishes which are somewhat more populous, those containing from 300 to 800 inhabitants, and which amount to 5,353, in the few cases in which they possess an efficient management, obtain it at a disproportionate expense.

In such parishes, when overburthened with poor, we usually find the building called a workhouse occupied by 60 or 80 paupers, made up of a dozen or more neglected children (under the care, perhaps, of a pauper), about twenty or thirty able-bodied adult paupers of both sexes, and probably an equal number of aged and impotent persons, proper objects of relief. Amidst these the mothers of bastard children and prostitutes live without shame, and associate freely with the youth, who have also the examples and conversation of the frequent inmates of the county gaol, the poacher, the vagrant, the decayed beggar, and other characters of the worst description. To these may often be added a solitary blind person, one or two idiots, and not unfrequently are heard, from amongst the rest, the incessant ravings of some neglected lunatic. In such receptacles the sick poor are often immured.

In the former part of the Report we have given instances of the condition of the larger workhouses in the metropolis. The statements with respect to those in the provincial towns and in the rural districts, are equally unfavourable: we annex a very few instances.

Captain Pringle states that, in

"*Portsea Workhouse.*—In the women's yard all characters mix together, excepting that the very old have small rooms, in each room three or four; in these, and in the large day-room, in which were nurses with bastards, they had fires in August, and were cooking, making tea, &c. The general character of the house, both as to the persons of the paupers, their day-rooms and bed-rooms, is slovenly and dirty. The space so limited also, that in rooms containing from 20 to 30 beds, they were so close as merely to allow a person to pass between them."*

"In that at *Ramsey*, in which the inmates amounted to 48, they are farmed at the price of 3*s.* weekly, children included. There is no scale of diet, that being left to the farmer or contractor, who also employs the paupers where and how he pleases. The house was dirty, the old men particularly so; the younger men and boys were out at work. On inquiring for the boys' dormitory, I found they slept each with one of the men; the mistress said

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 292.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board. Union of Parishes.

said this was done to keep them quiet. The overseer, who accompanied me, and whose duty it was to inspect the house, stated that he was not aware of the placing men and boys to sleep together; that he never had any complaints either as to diet or beds, and he believed all were comfortable. And, as a further proof of the little attention paid by these constituted authorities to the duties confided to them, one of the girls, it appeared, had a child by the brother of the contractor. The overseer did not consider this as a circumstance of any importance. Nothing was said to the contractor, and his brother was still allowed to be about the house." *

"With regard to classification it may be observed, that in the small poor-houses, with the exception of Millbrook, I never found it more than nominal; and even in the larger poor-houses, classification and other regulations appeared never to be carried into effect in an efficient manner, for which the master was probably often less to blame than those under whose control he held his situation. The children are the sufferers from this neglect, as may be inferred from so large a portion turning out badly." †

"In the small agricultural parish of Tandridge, with a population of 478, a double tenement has been hired as a poor-house: in one of the rooms, in one bed, sleep the master with two boys, aged 15 and 12; in the other bed, a girl of 15 with a boy of 11; in another very small room, a man and wife and two children lie in one bed, and two children on the floor. The parish cage, the interior of which is about eight feet square, is used as the habitation of four persons, a man, his wife and two children; a grated opening in the wall admits light and air."

"In Dover workhouse the number of inmates is 250; the average expense of diet 2*s.* 7½*d.*; seven lunatics are confined here, two of whom are very dangerous, and are chained to their beds; one of them was lately at large in the yard, and had very nearly put one of the paupers to death, who was saved by the master coming in time to rescue him; in many workhouses in this county there are idiots and insane persons who are a great annoyance to the inmates in general; probably this nuisance will not exist much longer, as the asylum near Maidstone is nearly completed." ‡

Mr. Osler, in his communication, gives the following instances of the condition of the workhouses in the vicinity of Falmouth:

"*Mabe House*, a ruinous hovel, utterly unfit for the residence of a human being, two men, four women, three children; of whom four receive 8*s.* 9*d.* weekly, and a man, his wife and three children have only shelter. A married couple occupy the same room with two women." §

"*Mylor.*—Eight men, seventeen women, seven children, who are placed in the different rooms, supporting themselves either by an allowance of money from the parish, or by their own labour. A barber, who carries on business in the house, has his pole hung out at the door. No governor, or domestic authority of any description." ‖

In such places when questions of the following tenor are put—Why is no labour found for the able-bodied? Why are not the children placed under proper tuition? Why is not proper care taken of the lunatic?—the usual answers are, "The parish is too poor to pay for a keeper;" "We cannot keep a schoolmaster for so few children;" "To provide a superintendent to keep half-a-dozen or a dozen of men at work would be too heavy a charge." Even the superintendence of the whole of these various classes, and the management of the house, is often found a pecuniary burthen disproportionately heavy; and the parish officers attempt to diminish it by confiding the whole to one who is in reality and sometimes avowedly a pauper.

"*Constantine House.*—Ten men, nineteen women, two children. The governor has been dismissed for the sake of economy, and an infirm old pauper regulates the diet and keeps the accounts. All rooms, except the kitchen, close, dirty and offensive. Bedsteads, clumsy wooden ones. Men's dormitory, their sitting-room, very low, with windows too small for ventilation; excessively dirty, and an abominable musty smell. The fish dinners are cooked here. House appeared not to have been white-washed from time immemorial. Two men slept in the women's rooms, but the new overseer expressed an intention to correct these evils." ¶

The Rev. Peyton Blackiston, the curate of Lymington, Hants, states—

"It appears to me that parochial workhouses are in most places very inefficient, owing to their want of a proper and extensive subdivision, so that the bad may be completely separated from the good. All the parish officers with whom I have conversed upon the subject have at once acknowledged the evil, but they say that the parishes could not afford the expense of such subdivisions.

"The result of my inquiries and observations respecting the moral and religious education of the children in the parochial workhouses is, that it is greatly neglected. Even in the workhouse

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 296. † Mr. Majendie. App. (A.) Part I. pp. 173, 174.
‡ App. (A.) Part I. pp. 217, 218. § App. (C.) p. 167. ‖ App. (C.) p. 166. ¶ App. (C.) p. 167.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board: Union of Parishes.

house of Lymington there was no such instruction previous to the year 1831, with the exception of about an hour a day, in which the girl who cooked taught the children to read. This has also contributed to make them turn out badly. At this moment the generality of parochial workhouses in Hampshire do not supply any effective religious and moral instruction, the children cannot do even the coarsest needlework in a creditable manner, nor are they practised in that kind of work, which, as domestic servants, they would be required to perform. I dare say the parish officers will endeavour to gloss over the matter, and from shame would make it appear that the moral and religious instruction of the parish children was well attended to; but as an eye-witness of many parochial workhouses, and having conversed with many of my brother clergy on the subject, I can state that such is not the case. In the workhouse of Lymington parish, which is one certainly of the most improved provincial towns I know, a school was established in 1831, when an able woman was appointed to give instructions in reading and religious duties, and to teach and superintend needle work. The advantages were most striking. It is almost past belief, that about two months ago the vestry discontinued the schoolmistress, although her salary was only £. 10. per annum and her dinner." †

Even in the larger workhouses internal subdivisions do not afford the means of classification, where the inmates dine in the same rooms, or meet or see each other in the ordinary business of the place. In the largest houses, containing from eight hundred to a thousand inmates, where there is comparatively good order and, in many respects, superior management, it is almost impossible to prevent the formation and extension of vicious connections. Inmates who see each other, though prevented from communicating in the house, often become associates when they meet out of it. It is found almost impracticable to subject all the various classes within the same house to an appropriate treatment. One part of a class of adults often so closely resembles a part of another class, as to make any distinction in treatment appear arbitrary and capricious to those who are placed in the inferior class, and to create discontents, which the existing authority is too feeble to suppress, and so much complexity as to render the object attainable only by great additional expense and remarkable skill. Much, however, has been accomplished in some of the existing houses; but much more, it appears to us, may be effected and at a less expense by the measures which we proceed to suggest.

At least four classes are necessary.—1. The aged and really impotent; 2. The children; 3. The able-bodied females; 4. The able-bodied males. Of whom we trust that the two latter will be the least numerous classes. It appears to us that both the requisite classification and the requisite superintendance may be better obtained in separate buildings than under a single roof. If effected in the latter mode, large buildings must be erected, since few of the existing buildings are of the requisite size or arrangement, and as very different qualities, both moral and intellectual, are required for the management of such dissimilar classes, each class must have its separate superintendant. Nothing would be saved therefore in superintendance, and much expense must be incurred in buildings.

If, however, a separate building is assigned to each class, the existing workhouses might, in most cases, be made use of. For this purpose the parishes possessing these houses must, for certain purposes, be incorporated. By these means four parishes, each of which has at present no means of classification, might at once obtain the means of the most effectual classification; and though so small a number of parishes as four might be sufficient for an incorporation, it is obvious that a much larger number might unite and obtain the advantages of wholesale management and good superintendence, not only without any increase, but with a great diminution of expense.

The salary of the masters of separate workhouses in towns does not usually exceed fifty or sixty guineas per annum; the aggregate expenses of management of four such workhouses may be stated to be two hundred or two hundred and forty guineas, and yet no special provision is usually made for the superintendance of the labour of the able-bodied, nor for the education of the children. Under a system of combined management a less salary would probably suffice for the person who superintended the poor-house or receptacle for the old, whilst a larger salary might be given to a person of appropriate qualifications to act as task-master or superintendant of the workhouse, properly so called, for the reception of the able-bodied, and also to a person properly qualified to act as a schoolmaster. Each class might thus receive an appropriate treatment; the old might enjoy their

* App. (C.) p. 1, 2.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board: Union of Parishes.

their indulgences without torment from the boisterous; the children be educated and the able-bodied subjected to such courses of labour and discipline as will repel the indolent and vicious. The principle of separate and appropriate management has been carried into imperfect execution, in the cases of lunatics, by means of lunatic asylums; and we have no doubt that, with relation to these objects, the blind, and similar cases, it might be carried into more complete execution under extended incorporations acting with the aid of the central Board.

Apprehensions are frequently expressed of the evil consequences from congregrating "large bodies of sturdy paupers together in workhouses." Such consequences have not ensued in the instances of the dispauperised parishes, and we believe that the most effectual means of preventing them is the classification which we propose. It is natural, indeed, for those who judge from the conduct of the able-bodied paupers in small classes under the existing system to anticipate that in larger classes their conduct will be proportionably worse, and that the difficulty of controlling them will be increased and could be overcome only in edifices constructed for the purpose. We should admit this opinion to have weight, if the able-bodied paupers were brought together in larger classes, without being placed under better management; the probable mischief of an *ill*-regulated and *idle* class being proportionate to the chances of there being found within the class persons able to give it a mischievous direction, and all other things remaining the same, these chances are of course increased by the increase of the class; but by good management those chances are almost annihilated. The evidence which we have received appears to establish that continued tumult on the part of able-bodied paupers is conclusive proof of inexperience or incapacity on the parts of those charged with their management. The testimony upon this subject of Mr. Mott, a witness of the most extensive practical experience of any witness examined under this Commission, is corroborated by that of others.

"The refractory poor," he states, "occasion great mischief and confusion in all workhouses; but the mischief arises more from the bad example of the *few*, than from the *many*, for all my experience has shown that the number of refractory paupers is not great, as compared with the gross number of paupers in any parish or district, perhaps not much above five per cent., certainly not ten per cent., and the conduct even of persons of this class, must be attributed to the inducements offered by the present defective system, rather than to any innate disposition to act unlawfully. They know that their customary allowances and the rules of management are *discretionary* in the breasts of the parish officers; they have daily proof that the most refractory frequently obtain their ends, and get their condition 'bettered,' partly through the fear or dislike of the officers to come in contact with such characters, and partly from a desire of the stipendiary manager to save himself trouble, well knowing that a complaint to the magistrates is only a waste of time, because the punishment awarded is in fact no punishment whatever. These refractory characters are generally the most expert work-people (of those who apply for relief) under proper guidance. If I had a given quantity of work to get done in a certain time, by paupers, I should say to the parish officers, 'Let me have your most refractory characters;' as I find that with mildness and persuasion, but with a determined conduct, constant superintendance, and suitable encouragement, they may be brought to do much more work than other paupers. They are not to be calculated upon as permanent paupers under a good system, and I do believe that to a man they would run to steady industry, if compelled by superior authority to conform to regulations rendering such industry preferable."

The success of the management of various institutions in the metropolis which give no partial relief, such as the Philanthropic Society, where the children of criminals are educated and brought up to useful trades; the Refuge for the Destitute, in which young persons who have been discharged from prison are supplied with the means of instruction and reformation; and the Guardian Society, in which females who have become outcasts from society are provided with a temporary asylum and suitable employment until their conduct affords assurances of their amendment, are instances of what might be done by the good management of separate classes of the existing paupers.

These societies take for their subjects persons trained up in vice, and are stated, in a large proportion of cases, to reclaim them. The children who enter an ordinary workhouse, quit it, if they ever quit it, corrupted where they were well disposed, and hardened where they were vicious.

The circumstances which appear to conduce to the success of the excellent institutions to which we have referred (and to which we might add the Asylums for the Indigent Blind, the Schools for the Deaf and Dumb, the Marine Society's Schools), appear to be—first, that by classification of the objects of relief, the appropriate course of treatment is better ascertained, and its application and the general

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board: Union of Parishes.

general management rendered less difficult: secondly, that the co-operation of persons of leisure and information is obtained. The institutions for females are generally superintended by ladies' committees.

The following extracts from some evidence given by Mrs. Park, wife of Mr. Adam Park, surgeon, Gravesend, the brother of the celebrated traveller, will serve to show that under good arrangements much voluntary service might be made available in a great proportion of the workhouses throughout the country.

"About two years ago the state of our workhouse attracted my attention, from the condition in which I learned that it was during my inquiries respecting Mr. Park's patients, he being then the surgeon of the parish. There were then fifty females in the workhouse. Of these, twenty-seven were young stout active women, who were never employed in doing any thing whatever. There were five of these young and able women who were accustomed to go to bed in the forenoon, solely to pass off the time. There was no separation of the sexes during the day, and the most frightful demoralization was the consequence. Four old females did the whole of the work of cooking, and cleaning the house.

"The younger females, the children, were brought up much in the same way; they were educated by an exceedingly ignorant, ill-conducted man, a pauper, who acted as the parish schoolmaster. These females were brought up in the same school with the boys, and very great disorders prevailed.

"The old females were also very ill regulated. I found that they made it a practice to send the children to the public-house for spirits. How they obtained the money was a mystery which I have never been able to penetrate. On the whole, the workhouse appeared to me, from all I saw and all I could learn, a frightful and increasing source of demoralization to the labouring classes, and of burthens to them in common with the higher classes.

"Seeing this, I got several ladies to form a committee, and we tendered our services to the churchwardens and the parish officers to educate the children, and to make the young and able-bodied paupers of our own sex work a certain number of hours a day, and conform to industrious and religious habits.

"The first object was to bring all the inmates to more industrious habits. Instead of four old persons always doing all the work in the house, our intention was, that the requisite number of persons should perform the cooking and other work in turn, so that these young women might learn household work, and form useful domestic habits, instead of bad habits and immorality.

The exertions of these ladies were greatly impeded by the parish officers; much good was nevertheless accomplished. The witness states, that

"The elder paupers were taught knitting stockings, and the younger females needlework. Before we went to the workhouse they were badly clothed, and some of them were almost in a state of rags and nakedness. We wished to have the whole clothed in one way, with gowns of blue linsey-woolsey, check aprons, dark handkerchiefs, and close white caps. After violent opposition from the mistress of the house and the females themselves, this was acceded to. Hitherto they had purchased the most gaudy prints for the females, and ready-made slop shirts for the men in the house, whilst the young women were lying in bed idle. One of the paupers, a girl of 18 years of age, who refused to work, was dressed in a dashing print-dress of red and green, with *gigot* sleeves, a silk hand, a large golden or gilt buckle, long gilt earrings, and a lace-cap, turned up in front with bright ribbons, in the fashion of the day, and a high comb under the cap, and abundance of curls. A general order was given that the hair of the females should be braided, and put under their caps, and no curls or curl-papers seen. We got the whole of the young females clothed in the manner we designed in two months during the first year. This was done by their own labour, under the instructions we gave them. The benefit of this dress was, that whenever they went out of the workhouse they were known and liable to observation, and could not act as they had been accustomed to act when they could not be distinguished. In the next place, the parish saved money. They were thus clothed comfortably for 10*s.* each; the clothing consisting of one chemise, one apron, one cap, gown and petticoat, stockings, handkerchief, and all for 10*s.*

"After that we procured them needle-work, in which we had no difficulty, though we were opposed in the first instance, under the notion that we should injure the National School, where work is taken in. It was supposed also that it would injure industrious poor people in the neighbourhood. But according to the statements of the National School Society, the amount of the labour done was not diminished. Neither could we ascertain that any industrious people out of the house had been injured by it; we never had any complaint, nor ever heard of one from any industrious people. I believe the fact to be, that a great part of the work we procured was work created, or which would not have been done had it not been taken in at the workhouse. But it would have been much better that the work which might be done in wealthy families should be done in the workhouse, that these paupers should be occupied usefully, and instructed. The ladies paid great attention to the work, and employed one of the most intelligent and active of the inmates of the house as the general superintendant. The work was remarked for its neatness; no slovenly or indifferent work was permitted to go out; and the committee were so particular, that the instruction they received was necessarily much better than that which they would have obtained in the houses of their own parents. One effect of this partial discipline in the house was, that in almost two months about one-half of the workers left. Some of them called themselves

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board: Union of Parishes.

selves widows; others said that they did not come in to work; they merely came in until they could accommodate themselves, until they could get themselves another situation; but they would not remain to work, indeed: that they would not; they would take a room and keep themselves when they were out of place sooner than put on a dress, and be made to work! One refractory person said, 'The poor were not going to be oppressed by work.'

"If you had been secounded in your exertions, and been allowed to carry into effect the alterations which you thought desirable, what further effects do you believe, judging from your experience, would have been practicable?—In the first place, we should have had the hours of work at least doubled. I am well convinced that the workhouse might, as regards females, be made a school of industry, and a place of wholesome restraint, instead of a school of vice. Whilst no one would come to it under the influence of the inducements afforded by indolence, those who must necessarily come there, orphans, and the great numbers of young people who have been born on the parish might be so instructed as to be made superior servants and good nurses, and superior wives of working men. In the first place, the workhouse affords the means of giving to females instruction in household work and in domestic economy, which at present is their great want, and which so frequently occasions the ruin and misery of labouring men when they take wives from this class. That which is done by the Guardian Society in London, might be done in every workhouse throughout the kingdom. If matrons, with proper qualifications, were appointed, they might conduct the system, and might obtain the assistance of the ladies of the vicinity. I was told at the outset that ladies could not be got to form a committee, but I found no difficulty whatever in getting a committee of the age and qualifications to command respect. The household work, scouring, cleaning, washing, plain cooking, needlework, knitting, mending and making up carpets, and economical industry might under such a system be taught in a much higher degree than they could be learned in a cottage, or even in the house of a person of the middle classes. They might also receive superior instruction in another respect: they might be well qualified to act as nurses when sickness occurred in the families of their employers or in their own families. There are always poor people sick in the workhouse, and they might be usefully taught to wait upon the sick people. There are very few females capable of acting as nurses; in fact, it requires good instruction of a nature which might be given by the physician who attends the workhouse. The ladies' committee might maintain a very high order of domestic instruction in these places; and the children of misfortune, who are now a prey to every vice, might be good servants, and in every respect good members of society. This is, in fact, accomplished by the ladies of the Guardian Society in London.

"Did you attempt to make any classification in the house?—In such a house classification was nearly impossible. We did on some occasions separate the very old from the young, which was deemed by the old a very great blessing. Some attempt was made to separate the very bad females from the others who were less depraved, but we never could effect it. In short, it appears to me that the only classification which could be made, would be by placing them in separate houses, which might be effected, I am sure, without any addition to the present number of houses. When I look at the parishes around here and then houses, I see no difficulty whatever in making a good classification of the inmates, provided they were under one general management. The persons who are placed as superintendants should have no local interests, and therefore should not be locally appointed. So surely as they are, so surely will there be disorder. The rules will not be so rigidly applied as they ought to be from the numbers in the house who are connected with them or known to them. The mischief which we find to result from this exercise of partiality goes beyond the violation of some rules, and the weakening of all others, in the ferment and discontent and disorder excited in the minds of the other paupers by the injustice done by the exercise of this partiality. If the class were large, as it would be for a time, from such a district, it might be worth while to employ as the superintendant of the house for the females a person of education and respectability. Such persons as the widows of non-commissioned officers would be extremely glad to accept such situations; and they might also be made acceptable to such persons as the widows of poor clergymen, and it would be cheap to the public in the end to obtain the services of such persons. They would be incapable of the low cunning and petty jobbing which exist at present."

The different effects of different modes of education and treatment upon the same descriptions of persons are strikingly exemplified in some portions of the evidence collected under this Commission, in which it is shown that whilst nearly the whole of the children of one parish where their education and training is neglected, become thieves or otherwise pests of society, nearly the whole of the children of another parish where better care of them is taken, are rendered industrious and valuable members of the community.* In the latter case much of the beneficial results may be ascribed to the attention of persons of education who visited and superintended the schools. One great advantage of the classification obtainable by means of a combination of workhouses would be, that the aid of voluntary associations or local committees, of the class of persons who have conducted useful public

* App. (A.) Part II.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board: Union of Parishes.

public institutions, might be more extensively obtained, to superintend the education of the workhouse children, as well as of the other classes of paupers adverted to by the lady whose testimony we have cited.

Although our evidence does not countenance the apprehension that, under a good system of management, a large proportion of the existing able-bodied paupers would continue permanently dependant on the poor rates, it appears that in the first instance the chief arrangements must be made with reference to this class of paupers. But we do not apprehend that in many instances new workhouses would be requisite for their reception. It is another of the advantages held out by the aggregation of paupers from a district for the purpose of classification, that the separate classes of the proper objects of relief might be accommodated temporarily in ordinary dwelling houses, and it is a fortunate district in which there are no empty tenements available for their reception. The tenements belonging to the parish might be rendered available for the separate accommodation of one class of paupers, and the poor-house itself for that of the able-bodied; and on the whole it appears from the evidence, that although a considerable proportion of the parishes are without workhouses, there are few *districts* in which, by combined management, and under good regulations, the existing workhouse-room would not suffice.

By assigning one class of paupers to each of the houses comprehended in an incorporation, a greater number of persons might be received within each house. In small districts there are considerable fluctuations of the numbers of persons in each class; in the workhouse of a single parish the rooms appropriated for the reception of the sick must often be empty; in a house for the reception of the sick from a number of parishes, the absence of patients from one parish would be met by an influx from another, and a more steady average number maintained, and so with the other classes of inmates. The rooms left empty by these fluctuations or reserved for emergencies under the existing management, cannot without great inconvenience be immediately appropriated to the use of the redundant class. If any rooms on the female side of the house be left unoccupied, they cannot be readily appropriated to the use of an extra number of male paupers. The witness last cited states—

"In Lambeth, under the present arrangement, 800 is as great a number as we can reasonably calculate upon accommodating; whereas, if the whole workhouse was appropriated to the reception of only one class of persons, from 900 to 1,000 might be fairly accommodated. If you add to this the room that would be obtained by the discharge of those of the *present* inmates who *would not* submit to the restraint of strict workhouse regulations, I think ample accommodation might be made for all those who *would* avail themselves of the workhouse dietary and accommodation, when their money allowance was discontinued."

Although such is the general tenor of the evidence, we cannot state that there may not be some districts where new workhouses would be found requisite, but we have no doubt that where this does occur the erection of appropriate edifices though apparently expensive would ultimately be found economical. Under a system of district management the workhouses might be supplied under one contract at wholesale prices. Mr. Mott states, that if 500 persons cost £. 10 per head, or £. 5,000; 1,000 persons would cost only £. 9 per head, or £. 9,000. He also states, that there would be no more difficulty in managing five or six combined workhouses than five or six separate wards or rooms in one house. Considerable economy would also be practicable in combined workhouses, by varying the nature of the supplies. In the smaller workhouses the children receive nearly the same diet as the adults; if they were separated they might receive a diet both cheaper and more wholesome.

III.

Third Recommendation

TO EFFECT THESE PURPOSES WE RECOMMEND THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD BE EMPOWERED TO CAUSE ANY NUMBER OF PARISHES WHICH THEY MAY THINK CONVENIENT TO BE INCORPORATED FOR THE PURPOSE OF WORKHOUSE MANAGEMENT, AND FOR PROVIDING NEW WORKHOUSES WHERE NECESSARY, TO DECLARE THEIR WORKHOUSES TO BE THE COMMON WORKHOUSES OF THE INCORPORATED DISTRICT, AND TO ASSIGN TO THOSE WORKHOUSES SEPARATE CLASSES OF POOR, THOUGH COMPOSED OF THE POOR OF DISTINCT PARISHES, EACH DISTINCT PARISH PAYING TO THE SUPPORT OF THE PERMANENT WORKHOUSE ESTABLISHMENT, IN PROPORTION TO THE AVERAGE AMOUNT OF THE EXPENCE

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

EXPENCE INCURRED FOR THE RELIEF OF ITS POOR, FOR THE THREE PREVIOUS YEARS, AND PAYING SEPARATELY FOR THE FOOD AND CLOTHING OF ITS OWN P[illegible]ERS.

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board; Union of Parishes.

The power of incorporation for workhouse purposes appears to us to be absolutely necessary. It also appears to us that parishes may be beneficially incorporated for some other purposes. As this opinion depends in some measure on a further opinion that extended management is in certain points and within certain limits economical, and as this opinion is at variance with a prevalent impression in favour of the general economy of small districts, we shall support it at some length. In the minds of many, management on a large scale, and large establishments, are associated with large expenses and general profusion: where every thing is magnified, abuses, which, though greater in proportion, would have been imperceptible on a smaller scale, become visible and striking; but we find that in the small parishes the expense per head of the persons entitled to relief is generally the greatest, and that, although the actual burthen per pound on the rental is often small, that is effected not by diminishing but by shifting and often aggravating the real burthen; by destroying cottages, preventing settlements, and driving the labourers into the adjoining district. The following answer by Mr. Mott both states the comparative economy of the larger parishes, and accounts for it. He was asked,—

"What would be the effect of dividing Lambeth into as many independent parishes as there are in the city of London?"

He replies,—

"The chief effects which appear to me to be likely to ensue are, that we should have ninety-six imperfect establishments instead of one; ninety-six sources of peculation instead of one; ninety-six sets of officers to be imposed upon by paupers instead of one set, ninety-six sources of litigation and of expense for removals and disputed settlements instead of one; and ninety-six modes of rating instead of one.

"It appears that the 96 city parishes, (many of which are extremely wealthy, and lightly burthened with poor) with a population of 55,000, expended for the relief of the poor, in the year 1831, £.84,000. Lambeth, with 32,000 more people, and many densely-peopled districts containing very poor people, expended on the relief of the poor only £.37,000 during the same year. In the wealthy parishes of the city of London, the money annually paid as poor's rates amounted to £.1. 3. 3½. per head; whilst in Lambeth the amount annually paid is 8*s*. 6*d*. and a fraction per head. The adults of Lambeth parish are now supported in the workhouse at 3*s*. 11*d*. a week per head; whilst in the city of London, the greater proportion of all classes of poor, including children, are farmed out at an expense of from 4*s*. 6*d*. to 7*s*. each, and the expense of those maintained in the small city workhouses varies from 5*s* to 8*s*. per head per week for all classes."

The following is a recapitulation of an examination of the comparative expense of the poor's rates per head, in the largest, the least, and the intermediate sized parishes; comprehending all the parishes from which we have received returns, belonging to the first seven counties, taken in alphabetical order, referred to in our Supplement.

	POPULATION.	RATE PER HEAD		
		£.	*s*.	*d*.
BEDFORDSHIRE:				
16 Parishes - - - - -	12,224	1	–	– ¾
5 Largest - - - - -	6,163	–	16	5
8 Intermediate - - - -	4,012	1	6	10 ¾
5 Least - - - - -	2,049	–	17	8
BERKS:				
30 Parishes - - - - -	40,971	–	14	7 ½
10 Largest - - - - -	29,488	–	12	10 ½
10 Intermediate - - - -	8,401	–	17	7 ½
10 Least - - - - -	3,081	–	19	3 ½
BUCKS:				
35 Parishes - - - - -	34,456	–	14	5 ½
12 Largest - - - - -	22,855	–	11	8 ½
11 Intermediate - - - -	8,386	–	18	7 ½
12 Least - - - - -	3,415	1	4	1 ½

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

[illegible] DIAL MEASURES.

[illegible] Parishes

	POPULATION.	RATE PER HEAD. £. s. d.
CAMBRIDGE		
41 Parishes - - - - - -	59,016	- 13 1 ¼
12 Largest - - - - - -	37,114	- 11 9 ½
12 Intermediate - - - - -	11,840	- 14 8 ½
12 Least - - - - -	5,410	- 14 10 ¼
CHESTER:		
17 Parishes - - - - -		
6 Largest - - - - -	6,481	- 7 - ¾
5 Intermediate - - - -	1,568	- 6 8 ½
6 Least - - - - -	871	- 9 9 ¼
CORNWALL:		
30 Parishes - - - - -	00,121	- 6 1 ¼
10 Largest - - - - -	43,328	- 4 9 ½
10 Intermediate - - - -	11,520	- 9 7
10 Least - - - - -	5,273	- 9 5
CUMBERLAND:		
46 Parishes - - - - -	45,607	- 5 8
12 Largest - - - - -	32,979	- 5 4 ¾
12 Intermediate - - - -	6,419	- 6 3
12 Least - - - - -	2,223	- 7 11 ¾

Of these 7 Counties, s. d.

The 07 largest parishes give 9 - ¾ per head on the population.

The 66 intermediate parishes 14 4 ditto

The 67 least parishes - - 14 11 ¾ ditto.

Of all England,—

The 100 absolutely largest parishes, containing a population of 3,196,064, give 6*s.* 7*d.* per head.

The 100 intermediate parishes, containing a population of 19,841, give 15*s.* per head.

The 100 least parishes, from which Poor Rates Returns are made, with a population of 1,708, give 1*l.* 11*s.* 11½*d.* per head.

The 100 intermediate parishes are of the size of which there is the greatest number, and where the population is not too large, to allow the parish officers to obtain a personal knowledge of the individuals relieved.

We have no recent returns of proportions of paupers in the parishes referred to in the preceding statement; but on referring to the Parliamentary Returns of the number of paupers in each parish in the years 1803 and 1813, it appears that the number of persons relieved in the large and small parishes bears some proportion to their relative amount of rates. In the three hundred parishes of which the comparative amount of the poor's rates on the population has been stated, the

	1803.	1813.
Average number of persons relieved was, in the 100 largest parishes	1 in 16, or 6¼ p' cent.	1 in 13, or 7¾ p' cent.
— — 100 intermediate ditto	1 in 10, or 10 p' cent.	1 in 8, or 12½ p' cent.
— — 100 smallest ditto -	1 in 6, or 16⅔ p' cent.	1 in 4, or 25 p' cent.

	In 100 Largest Parishes.	In 100 Intermediate.	In 100 Smallest.
The Increase of Pauperism on Population from 1803 to 1813, was -	1½ per cent.	2½ per cent.	8½ per cent.

The economy of extended management in the rural districts, is also proved by the evidence derived from the incorporated hundreds. These hundreds are, on the whole, distinguished by the economy and general superiority of their administration, as compared with the unincorporated hundreds. From a comparison of the

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board. Union of Parishes.

The expense of the eight unincorporated hundreds of Suffolk with the expense of the nine incorporated hundreds of the same county, making the calculation on the basis of the real property assessment of 1815, it appears that the expense of maintaining the poor, during the years from 1824 to 1831, was 53 per cent. in favour of the incorporated hundreds.

Captain Pringle, who appears to have examined carefully the administration of the Poor's Rate in the Isle of Wight, the whole of which is incorporated, shows that notwithstanding much general ill management, the result, after a trial of 60 years, is greatly in favour of incorporation. On a comparison of the amount of property assessed in the year 1815, with the amount of rates raised in the year 1829, it appears that the rate per pound for the whole county was 3*s.* 6*d.*, for the county exclusive of the island, 3*s.* 8*d.*, for the island exclusive of the rest of the county, 1*s.* 10*d.* In this incorporation, however, litigation about settlements and the expense of removals are almost entirely avoided.*

Much of the saving is attributable to the efficiency of the officers of the incorporations, and to the more methodical transaction of their business. Mr. Meadows White, a solicitor of great experience in the management of incorporated hundreds, states that each of the parishes incorporated in Blything hundred for less than £.10. per annum, obtains for the management of the in-door poor the services of a

		£.	s.	d.
Chaplain - - - -	at a Salary of	50	–	–
Governor and a Matron - -	ditto -	100	–	–
Schoolmistress - - -	ditto -	20	–	–
Superintendent of the Labour (a weaver)	ditto -	20	–	–
Clerk - - - - -	ditto -	140	–	–
Visiting Guardian - - -	ditto -	40	–	–
House Surgeon - - -	ditto -	52	–	–
TOTAL for 46 parishes - - -	£.	422	–	–

If it were possible that the several functions performed by each of these officers could be performed by any one person, at least five times the amount of money paid by each of the parishes incorporated would be requisite to obtain the services of such a person. But it is obviously impossible that one officer could execute these functions: the performance of the duties of the master or matron of the workhouse imply a neglect of the duties of the school, in which it appears that there are rarely less than 100 or 120 children; neither could the business of the superintendent of the pauper labour, nor the business of the clerk (an attorney, whose salary includes the remuneration for all his attendances, journeys and law business in the county) be performed unless at the expense of other duties.

In the establishments of the larger parishes, whilst there is great gain in efficiency by the division of labour, there is also frequently gain by the concentration of labour where it may be concentrated without interfering with the performance of other equally important duties. One of the assistant overseers of Lambeth parish, in reciting his duties, states,—

"Besides inquiring into the cases of applicants for relief, I inquire into the cases of the non-payment of poor's rate, and whether the neglect or refusal proceeds from inability to pay, or from any other causes, and I report the same to the overseers. It is also my duty to inform the vestry-clerk of all houses newly erected in the parish, and of all houses, noted in the rate-book as empty, which have become inhabited; I report them in order that they may be assessed to the rate; I enter this in a book kept for the purpose, and called the Draught-book. This serves as a check on the collectors and on overseers, who from favouritism or other causes might be disposed to overlook the houses of friends. The overseers or parish officers may be persons in business who are desirous of favouring friends. By imposing these duties on the assistant overseers, who have to traverse districts for purposes relating to the paupers, they are performed at a very little additional expense, as it is all done under one head. I find frequently that when I am inquiring of a person about a house, he can also give me information with relation to a pauper."

To these advantages may be added the greater facility of obtaining securities against embezzlement.

One

* Capt. Pringle, App. (A.) Part I. p. 309.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

CENTRAL MEASURES.

Central Board. Uniformity of Accounts.

IV. Fourth Recommendation.

One of the most prominent suggestions of those who have written on Poor Law amendment, is compelling the adoption of a uniform and well arranged system of accounts, a provision which they often appear to consider a sufficient check on peculation. There can be no doubt that arrangements to insure completeness, clearness, uniformity and publicity of parochial accounts are as requisite in this as in any other department of public administration. WE RECOMMEND, THEREFORE, THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD BE EMPOWERED AND REQUIRED TO TAKE MEASURES FOR THE GENERAL ADOPTION OF A COMPLETE, CLEAR, AND, AS FAR AS MAY BE PRACTICABLE, UNIFORM SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS. But it appears to us that new arrangements as to the mode of transacting the business in question and the establishment of self-acting checks (which are partly independent of accounts) are equally requisite. It is one advantage of management on a large scale, that it admits of these arrangements and securities, without any increase of expense. Thus, in the incorporated hundreds, there are six distinct functionaries for the collection and the expenditure of the rates. 1. The assessments are fixed by the Board of Guardians. They are collected by, 2, the Overseers of the several parishes incorporated, who are compelled under a penalty to pay within a certain time to 3, the Treasurer, the money collected. The latter gives security. 4. The Clerk of the incorporation receives the commodities supplied, and enters an account of them into the stock book. 5. The Governor of the workhouse attends to the distribution of the goods supplied, and is answerable for it. 6. It is the duty of the Visiting Guardian to see that the goods received are in conformity to the contracts, and, in fact, to act as a check on the two last-mentioned officers. In the parishes of the unincorporated districts, one person, the overseer, is usually assessor, collector, treasurer and distributor, and the checks derived from the performance of the business by separate individuals are lost.

Similar advantages to those of the incorporations are possessed by the larger parishes.

In Lambeth, containing 87,000 persons, a population equal to that of 1,300 of the smaller parishes, under 1,300 sets of officers, the money is assessed by - - - - - -	1. The churchwardens and overseers.
It is collected by - - - - -	2. A paid collector.
By whom it is paid into the hands of -	3. A Banker or Treasurer.
On the other hand, for the distribution of relief there are - - - - - -	4. The annual overseers;
Who in the majority of instances are assisted by the inquiries of - - - -	Assistant overseers or paid officers.
And when relief is ordered it is given by ticket on - - - - - -	5. The clerk at the workhouse.

Here then the parish being large, the business of collecting and distributing the fund is managed by five different hands, exclusive of the workhouse-keeper and other assistant officers. The following is the account which the vestry clerk gives of one simple expedient by which a check is obtained against peculation in the distribution of the casual relief.

Mr. *Watmore*, Vestry Clerk of *Lambeth*; Examined.

"Each overseer relieves the casual poor in cases within his district, which are cases of necessity; and this relief is by a little printed ticket on the clerk of the workhouse. The overseer relieving signs his name and the amount on the ticket, and this serves as a voucher for every one, the smallest item.

"Before the establishment of the checks, I have known casual poor obtain relief from the whole eight overseers. Frauds have been committed with the tickets; one woman was prosecuted for increasing the amount of the ticket, but frauds in this way cannot be very extensive. I see every day the benefits of this check as regards officers as well as the applicants, and I can see no reason why it should not be adopted in other parishes. In our parish the overseers neither receive nor pay any money; the collectors are bound to pay in every week to the bankers the money collected, we have eight collectors, with securities of 1,000*l.* each.

Some large parishes, however, neglect these precautions, and commit to one person the whole distribution of the rates; in one case the overseer draws from the treasurer a sum of money which he distributes at his discretion. In another, the money is paid into the hands of several overseers who severally distribute it as they think proper, and account for it at the end of the year; the only check being their honour. It appears, however, that this check is not always sufficient, and that cases occur in which the officers, mix fictitious names in the

Printed images digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Uniformity of Accounts.

the crowd of items, and overcharge the sums paid to real characters; thus, where 1*s.* 3*d.* has been given, 1*s.* 9*d.* is charged; where 1*s.* 6*d.* has been given, 2*s.* or 2*s.* 6*d.* is often charged. The following portions of the examination of the parish officers of Bethnal Green, show the danger of omitting proper checks.

The Parish Officers of *Bethnal Green*; Examined.

"Mr. *Bunn*.—The overseers do not pay by ticket, but pay money out of their own pockets, which they charge to the parish, and account for the money at the end of the quarter, my last weekly account of the casual relief for one division, was 27*l.* odd; this was paid away in shillings and sixpences. It is paid in advance for the quarter, and we receive no interest for the outlay.

"Has this been the usual course of proceeding for years past?—I believe it is; there has been no alteration.

"Is this expenditure discretional with the annual overseer?—Yes, the casual relief is.

"Does he frequently sit alone, or is he assisted by other parish officers?—On Thursdays and Saturdays we sit alone, unless some governor by chance drops in in the course of the day, but generally we sit alone.

"And are you not regularly assisted by any permanent officer?—No, we are not assisted by any one.

"Do you not find that you are frequently imposed upon?—In spite of all an annual officer can do, we are frequently imposed upon. There were 400 new faces for me to pay the first night I sat; I had no one to assist me or to inform me, and I gave money away on the mere statements made to me. I am confident that I paid some of the people twice over that night.

"Have you had overseers serving more than one year?—Mr. *Davis*.—Yes. Since I have been in office two have served three years and one two years.

"Have you had others who have been desirous of serving?—Mr. *Davis*.—Those who last served were known to have been desirous of serving again.

"What reason did they allege for being desirous of serving again?—Mr. *Davis*.—I do not know.

"Mr. *Bunn*.—For my own part, I would have gladly paid to have been excused. I have offered 60*l.* to be excused from serving after I was in office; I also offered to put down 50*l.* as a subscription to extricate the parish from its difficulties, but not a soul followed my example. I was offered by my predecessors when I entered into office, to have my duties performed for me, but this offer I declined, as I must have been responsible for all monies.

"Can you judge or state what you suppose to have been the object of the parties in again serving so burthensome an office?—I know their object, Sir, but that I must, if you please, decline stating.

"Have there been any cases of malversation in your parish?—Never, that I am aware of.

"Nor any suspicions or rumours of malversation prevalent in the parish?—There have been such rumours.

"Have there been no grounds for such rumours?—I do not know of any of my knowledge, and cannot speak to them.

"Are you ready to swear that you know of no grounds?—All that I can say is, that the expenditure this year is less than it was last year, I cannot say how that was.

"But in a parish like yours, where there are a number of small tradesmen, whose credit is not very good, and where large sums pass through the hands of parish officers, with considerable opportunities for malversation on the part of any one who has the inclination, is not the parish exposed to very considerable danger unless a prudent choice be made?—Certainly. I have heard such persons boast that if they were in office they would take care of themselves."

Mr. *Masterman*, another parish officer of *Bethnal Green*: Examined.

"What other opportunities has the system afforded for considerable malversation?—The payment of the casual poor, and the outdoor relief, affords very great opportunities for fraud on the parts of the overseers, as well as the paupers, but I cannot say that I know of any instances. I suggested the payment by tickets, but the suggestion was not adopted.

"What is the popular opinion on this subject?—That to be an overseer and take care of the poor is a very good thing.

"On what grounds has that opinion been founded?—They have seen a person's condition greatly improved after having served the office of overseer; they have seen this take place without seeing any increase in his business, and without having heard or known of any money having been left to him; having, in short, no ostensible reason except that he has been in office.

"Is the remark common?—Yes, it is."

In the smaller parishes the state of things is still worse. There one officer collects and distributes, and unless he have some personal adversary who inspects the accounts, and objects to them, this officer really accounts to no one, for the audit by the magistrates is confessedly a form.

Many parishes have been agitated by contests to obtain publicity of accounts; these accounts have accordingly been published and peace has ensued, but the statements published leave the satisfied rate-payers almost as much in the dark as ever.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Uniformity of Accounts.

The items are usually published in the following form:—

	£.	s.	d.
Beer and Ale - - - - - - - -	440	—	6
Bread and Flour - - - - - - -	1,779	7	6
Butcher's Meat - - - - - - -	1,694	11	11
Butter, Cheese and Bacon - - - - -	691	13	6
Candles and Soap - - - - - - -	120	15	6
Coals - - - - - - - - -	238	13	—
Grocery - - - - - - - - -	324	19	—
Clothing for Paupers - - - - - -	175	9	—

The parishioner knows not from such items what was the character of the purchases; whether 3*s.* or 7*s.* per pair was paid for shoes, if any were included in the general item *Clothing*. If the account were made out in detail, the other shoemakers or the other bakers of the parish might judge of the reasonableness of the charges; but even these details would still leave room for fraud in the misstatement of the *quantity* of goods supplied, and as to the actual consumption of the whole quantity supplied.

We consider, therefore, that any uniform and good system of accounts would not of itself suffice, unless the operations or the mode of doing business were clearly arranged. One system of accounts might be prescribed to the two parishes, Lambeth and Bethnal Green: it might be required in both, that every item of casual relief given should be entered in the accounts; but whilst in Lambeth the security against fraud, derived from the checks arising from the method of doing business, would perhaps be found nearly complete, in Bethnal Green the accounts would afford little or no security whatever; the names of the parties alleged to have been relieved may be fictitious; the amount of the payments may be misstated; and yet the accounts may, *primâ facie*, afford to the auditor no means of detection. Clearness does not ensure truth. Captain Pringle, who has had much experience in the examination of the accounts of commissaries, states that he generally found that the greatest peculators had the clearest accounts. Clear accounts, then, must be based on good arrangements of the modes of transacting business. Uniformity as to some points in the modes of keeping accounts would be of great service for the purpose of comparing the detailed expenditure of one district with another, and would form a necessary means of any general system of management, but the same forms in every point cannot suit every parish. The forms requisite in Mary-le-bone, containing 122,206 inhabitants, would be unsuited to the 1,657 parishes, containing collectively only 122,170 inhabitants, and managed by 1,657 different sets of officers.

The sources of peculation will be to a great extent extirpated by the abolition of money-payments; by the supply of goods on public contract, under proper securities, and by the adoption of the checks rendered practicable by more extended management. In a large proportion of the smaller parishes, it would be requisite to obtain in each the services of a good book-keeper. In the larger establishments this is accomplished without difficulty, and at a comparatively trivial expense; one set of books serves instead of forty or fifty sets; and the officers of the establishment are usually competent to the task of keeping them.

A further advantage of extended districts, arises from the comparative facility of providing for the paupers' useful employment. Opportunities for such employment are wanting in many parishes; in others, exist in forms too large to be undertaken; and in still more numerous instances exist uselessly, in consequence of the jealousies which always act most powerfully in small neighbourhoods. It appears that one of the first preliminary measures must be the preparing for the able-bodied more of this employment than we believe that they will accept.

Employment of some kind can indeed be always provided, but it appears to us that it ought to be useful employment. Parish officers, whilst they have had sufficient labour of this description before their doors, in their unwillingness or their inability to take upon themselves the trouble of superintendence, or to make any immediate pecuniary sacrifice for the purpose of enforcing the performance of that labour, have resorted to the expedient of sending paupers on fictitious errands, with baskets full of stones, or blank paper directed as letters, and other devices of the same nature, obviously intended to torment them. Such contrivances are pernicious in the revengeful feelings which they generate in the minds of the paupers themselves, and they are also pernicious in exciting

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board. Public Labour.

[illegible] in behalf of the indolent and vicious, and in the obstacles [illegible] to the use of legitimate labour and salutary discipline. We [illegible] that they ought to be carefully prevented. The association of the utility of [illegible] or to both parties, the employer as well as the employed, is one which we consider it most important to preserve and strengthen; and we deem every thing mischievous which unnecessarily gives to it a repulsive aspect. At the same time we believe, that in extended districts the requisite sources of employment will be easily found. The supply of the articles consumed in workhouses and prisons, would afford a large outlet for the manufactures carried on in the house; and, with respect to out-door employment, it is probable that there are few districts to which such evidence as that contained in the following extracts would not be applicable. Mr. William Winkworth, overseer of the parish of St. Mary's, Reading, whilst advocating the necessity of the incorporation of the parishes in that town, states,—

"The town, for example, wants draining. We have brick-makers and carpenters and other labourers on the parish receiving relief; and the whole town might be well drained by the labour of these paupers, at the expense of materials only; bricks, wood, mortar and sand. This however is a work which the parishes cannot, or will not, undertake separately: it is prevented by petty jealousies and dissensions, and the want of able officers to direct the work of the paupers. The owners of premises well situated and well drained, say, 'Drainage is a benefit to the owners of the property, and we do not see why we should be called upon to contribute money for their benefit.' The owners of the houses where the drainage is most wanted, say, 'We can get no rents to pay for the work, and the nuisances which are caused by the want of it must therefore continue.' No account is taken of the necessity of finding work of any sort for the able-bodied paupers, nothing can be done with the separate parishes governed by open vestries, no cordial co-operation can be got, and the benefit of considerable labour is lost. As the surveyor of the road from this town to Basingstoke, and also of the road from hence to Shillingford, I can state, from my observation of the several parishes (nineteen in number) through which these roads pass, that very considerable labour might be found, under good direction, in improving their private roads. This is an instance of the sort of work which might frequently be found for paupers. In some of the parishes the roads are kept in very good order, but this is mere accident; whilst in the immediately adjoining parishes more money will be expended, and the roads will nevertheless be in so bad a state that the parish is indictable for them. The farmers steadily adhere to their old practices and never willingly conform to any improvements; they employ waggons where carts would serve much better; they throw down on the roads materials totally inapplicable, and think they can mend them with big loose stones, which stones would really be useful, if they were broken up."

The Rev. James Randall, rector of Binfield, Berks, states—

"In *this* parish I think the poor might be beneficially employed in making roads; the parish having been lately inclosed, many cottages and many fields *are only* approachable by *drift ways*, which are mere green lanes, almost impassable in winter, the soil being a stiff clay. The inhabitants of these houses are consequently cut off from the village, and remain in a very uncivilized state. It would be a public benefit to turn these lanes into good roads; but the *vestry* will never agree to such a measure, unless under legislative compulsion, because it would require an immediate *outlay*, from which *temporary* occupiers would derive no advantage, and also because the chief benefit, after all, would be to the cottagers, not to the large rate-payers."

Mr. Villiers states, that an opinion was expressed to him—

"By many different persons, that from the present state of the communication in many parts of the county of Worcester, that if the roads were placed under any general system of superintendence, and properly attended to, assuming that the same number of paupers as at present should remain dependent upon their parishes, that employment for the five next years at least might be found for them, and with the greatest advantage to the county, a fact which is worth considering, if an immediate change in the system of maintaining the poor is contemplated."

V.

Fifth Recommendation.

WE FURTHER RECOMMEND, THEREFORE, THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD BE EMPOWERED TO INCORPORATE PARISHES FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPOINTING AND PAYING PERMANENT OFFICERS, AND FOR THE EXECUTION OF WORKS OF PUBLIC LABOUR.

We must not, however, conceal our fear, that the appointment of efficient permanent officers will be difficult.

Those only who have a full knowledge of the peculiar nature of the duties to be performed, would be qualified to judge of the fitness of the agents to perform them; a knowledge which, as it does not influence the daily practice, can scarcely be presumed to exist in the districts where abusive systems prevail. In the dis-pauperized

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

[illegible] MEASURES

Central Board.

Appointment of Permanent Local Officers.

pauperized parishes the appointment of fitting officers was found to be attended with great difficulty, and was rarely accomplished without opposition. The person appointed as the permanent overseer and master of the workhouse at Harrold had been a drill-serjeant and paymaster-serjeant in the Coldstream Guards. One of the witnesses states,—

"That the parish was entirely indebted for the change to the talents and personal energy applied to the work by the Marquis of Salisbury, and to the peculiar personal qualifications of the person appointed by him to serve the office of permanent overseer. This appointment would never have been made had the matter been left in the hands of the rate-payers at large. Many of them openly said that a stranger ought not to be brought into the parish; that they ought to appoint a person from amongst themselves, some poor person, who wanted a comfortable home; when the duties of the office required a person of peculiar firmness and habits of command, and were such as ninety-nine out of a hundred in the parish would have been unable to execute."*

The success of this appointment occasioned similar appointments to be made in some adjacent parishes where the larger proprietors attempted to amend the administration. The Hon. and Rev. Robert Eden states, that in Hertingfordbury,

"A permanent overseer was appointed, who was also to collect the rates in the adjoining parishes of Bayford and Little Berkhampstead, and to keep the accounts, and superintend the men employed at parish work. He had been a pay-serjeant in the Guards; his appointment was opposed chiefly on the ground of his being a stranger."†

The Rev. Ralph Clutton, the curate of Welwyn, states,—

"A permanent overseer has been appointed, who is also the governor of the poor-house; he was serjeant in the Coldstream Guards, a married man, and not a parishioner. It is to the efficiency of himself and his wife that the success of the undertaking thus far must in a great measure be attributed. His chief qualifications are firmness, order, clearness, and accuracy in his accounts, unconquerable resolution and integrity, and on the part of his wife, extraordinary cleanliness, and a sincere desire to better the condition of those (especially the young) under her care."‡

The wife herself stated "that the selection of her husband had excited great displeasure, because it was considered that none but a parishioner ought to have been appointed." In Watham, where some improvements were carried into effect,—

"A permanent overseer has been appointed, who is also governor of the workhouse, but is not a parishioner; having been in the army, his qualifications for the discipline and management of the workhouse, by the aid of that order, regularity and system in which he had been there initiated, together with a perfect ability as to the arrangement and keeping of the accounts are his merits. Dissatisfaction was manifested to this appointment: the principal objections were his being a stranger, and not a parishioner."§

The statement of Mr. Richard Gregory, of Spitalfields, is characteristic of the circumstances under which the permanent officers are frequently appointed in the town parishes:—

"Might not paid and responsible officers be elected by the parishioners? He answers, No: I think you would never get such officers well filled unless it was by accident. The people have no conception of what sort of men are requisite to perform properly the duties of a parish officer. If such a situation were vacant, what sort of a man would apply for it? Why, some decayed tradesman; some man who had got a very large family, and had been 'unfortunate in business,' which, in ninety-nine cases out of a hundred, means a man who has not had prudence or capacity to manage his own affairs; and this circumstance is usually successful in any canvass for a parish situation to manage the affairs of the public. Men who have before been in office for the parish would obtain a preference. And what sort of men are those who would be likely to be at liberty to accept a vacant situation? The situations of overseer and churchwarden are by some considered situations of dignity; and dignity always attracts fools. I have known numbers of small tradesmen who were attracted by 'the dignity of the office,' and succeeded in getting made overseers and churchwardens. Their elevation was their downfall. They have not given their minds to their own business as before. The consequence of this was that they have lost their business and have been ruined. Now and then a good man of business will be desirous of taking office when he thinks he is slighted, or has had an affront put upon him by being overlooked, but in general, any man in decent business must know, if he has the brains of a goose, that it will be much better for him, in a pecuniary point of view, to pay the fine than serve. I could name from fifteen to twenty people in our parish, who have been entirely ruined by being made churchwardens. These would be the people who would succeed best in parochial or district elections: for the people would say of any one of them, 'Poor man, he has ruined himself by serving a parish office, and the only recompense we can give him is to put him in a paid office.' This always has been the general course of parish elections, and I have no doubt would always continue to be so. There is infinitely

* App. (A.) Part II. † App. A.) Part II. ‡ App. (A.) Part II. § App. (A.) Part II.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

infinitely more favouritism in parish appointments than in government appointments. In appointments by the government there is frequently some notion of fitness; but in the case of parish appointments, fitness is out of the question. When I was the treasurer of the watch department of the parish, I took great interest in the management of the police of the district, and determined to make it efficient. You would conceive that the inhabitants would have been so guided by their own apparent interests, as to get active men appointed, but I had solicitations from some of the first and most respectable houses in the parish to take their old and decayed servants and put them on the watch. I had also applications from the parish officers to put men upon the watch who were in the workhouse. As I was determined to make the police efficient, I resolutely resisted all these applications."*

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board.

Appointment of permanent local Officers.

It is also clear that such officers should be selected as would not be biassed by local interests or partialities. The most fitting persons must often, as in the instances we have cited, be sought for in distant districts, and *cæteris paribus*, would be preferable to persons within the same districts.

These premises appear to lead to a conclusion that the Central Board ought to be empowered to appoint the permanent and salaried officers in all parishes, or at least in those which they should incorporate. But we do not venture such a recommendation. In the first place, because we doubt the power of a single Board to select a sufficient number of well-qualified persons; secondly, because such a duty would occupy too much of their time and attention; and, thirdly, because the patronage, though really a painful incumbrance to them, would be a source of public jealousy. But believing that, after all, more will depend, as more always has depended, on the administration of the law than on the words of its enactments, and that the good or bad administration will mainly rest on the selection of the inferior administrators, we think that no security for good appointments should be neglected, and no means of preventing the effects of bad appointments omitted. We think that the first object might be aided, if the Commissioners were directed to prescribe some general qualifications, in the absence of which no person should be eligible as a salaried officer, and we think that the number of competent persons who must in time come under their observation would enable them frequently to assist parishes and incorporations by recommending proper candidates; we also think that they might to a great degree both aid and support the well-disposed, and prevent the continuance in office of improper persons, if they were invested with the power of removing them. Some of the ablest of the permanent officers who have been examined under the authority of this Commission, have urged that they ought to be immediately responsible to the authority whose regulations they are to enforce; that it ought to be obvious that they really have no discretion, that the rule of duty is inflexible, and that, if they violate or neglect it, suspension or dismissal must be the consequence. If the permanent officers continue responsible only to the annual officers or to the vestry, a screen will be interposed between the Central Board and the actual administrators of relief, which will encourage and protect every form of malversation.

VI.

Sixth Recommendation.

WE RECOMMEND, THEREFORE, THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD BE DIRECTED TO STATE THE GENERAL QUALIFICATIONS WHICH SHALL BE NECESSARY TO CANDIDATES FOR PAID OFFICES CONNECTED WITH THE RELIEF OF THE POOR, TO RECOMMEND TO PARISHES AND INCORPORATIONS PROPER PERSONS TO ACT AS PAID OFFICERS, AND TO REMOVE ANY PAID OFFICERS WHOM THEY SHALL THINK UNFIT FOR THEIR SITUATIONS.

The alteration of some portions of the existing law with respect to contracts for the supply of food and other necessaries to the workhouses, will be requisite to protect the public from continued jobbing, fraud and mismanagement. The extensive prevalence of these evils is indicated not only by the direct testimony contained in our Appendix, but by the recurrence in the answers to our circulated Query as to the propriety of giving relief in kind, of apprehensions of peculation. Such an alteration is necessary also in order to facilitate a change, which in many districts will be more strenuously opposed by the few who will lose, than supported by the many who will gain by more rigid management. Private interest, often apparently inconsiderable, has always created the strongest and the most successful opposition to improvement. The Hon. and Rev. Robert Eden states,—

" In the year 1827 I endeavoured to unite, under Sturges Bourne's Act, the parishes of Hertingfordbury, Essendon, Little Berkhampstead and Bayford. Could I have succeeded, we should have built a central workhouse, and have had under superintendence a population of 2,000 persons, and been able to pay a really efficient officer. My plan failed, partly from

* App. (A.) Part II

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

from the lukewarmness of the landowners, from the unwillingness of the occupiers (being tenants at will) to contribute to the formation of a new building, and from the opposition of the tradesmen of the various parishes, who were employed occasionally in the old repairs of the old workhouses, but had no chance of getting the tender for building the new."

Central Board. Supply of goods by open competition.

In Cookham and other parishes, as soon as the general benefits of improved management had become apparent, a renewed opposition was organized by publicans and beer-shop keepers, who found that they were losers by the frugality created among their customers. In many instances the profusion which prevails in the workhouse management, has been directly traced to the tradesmen who took the most active part in the parochial business.

Mr. Richmond, one of the Guardians of the Poor in St. Luke's Parish, Middlesex, states,—

"When I came into office it was a recognized principle, that the purchase of commodities for parochial consumption should be confined to the tradesmen of the parish. The effects of the patronage incident to the purchase of goods to the amount of upwards of 20,000*l.* per annum from shopkeepers within the parish, patronage exercised by a board who are themselves shopkeepers or connected with shopkeepers, may well be conceived. For several years I have contended, but unsuccessfully, for the universal application of the principle, that contracts should be taken from those who made the lowest tenders, wherever they resided, provided they gave the requisite securities for the due performance of the contract. On investigating the purchases of goods within the parish, I found that some of the charges were upwards of forty per cent. above the market prices. Whatever opposition may be made against an extensive or efficient reform or generalization of the management of the funds for the relief of the poor, will be based on the retention of the parochial patronage and power, although such a motive will never be ostensibly avowed. I have no doubt they will even assume that extended management will be more profuse than their own.'"

What may be expected is also indicated in the following extract from the evidence collected by Mr. Codd:—

"Before we had a select vestry, it was not unusual for our overseers to be quite willing to take the office, and even to continue in it for more than one year; and it was well understood, that this was because they had the means of spending money on behalf of the parish with their neighbours, or with whom they pleased. Since the establishment of the select vestry, however, we purchase every thing by open contracts, and the consequence has been, that our rates are 25 per cent. at least below what they were prior to the formation of the select vestry. Our tradesmen now cry out against being exclusively called upon to serve as overseers, and they have said, that they will insist upon having the gentry included with themselves."*

VII.

Seventh Recommendation.

WE RECOMMEND, THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD BE EMPOWERED TO DIRECT THE PAROCHIAL CONSUMPTION TO BE SUPPLIED BY TENDER AND CONTRACT, AND TO PROVIDE THAT THE COMPETITION BE PERFECTLY FREE.

This will prevent much indirect fraud. Direct embezzlement must also be guarded against more effectually than while left to voluntary prosecution. It is vain to expect men to proceed, on public grounds, against their own neighbours, friends, or connexions. It is to local influence, not to the absence of peculation, that we ascribe the rarity of prosecutions against parochial defaulters; and the prosecutions which do take place are often attributed, truly or falsely, to private motives, and public sympathy becomes enlisted in favour of the criminal.

VIII.

Eighth Recommendation.

WE RECOMMEND, THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD BE EMPOWERED AND REQUIRED TO ACT IN SUCH CASES AS PUBLIC PROSECUTORS.

The pecuniary loss by bad management, or the pecuniary gain from good management, are of course insignificant when weighed against the moral evils of the existing system. It will be necessary, however, to guard sedulously against pecuniary mismanagement, as it is usually a primary cause of the extension of pauperism, and we trust that it will be found that the measures which we have proposed, though recommended by us chiefly as beneficial to the labouring classes, will also be found the means of pecuniary saving.

Not one instance has been met with where a permanent increase of expenditure has followed any moderately well directed efforts to repress abusive modes of administration. Where select vestries have been established, and a strict management has been introduced, even under the existing law, the expenses have been reduced by an amount seldom less than one-third. In the dispauperized parishes the real reduction has seldom been less than half the expense. In Durham and Cumberland, panpers are kept well and contented, at a weekly expense of 1*s.* 6*d.* per head for food. In most of

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board. Attachment of Wages.

of the southern counties the expense varies from 3*s.* 6*d* to 4*s.* 6*d.*, 5*s.* and 6*s.* per head. The average is probably not less than 4*s.* per head; the expense may in all probability be reduced to 2*s.* per head, the common expenditure of a labourer's family, and the legitimate objects of relief be much better provided for. The whole evidence proves, that if a Central Board be appointed, consisting of fit persons, and armed with powers to carry into general effect the measures which have been so successful wherever they have been tried, the expenditure for the relief of the poor will in a very short period be reduced by more than one-third.

From the metropolis, from the provincial towns, and indeed from nearly every district, complaints have been received that large classes of persons, who obtain during particular seasons such wages as would enable them to maintain themselves and their families until the return of the season of work, and provide by insurance against sickness and other casualties, spend the whole of their earnings as fast as they receive them, and when out of work throw themselves and their families on the parish, and remain chargeable until the period of high wages returns. The alternations of dissipation and of privation to which such persons have become habituated, render it probable that even under an improved system of administration many of them would endure the most rigid workhouse discipline during the winter, to gain freedom from self-restraint during the spring, summer and autumn.

The following extracts from the Evidence, describe the nature of the evil and suggest the remedy:—

Mr. John Coste, relieving overseer of St. Leonard, Shoreditch,—

"We have frequently amongst our paupers, mechanics who obtain very high wages during particular periods, and when work fails immediately come upon the parish. These men are, generally speaking, the greatest drunkards. I formerly carried on the business of a willow-square maker, and have paid as much as 4*l.* or 5*l.* a week to particular men for months together. I do not believe that one of these men ever saved a pound; several of them are now in the workhouse, and receiving relief, who might have provided for themselves by means of savings banks, until they got some other description of profitable labour. The sawyers are another set of men of the same description. It would be of great advantage to parishes, if relief were given to all these classes in the way of loan, and power were conferred to attach a portion of their wages for repayment." *

Mr. Teather, an Assistant Overseer of Lambeth, when examined as to the condition of some of the paupers; stated,—

"We have had many bootmakers and shoemakers who might have saved enough money when in work to keep them from the parish when they are out of work. Amongst the barbers there are several who have been master barbers, who might have saved enough money to keep them from the parish; one man I know could not have got less than 3*l.* or 4*l.* a week; he boasted that he had made 30*s.* on a Sunday. Amongst the tailors are many who might save money. Some of them on the parish are very good workmen, who could earn about 6*s.* a day, and when they chose to work over-work, about 7*s.* One of them now on the parish, a man named M'Innis, is said by persons in the trade to be one of the best workmen in London. He is now just out of the tread-mill for neglecting his family. The greater part of sawyers could save enough to keep them from the parish during the intervals of work. The greater part of them, that is, all the able men, before the saw-mills came up, could have put by at least 1*l.* Before the saw-mills were established, a pair of sawyers have during the whole year earned 5*l.* a week; they have acknowledged to me, in blaming their own improvidence, that there have been times when they have earned as much as 10*l.* a week; they have acknowledged also that when they have been earning money they have never taken their families more than 1*l.* a week regularly; they have paid rent and bought coals besides; but they have themselves lived at the public-house with the rest of their money. Barge-builders are men who could save money; they have 6*s.* a day standing wages. The coal-porters earn a great deal; they have been known to get as much as 9*s.* or 10*s.* a day, but they are very rarely known to save anything."†

Mr. Robert Oldershaw, vestry clerk of Islington,—

"Amongst the able-bodied labourers are many brick-makers, men who during seven or eight months in the year work hard and obtain very high wages. They sometimes earn 6*l.*, 8*l.* or 10*l.* a week per gang. Some of these gangs are children. The adults will, I have been informed, earn from 2*l.* to 3*l.*, 4*l.* or 5*l.* a week. The head of the gang, I am informed, often earns as much as 5*l.* per week. They drink much beer and perhaps their labour requires it; but they might out of their wages, wholly or in part make provision for the winter, if they were so inclined, but they spend all; they make no provision whatever for the winter, and when the weather sets in, they throw themselves upon the parish as a customary thing.

We

* App. (A.) Part II.

† App. (A.) Part II.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board.

Attachment of Wages.

We have tried to make savings banks available against this course of improvidence, but without effect. Formerly, however, their wives have made small deposits in the savings banks to provide for their confinement or the payment of their rent in the winter unknown to their husbands. If their husbands knew they had the money, they would beat them to force it from them, and would then spend it improvidently. I was a member of the savings bank, and have seen the poor women bring their little pittances there. They have besought me to keep it secret from their husbands."*

Mr. Money, builder and master brick-maker, Shaw-cum-Donnington, Berkshire, was asked with respect to the men in his own employment, of the class adverted to by the last witness,—

"What do you think would be the effect of an enactment enabling the parish to order the employers of men of this class to receive a portion of their wages to repay the parochial expenditure of the winter?—This would be of great advantage, and I believe would be entirely practicable.

"You would perhaps say that if the deduction were too great he would abscond?—There is certainly that danger.

"What deduction do you think might be made from a brick-maker's wages without material danger of his absconding?—I think in the instance of any labourer in my employment a deduction might be made from Lady-day to Michaelmas of about 5s. a week.

"I should recommend that no relief whatever be *given* to able-bodied single men or women, but let the officers have authority to advance small sums of money by way of loan upon receiving some acknowledgment or security for the re-payment of the same. With regard to applicants for relief who may have families, or where there is sickness, the local board should have discretionary power either to relieve them under the same conditions or otherwise, according to the circumstances of the case, and when the parties get into work the overseer should have the same power of recovering the sum advanced from their employers, either by instalments or otherwise, as they now have of claiming seamen's wages in the merchants' service, or the pension for past services in the navy or army, for money advanced either to the parties themselves or to their families. There is a provision already made to authorize overseers to advance small sums of money in this way; but there is no power to enable the overseers to enforce a re-payment of the money so advanced from the employers."†

Mr. Hollands, some time vestry clerk of Bermondsey, examined,—

"When in office I found that the provisions of the 59 George III. c. 12, enabling parish officers to stop the wages of merchant seamen, and to receive those of men in the King's service, were provisions of the greatest utility. They were satisfactory to the well disposed poor, and the parishes were greatly benefited. I have had deserted wives express the highest gratitude for wages saved from vagabond and unprincipled husbands. I have no doubt that these provisions might be profitably extended to all classes of workmen. Parish officers would not make the deduction from wages too heavy."‡

In the returns of the occupations of the depositors of the Savings Banks, we have found a number of mechanics of each of the large classes, whose unworthy members we see in the condition of paupers. These habits detract extensively from the support of Savings Banks and friendly societies, so meritoriously sustained by a large proportion of the working classes. Eleemosynary aid, even in cases of sickness, to those who, from their condition, will probably have wherewithal to repay it, is a bounty on improvidence. Statements to the following effect have been made to us from various quarters.

"We are of opinion," say the trustees of the Mary-le-bone Savings Banks, "that, if the facilities given to the able-bodied of obtaining parochial relief or public charity (and we are induced to lay much stress upon the latter) were removed, the number of members of such institutions as ours would be increased

"We are unable to state in what proportion the increase would take place; but we think that, wherever any considerable number of a class of labourers and others are found to be depositors in banks for savings, almost all such persons might follow their example, and probably would do so, were they not encouraged in their thoughtless and improvident habits by the expectation of obtaining relief from some established public charity in almost every circumstance of difficulty or distress to which they can be exposed."§

The Rev. William Otter, Vicar of Kinlet, Salop, states,—

"When I first came to this living, the landlord and myself persuaded the farmers to join in the establishment of an institution which was intended to combine the advantages of a sick club and a savings bank. In the former capacity, after doing some good, it has gradually declined, because it was found difficult to make the members contribute steadily and regularly, and there seemed besides to be a notion prevalent that, in case of sickness, the parish doctor might always be had recourse to for nothing."‖

On this subject, as well as the general question of the Poor Laws, we have had ample evidence tendered by some of the most respectable of the workpeople themselves.

* App. (A.) Part II. † App. (A.) Part II. ‡ App. (A.) Part II.
§ App. (A.) Part II. ‖ App. (C.)

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

selves. Launcelot Snowdon, the witness whose evidence we have before cited, was asked,—

"Do you find any effect produced by men obtaining parochial relief readily, when they are out of work, or have anything the matter with them?—I have always seen that men who have had parish relief have been very careless of work and of their money ever afterwards. It has also acted very mischievously on the benefit societies, as these men would never contribute to them. We had a large and very good society of our own, which failed some time ago, and I have known the societies of other trades fail; and it has been a common complaint amongst us, that but for the parish, they would have stood firm. I am myself confident, that but for the parish, they would have stood firm.

"Do you think that rendering a workman's wages attachable, when in work, as repayment for any relief which he may have had from the parish, would be serviceable as a remedy for the evil you have mentioned?—Yes, I think it would be highly useful in every point of view. I have no great hopes of the old ones who have had parish relief, but I have no doubt that it would make many of the young ones subscribe, and keep themselves from the parish.

"Do you think the body of operatives with whom you are acquainted would agree with you in this view?—Of course those who have been paupers would not agree, but all the respectable workmen would decidedly agree. I think that in instances of real misfortune, which I have known occur, it would be thought better of if the relief was given as a loan, and not as a charity. But the workmen would generally object to any compulsory payment to guard against future liabilities.

"Do you think the process of collecting this sort of repayment would be difficult?—I think not.

A large proportion of those who become in any way chargeable to the parish, are incapable of self-control, or of altering their habits and making any reservation of money when once it is in their possession, although they acknowledge their obligations, and are satisfied to perform them.

It appears that from the Chelsea pensioners there are about 3,500 quarterly assignments or 14,000 annual assignments of pensions to parish officers, and 1,480 pensions annually claimed by virtue of magistrates' orders, in cases in which pensioners have allowed their wives or families to become chargeable to the parish; and that from the Greenwich out-pensioners, 1,200 pensions amounting to 12,530*l.* were attached and recovered last year. The parish officers examined upon this subject agree, that but for the provisions of the Act, the whole amount of these pensions would be lost to the parish, and would be injuriously wasted by the pensioners, from their incapacity to take care of large sums of money.

Any collection from the labourer himself must be weekly, and the labour of collecting these small instalments would often prevent its being undertaken; but if wages were attached in the hands of the master, the payments might be at longer intervals, or in liquidation at once of the whole demand.

Tradesmen declare that they should feel it no grievance to be compelled to make reservations of wages to satisfy such demands, and that whatever money was recovered, would be recovered from the gin shop. The more important object of the measure is the re-imposing motives to frugality on those who possess the means of being frugal; on this account we consider that it would be deserving of adoption, though the greater number of labourers defeated the claims upon them by absconding. By a tolerably vigilant administration of the proposed law, however, much money might be recovered from them. A large proportion of the labour of the classes in question is of a nature not to be found every where. A tailor may run away, but a brickmaker can only get work in the brick-fields, where he may be found. During the period when the labourer is in receipt of full wages, if he spend them he will have in prospect the necessity of absconding in search of work at the commencement of another season; and if subjection during the interval to strict workhouse regulation be comprehended in the view, there can be little doubt that he will often be impelled to have recourse to the savings banks to avoid the inconvenience.

It appears then that if power were given to parish officers of attaching wages, or of ordering the reservation of such instalments as they deemed expedient for the liquidation of debts due to the parish, a proportion of those debts would be recovered.

We are further of opinion that such a measure might be made still more useful if the principle on which the 29th, 30th, 31st, and 32d clauses of the 59th Geo. III. cap. 12, are founded were acted on more extensively. The 29th clause enables the officer to whom it appears that the applicant for relief might, but for his extravagance, neglect, or wilful misconduct have been able to maintain himself or to support his family, to advance money to him weekly, or otherwise, by way of loan. It appears from our evidence that in some places this clause has been

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board. Attachment of Wages.

been acted upon beneficially, but that in general little use is made of it, partly because a person who has not been guilty of extravagance, neglect, or wilful misconduct is excluded from its operation, and partly because the existence of the clause is not notorious. It appears to us advisable that, under regulations to be framed by the Central Board, parishes should be empowered to treat any relief afforded to the able-bodied, or to their families, and any expenditure in the workhouse or otherwise incurred on their account, as a loan, and recoverable, not only in the mode pointed out by the clause to which we have referred, but also by attachment of their wages, in a way resembling that in which the 30th, 31st, and 32d clauses of the same Act direct the attachment of pensions and seamen's wages.

IX.

Ninth Recommendation.

WE THEREFORE RECOMMEND, THAT UNDER REGULATIONS TO BE FRAMED BY THE CENTRAL BOARD, PARISHES BE EMPOWERED TO TREAT ANY RELIEF AFFORDED TO THE ABLE-BODIED OR TO THEIR FAMILIES, AND ANY EXPENDITURE IN THE WORKHOUSES OR OTHERWISE INCURRED ON THEIR ACCOUNT, AS A LOAN, AND RECOVERABLE NOT ONLY BY THE MEANS GIVEN BY THE 29th SECTION OF THE 59 GEO. III. C. 12, BUT ALSO BY ATTACHMENT OF THEIR SUBSEQUENT WAGES, IN A MODE RESEMBLING THAT POINTED OUT IN THE 30th, 31st AND 32d SECTIONS OF THAT ACT.

In our recommendation of the prohibition of partial relief to the families of the able-bodied, we proposed that relief by apprenticing should, to a certain extent, be excepted from that prohibition. In the instructions given by us to our Assistant Commissioners, we directed them to ascertain "the practice in the different parishes as to the apprenticing of poor children, inquiring to what class of persons they are apprenticed, and whether such persons take them voluntarily or by compulsion, and if the latter, according to what principle they are distributed; whether any and what care is taken to see that they are well treated and taught; and whether there are any grounds for supposing that a power to bind for less than seven years would be expedient."

But we regret to say that we have received less information on this subject than on any other. The most important is that collected by Captain Chapman * and Mr. Villiers †, but even that is contradictory, and if it were consistent, too meagre to afford grounds for legislation. It is a mode of relief expressly pointed out by the 43d of Elizabeth, and so much interwoven with the habits of the people in many districts, that we should hesitate, even if its evils were much more clearly ascertained, and even if we believed that those evils will not be much diminished by the alteration which we shall propose respecting settlement, to recommend its abolition until it has been made the subject of further inquiry, and until the effects of the measures now likely to be introduced have been ascertained by experience.

At the same time we think it probable, perhaps we might say certain, that further inquiry will show that the laws respecting the relief to be afforded by means of apprenticeship are capable of improvement, particularly those portions of them which render the reception of a parish apprentice compulsory.

X.

Tenth. Recommendation.

WE RECOMMEND, THEREFORE, THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD BE EMPOWERED TO MAKE SUCH REGULATIONS AS THEY SHALL THINK FIT RESPECTING THE RELIEF TO BE AFFORDED BY APPRENTICING CHILDREN, AND THAT AT A FUTURE PERIOD, WHEN THE EFFECT OF THE PROPOSED ALTERATIONS SHALL HAVE BEEN SEEN, THE CENTRAL BOARD BE REQUIRED TO MAKE A SPECIAL INQUIRY INTO THE OPERATION OF THE LAWS RESPECTING THE APPRENTICING CHILDREN AT THE EXPENSE OF PARISHES, AND INTO THE OPERATION OF THE REGULATIONS IN THAT RESPECT WHICH THE BOARD SHALL HAVE ENFORCED.

On the subject of vagrancy a large mass of evidence is contained in the Appendix, particularly in the reports of Mr. Bishop, ‡ Mr. Codd, § Capt. Chapman, ‖ and Mr. Henderson. ¶ It appears from this evidence, that vagrancy has actually been converted into a trade, and not an unprofitable one; and it also appears, that the severe and increasing burthen arises from the vagrants by trade, not from those on account of destitution. We state in proof of this, and the statement is more valuable as

* App. (A.) pp. 431, 432, 433, 434, 435. † App (A.) Part II pp. 6–8
‡ App. (A.) p. 894. § App. (E.) ‖ App. (A.) Part I. p. 456. ¶ App. (E.)

Printed by permission of the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board. Vagrancy.

as it points out the remedy as well as the cause of the evil, that in those few districts in which the relief has been such as only the really destitute will accept, the resort of vagrants has ceased, or been so much diminished as to become only a trifling inconvenience. But it appears vain to expect the remedy from detailed statutory provisions. The tendency of legislation respecting the poor to aggravate the evils which it was intended to cure, a tendency which we have so often remarked, is strikingly exemplified in that portion of it which respects vagrancy. The early statutes attempted to repress it by severity. "This part of our history," says Dr. Burn, "looks like the history of the savages in America. Almost all severities have been exercised against vagrants except scalping; and as one severity fell short, it seemed naturally to follow that a greater was necessary." But such was their effect that every successive preamble admits the inefficiency of the former law down to the 1 & 2 Geo. 4. c. 64, which recites, "that the provisions theretofore made, and then in force, relative to the apprehending and passing of vagrants, were productive of great expense, and that great frauds and abuses were committed in the execution thereof;" and to the 5th Geo. 4. c. 83, which declares that it is expedient to make further provision for the suppression of vagrancy. Nor has the last-mentioned Act been more successful than those which preceded it. As one among many instances in which its provisions have been perverted, we will mention the effect of the 15th clause, which allows the visiting justices of prisons to grant a certificate, or other instrument, enabling any person discharged from prison to receive relief on his route to his place of settlement. The intention of the clause was to enable prisoners, after having undergone their punishment or trial, to go *from* prison to their own homes without temptation to further crime. The effect has been "for the benefit of the pass" to convey *into* prisons paupers and families of paupers, as if the legislature intended that they and their children should have all the terrors of a prison obliterated from their minds, and receive instruction in the worst schools of vice; as if provision ought to be made to increase the stock of juvenile delinquents, already more numerous in England than in any other European country. By what foresight could the benevolent author of this clause have guarded against such an administration of the enactment as that which one of the witnesses, a gaoler thus describes? "It is a melancholy thing that poor people are sent into prison as vagrants that they may be passed home. There is now a mother, a widow with five children under my care; the boys are from five to fifteen years of age. The mother was committed, not for any crime, but having been found sitting in the open air. Now what, I beg to ask, can be the effect of sending these children with their mother to a gaol? What can they not learn? In general vagrants are told that they are sent to prison, not for their punishment, but for their benefit. Prisons should not in any case, as I humbly conceive, be held out as places where people are to be *benefited*. They are now looked upon as places of *relief*, and the large class of vagrants are told that they are sent to prison avowedly for their advantage."*

"When the law," says another witness, "was made restricting pauper passes to Scotch and Irish, very few for a time came to Westmorland or Cumberland; but the vagrants soon found that they might easily resume their trade by swearing they belonged to those countries; and the expense became as large as ever. When this again was checked by making the contract for a fixed sum annually, to convey all paupers with passes by cart through the country, the number of vagrants calling themselves discharged prisoners (and therefore not subject to these regulations) began to increase, and has continued to do so progressively."†

Feeling convinced that vagrancy will cease to be a burthen, if the relief given to vagrants is such as only the really destitute will accept; feeling convinced that this cannot be effected unless the system is general; and also convinced that no enactments to be executed by parochial officers will in all parishes be rigidly adhered to, unless under the influence of strict superintendence and control,—WE RECOMMEND THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD BE EMPOWERED AND DIRECTED TO FRAME AND ENFORCE REGULATIONS AS TO THE RELIEF TO BE AFFORDED TO VAGRANTS AND DISCHARGED PRISONERS.

XI. Eleventh Recommendation.

We have now given a brief outline of the functions, for the due performance of which we deem a new agency, or central board of control to be requisite; and we have inserted none which the evidence would warrant us in believing attainable

* App. (A.) Part II. Ex.

† App. (A.) Part I. 317.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Central Board. Duties to report annually.

attainable by any existing agency. The length of this Report precludes [illegible] statement, in further detail, of the powers and duties of the proposed h[illegible]. The extent of those powers and duties must be measured by the extent and in[illegible]teracy of the existing evils, and by the failure, or worse than failure, of the measures by which their removal has been attempted. If for that purpose the powers which we have recommended are necessary, to withhold those powers is to decree the continuance of the evil. The powers with which we recommend that they should be invested, are in fact the powers now exercised by 15,000 sets of annual officers. By far the majority of those officers are ignorant of their duties, influenced by their affections, interests and fears, and restrained by scarcely any real responsibility. The Commissioners would act upon the widest information, under the direct control of the legislature and the supervision of the public, and under no liability to pecuniary or private bias, partiality or intimidation. They would have the immediate advantage of having well defined objects assigned to them, powerful means at their disposal, and clear rules for their guidance; and they would soon have the aid of varied and extensive experience; and it appears to us, that the best means of preventing their negligent or improper use of the discretion with which it appears to be necessary to invest them will be, not to restrict that discretion, but to render their interest coincident with their duty, and to let them be removable at Your Majesty's pleasure.

We entertain, however, no hope, that the complicated evils with which we have to contend, will all be eradicated by the measures which we now propose. The mischiefs which have arisen during a legislation of more than 300 years, must require the legislation of more than one Session for their correction. In order to secure the progressive improvement from which alone we hope for an ultimate cure; and in order to bring the proceedings of the Commissioners more constantly and completely within the superintendence of the executive and the legislature, we propose that the Commissioners should be charged with the duty, similar to that which we now endeavour to perform, of periodically reporting their proceedings, and suggesting any further legislation which may appear to them to be desirable.

XII.

Twelfth Recommendation.

WE RECOMMEND, THEREFORE, THAT THE BOARD BE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT A REPORT ANNUALLY, TO ONE OF YOUR MAJESTY'S PRINCIPAL SECRETARIES OF STATE, CONTAINING—1. AN ACCOUNT OF THEIR PROCEEDINGS; 2. ANY FURTHER AMENDMENTS WHICH THEY MAY THINK IT ADVISABLE TO SUGGEST; 3. THE EVIDENCE ON WHICH THE SUGGESTIONS ARE FOUNDED; 4. BILLS CARRYING THOSE AMENDMENTS (IF ANY) INTO EFFECT, WHICH BILLS THE BOARD SHALL BE EMPOWERED TO PREPARE WITH PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANCE.

We consider that three Commissioners might transact the business of the Central Board. The number of the Commissioners should be small, as they should habitually act with promptitude, as responsibility for efficiency should not be weakened by discredit being divided amongst a larger number, and as the Board, whenever the labour pressed too severely, might avail themselves of the aid of their Assistants. The Central Board would probably require eight or ten Assistant Commissioners, to examine the administration of relief in different districts, and aid the preparations for local changes. As the Central Board would be responsible for the performance of the duties imposed upon them by the legislature,

XIII.

Thirteenth Recommendation.

WE RECOMMEND THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD BE EMPOWERED TO APPOINT AND REMOVE THEIR ASSISTANTS AND ALL THEIR SUBORDINATE OFFICERS.

We now proceed to two of the most difficult and most important of the questions submitted to us: the Laws respecting Settlement and Bastardy.

We have seen that the liability to a change of settlement by hiring and service, apprenticeship, purchasing or renting a tenement, and estate, are productive of great inconvenience and fraud; and it does not appear that those frauds and inconveniences are compensated by any advantage whatsoever. We have seen that these heads of settlement were introduced as qualifications of an arbitrary power of removal, and then indeed they were necessary. If they had not been created, the parish officers would have been empowered to confine almost every man to the place of his birth. Now that power is at an end. No man can be removed until he himself, by applying for relief, gives jurisdiction to the magistrates. The slightest evil arising from enactments, the motive for which has ceased, would be a sufficient ground for their repeal. It has been shown, however, that the

Parliamentary Archives, HL/PO/JO/10/3/1[illegible]

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Settlement.

the evils are very great. We recommend, therefore, the immediate but prospective abolition of all these heads of settlement. For this recommendation we have the sanction of the great majority of those whose opinions we have taken. It is true that those opinions advocate most strongly the repeal of settlement by hiring and service, apprenticeship and renting a tenement, and, with respect to the last, rather recommend raising the rent necessary to give a settlement from £.10 a year to £.20, or some larger sum, than the abrogation of the law. It appears, that the witnesses are led thus to restrict their recommendations chiefly from the circumstance that these are the most common modes of settlement, and therefore those of which the evil is most apparent, and that all the grounds which exist for making a change of settlement by renting a tenement more difficult, are also grounds for making it impossible. And we believe that if these modes of settlement are destroyed, and settlement by purchase and estate are allowed to continue, we shall be holding out temptation to perjury and fraud, not only without an adequate motive on our part, if any motive could be adequate, but with no motive whatever.

XIV.
Fourteenth Recommendation.

WE RECOMMEND, THEREFORE, THAT SETTLEMENT BY HIRING AND SERVICE, APPRENTICESHIP, PURCHASING OR RENTING A TENEMENT, ESTATE, PAYING RATES, OR SERVING AN OFFICE, BE ABOLISHED.

There will remain parentage, birth and marriage; with respect to parentage, however, there is this difficulty. If while the modes by which a male can lose his settlement are abolished, settlement by parentage is continued unaltered, and every male child is to acquire his father's settlement, to have no means of changing it and to transmit it, equally unchangeable, to his children and his children's children, settlement will in time be reduced to a question of pedigree, and the expense of ascertaining it become intolerable. On the other hand, if settlement by parentage is totally abolished, the parents and their infant children will often be settled in different parishes.

It appears to us that the best mode of meeting these difficulties is to continue settlement by parentage during that period of a child's life during which it is dependent on its parents, and to put an end to it at the age at which that dependence has so nearly ceased as to render their separation comparatively unimportant. This age may be said, in general, to commence at 15 or 16 years. At 15 or 16, a child can generally earn his own maintenance, and if his parents cannot maintain him it cannot be advisable that he should continue a member of their family.

XV.
Fifteenth Recommendation.

WE RECOMMEND, THEREFORE, THAT (SUBJECT TO THE OBVIOUS EXCEPTIONS OF PERSONS BORN IN PRISONS, HOSPITALS AND WORKHOUSES) THE SETTLEMENT OF EVERY LEGITIMATE CHILD BORN AFTER THE PASSING OF THE INTENDED ACT, FOLLOW THAT OF THE PARENTS OR SURVIVING PARENT OF SUCH CHILD, UNTIL SUCH CHILD SHALL ATTAIN THE AGE OF 16 YEARS, OR THE DEATH OF ITS SURVIVING PARENT; AND THAT AT THE AGE OF 16, OR ON THE DEATH OF ITS SURVIVING PARENT, SUCH CHILD SHALL BE CONSIDERED SETTLED IN THE PLACE IN WHICH IT WAS BORN.

It will be seen that we do not recommend the introduction of settlement by residence. We are aware of the advantages of that mode of settlement; it is the most natural and the most obvious, and its adoption would often prevent inconvenience to particular parishes, from the return, in age or infirmity, of those who have left them in youth and vigour, and inconvenience to the paupers themselves, from being removed from friends and residences to which they have become attached, to places in which they have become strangers.

But these advantages, great as they are, appear to us to be over-balanced by objections still more powerful. It appears from the evidence, that the existing modes by which a settlement can be changed are productive of perjury and fraud, and that they tend to injure the employers of labour by restricting them in the choice of their servants, the owners of property, by distributing the labouring families according to rules not depending on the demand for their services, or the fund for their support, and above all the labourers themselves, by depriving them of the power of selling all that they have, their labour, to the best advantage. We fear that settlement by residence would aggravate all these evils. At present, a labourer may be steadily employed for years in a place in which he is not settled, by means of successive hirings, each hiring being for less than a year. But if settlement by residence were adopted, this would be impossible. We should have the constant occurrence of one of the worst consequences of the existing law, the

Printed and digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Settlement.

the separation of master and man, notwithstanding their mutual utility, and their mutual attachment, to the injury of both, but to the greater injury of the most numerous and the most helpless class,—the labourers. Again, the demolition of cottages, and the forcing the agricultural population into the towns and the parishes in which property is much divided, though we fear that they must, to a certain degree, arise under any law of settlement whatever, would be much promoted by a law which would fix on a parish every labourer who should have been allowed to reside there for any given period, unless the period were so long as to render the law almost inoperative. Another objection to settlement by residence, which has been dwelt on by many of our most intelligent witnesses arises from its effect on the unsettled labourers. At present they are confessedly superior, both in morals and in industry, to those who are settled in the parishes in which they reside. Make that residence give a settlement, and they will fall back into the general mass. With respect to the hardship on those who may be removed, we must repeat, 1st, that a person who applies to be maintained out of the produce of the industry or frugality of others, must accept that relief on the terms which the public good requires; and, 2dly, that in the small proportion of cases in which his claim is not founded on his own indolence, or improvidence, or misconduct, the duty of rescuing him from the hardship of a removal, falls peculiarly within the province of private and uncompulsory charity; a virtue so deeply implanted by providence in human nature, that even the existing system has rather misdirected than destroyed, or even materially diminished it.

We further recommend that instead of the present mode of first removing a pauper, and then inquiring whether the removal was lawful, the inquiry should precede the removal. We find this measure in a Bill brought into the House of Commons in 1819, and printed in the Parliamentary Papers of that year, Number 211. That Bill empowers the Justice who shall order a removal to suspend its execution, and to forward (which might be effected through the Post Office,) a copy of the examination of the pauper, and of the order of removal, to the overseers of the parish in which the pauper has been adjudged to be settled. It then enables the parties who think themselves aggrieved by the order to appeal to the quarter sessions within twenty-eight days, and the sessions to decide on the question as if the removal had actually taken place. In the absence of appeal, the order is to be conclusive. The expediency of this measure is so obvious, that it is difficult to account for its rejection in 1819, unless we are to believe a tradition, that it was defeated by a combination of persons interested in creating litigation and expense.

It will be observed, that in our exposition of the evils arising from the law of settlement, we have not dwelt on the expense of litigation and removals; we have passed it over slightly, not because we doubt its magnitude, but because we believe that in this, as in every other branch of the evils connected with the administration of the Poor Laws, the pecuniary loss, great as it may be, is utterly unimportant when compared with the moral mischief. The collection, burthensome as it is, is far less ruinous than the expenditure. If twice the number of millions were annually thrown into the sea, we might still be a moral, industrious and flourishing nation. But if the whole of our poor rates could be raised without inconvenience, if they were paid to us for instance as a tribute by foreigners, and were still applied as they are now applied, no excellence in our laws and institutions in other respects could save us from ultimate ruin. And we must add, that we think it would be rash to expect from the alterations which we have recommended in the law of settlement much diminution of expense.

Some diminution, however, we anticipate from them, particularly with respect to litigation. The simplicity of the rule which we propose will exclude all questions of law, and in all cases reduce the question to a matter of fact; and when a general registration of births shall have been established, a measure which cannot be long delayed, the proof of the fact of birth will be much easier. We anticipate, however, a much further diminution, both of litigation and removals, from the operation of our general measures. In proportion as there is an approximation to uniformity of management, the motives on the part of paupers, to shift from a parish where there may be rigid management or "a bad parish," to a parish where there is profuse management or "a good parish," will decrease. In proportion as there is an approximation to our main object, that of rendering the condition of the able-bodied pauper less eligible on the whole than that of the independent labourer, it is proved by all experience, that the able-bodied will cease to avail themselves of any settlement whatever, whether immediate or distant.

Mr. Thomas

Printed in association by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Settlement.

Mr. Thomas Langley, out-door inspector of the parish of Mary-le-bone, a witness whose evidence has already been cited, was asked, What effect regulations upon the principle last mentioned would have upon removals, and upon the general operation of the law of settlement? He answers—

"I think the law of settlement would then be of very little consequence. Where a pauper has a doubtful settlement, it is now our practice to offer him labour, or to take him into the workhouse, as an experiment. We even take families in, and we now, under all our disadvantages, get rid of three out of four of such cases. If we were under such regulations as would make a pauper's condition, whether in or out of the workhouse, not so good as the condition of a hardworking labourer of the lowest class, the experiment being much cheaper, we should naturally resort to it more frequently. In fact, if such regulations were established, I think we should very seldom incur the expense and trouble, or the risks, of a removal in any case.

"Would the law of settlement remain then of any consequence in any case?—I do not know that it would; I cannot see that it would."*

XVI.
Sixteenth Recommendation.

And in order to afford further facilities to the proof of a birth settlement,—WE RECOMMEND THAT WHENEVER THERE SHALL BE ANY QUESTION REGARDING THE SETTLEMENT BY BIRTH OF A PERSON, WHETHER LEGITIMATE OR ILLEGITIMATE, AND WHETHER BORN BEFORE OR AFTER THE PASSING OF THE INTENDED ACT, THE PLACE WHERE SUCH PERSON SHALL HAVE BEEN FIRST KNOWN BY THE EVIDENCE OF SUCH PERSON, BY THE REGISTER OF HIS OR HER BIRTH OR BAPTISM OR OTHERWISE, TO HAVE EXISTED, SHALL BE PRESUMED TO HAVE BEEN THE PLACE OF HIS OR HER BIRTH, UNTIL THE CONTRARY SHALL BE PROVED.

Bastardy.

With respect to the BASTARDY laws, the evidence shows that as a general rule they increase the expense which they were intended to compensate, and offer temptations to the crime which they were intended to punish, and that their working is frequently accompanied by perjury and extortion, disgrace to the innocent, and reward to the shameless and unprincipled, and all the domestic misery and vice which are the necessary consequence of premature and ill-assorted marriage. We advise, therefore, their entire abolition.

What we propose in their room is intended to restore things, as far as it is possible, to the state in which they would have been if no such laws had ever existed; to trust to those checks, and to those checks only, which Providence has imposed on licentiousness, under the conviction that all attempts of the Legislature to increase their force, or to substitute for them artificial sanctions, have tended only to weaken or pervert them.

XVII.
Seventeenth Recommendation.

FIRST, with respect to the Child.—In the natural state of things, a child, until emancipated, depends on its parents. Their legal domicile, or, as it is technically called, place of settlement, is also the settlement of their offspring. And such is the existing law with respect to legitimate children. Only one of the parents of an illegitimate child can be ascertained. WE RECOMMEND THAT THE GENERAL RULE SHALL BE FOLLOWED, AS FAR AS IT IS POSSIBLE, AND THAT EVERY ILLEGITIMATE CHILD BORN AFTER THE PASSING OF THE ACT, SHALL, UNTIL IT ATTAIN THE AGE OF 16, FOLLOW ITS MOTHER'S SETTLEMENT. The immediate effect will be, to prevent a great amount of waste, suffering and demoralization. At present an unmarried pregnant female, though asking for no relief, is hunted from parish to parish, her feelings deadened by exposure, and her means of supporting herself and her child destroyed, and all this evil is incurred merely to save expense to the parish in which she is resident, at the much greater expense of the parish to which she is removed. We feel confident that if the woman were allowed to remain unmolested until she asked relief, she would, in many cases, by her own exertions, and the assistance of her friends, succeed in maintaining herself and her infant; but, as the law now stands, she has not power and inducement to do this. If she is settled in the parish in which her pregnancy took place, she has no inducement. The parish offers her a pension generally equalling, often exceeding her incumbrance, to be obtained without any additional disgrace. If she is unsettled, she has no power. However willing or anxious she

* App. (A.) Part II.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Bastardy.

she may be to toil for her own and her child's subsistence, rather than to be dragged in shame to the scene of her youth, she is not allowed the choice. The officers know that, if the child is born in their parish, they are responsible for its support throughout life, and for the support of its posterity. The consequences which her removal will produce to the child, to the mother, and to her parish, are no concern of theirs. They remove her as a matter of course.

XVIII.
Eighteenth Recommendation.

SECONDLY, with respect to the Mother.—AS A FURTHER STEP TOWARDS THE NATURAL STATE OF THINGS, WE RECOMMEND THAT THE MOTHER OF AN ILLEGITIMATE CHILD BORN AFTER THE PASSING OF THE ACT BE REQUIRED TO SUPPORT IT, AND THAT ANY RELIEF OCCASIONED BY THE WANTS OF THE CHILD BE CONSIDERED RELIEF AFFORDED TO THE PARENT. This is now the law with respect to a widow; and an unmarried mother has voluntarily put herself into the situation of a widow: she has voluntarily become a mother, without procuring to herself and her child the assistance of a husband and a father. There can be no reason for giving to vice privileges which we deny to misfortune.

This course, or a course as nearly resembling it as the existing law will allow, has been tried, and with uniform success. "In Swallowfield, Berks," says Mr. Russell, "a few years ago, we adopted the practice of paying to the mother "as much only of the allowance from the father as was absolutely necessary for "the immediate support of the child. The effect upon the mother was precisely "what we expected and desired it to be; and, if we could have persevered in "the practice, I have no doubt it would have been productive of very salutary "consequences; but a question having arisen as to its legality, we were compelled reluctantly to abandon it. At present a bastard child, instead of being "an incumbrance, is a source of profit to the mother." *

In Cookham, Berks, the same plan was adopted and persevered in by Mr. Whately. The result has been that, in a population of 3,337 persons, but one bastard has been christened during each of the last five years. In 1822 there were 26 bastards; now ten years after, notwithstanding the increase of population, there are but five. †

It appears, from Mr. Cowell's Report, that at Bingham, in Nottinghamshire, as soon as the parish adopted measures which prevented the mothers from recurring to the parish, bastardy, which had been previously prevalent, almost ceased. For the first three years there was not one illegitimate birth in the parish, except in the case of a woman who was an idiot, and for the last 12, there appears to have been only one woman who has had a second.‡ The same principle has been acted on, and for a longer period, with equal success, in the United States. An instructive article on the Poor Laws in the 27th number of the American Quarterly Review; the part of which relating to America, we have inserted in Appendix (F.) states that—

"In Boston, Baltimore and Salem, the principle has long been acted upon, that the public will not undertake to bring up illegitimate children, without expense to the mother. The consequence is, that in 1826 but ten cases came under the notice of the public officers at Boston, and but two at Salem, while in Baltimore the public was put to no expense whatever in regard to them. In the same year, in Philadelphia, the number of bastards under the care of the guardians of the poor, was two hundred and seventy-two." §

Further evidence in favour of this plan is afforded by the conduct of those whom it would principally affect, the labouring classes themselves. Mr. Tidd Pratt, to whose evidence we have so often referred, was asked,—

"What is the course adopted by the labouring classes in their friendly societies, with "regard to illegitimate children?" He answered, "In female societies which are numerous "and increasing, they utterly deprive the parties of relief, and expel them. In male societies "they allow no benefit on the birth of a child, unless such child is born in wedlock. In "those societies which allow an annuity or other payment to a widow on the death of a "member, such benefit is forfeited by her having lived apart from her husband during his lifetime

* App. (A.) Mr. Chadwick's Report.
† App. (A.) Part II.
‡ App. (A.) Part I. p. 649.
§ App. (F.)

Printed images digitally by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

" lifetime, or having had an illegitimate child after his death. Their Rules are usually of " the tenor of the following:

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Bastardy.

" We do also agree to and with each other, that if any widow, pensioner of this society " who shall be proved to be with child, or be delivered of a child, either alive or " still-born, at any time after she has been a widow eleven months, that then and from " thenceforth every such widow shall forfeit all her right and title to the pension of " ten pounds per annum, and to be for ever debarred from every part thereof." *

" No benefit will be allowed for the birth or death of a child that is not born in " wedlock." †

" Then in all cases they utterly disallow relief to a woman who has a bastard child?—Yes, " both male and female societies."

In those classes of society which are above the labouring classes, the burthen of supporting an illegitimate child, in the first instance, falls of course on the mother. The labouring classes throw it upon her when they frame regulations for themselves. It appears, therefore, that the plan of exempting her has been rejected wherever there has been the power of rejecting it, and has been adopted only where one class has legislated for another.

One great advantage which will follow from giving an unmarried mother no advantage over a widow with a legitimate child, will be, that her parents will be forced, if it is necessary, to contribute to her support and to that of her infant. In a natural state of things they must do so, whether the child be legitimate or not; and when we consider that in the vast majority of cases, the neglect or ill example, and in many cases the actual furtherance of those parents has occasioned their daughter's misconduct, it appears not only just, but most useful, that they should be answerable for it.

XIX.
Nineteenth Recommendation.

WE RECOMMEND THAT THE SAME LIABILITY BE EXTENDED TO HER HUSBAND. The general law of the country throws on the husband all his wife's liabilities; he is bound to pay her debts, he is answerable for her engagements, even though he may not have been aware of them, though they may have been carefully concealed from him; and there seems no reason which this peculiar liability, a liability which must almost always be notorious to him, should be excepted. We certainly consider it no objection that this will make it more difficult for a woman who has misconducted herself to obtain a husband; and we must add, that if this plan be not adopted, it will be difficult to follow out the system of giving no relief to the child independently of the mother, and of giving that relief in the workhouse.

XX.
Twentieth Recommendation.

ON THE OTHER HAND, WE RECOMMEND THE REPEAL OF THAT PART OF THE 35 GEO. III. C. 101, S. 6, WHICH MAKES AN UNMARRIED PREGNANT WOMAN REMOVABLE, AND THE 50 GEO. III. C. 51, S. 2, WHICH AUTHORIZES THE COMMITTAL OF THE MOTHER OF A CHARGEABLE BASTARD TO THE HOUSE OF CORRECTION. The first of these enactments will cease to be applicable as soon as the child follows the mother's settlement. The second appears, by the evidence, to produce on the whole much more harm than good, and we object to them both as unnecessary interferences. If our previous recommendations are adopted, a bastard will be, what Providence appears to have ordained that it should be, a burthen on its mother, and, where she cannot maintain it, on her parents. The shame of the offence will not be destroyed by its being the means of income and marriage, and we trust that as soon as it has become both burthensome and disgraceful, it will become as rare as it is among those classes in this country who are above parish relief, or as it is among all classes in Ireland. If we are right in believing the penalties inflicted by nature to be sufficient, it is needless to urge further objections to any legal punishment. We may add, however, that the effect of any such punishment would probably be mischievous, not only by imposing unnecessary suffering on the offender, but by making her an object of sympathy.

THIRDLY, as to the Father.—In affirming the inefficiency of human legislation to enforce the restraints placed on licentiousness by Providence, we have implied our

* 21st Article of Agreement for regulating the Friendly Society formed at Eltham, Kent.
† 8th Article for regulating the TRIPLE FRIENDSHIP SOCIETY, Blackfriars, London.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Bastardy.

nur helief, that all punishment of the supposed father is useless. We believe that it is worse than useless. Without considering the numerous cases in which that punishment falls upon the innocent, without dwelling upon the perjury by which that injustice is accomplished, we will confine ourselves to the effect produced on the woman's mind by her power of calling for that punishment. That power is the security to which the woman looks at present; she expects that the parish will *right her*. If she is ill disposed, this adds to the force of her temptation; if she is well disposed, this removes the prop which should support her self-control. Marriage will always be preferred by the woman if she can attain it, and she ought not to be placed in circumstances in which marriage shall be most easily attainable by previous concession.

"One day," says a witness examined hy Mr. Chadwick, "I went into the house of one of the people who work at the chalk quarries at Northfleet, to buy fossils, and a young woman came in for a few minutes whose appearance clearly showed approach to maternity. When she went out, I said to the woman of the house, 'Poor girl, she has been unfortunate.' She replied, 'Indeed she has, poor girl, and a virtuous good girl she is too. The fellow has betrayed her, and gone to sea.' I said, 'she should not have trusted him till she had been at church.' To this observation the woman replied, and let me observe *her own children were all about her*, 'What could she do, poor girl? if she did not do as other girls do, she would never get a husband. Girls are often deceived, and how can they help it?"*

XXI.

Twenty-first Recommendation

WE RECOMMEND THEREFORE THAT THE SECOND SECTION OF THE 18 ELIZ. CAP. 3., AND ALL OTHER ACTS WHICH PUNISH OR CHARGE THE PUTATIVE FATHER OF A BASTARD, SHALL, AS TO ALL BASTARDS BORN AFTER THE PASSING OF THE INTENDED ACT, BE REPEALED.

Cases will no doubt occur of much hardship and cruelty, and it will often be regretted that these are not punishable, at least by fine upon the offender. But the object of law is not to punish, but to prevent: and if the existing law does not prevent, as is too clear, it must not be maintained against its proper design, with a view to punishment, still less must it be maintained if it acts as an incentive. It must be remembered, too, that we do not propose to deprive either the woman or her parents of their direct means of redress: she may still bring her action for breach of promise of marriage, and her parents may still bring theirs for the loss of their daughter's service.

One objection, however, may be made to our plan, which deserves an answer in deference, not to its force, but to the religious and moral feelings in which it originates. It may he said, that throwing on the woman the expense of maintaining the child, will promote infanticide. It appears, from Mr. Walcott's Report, that infanticide, and in one of its worst forms, is promoted by the existing law; but we do not in fact believe that we have to choose between the two dangers: we do not believe that infanticide arises from any calculation as to expense. We believe that in no civilized country, and scarcely in any barbarous country, has such a thing ever been heard of as a mother's killing her child in order to save the expense of feeding it.

We

* App. (A.) Part II.

Printed on paper supplied by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Emigration.

We have still to consider a subject which, though not expressly mentioned in our Commission, appears to us within its spirit, and that is,—

EMIGRATION.

Before we examine the expediency of resorting to measures for facilitating Emigration, as principal or auxiliary remedies for the evils which we have described, it is necessary to consider the questions, whether there exists in any part of England a Population which materially exceeds the actual demand for Labour; and whether such an excess is likely to exist, after the measures which we have already recommended shall have been put in force.

After a system of administration, one of the most unquestionable effects of which is the encouragement and increase of improvident marriages among the labouring class, has prevailed in full vigour for nearly forty years, it is a remarkable proof of the advance of the wealth of this part of the kingdom, that a question should arise as to the existence of a Surplus Population; and a mere inspection of the comparative account of the numbers of the people, especially in the Agricultural Districts, at the times of the three last enumerations, would seem to remove any doubt which may have arisen on such a question. Not only has an increase of population, which would have been heretofore deemed extraordinary in a long settled country, taken place in the Manufacturing Counties, but the increase has been nearly as rapid in those purely Agricultural Districts from which we have received general complaints of a decrease of the Capital of the Farmer. In the County of Bedford, for instance, the increase of Population has been, in the years ending 1821, 19 per cent.; in the ten years ending 1831, 14 per cent.; in Buckinghamshire, 14 and 19 per cent.; in Northamptonshire, 15 per cent. and 10 per cent.; in Essex, after similar rates for the same periods; and in Cambridgeshire 20 per cent., and 18 per cent.* In the communication so often referred to, Mr. Day has given the following statement:

"Our division of petty sessions comprehends the following eleven parishes, the population of which is almost exclusively agricultural, and the censuses of which I subjoin:—

Place.	1801.	1811.	1821.	1831.
Buxted	1,063	1,202	1,500	1,642
Chiddingly	673	739	870	902
East Hothly	305	468	510	505
Framfield	960	1,074	1,437	1,466
Horsted	207	235	266	300
Isfield	334	484	569	581
Maresfield	960	1,117	1,439	1,650
Mayfield	1,849	2,079	2,608	2,738
Rotherfield	1,963	2,122	2,782	3,085
Uckfield	811	916	1,099	1,201
Waldron	752	840	965	997
Total	9,976	11,346	14,164	15,120

Increase in 30 years	50 per cent.
Ditto in last 20 years	33 —
Ditto in last 10 years	6·8 —

"*Note.*—The increase in the *whole county* (exclusive of the towns of Brighton, Chichester, Hastings and Lewes), in the last 30 years, is from 161,577 to 204,707, or 26 + per cent. This population I apprehend to be purely agricultural. It gives an average increase of about 158 souls in each parish, the average present population being 752."

"The accuracy of the census of 1801 has been generally disputed; assuming then the census of 1811 for the purpose of my argument, we find that there are now 133 labourers to do the same work that was then done by 100. I say the same work, but I should be justified in saying less; for as the profits of agriculture have declined, and the capital of the farmer deteriorated, so has the state of tillage and the general cultivation of the land. As I consider this point of the argument to be of vital importance to a just view of the subject, I beg to explain that I mean, that the same physical force which effectuated a certain state of cultivation in 1811 (without reference to what was left undone), would effect the same in 1831; and if that is now done by the application of a greater number of labourers, it must be by assigning less work to the share of each."

In

* Some allowance must be made in this case for the rapid increase of the town of Cambridge.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Emigration.

In the Answers to the Questions addressed by us to individuals in agricultural districts of the Middle, Southern and Eastern Counties, we find frequent cases stated of a great excess of labourers above the means of employment in the respective parishes. And we find the statement confirmed by the fact of multitudes of able-bodied young men wasting their time on the roads and in gravel-pits at the expense of the rate payers, who deem it cheaper to pay them for their idleness than for their labour. The excess in some districts of labourers beyond the actual demand must be taken to be established beyond dispute.

But in the case of labour, as of commodities, the extent of the demand, as compared with the supply, will depend in some degree on the quality of the article offered. The present state of the administration of the Poor Laws does not allow us to ascertain, in the great majority of parishes we have referred to, what the demand for labour would be if work were sought for with energy and performed with diligence. It is to be observed too, that although not employed, all the population in the parishes which complain of its excess, is at any rate clothed and fed, and that the income which maintains an able-bodied pauper in idleness would, if not so expended, be applied directly or indirectly to the employment of labour. It does not necessarily follow, indeed, that the demand for labour which would arise from the saving of the farmer through the diminution of rates would be felt within the same parish or district within which the poor rates are now expended, and we have therefore looked with some anxiety to the effect on the demand for labour in those parishes where a reform in the administration of the Poor Laws has been effected. We have already had to state, among the most gratifying results of this reform, that the dispauperized labourers have found employment to a greater extent than the most sanguine friend of the change could have anticipated in the parishes where they were previously relieved as paupers.

One of the parishes which we have mentioned among those in which an improved administration of the law has been introduced (Ulcy), was the seat of an apparently large surplus population, and of a declining manufacture. No circumstances could be conceived apparently less favourable to the absorption of surplus labour. Yet of 1,000 persons who, before the introduction of the reform, were on the parish books (out of a population of 2,641), and who are now chiefly maintained by their own exertions, few have left the parish; and this statement is supported by a list, showing the actual occupations and present means of support of all who received parish pay before the workhouse was opened.* No evidence can be more satisfactory or complete.

These results lead us to a conviction, that even in the parishes where the greatest surplus above the actual demand exists, it would be rapidly reduced and ultimately disappear, if relief were no longer granted, except in return for actual labour, and subject to the restraints of a workhouse.

But no expedient by which the reduction of the surplus labour can be accelerated, and the suffering of the labourer during the progress of the change diminished, should be disregarded; and we are of opinion, that emigration, which has been one of the most innocent palliatives of the evils of the present system, could be advantageously made available to facilitate the application of the remedies which we have already suggested.

Numerous instances are stated in our evidence, of emigration at the expense of parishes, and the results have generally been satisfactory;† we believe they have been uniformly so wherever the experiment has been made on a considerable scale. In the case of Benenden, in Kent, where the effects of emigration, unconnected with other remedies, has been carefully detailed by Mr. Law Hodges, the result has been, that the annual parochial expenditure, exclusive of the emigration expenses, has been reduced in four years by one-third, that within the same time the debt incurred on account of emigration has been nearly liquidated; that the whole expense of the poor, including the sums applied to this liquidation, has been considerably reduced from the very year the emigration commenced, while the moral condition of the labourers has been decidedly improved. But emigration has hitherto been resorted to under many discouragements and difficulties. The same causes which make those who are dependent on the poor-rates listless in

* Mr. Cowell's Rep. App. (A.) Part I. p. 619. et seq.

† Mr. Majendie's Rep. App. (A.) Part I. p. 170; Mr. Stuart (A.) Part I. p. 375; Capt. Pringle (A.) Part I. p. 320; Mr. Maclean (A.) Part I. p. 573, &c.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

employment at home, render them unwilling to undergo the temporary privations and inconvenience which must attend their settlement in another country. Those persons are generally most forward to emigrate who are least corrupted by the abuses of the system of relief. Those are most willing to remain a burthen to their parishes who are most thoroughly profligate and useless. REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Mr. Stuart, speaking of the counties of Norfolk and Suffolk, where emigration to a greater or less extent has taken place in many of the parishes, observes,—

"It is, however, vain to hope that emigration can be carried to an extent equal to effect any diminution on the expenditure on the poor, so long as the parish funds are open to all comers. It is a matter of complaint by the farmers, that emigration only carries off the industrious and well-behaved, and leaves them encumbered with the idle and profligate; and it cannot be otherwise while every one is sure of a liberal maintenance whether they are idle or industrious. Mr. Turner has taken the trouble to extract from the overseer's books the parish allowances paid to those who removed from Kettleburgh, from which it will be seen that men with seven children were in receipt of 14*s.* a week, and others in proportion. It is surprising that any inducement could be discovered sufficiently strong to influence any person to forego the certainty of so liberal a pension, to encounter the violent change of feelings and habits which must accompany emigration under any circumstances. It is universally known that those who are in receipt of parish relief, leagued together, for the purpose of keeping it up and augmenting it for their own benefit, or extending it to others; and as they are less scrupulous in the means they resort to, they are better able to carry through their designs of encroachment than the rate-payers are their endeavours to resist them. The progressive increase of the expenditure on the poor would seem to prove this. In such a state of things, it cannot be expected that the expenditure on paupers can be diminished by lessening the numbers of the population, unless it be carried to a greater extent than seems to be possible, so long as compulsory relief exists; the chances being, that whatever diminution of expense might take place from that cause, would be no saving to the rate-payers, as fresh candidates for relief would immediately start up. Where the parochial fund is considered as a property on which all have a claim, there is little difficulty in contriving pretences to make the claim good, and as long as the fund exists for the purposes to which it is now directed, it is not by the diminution of the numbers of the population which could be effected by emigration, that it can be brought within reasonable bounds."*

"If chargeable paupers would go," says Mr. Maclean, speaking of Dorking, "the parish would be willing to raise a large sum; but this class of persons naturally prefer an idle but certain dependence on the parish at home, to an uncertain independence abroad, to be procured by industry and good conduct."†

The following extract from Mr. Majendie's Report, shows the pecuniary saving which has been effected by emigration. It is valuable, also, as showing that emigration alone is an inadequate, and must be a transient, remedy. We have seen in the cases of Cookham, Swallowfield and other parishes, that the evils of the Poor Laws disappear under the influence of the system we have recommended, notwithstanding an apparent surplus of population. We see in the evidence we are about to quote, that although the supernumerary labourers be removed by emigration, yet, in the absence of other changes, the abuses of the allowance system may continue to abound, and that the charge for the poor may be 27*s.* per head on a population, where no pretence of a surplus continues to exist;

EWHURST.

POPULATION.

In 1801.	In 1811.	In 1821	In 1831.
847	1,032	1,225	1,200

Rates in the £.	Value.	Total Expenditure, 1832.
11*s.*	⅞	1,630*l.*

Wages, 2*s.* 3*d.* Allowance, 1*s.* 6*d.* for third child.

"In the year ending March 1822, the total expenditure was 3,371*l.* The reduction of rates in the parish of Ewhurst has been effected partly by adopting money payment, but principally by emigration. Since the year 1818, 100 persons have emigrated, so that there are now no supernumerary labourers. In a parish which has incurred the expense of emigration

* App. (A.) Part I. p. 377. † App. (A.) Part I. p. 574.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Emigration.

tion to such an extent, as to leave no more labourers than are requisite for the cultivation of the soil, in which 400 acres of hops afford employment to women and children, winter and summer, and where the rate of weekly wages is 13*s.* 6*d.*, the allowance for children must be considered as compulsory, and to that must it be ascribed that rates are still 27*s.* per head on the population, and 11*s.* in the pound on a two-thirds value.

"The rector, from benevolent motives, has offered small allotments to the labourers, at a low rent: he has been able to let three acres only, and his offer of nine acres more has been rejected."*

Even in Benenden, where emigration has been so well managed, the expenditure on the poor is still above 20*s.* per head on the whole population. The abolition of partial relief will remove the main discouragement to emigration, while it will ascertain the extent to which emigration may be useful; it will increase the disposition to emigrate on the part of those whose emigration is to be desired. We believe, therefore, that in proportion as our other remedies are applied, there will be an increased disposition on the part of parishes to supply the means to paupers desirous of emigrating, if they be enabled by law so to do.

XXII. Twenty-second Recommendation. WE RECOMMEND, THEREFORE, THAT THE VESTRY OF EACH PARISH BE EMPOWERED TO ORDER THE PAYMENT, OUT OF THE RATES RAISED FOR THE RELIEF OF THE POOR, OF THE EXPENSES OF THE EMIGRATION OF ANY PERSONS HAVING SETTLEMENTS WITHIN SUCH PARISH, WHO MAY BE WILLING TO EMIGRATE; PROVIDED, THAT THE EXPENSE OF EACH EMIGRATION BE RAISED AND PAID, WITHIN A PERIOD TO BE MENTIONED IN THE ACT. We think it also would be expedient to adopt the measures for facilitating and regulating emigration contained in the Bill introduced into the House of Commons in 1831, and to be found, (as amended by a Committee,) in the Parliamentary Papers of that Session, (No. 358.)

It has occasionally happened that emigrants have returned to burthen the parishes at the expense of which they have been removed; and to remedy this evil it has been proposed that every person who should with his own consent be removed to the Colonies at the expense of his parish, should lose his settlement. But we do not think it expedient that this proposal should be adopted. We do not believe the instances of the return of emigrants are now frequent enough to affect the profit to a parish of an emigration judiciously conducted, and we believe that the instances would be still more rare if it were known that the emigrant on his return would not be entitled to relief otherwise than in a well-managed workhouse. But the chief objection is, that to deprive the emigrant of his settlement,—while it might operate to prevent the pauper from emigrating by the threat of an imaginary forfeiture,—would only enable returned emigrants to be relieved as casual poor in any places, not excluding their own parishes, where they might be pleased to fix themselves.

We should propose rather, that the expenses which any parish shall have defrayed, or contracted to pay for the removal of any voluntary emigrant shall, upon the return to England of the emigrant, become a debt due to the overseers for the time being, and shall be recovered by an attachment of any wages to which the debtor may become entitled, as we have before recommended in the case of other expenses incurred on account of a pauper or his family.

We forbear to enter upon a consideration of the modes in which emigration may be most beneficially conducted, because it has already formed the subject of minute inquiries by Parliamentary Committees, and because, if the Emigration Bill which we have referred to be passed into a law, the Commission to be appointed under its provisions, must soon be able to avail itself of information much more ample and detailed than we have had access to. But there is one suggestion of which we feel the value, from all the evidence we have received as to the state of feeling of the pauper emigrants. Under the influence of the system, which at once confines the labourer to a narrow neighbourhood, and relieves him from the care of providing for his subsistence, he has acquired or retained with the moral helplessness, some of the other peculiarities of a child. He is often disgusted to a degree which other classes scarcely conceive possible, by slight differences in diet; and is annoyed by any thing which appears to him strange and new. We believe the novelty of food and manners in the Colonies, and the longing for old associates and old associations, has concurred, with a retrospect of the ease and security of pauperism, to bring back to their parishes some of the least energetic emigrants, who

* Mr. Majendie's Rep. App. (A.) Part I. p. 203.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

who to justify themselves, spread discouraging accounts of the Colonies from which they have returned. In Mr. Stuart's Report will be found a letter from an emigrant at Montreal, who being able to save money enough from his wages to pay his passage back, declared his intention to return to the parish in which he had been a troublesome pauper; apparently moved to that determination, as much by the want of well-tasted beer in Canada and a longing for old associations, as by the fact that he was obliged punctually to pay rent for his lodgings, instead of being provided with a cottage at the parish expense. We suggest, that to diminish distaste to the Colonies on imaginary grounds, the emigrants from particular parishes and neighbourhoods in England should be directed, as far as possible, to the same townships or districts, in which the new comers would thus find old acquaintances, and manners with which they would be familiar. We believe that this precaution would commonly lessen their aversion to a new country, and that if any returned, their misrepresentations would be more effectually checked by the accounts continually received from their colonial neighbours. REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Rating.

There are some other matters connected with the objects of our inquiry, on which we do not propose the immediate adoption of any specific measures, because we should be unwilling to embarrass the progress of the remedies we deem of paramount importance by any change not necessarily connected with them. The following subjects appear to us, however, to deserve the consideration of the Legislature.

The first is the present method of rating the property chargeable with the relief of the poor. The mode of rating is now, like many other parts of the administration of the Poor Laws, in the highest degree uncertain and capricious. "It will be seen," says one of our Assistant Commissioners, "by a reference to the Return recently made to Parliament, that in the first *ten* parishes named, viz. Abingdon, Andover, Arundel, Ashburton, Aylesbury, Banbury, Barnstaple, and the parishes of St. Michael, St. Peter and St. Paul and Walcot, in the City of Bath, *nine* different rates of assessment are now in operation, and these vary in the proportion of *one-fifth* of the rent or actual value, as assessed at Ashburton, to the full or actual value as assessed at Bath; while at Bridgnorth, a little further on in the Return, it appears that in the seven parishes of the *same* town five different modes of assessment are adopted."*

Nor is the fractional part of the value on which the rate is professedly made always fixed or ascertainable within each parish.

The Commissioner whom we have quoted says, "Appeals are frequently made to me (as a magistrate) upon this subject, and although it has been my duty as well as my desire to ascertain the fractional part of the real value (for we do not rate on the rack rent) upon which the assessment *professes* to be made, in Kensington, where I reside, I have been unable to do so, because I could not find any man in the parish who could state it with accuracy; and my conviction is, that when once the simple rule of real value is departed from, a door is opened to much partiality and much abuse."†

In the town of Southampton, according to Capt. Pringle, the assessment for the poor-rates is on a valuation made 60 years since. New buildings are assessed by the guardians, and at a much higher rate; many of the old being rated at about one-third of the rack rent, whilst the new are nearly two-thirds.

That the mode of rating should be uniform; that it should be according to the actual value, and not any alleged, much less any uncertain or variable fractional part, is too obvious to be doubted; and we may observe, that besides affording a temptation and a cover to partiality and abuse, the present system, or want of system of rating, enables parishes at their discretion to render nugatory the salutary provisions of the 58th Geo. III. c. 69, as to the manner of voting in vestries.

It would be unjust, however, to assume the actual value of rateable property to be identical with the rack rent. The value according to which property should be rated, appears to us to be the rent which a tenant, taking upon himself the burthen of repairs, could afford to pay under a 21 years lease.

We have incidentally observed, in a former part of our Report, on the evils which arise from the exemption from rates enjoyed by the cottages or apartments inhabited by

* Mr. Codd's Rep. App. (A.) Part I. p. 50.

† Mr. Codd's Rep. App. (A.) Part I. p. 50.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

by the poor, and of the payment of their rents by the parish. The enactment of the 59 Geo. III. c. 12, s. 19, was directed against these evils; but it has been found defective, inasmuch as it *empowers*, and does not enjoin parishes to rate the owners instead of the occupiers, and because dwellings let at a rent of less than 6*l.* a year, *or* for three months, or any longer term, are exempted from the operation of this power. The remedies we have already recommended will lessen the interest of the owners of the dwellings of the poor in the mal-administration of the parochial fund; but we think that for effecting an improvement in the composition and conduct of vestries, and for securing the more full and punctual payment of the rates, it is desirable that the owner of every dwelling or apartment let to the occupier at any rent not exceeding 15*l.* for any less term than seven years, should be rated instead of the occupiers.

Militia-men's families.

The Act of the 43 Geo. III. c. 47. (for consolidating the laws for the relief of the families of militia-men) to which we have already referred, appears to us to be within the range of the inquiries which we have been directed to make, and it deserves to be reconsidered by the Legislature. It enacts in substance, that if a militia-man be called into actual service, leaving a family unable to support themselves, an allowance after a rate not exceeding the price of one day's labour, nor less than 1*s.* per head, for the wife and each of the children under ten years of age, shall be paid upon the order of one justice, to such family, by the overseers of the parish where they dwell.

The justices in quarter sessions may settle the rate of allowance for such county, and the allowance so settled is binding on the individual justices. The payment made by the overseers of the place where the family dwell, to be reimbursed by other parishes and places in a manner immaterial to our purpose.

These payments are open to many of the objections to the "allowance system." They are made not in reward of the services of the father, or in proportion to those services, but in proportion to the assumed necessity of the family, and this necessity is assumed to be in proportion to their numbers; for although, perhaps, the words of the Act would authorize a justice to refuse to make an order, where the mother was manifestly able to maintain all her children; yet it is clear, that if he give anything, the magistrate must give the full allowance for all the members of the family; and we believe the Act is commonly construed (as without violence it may be) as not even leaving the justice satisfied of the fact of marriage, and the number and age of family, any discretion to withhold the allowance. We have already stated that this Act, or rather the Acts which it consolidates and amends, largely contributed in many parts of the kingdom, to familiarize both magistrates and parish officers with the allowance system, and it diminished the shame of applications for parochial assistance, because it exhibited as receivers of relief, by the hands of the overseers, numerous families to whom no moral blame could be justly attributed. We feel great difficulty, however, in proposing the abolition of the provisions in question, depending as it does on the method established by law of recruiting for the militia by lot. It is not within the province of our commission to pronounce an opinion on this mode of recruiting; but whatever may be its advantages, we may be permitted to state our belief, that it has tended—it must tend when it is no longer dormant—to discourage the course of steady industry, and to increase the excuses for improvidence. It adds a factitious chance of ruin to those inevitable accidents of health and fortune, which make the reward of steady industry in some degree precarious, and must render the strict administration of the Poor Laws more difficult, by multiplying the cases of blameless destitution.

Charities.

Closely connected with the relief provided by the Poor Laws is the relief provided by charitable foundations. As to the administration and effect of those charities which are distributed among the classes who are also receivers of the poor's rate, much evidence is scattered throughout our Appendix, and it has forced on us the conviction that, as now administered, such charities are often wasted, and often mischievous. In many instances being distributed on the same principle as the rates of the worst managed parishes, they are only less pernicious than the abuse in the application of the poor rates, because they are visibly limited in amount. In some cases they have a quality of evil peculiar to themselves. The majority of them are distributed among the poor inhabitants of particular parishes or towns. The places intended to be favoured by large charities attract, therefore, an undue proportion of the poorer classes, who, in the hope of trifling benefits to be obtained without labour, often linger on in spots most unfavourable to the exercise of their industry. Poverty is thus not only collected, but created, in the very neighbourhood

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

hood whence the benevolent founders have manifestly expected to make it disappear.

These charities, in the districts where they abound, may interfere with the efficacy of the measures we have recommended, and on this ground, though aware that we should not be justified in offering any specific recommendation with respect to them, we beg to suggest that they call for the attention of the Legislature.

Education.

WE have now recommended to YOUR MAJESTY the Measures by which we hope that the enormous evils resulting from the present mal-administration of the Poor Laws, may be gradually remedied. It will be observed, that the Measures which we have suggested are intended to produce rather negative than positive effects; rather to remove the debasing influences to which a large portion of the Labouring Population is now subject, than to afford new means of prosperity and virtue. We are perfectly aware, that for the general diffusion of right principles and habits we are to look, not so much to any economic arrangements and regulations as to the influence of a moral and religious education; and important evidence on the subject will be found throughout our appendix. But one great advantage of any measure which shall remove or diminish the evils of the present system, is, that it will in the same degree remove the obstacles which now impede the progress of instruction, and intercept its results; and will afford a freer scope to the operation of every instrument which may be employed, for elevating the intellectual and moral condition of the poorer classes. We believe, that if the funds now destined to the purposes of education, many of which are applied in a manner unsuited to the present wants of society, were wisely and economically employed, they would be sufficient to give all the assistance which can be prudently afforded by the State. As the subject is not within our Commission, we will not dwell on it further, and we have ventured on these few remarks only for the purpose of recording our conviction, that as soon as a good administration of the Poor Laws shall have rendered further improvement possible, the most important duty of the Legislature is to take measures to promote the religious and moral education of the labouring classes.

All which We humbly Certify to YOUR MAJESTY.

C. J. LONDON. (L. S.)

J. B. CHESTER. (L. S.)

W. STURGES BOURNE. (L. S.)

NASSAU Wm SENIOR. (L. S.)

HENRY BISHOP. (L. S.)

HENRY GAWLER. (L. S.)

W. COULSON. (L. S.)

JAMES TRAILL. (L. S.)

EDWIN CHADWICK. (L. S.)

Whitehall Yard,
20 February 1834.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Un

SUPPLEMENT, No. 1.

We have adverted in the Report to Appendix (B.) containing the Answers to our circulated Queries, as the most valuable portion of our evidence. Its great length must, however, render it inaccessible to many of those into whose hands the Report is likely to come. We have deemed it advisable, therefore, to extract a portion, and append it as a Supplement.

For this purpose we first selected, as the most important points, the Population and Relief per Head in each parish, and the Answers to the nine following Questions:

Question 4.—Number of labourers sufficient for the proper cultivation of the land?

Question 5.—Number of agricultural labourers?

Question 7.—How many nonparishioners, distinguishing Scotch and Irish?

Question 24.—Have you any, and how many, able-bodied labourers in the employment of individuals receiving allowance or regular relief from your parish on their own account, or on that of their families; and if on account of their families, at what number of children does it begin?

Question 25.—Is relief or allowance given according to any and what scale?

Question 29.—Is there any and what difference between the wages paid by the employer to the married and unmarried, when employed by individuals?

Question 37.—Is the industry of the labourers in your neighbourhood supposed to be increasing or diminishing; that is, are your labourers supposed to be better or worse workmen than they formerly were?

Question 38.—Do the labourers in your neighbourhood change their services more frequently than formerly? and how do you account for that circumstance?

Question 53.—Can you give the Commissioners any information respecting the causes and consequences of the agricultural riots and burnings of 1830 and 1831?

We then extracted the Answers to these Questions from the first seven counties of England, taken alphabetically, that is to say, Bedfordshire, Berkshire, Buckinghamshire, Cambridgeshire, Cheshire, Cornwall, and Cumberland. We might have made a selection which would have given much more striking results; but we think that a fairer estimate of the general bearings of the evidence may be made by the mode which we have adopted, than if we had picked out and contrasted the very best and the very worst examples. The counties which we have taken comprise each extremity of England, and some of its central districts. Some are maritime, others inland: some thickly and others thinly peopled. Some contain mining, others manufacturing, and others only agricultural districts. In some the management of the poor is bad, in others excellent. We believe, in short, that a fairer average of the whole country cannot be taken. To reduce the extent of this Supplement, we have omitted the returns from the parishes mentioned in the note.* On referring to them, as printed at length in Appendix (B.) it will be seen that they afford no material information with respect to the selected Questions.

Of the 14 parishes in the county of Bedford which reply to the Questions "Have you any, and how many, able-bodied labourers in the employment of individuals

Questions 24 & 25.

* Bedfordshire:—Cardington.
Berkshire:—Padworth, Sunningwell.
Buckinghamshire:—Datchet, Fingest, Hambleden, Hedsor, Horton, Ibstone, Iver, Langley Marish, Marsh Gibbon, Great Marlow, Little Marlow, Medmenham, Stoke Poges, Whitchurch.
Cambridgeshire:—Cherry Hinton, Comberton, Doddington, Duxford, Fulbourn, Little Gransden, Harston, Isleham, Newton, Orwell, Sawston, Tyd St. Giles, Wisbech St. Mary, Wisbech St. Peter.
Chester:—Dutton, Landican, Little Leigh, Leighton.
Cornwall:—Cardynham, St. Enoder, St. Ewe, Morval, Ruan Major.
Cumberland:—Belbank, Culgaith, Greystoke, Melmerby, Skirwith.

Printed in digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

individuals receiving allowance or regular relief from your parish on their own account, or on that of their families; and if on account of their families, at what number of children does it begin?" and "Is relief or allowance given according to any, and what scale?" allowance is refused in 4[a], scarcely exists in 1[b], begins with the fifth child in 1[c], with the fourth child in 1[d], with the third child in 4[e], "whenever the family is large" in 1[f], "cases of allowance for large families are so various that no specific answer can be given" in 1[g], and allowance begins with the first child, whether the man be in employment or not, in 1[h].

Of the 28 parishes in the county of Berks, allowance is not granted in 7[i], in one of which (Winkfield) occasional relief is sometimes given when there are more than five children; in 2 others[k] there is no stated allowance, but relief is given to large families; it begins with the sixth child, according to earnings, in 1[l]; with the fifth child in 1[m], and is increased if bread is dearer; with the fourth child in 6[n]; with the third or fourth child, according to the price of the gallon loaf, in 1[o]; with the third child in 5[p]; with the second child in 1[q]; and according to a rule, if any, not stated, when the family is large, in 3[r]. In almost all these cases it is regulated by the price of bread.

Of the 21 parishes in the county of Bucks, allowance is not granted in 2[s]; given "at times," "in winter," in 2[t]; begins with the fifth child in 1[u]; "seldom under five" in 1[v]; begins with the fourth child in 4[x]; with the third in 1[y]; all the children whom the labourer cannot maintain are taken into the poor-house in 1[z]; and in the 8 remaining, allowance is given according to rules which the answers do not clearly indicate.

Of the 29 parishes in the county of Cambridge, regular allowance is not given in 8[aa], in one of which (Wimpole) the labourers are employed by an agreement of the nature of a labour rate; it begins with the sixth child in 1[bb]; with the fourth child in 4[cc]; in winter, sometimes with the third, in 1[dd]; in 1[ee], in the case of large families, "the children are sent to school and allowed 1*s.* each;" and in another[ff], "they are sent to the gravel-pit and allowed from 1*s.* 6*d.* to 2*s.* a week in idleness;" and in the remaining 13 it is given generally when the family is large, or the children unemployed, or the wages earned are insufficient to purchase a definite quantity of flour per head.

Of the 13 townships in the county of Chester, allowance is refused in 10[gg]; house-rent only is paid in 1[hh]; allowance has been given with the third child in 1[ii]; "relief is given on account of families," but by no regular scale, in 1[kk].

Of the 25 parishes in the county of Cornwall, allowance is not granted in 15[ll]; house-rent is paid where there are more than four children in 1[mm]; assistance is given where there are more than four children under 10, and they apply to the parish, in 4[nn]; with the fourth child in 4[oo]; and with the third, occasionally, in 1[pp].

Of the 42 parishes in the county of Cumberland, in 27 allowance to the able-bodied is unknown[qq]; in 1[rr] "the allowance on account of families varies from 2*l.* 10*s.*

---

[a] Caddington, Manilden, Northill, Southill-cum-Warden. [b] Willington. [c] Cople.
[d] Sharnbrook. [e] Bromham, Kempston, Lidlington, Puddington. [f] Turvey.
[g] Meppershall [h] Westoning.
[i] Burghfield, Cookham, Great Faringdon, Hurley, Shottesbrook, White Waltham, Winkfield.
[k] Binfield, Bray [l] Ufton Nervet. [m] Wargrave.
[n] Boxford, Bradfield, Kintbury, Long Wittenham, Milton, Shrivenham. [o] Wasing.
[p] Drayton, East Hendred, Lambourne, Letcombe Regis, Sutton Wick. [q] St. Mary, Reading.
[r] Coleshill, Thatcham, Uffington. [s] Denham, Taplow.
[t] Farnham-Royal, Upton-cum-Chalvey. [u] Amersham. [w] Chenies.
[x] Beaconsfield, Bledlow, Great Missenden, Turville. [y] Oving. [z] Leckhampstead.
[aa] Bassingbourne, Foxton, Melbourn, Over, Papworth St. Agnes, Royston, Wimpole, Wisbech.
[bb] Sutton. [cc] Histon, Linton, Littleport, Thorney Abbey.
[dd] Chatteris. [ee] Meldreth. [ff] Little Shelford.
[gg] Audlem, Childer Thornton, Eastham, Hooton, Leftwich, Overpool, Great Sutton, Little Sutton, Thelwall, Whitby.
[hh] Bostock. [ii] Handforth-cum-Bosden. [kk] Rostherne.
[ll] St. Agnes, St. Antony in the East, Botusfleming, Buryan Deanery, Calstock, St. Gluvias, Gwennap, Kea, Landewednack, West Love, Mawnan, Penzance, Roche, St. Stephen by Saltash, St. Winnow.
[mm] St. Merryn. [nn] Falmouth, Newlyn, Northhill, Talland.
[oo] St. Columb Minor, St. Kew, Lanreath, South Petherwin. [pp] St. Ive.
[qq] Aikton, Borrowdale, Brampton, Caldbeck, Cumrew, Dacre, Edenhall, Farlam, Gamblesby, Glassonby, Holme Cultram, Hunsonby and Winskill, Hutton in the Forest, Irton, Kirkandrews-upon-Esk, Kirkandrews-upon-Eden, Kirkland, Kirkoswald, Loweswater, Nicholforest, Plumbland, Ponsonby, Little Sulkeld, Staffield, Walton, Waterhead, Waverton. [rr] Hayton.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

[illegible];" it is given sometimes and begins with the second or third [illegible] one shilling is sometimes given for each child under nine years of age [illegible]; rent has been paid or occasional relief given, on account of large families, in 9[c]; "it depends on father's earnings[d] in 1;" several receive "small allowances" in 1[e]; and in 1, (Bewcastle, population 1336) three able-bodied labourers, with families, receive constant relief.

That the married men, even when employed by individuals, are generally or frequently better paid than the single, is admitted,— Question 29.

In Bedfordshire, by 9 parishes[f] out of 15.
In Berks, by 14[g] out of 28.
In Bucks, by 11[h] out of 21.
In Cambridgeshire, by 14[i] out of 28.
In Chester, by none.
In Cornwall, by 3[k] out of 25.
In Cumberland, by 3[l] out of 41; and in one of these, (Hesket,) the ground assigned is totally unconnected with the administration of the Poor Laws.

We will now take the 37th Question.

"Is the industry of the labourers in your neighbourhood supposed to be increasing or diminishing; that is, are your labourers supposed to be better or worse workmen than they formerly were?" Question 37.

In Bedfordshire, out of 14 parishes which answer the question, 1[m] states the industry of the labourers to be increasing, owing to gardens having been let to them; 5[n] that it is much the same, or not diminishing; and 8[o] that it is diminishing.

In Berks, out of the 28 which answer, 2, Cookham and Faringdon, in each of which an amendment in the administration of the Poor Laws has taken place, state that the industry of the labourers is increasing: 7[p] say, "much the same, not diminishing, or as good as formerly;" or, "not increasing:" 18[q], "diminishing," or, "worse workmen." In 1 parish[r], of three respondents two say, "much the same as for many years past," and one says, "diminishing."

In Bucks, of the 21 parishes which answer, 1[s] says, "rather increasing;" 4[t], "no great difference;" "they work as well;" "they are industrious while at work, but less careful of their earnings, owing to their dependence on relief;" "they know how to work as well, but are more artful:" in 2 parishes[u], of two respondents, one says, "much the same," the other, "worse;" and 14[w], "industry diminishing," or, "worse workmen."

In Cambridge, out of the 27 parishes that answer the question, 5[x] say, that the labourers are "much the same;" that "there is no difference;" "not much difference;" "no material change;" "no reason to complain:" 1[y], "less industrious in winter, in summer no material difference:" 1[z] says, "the labourers are generally as good as formerly, except those deteriorated by the operation of the Poor Laws:" 1[aa], "that their industry is not increasing:" 1[bb], "farmers sometimes complain of diminishing industry, but are unable to substantiate their complaints;

---

[a] Burtholme. [b] Nether Denton.
[c] Alston, Arthuret, Hesket, Langwathby, Wetheral, Wigton, Bootle, Great Salkeld, Renwick.
[d] Bowness. [e] Amstable.
[f] Bromham, Cople, Lidlington, Maulden, Puddiogton, Sharnbrook, Southill-cum-Warden, Westoning, Willington.
[g] Boxford, Bradfield, Burghfield, Drayton, Great Faringdon, East Hendred, Lambourne, Letcombe Regis, Long Wittenham, Milton, St. Mary Reading, Sutton Wick, Uffington, Wasing.
[h] Adstock, Bledlow, Steeple Claydon, Denham, Leckhampstead, Oving, Sherriogton, Thornton, Turvills, Upton, Woolstoo.
[i] Bassingbourne, Bottisham, Bourne, Foulmire, Fordham, Foxton, Histon, Melbourn, Meldreth, Over, Royston, Great Shelford, Little Shelford, Wimpole.
[k] Botusflaming, Northhill, St. Winnow. [l] Hesket, Kirkoswald, Staffield. [m] Turvey.
[n] Bromham, Caddingtoo, Meppershall, Southill-cum-Warden, Willington.
[o] Blunham, Cople, Kempston, Lidlingtoo, Maulden, Puddington, Sharnbrook, Westoning.
[p] Boxford, Uffiogton, Uftoo, White Waltham, Thatcham, Wasing, Winkfield.
[q] Binfield, Bradfield, Bray, Burghfield, Colesbill, Drayton, East Hendred, Hurley, Kintbury, Lambourne, Letcombe Regis, Long Wittenham, Milton, Shottesbrook, St. Mary Reading, Speen, Sutton Wick, Wargrave.
[r] Shrivenham [s] Farnham Royal. [t] Burnham, Denham, Beaconsfield, Upton.
[u] Taplow and Great Missenden.
[w] Adstock, Amersham, Bledlow, Chenies, Cholesbury, Steeple Claydon, Fawley, Leekhampstead, Oving, Sherrington, Thornton, Turville, Wexham, Woolston.
[x] Bourne, Stetchworth, Sutton, Thorney Abbey, Upwell. [y] Holy Trinity (I. of Ely).
[z] Linton. [aa] Little Shelford [bb] Papworth St. Agnes.

Printed and digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

complaints; I imagine that the labourer works more unwillingly, but not less skilfully, and, as might be expected, feels less interest in his employer:" 2[a] state that industry is diminished in part, but not generally; and 16[b], that it is diminished, or that the labourers are worse workmen.

In Cheshire, out of 13 parishes, only 1[c] states that the industry of the labourers has diminished: 6 give in fact no answer, and 6 give an answer which states or implies that the answerer is not aware of any difference having taken place.

In Cornwall, out of 26 parishes, 7[d] state that the industry of the labourers is increased, or that they are better workmen: 2[e], that those who occupy cottages, with a portion of land attached, are very industrious, or most industrious: 1[f] says, "the greater part are very industrious:" 1[g], "the labourers that have small families and good masters are as industrious as ever; the opposite class have lost their independence:" 2[h], the same as ever they were: 2[i], not materially diminished: 1[k], industry diminished, but they have more knowledge, and are better workmen: 9[l], industry diminished, or worse workmen: 1[m], labourers less able-bodied.

Of the 42 parishes in Cumberland, 21[n] state that the industry of the labourers is increasing, or that they are better workmen; 4[o] say that they are as industrious or as good workmen as formerly; 11[p] say there is little or no alteration that they are much the same; 1[q] that they are less toilsome; 1[r] that their industry is not improved; 1[s] that they are better ploughmen, but worse as mowers and reapers; 1[t] that "they wish to be employed; but as the farmers often cannot employ them in winter, some try smuggling and poaching;" and 2 do not answer the question.

Question 38. The principal object of the 38th question, "Do the labourers change their services more frequently in your neighbourhood than formerly? and how do you account for that circumstance?" was to ascertain whether the fear of a settlement by hiring and service being obtained, occasions a more frequent change of service among the labourers. The answers afford much information, not only on that point, but on the general tendency of Poor Law mal-administration, to weaken or dissolve the connexion between the labourer and his employer.

Of the 14 parishes in Bedfordshire that answer the question, 5[u] do not admit that there is any difference; 5[w] state that there is less hiring, from the fear of making settlements; 1[x] that there is frequent change, in consequence of restlessness and dissatisfaction; 2[y] that change is more frequent, in consequence of the idleness and ill-conduct resulting from superfluous labourers; and 1[z] that they change more frequently, in consequence partly of the farmer's alleged inability to employ labourers for a continuance, and partly from the labourers causing themselves to be discharged and thrown on the parish.

Of the 28 parishes in Berks, 10[aa] do not admit that there is much difference; 8[bb] state that they do change more frequently, or that there is less hiring, from the fear of making settlements; 1[cc] says, "the fear of making parishioners causes frequent changes; also the unsteadiness of farm servants;" 1[dd] says, "the young and

---

[a] Trumpington, Waterbeach.

[b] Bassingbourne, Bottisham, Chatteris, Foulmire, Fordham, Foxton, Histon, Littleport, Melbourn, Meldreth, Over, Royston, Great Shelford, Wimblington, Wimpole, Wisbech.

[c] Thelwall.

[d] Buryan, Falmouth, Gwennap, St. Merryo, Newlyn East, Northhill, Penzance.

[e] St. Agnes, Calstock. [f] St. Columb Minor. [g] Botusfleming.

[h] Landewednack, St. Stephen by Saltash. [i] St. Gluvias, Mawnan. [k] St. Winnow.

[l] St. Ive, Kea, St. Kew, Lanreath, West Looe, South Petherwin, Roche, Talland.

[m] St. Antony in tha East.

[n] Alston, Arthuret, Bootle, Bowness, Burtholme, Caldbeck, Dacre, Nether Deoton, Gumblesby Glassonby, Holme Cultram, Hunsonby and Winskill, Kirkandrews-upon-Esk, Loweswater, Ponsonby Great Salkeld, Little Salkeld, Walton, Waverton, Waterhead, Wetheral.

[o] Burrowdale, Brampton, Hayton, Staffield.

[p] Aikton, Cumrew, Edenhall, Farlam, Hutton, Kirkandrews-upon-Eden, Kirkoswald, Langwathby, Nichnlforest, Renwick, Wigton.

[q] Kirkland. [r] Hesket. [s] Irton. [t] Bewcastle.

[u] Bromham, Caddington, Northill, Sharnbrook, Southill.

[w] Cople, Maulden, Meppershall, Willington, Turvey. [x] Blonham.

[y] Lidlington, Puddington. [z] Westoning.

[aa] Boxford, Bradfield, Burghfiel, Cookham, East Hendred, Kintbury, Shottesbrook, St. Mary Reading, Thatcham, Wasing.

[bb] Coleshill, Great Faringdon, Hurley, Shrivenham, Speen, Sutton Wick, Ufton Nervet, White Waltham. [cc] Winkfield. [dd] Letcombe Regis,

Printed and digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

unmarried change more frequently, partly from the fear of making settlements, and frequently from caprice; but the older married farming servants whose settlements are in the same parish do not change oftener than formerly;" 2[a] say that they change more frequently, partly from the fear of making settlements, and partly from the farmers not receiving the labourers into their houses. In 1 instance[b], of three respondents, two say that they change more frequently from the fear of making settlements; and 1 says, that "they do not change more frequently; good labourers seldom want good employment." In 1 parish[c], of two respondents, one says they change more frequently, owing to "the settlement law, lower wages, dissatisfaction of the labourer, and deterioration of character;" the other, because "the certain provision under the Poor Laws has generated a spirit of independence which renders them indifferent to please their employers." 1 return[d] says that they change more frequently, because there is less reluctance to come upon the parish; 1[e] that it is owing to a restlessness of disposition and love of change; 1[f] because they are worse servants than formerly, and the masters seek amendment by change; 1[g] says, "Certainly; causes, less attachment between master and servant; more unsettled habits in the servant; indifference to regular service; looking to parish relief: on the master's side, less interest in the services of any particular labourer, as their places are easily supplied; less inclination to indulge them."

Of the 21 parishes in Bucks, 5[h] do not admit that there is any difference. Of 15 that state that the labourers do change their services more frequently, 2[i] say that it arises from the fear of making settlements; 3[k], partly from the fear of making settlements, and partly from their having the parish to apply to, or not hoarding in the farmer's house; 3[l], from the farmers being unable to give the same regular employment, from dissatisfaction on account of low wages, or from a superabundance of labourers; 2[m], because the farmers generally hire labourers to hoard themselves; 1[n], because they know the parish gives them as much for doing nothing as they get for working hard; 1[o], from a love of change; 3[p], from various causes—such as, their having the parish to apply to, insubordination, dissolute habits, the injurious effects of the present Poor Laws. In 1 parish[q], of two respondents, one says they change more frequently because they are "not so good labourers;" the other says, "one-third of the labourers are in the habit of remaining with the same master; but the majority are distributed among employers by a vestry arrangement."

Of the 27 parishes in the county of Cambridge that answer the question, 11[r] do not admit that there is any material difference: of 15 who say that the labourers do change their services more frequently, 2[s] say that it arises from the fear of making settlements, and 1[t] says, that "the labourers are hired by the week;" 7[u], that it arises from the certainty of parish relief, and from the absence of good feeling between master and servant; 1[x], from want of means to employ them; 1[y], because a man with a large family cannot maintain them, and applies for relief; 1[z], because the Poor Laws make them independent of their masters; 1[aa], that it is owing to the increase of population, aggravated by the Poor Laws; 1[bb], "owing to the pride of their employers." 1[cc] says, "The best labourers do not change more frequently; but some will not keep employment when they might."

Of the 11 townships of Cheshire that answer the question, 7[dd] do not admit that there is any difference. Of 4 that say they do change more frequently

---

[a] Long Wittenham, Uffington. [b] Wargrave. [c] Milton.
[d] Binfield. [e] Bray. [f] Drayton. [g] Lambourne.
[h] Amersham, Burnham, Cholesbury, Leckhampstead, Thornton.
[i] Fawley, Upton. [k] Bledlow, Farnham Royal, Sherrington.
[l] Beaconsfield, Oving, Turville. [m] Chenies, Denham. [n] Steeple Claydon.
[o] Wexham. [p] Great Missenden, Taplow, Woolston. [q] Adstock.
[r] Bassingbourne, Bottisham, Histon, Linton, Melbourn, Meldreth, Stetchworth, Thorney Abbey, Wimblington, Sutton, Upwell.
[s] Papworth, Wimpole. [t] Little Shelford.
[u] Chatteris, Foulmire, St. Mary (Isle of Ely), Over, Great Shelford, Trumpington, Holy Trinity (Isle of Ely). [x] Bourne. [y] Fordham. [z] Littleport.
[aa] Royston. [bb] Waterbeach. [cc] Wisbech.
[dd] Bustock, Childer Thornton, Eastham, Hooton, Rostherne, Whitby, Thelwall.

Printed in great detail by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Un

quently, 3 [a] say it arises from an increase of lahourers, 1 [ ], from the advanced wages given at the salt works.

Of the 22 parishes in Cornwall that answer the question, 13 [c] do not admit that there is any difference. Of 9 that say the lahourers do change more frequently, 2 [d] ascribe it to the fear of making settlements, and 1 [e] says they are generally hired hy the day; 1 [f] says it may he owing to "decreasiog simplicity of manners, to a greater facility of moving over the country, to the relaxation of the ties between master and servant, and to the increased number of persons seeking service;" 1 [g] attrihutes it to "the increase of general knowledge, and the advancing state of communication;" 1 [h] says it is owing to the reduction of wages; 1 [i], to a growing indisposition to restraint: 1 [k] says it is "partly owing to the more frequent change of occupiers;" and 1 [l] simply states the fact, without assigning any reason.

Of the 42 parishes in Cumherland, only 4 admit that the lahourers change their service more frequently. These are Ainstable, Hesket, Plumhland, and Wigton. Of these, Plumhland attributes the circumstance to the discontinuance of hiring for a year: the 3 others, to a variation in the demand for labour.

The general result is: that of 92 parishes comprised in the first 4 counties, allowance is given in 70, and refused in 22; and that of the 80 parishes comprised in the 3 last counties, allowance is given in 28, and refused in 52.

That in 92 parishes in the 4 first counties, the married, even when working for individuals, are hetter paid in 49, and paid equally with the unmarried only in 43; and that in 71 parishes in the 3 last counties, the married are hetter paid only in 6, and paid equally with the nnmarried in 65.

That in the 4 first counties, out of 81 parishes which distinctly answer the Question, there are 4 in which the industry of the labourers is increasing; 21 in which it is stationary; and 56 in which it is diminishing. And that in the 3 last counties, out of 68 parishes that answer the Question, there are 28 in which it is increasing; 27 in which it is stationary; and only 13 in which it is diminishing; and of these 13 cases, 10 are in Cornwall, the county which appears more infected hoth with the system of allowance, and with that of making a distinction hetween the wages of the married and unmarried, than either Cheshire or Cumherland.

It appears also that, out of 88 parishes in the 4 first counties that reply to the Question, "Do the lahourers change their service more frequently?" 31 reply that they do not, and 57 that they do. Out of 75 parishes in the 3 last counties, 58 reply that they do not, and 17 that they do; and of these 17, 9 are from Cornwall

Questions 4 & 5.

It appears from the Answers to Questions 4 and 5, "Numher of lahourers sufficient for cultivation?" "Numher of labourers in your parish?" that, according to the ideas of the respondents on the subject, there is a considerahle surplus population in those counties in which the Poor Laws have heen the worst administered; while, on the other hand, in those to which that mal-administration has not in any great degree extended, there appears (we might almost say) a corresponding deficiency.

In Bedfordshire, out of the 9 [m] parishes that have answered the two queries with sufficient precision to he made use of in the induction, the surplus is 126 out of a population of 863, or 1 in 6.

In Berks, out of the 6 [n] parishes that have so answered, the surplus is 68, out a population of 836, or 1 in 12.

In

---

[a] Overpool, Great Sutton, Little Sutton.
[b] Leftwich.
[c] Botustleming, Calstock, St. Gluvias, Gwennap, St. Ive, Kea, St. Kew, Landewednack, Lanreath, Newlyn East, Northhill, Penzance, St. Stephen by Saltash.
[d] St. Merryn, Roche.
[e] St. Agnes.
[f] Buryan Deanery.
[g] St. Winnow.
[h] St. Columb Minor.
[i] Mawnan.
[k] South Petherwin.
[l] Talland.
[m] Bromham, Cardington, Cople, Lidlington, Puddington, Sharnbrook, Turvey, Westoning, Willington
[n] Bradfield, Great Faringdon, Shottesbrook, Thatcham, Wargrave, Wasing

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

In Bucks, out of the 3[a] answering the Question, it is 1 in 2, or 85 out of a population of 193.

In Cambridgeshire, out of the 17[b] answering, it is 450 out of a population of 2,643, or 1 in 5.

In Cheshire, out of the 4[c] parishes that answer, there appears, by the same sort of induction, a surplus of 18 out of a population of 216, or 1 in 12.

In Cornwall, out of the 7[d] parishes answering, there is a deficiency of 44, out of a population of 614, or 1 in 13.

In Cumberland, the deficiency, from an average of the 11[e] parishes answering the Questions, is 318 out of a population of 830, or nearly 1 in 2.

A similar result is obtained by extending the investigation over all the counties. Thus, taking the two counties of Sussex and Hereford as affording examples of a *comparatively* bad and good administration of the Poor Laws: in Sussex, out of 9 parishes, taking the alphabetical order from the beginning, we find a surplus of 206 out of a population of 1,579, or 1 in 7; while in Hereford, from the same *data* similarly assumed, we have a deficiency of 198 out of a population of 1,160, or 1 in 5.

To the 53d Question, "Can you give the Commissioners any information respecting the causes and consequences of the agricultural riots and burnings of 1830 and 1831?"— Question 53.

Of the 15 parishes in Bedfordshire, 3[f] give no answer; 3[g] attribute the riots and fires to distress, and want of regular employment; 2[h] to private enmity, or political feeling; and the remaining 7 attribute them directly to Poor Law administration; generally to the refusal of the amount of relief demanded by the paupers.

Of the 23 parishes in Berkshire which answer the Question, 8[i] attribute the riots and burnings to political excitement, beer shops, or imitation; 7[k] to distress; and the remaining 8 to mal-administration of the Poor Laws.

Of the 21 parishes in Bucks, 2[l] ascribe the riots and fires to distress; 1[m] to dislike of machinery; 2[n] to beer shops; 6[o] to causes connected with the administration of the Poor Laws; and the remaining 10 afford no material information.

Of the 29 parishes in the county of Cambridge, 10[p] afford no material information; 7[q] attribute the riots and burnings to distress, lowness of wages, and want of regular employment; and the remaining twelve attribute them to the mal-administration of the Poor Laws.

In Cheshire, Rostherne, the only township that affords any information, says, "Such as have occurred in this part of the county, have been caused by private malice." The others either do not answer the question at all, or say they have had no riots or burnings.

In Cornwall, not one of the 25 parishes admits the existence of any riots or burnings in its neighbourhood. Those which attempt to account for their having occurred in other places, attribute them, apparently at hazard, to beer shops, want, surplus labour, the belief that the authority of the law was at an end, the allowance system, tithes, thrashing machines, or political feeling.

Of

[a] Adstock, Chenies, Chesham.

[b] St. Andrew the Less (Cambridge), Bottisham, Bourne, Chatteris, Cherrydinton, Foulmire, Fulbourn, Histon, Littleport, St. Mary (Isle of Ely), Melbourn, Meldreth, Orwell, Royston, Sawston, Little Shelford, Sutton.

[c] Audlem (township), Dutton, Leftwich, Little Leigh.

[d] St. Agnes, St. Enoder, St. Ive, Kea, St Kew, Lanreath, South Petherwin.

[e] Caldbeck, Nether Denton, Farlam, Greystoke, Hutton in the Forest, Kirkandrews-upon-Eden, Kirkandrews-upon-Esk, Loweswater (township), Ponsonby, Great Salkeld, Wetheral.

[f] Northill, Puddington, Turvey. [g] Cople, Maulden, Meppershall. [h] Brumham, Caddington.

[i] Kintbury Lambourn, Speen, Sutton Wick, Thatcham, Uffington, Upton, Wargrave.

[k] Coleshill, Faringdon, Letcombe, Milton, Shottesbrook, Shrivenham, St. Mary Reading.

[l] Adstock, Turville. [m] Oving. [n] Great Missenden, Taplow.

[o] Bledlow, Steeple Claydon, Leckhampstead, Sherrington, Thornton, Upton.

[p] St. Andrew the Less (Cambridge), Bassingbourne, Littleport, St. Mary (Ely), Melbourn, Meldreth, Stetchworth, Sutton, Holy Trinity (Ely), Trumpington, Upwell, Wimblington.

[q] Bourne, Chatteris, Foxton, Little Shelford, Waterbeach, Wimpole, Wisbech

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Of the 42 parishes in Cumberland, not one appears to have been the scene of any riots or burnings: 2[a] ascribe those which took place elsewhere, to inflammatory speeches and writings; 1[b] to political feelings, distress, and want of employment; and the remaining 39 make no answer, or say that they know nothing about them.

It may now be useful to compare these results with the expense per head of relief.

Of the 15 parishes in Bedfordshire comprised in the Supplement, the population is 11,630, and the expenditure in relief £. 11,005, or 18*s*. 11*d*. per head. Of the 28 parishes in Berkshire, the population is 40,398, and the expenditure £. 28,651, or 14*s*. 2*d*. per head. Of the 21 parishes in Bucks, the population is 18,570, and the expenditure £. 15,362, or 16*s*. 6*d*. per head. Of the 29 parishes in Cambridge, the population is 42,549, and the expenditure £. 26,960, or 12*s*. 8*d*. per head. Of the 13 parishes in Chester, the population is 7,888, and the expenditure £. 2,712, or 6*s*. 10*d*. per head. Of the 25 parishes in Cornwall, the population is 55,764, and the expenditure £. 16,436, or 5*s*. 10*d*. per head. Of the 42 parishes in Cumberland, the population is 42,076, the expenditure £. 11,672, or 5*s*. 6*d*. per head.

The result is, that of 93 parishes, in four ill-administered counties, the populationis 113,147, and the expenditure £. 81, 978, or 14*s*. 5*d*. per head; and of 80 parishes in three well-administered counties, the population is 105,728, and the expenditure £. 30, 820, or 5*s*. 9*d*. per head; and that those counties in which the expenditure is large are those in which the industry and skill of the labourers are passing away, the connexion between master and servant has become precarious, the unmarried are defrauded of their fair earnings, and riots and incendiarism have prevailed. The three counties in which it is comparatively small are those in which scarcely any instance of fire or tumult appears to have occurred, in which mutual attachment exists between the workman and his employer, in which wages depend not on marriage, but on ability, and the diligence and skill of the labourers are unimpaired or increased.

Question 7. The object of the Question 7, "How many non-parishioners have you in general, distinguishing Irish and Scotch?" was to ascertain whether the number of Irish and Scotch labourers is sufficient to produce any effect on the general rate of wages in England. It will be seen, that of the 15 parishes in Bedfordshire, and the 21 in Buckinghamshire, not one acknowledges the presence of any Irish or Scotch labourers.

That of the 28 parishes in Berkshire, only 2 admit their presence; these are Bray and Winkfield. At Bray, the population of which is 3,480, there are stated to be 100 families of non-parishioners, and among them very few Irish or Scotch. At Winkfield none, except at harvest or haymaking.

In Cambridgeshire, out of 29 parishes, 7 acknowledge the presence of Irish or Scotch. These are St. Andrew the Less, in which, in a population of 6,651, there are said to be about 20 Irish and 5 Scotch families. Chatteris, which in a population of 4,177, acknowledges there are 150 non-parishioners, and among them 50 Irish. Littleport, population 2,644; from 10 to 20 Irish in summer. Royston, population 1,757, two Irish. Sutton none, except the Irish in harvest. Thorney Abbey, population 2,055, an influx of English and Irish in summer to the amount of some hundreds; and Wimblington, population 965, from 20 to 30 Irish; in harvest a greater number.

Of the 12 parishes in Cheshire, only 2 state that they have any Irish. Childer Thornton, population 296, two Irish families; and Whithy township, population 234, non-parishioners 50, six Scotch, four Irish.

In Cornwall, of 25 parishes, only 3 state that they have any Irish. St. Columb, in which they have come to build a pier. Petherwin, population 988, two Irish; and Talland, population 841, two Irish, two Scotch.

In Cumberland, out of 42 parishes, only 9 appear to have Irish. Arthuret, population 2,903, thirty families Scotch, eleven Irish. Bootle, no Scotch or Irish

[a] Edenhall, Langwathby. [b] Walton

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Irish except in harvest. Bowness, one Irishman. Caldbeck, population 1,578, 270 non-parishioners, of whom nine Irish, thirteen Scotch. Edenhall, one Irishman. Hayton, about 100, employed on the railroad. Kirkandrews-upon-Esk, population 2,053, two Irish, sixteen Scotch. Kirkoswald, two Irish, one Scotch. Wetheral, many Irish and Scotch on the public works.

Supposing these statements to be a fair sample of the general amount of the Irish and Scotch in the Agricultural districts of England, they afford, we think, the means of judging how far the competition of so limited a number of strangers can affect the general rate of wages.

Printed by [illegible] and [illegible] the University [illegible]

EXTRACTS from the QUESTIONS;

AND

A.) (C.)—PARLIAMENTARY DOCUMENTS.

Question	BIGGLESWADE-cum-MOGGERHANGER. J. H. B. Mountain, Rector & J. P. Robert Judd, Overseer.	BROMHAM. J. J. Goodall, Vicar of Bromham & Oakley.	CADDINGTON. H. B. Morris, Woodside Farm.	COPLE. J. Clayton, Churchwarden.
(A.)—POPULATION in 1831.—	(A.)—580.	(A.)—324.	(A.)—386.	(A.)—643.
(C.) EXPENSE per head on the whole Population in 1831.—	(C.)—14s. 7d.	(C.)—£.1. 1s. 5.	(C.)—13s. 10d.	(C.)—£.1. 1. 4.
4. Number of Labourers sufficient for the proper Cultivation of the Land?—	4.—	4.—53.	4.—I do not know.	4.—About 50 Men and 20 Boys.
5. —NUMBER of Agricultural Labourers in your Parish?—	5.—120. R. J.	5.—53.	5.—I do not know; there are not enough Labourers in Harvest, although there is a surplus of them in winter, partly real, partly artificial.	5.—About 83 Men, from 30 to 40 Boys.
7. How many Non-Parishioners have you in general, distinguishing Irish and Scotch?—	7.—Forty. No Irish or Scotch. R. J.	7.—Five, neither Irish nor Scotch.	7.—There are as many Non-Parishioners resident as Parishioners. There are no Irish or Scotch.	7.—Very few. No Irish nor Scotch.
24.—HAVE you any, and how many, able-bodied Labourers in the Employment of Individuals receiving Allowance or regular Relief from your Parish on their own Account, or on that of their Families, and if on account of their Families, at what Number of Children does it begin?—	24.—During the Winter and Spring a large proportion of them. J. H. B. M. Generally no allowance on account of large families. No wages paid directly out of the Poor Rates. R. J.	24.—None in the employment of individuals. The allowance begins at three children, 1s. per week, and increases 1s. for each child under 10 years of age.	24.—No allowance is given to able-bodied Labourers in the employment of individuals, on account of themselves or families, with the exception of cases of illness in families. I think the Overseer in this Parish did once take some work of a gentleman, who paid him a sum of money. The Overseer agreed to do the work for that sum, and paid the Labourers by the grate; of course the Overseer was out of pocket by the job. In the adjoining parish a brick-kiln has just been erected by a Quaker, who actually calculates on a profit by giving his work to the adjoining Overseers at a low price, rather than employ men himself to dig his clay.	24.—Four able-bodied Labourers, receiving an allowance on account of their families. Allowance is made to large families exceeding four Children. Work done for individuals is not partly paid for by the Parish.
25.—Is Relief or Allowance given according to any and what Scale?—	25.—The Magistrates prescribe no scale, but decide on individual cases. J. H. B. M.	25.—Relief is afforded at the rate of 1s. per week for every child above two, and less than 10 years of age.	25.—No scale of allowance; all permanent relief is considered and fixed by a Vestry.	25.—The Scale of Allowance, where required, is from 1s. 6d. to 1s. 9d. per head, including families. Infirm Men and Widows at from 3s. to 4s. weekly.
29.—Is there any and what Difference between the Wages paid by the Employer to the Married and Unmarried, when employed by Individuals?—	29.—Generally none. J. H. B. M. None. R. J.	29.—1s. difference occasionally.	29.—No distinction is made.	29.—Not much difference when by the day-work; but the married men with families are mostly preferred in giving them work by the piece. Single men, if by the day, have from 6s. to 9s. per week.
37.—Is the Industry of the Labourers in your Neighbourhood supposed to be increasing or diminishing; that is, are your Labourers supposed to be better or worse Workmen than they formerly were?—	37.—They are much degenerated, and, being generally disaffected to their employers, they work unwillingly and wastefully. The disaffection is in a great measure to be attributed to continual disputes respecting the Parish relief, and it has doubtless been aggravated by agitators. J. M.	37.—Much the same as usual.	37.—No difference.	37.—Labourers considered not such good workmen as formerly, accounted for by the surplus number of Men and Boys unemployed, except on the Round System.
38.—Do the Labourers in your Neighbourhood change their Services more frequently than formerly?—and how do you account for that circumstance?—	38.—They are addicted to change their service, from a restless and dissatisfied temper which prevails among them. J. M.	38.—No difference perceptible.	38.—No.	38.—Less change of service than formerly, there being less hiring by the year, on account of gaining settlements.
53.—Can you give the Commissioners any information respecting the causes and consequences of the agricultural riots and Burnings of 1830 and 1831?—	53.—In this neighbourhood the object has been, by keeping the Farmers in awe, to extort higher wages, and a greater parochial allowance: when this is refused, policy and revenge produce incendiarism. It has been threatened in Vestry where relief has been refused, and the relief has, in consequence, been granted.	53.—Private enmity; perhaps excited by the very general diffusion of inflammatory Journals.	53.—No riotings have taken place. Several large fires took place in Dunstable Parish, which were attributed to two individuals, who wanted to create a revolution; they have now enlisted as soldiers. The Duke of Bedford's farm at Lewsey, in Luton Parish, was totally burnt: this was the work of some individual who owed a spite against the tenant. Another fire destroyed a farm in Flamstead Parish; it was attributed to an individual who owed the tenant a grudge for preventing him committing petty thefts on his hedges and turnips, the man was tried and acquitted.	53.—Generally supposed to originate from the dissatisfaction of able-bodied young Labourers, being out of regular employment (excepting the Gravelpit or Round System), associating together, and not getting such wages as they demanded.

Question	KEMPSTON. G. O. Fenwicke, Vicar & J. P.	LIDLINGTON. Thomas Batchelor.	MAULDEN. T. M. Overman, Churchwarden.	MEPPERSHALL. James Webster, M. A. Rector.	NORTHILL. John Taddy, Minister.
(A.)	(A.)—1,571.	(A.)—814.	(A.)—1,231.	(A.)—444.	(A.)—1,106.
(C.)	(C.)—£.1. 7. 7.	(C.)—£.1. 15. 8.	(C.)—8s. 7d.	(C.)—13s. 5d.	(C.)—12s. 3d.
4.	4.—The Man actually employed. The Poor Laws, as at present administered, act as a bounty upon marriage, nor can they be materially altered, until by some means or another the number of Labourers be made proportionable to the work our Parish can afford them; which can only be done by an extensive emigration. In this case relief might be restricted to the aged, infirm and sick, as it was formerly done.	4.—At one Man for 25 acres arable, or 50 of Pasture, about 64 Men, but the indolence acquired by loitering on the roads, &c. makes a larger number now necessary.	4.—	4.—More than sufficient.	4.—
5.	5.—350	5.—About 113 above 20 years of age in 1831, and 78 boys under 20 (the boys and young men are not included in the Return of the Population.	5.—	5.—Know not, but more than are wanted.	5.—The proportion between the quantity of Acres in the Parish and the number of Labourers, is 3 Men to each 100 Acres.
7.	7.—All the persons residing in Kempston belong to it. No Irish or Scotch.	7.—Scarcely any Non-parishioners.	7.—	7.—Several Non Parishioners, but no Irish or Scotch.	7.—
24.	24.—No work done for individuals is paid for by the Parish. We have 53 agricultural Labourers receiving weekly allowance from the Parish on account of their families. When a Man has a Wife and 3 Children, which Children are under 10 years old, he has 1s. 6d. per week in addition to his earnings; 4 Children, 3s.; and 5 Children, 4s.	24.—No work done for individuals is at the present time paid for by the Parish, but in the neighbouring Parish of Ridgmount, the Overseer pays four-fifths of the wages of surplus men who are employed in ditching, digging or larking land, or digging clay or drains.—1s. additional is allowed by the Overseers for three children, 2s. for four, 3s. for five, &c.	24.—No. I have always objected to that system, considering there was no law to compel me to adopt it. The Magistrates began it to court a little popularity from it. To that measure I trace the reduction of wages. The Labourer, when he found that the Parish was to make up his money, became indifferent about the quantity he did and the ordination that "man was to live by the sweat of his brow," was broken down.	24.—Able-bodied Labourers never receive relief from the Parish, except when sick, and not in a Benefit Club. Cases of allowance, on account of large families, so various, no specific Answer can be given.	24.—None.
25.	25.—There is no particular Scale, but relief is given according to circumstances.	25.—Of late, relief is given only if there be four children, formerly money was often allowed for the third child, and in dearer times I believe for the second child.	25.—Not in this Parish. We give it as the occasion may require.	25.—No general rule can be given in regard to relief, for every case differs from another.	25.—
29.	29.—No distinction is made between the married and single when employed by individuals.	29.—Unmarried men sometimes work for 6s. or 7s. a week, while the married men have 9s. If we say 7s. for an unmarried man, who would have only 3s. 6d. if employed (as it is called) by the Overseer, he gains by working for a master 3s. 6d. a week, and the master 2s.; an inducement to both.	29.—There is a difference when the quantity of Labour in the market is greater than is wanted, but when the demand is equal to the quantity, the single man gets as much as the married, if he can do as much work.	29.—Wages generally the same to the married and unmarried, if they are equally able-bodied.	29.—None.
37.	37.—The industry of the Labourers is confessedly diminishing. Those who are out of work are employed by the Parish on the roads. Any one passing by will see them loitering about, and trifling away their time in idleness, and may think himself fortunate if he escape being insulted by them.	37.—Diminishing very much in consequence of the evil example of paying many persons on the roads for doing scarcely any thing, and the reason why they are permitted to have wages almost without work, is, because the farmers have no interest in the permanent improvements of the roads, or even the lands, while the laws permit the Public, or the Landowners, to receive nearly all the profits of work, which they refuse to pay for, or encourage by allowances.	37.—Decreasing, 12 Men now only do the work that nine did when I commenced business 18 years since, and as long as the Magistrates keep up that system of ordering the Overseers to make up men's money, the evil will keep increasing, it takes away that nice feeling that the family is maintained by himself, which must be restored, or property will be of little value soon.	37.—The industry of the Labourer is the same in general as it always has been when they can obtain proper labour and proper wages, but Population has of late so much increased, that proper employment for them cannot always be found, and then they are put under the direction of the Surveyor of Roads at an under price, and must be assisted by parochial relief.	37.—I cannot say.
38.	38.—	38.—Change of service is more frequent in consequence of the indolence and ill-conduct resulting from superfluous labourers.	38.—The farmers are afraid of making settlements which deters some from hiring, and from the great increase of Population, there are more Labourers than are wanted.	38.—Farmers for the most part refuse to hire servants by the year, to avoid giving a legal settlement.	38.—They do not.
53.	53.—In this neighbourhood there have been more than twenty fires, and some insubordination. I was engaged, with my brother Magistrates, in examining into causes of three of them. I [illegible] an unhappy young man who was executed for setting fire to another farm-yard near this place, and I am of opinion that they all originated in private pique taken against the farmer, for refusing some demand for relief.	53.—I believe the riots and fires, and almost every other evil which has been charged on what are termed *perversions of the Poor Laws*, are chargeable solely to the unjust and impolitic, if not unlawful, system of sending all who can get no other work to be employed by the Overseer, and at the same time leaving the profits of such employment to be enjoyed by the public, &c. who pay nothing towards it.	53.—Restore that feeling amongst the Labourers that their well-doing depends upon their good conduct, which can only be done by enabling the Farmers to employ them.	53.—I think they have frequently been caused by the distress of the times, and for want of proper employ by the labouring classes.	53.—

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

PUDDINGTON.	SHARNBROOK.	SOUTHILL-CUM-WARDEN.	TURVEY.	WESTONING.
Richd L. Orlebar	*George Church*, Churchwarden; *J. Gibbard*, J.P.	*Fredk H. Neve*, Vicar.	*C. Longuet Higgins*, Turvey Abbey; *Wm Finch*, Churchwarden.	*Thomas Pearse*, Vicar.
(A.)—563.	(A.)—754	(A.)—1,267.	(A.)—928.	(A.)—627.
(C.)—£. 1. 0. 9.	(C.)—13s. 8d.	(C.)—12s. 7d.	(C.)—17s. 11d.	(C.)—£. 1. 13. 3.
4.—80.	4.—85. G. C.	4.—	4.—About 190. W. F.	4.—70 Men and Boys might be sufficient according to the open field system of farming, which at present prevails. If the Parish were inclosed, or the lands allotted and exonerated from tithe, it is generally supposed that a better system would prevail, and all the Labourers of the Parish be well employed on the land. Notwithstanding the present demoralization of the labourers, owing to their having been generally maintained in idleness during a great part of the year, for some years past, I am of opinion, that
5.—70.	5.—95. G. C.	5.—Southill, 250, Warden, 130	5.—About 120 W. F.	5.—90 Men and Boys, the greater part of them able bodied. would be very thankful for regular employment at fair wages, and that such employment would be in a great measure effectual to recover them from their present degraded —
7.—Only one Labourer's family belonging to a neighbouring Parish.	7.—11 Heads of Families exclusive of their children. No Irish or Scotch. G. C.	7.—Scarcely any non-parishioners	7.—About 4 families W. F.	7.—10 to 12 English families, the Labourers in general belong to Parishes in the neighbourhood, whither they generally go daily for work.
24.—15. To Men of large families an allowance is generally made according to the price of bread, usually commencing at three children.	24.—Perhaps there may be 10. J. G. Allowance often made out of the Poor Book when the number of children exceeds three. Sometimes idle able-bodied men are let at a low rate of wages to the Farmers, and the deficiency paid out of the Poor Book. G. C.	24.—None. Work done for individuals not partly paid for by the Parish.	24.—Where the family is large, relief is given. C. L. H. No part of work is paid for out of the Rates, but relief given if the earnings are not sufficient. W. F.	24.—There is scarcely one able-bodied Labourer in the employment of individuals but what receives regular relief on account of his family. A married Man and his Wife without any child, receive 5s. per week if he be out of employment, for 1 child, he is allowed 1s. whether in or out of employment, 2 children, 2s., and so on in proportion to the number of children under 10 years, above 10 years, each Boy out of employment is allowed from 1s. 6d. to 3s. 6d.
25.—According to a scale founded on the price of bread, allowing about three gallon loaves to a man, two to a woman, and one to a child.	25.—It is given according to scale. J. G.	25.—	25.—The scale is about sufficient to obtain two half-peck loaves for the Labourer, and one for every other member of his family. Where families are small, the scale is rather higher. C. L. H.	25.—A married Man and his Wife (the former being out of employment) is allowed 5s. per week, without a child, with one child, 6s., and on an increasing scale according to the number of children under 10 years.
29.—Single men generally have 3s. less wages, except they are very superior workmen. There are very few unmarried men, as they generally marry very young in order to get higher wages, in some cases, to spite the Parish. The few single men there are, can, if very good workmen, get wages nearly equal to married men, but are always the first to be turned off by the employer; if indifferent workmen, they are employed at very low wages.	29.—Married men have more wages than single, when employed by individuals J. G. Married men generally receive more wages than single men. There are many exceptions. G. C.	29.—Not any difference usually, though it does occur occasionally. Sometimes single perhaps 2s. less.	29.—No. C. L. H. No. W. F.	29.—Yes; before the Labour Rate was agreed upon, the wages of a married man varied from 9s. to 12s.; the highest wages of a single man 9s., commonly not more than 6s. According to a plan lately agreed upon by the Farmers, they propose paying to a single man of 21 years, and upwards, 9s., between 18 years and 21, 7s., between 15 and 18, 5s. and so on.
37.—The workmen are generally not equal to their fathers, more especially the very young men. This may be attributed to the fact, that Farmers seldom employ any but married men with families in works requiring skill, such as draining, thatching, felling wood, ditching, &c.	37.—Diminishing. J. G.	37.—Not diminishing.	37.—On the whole increasing; owing to the late arrangements of letting out land for gardens. C. L. H.	37.—The industry of the labourers in this parish is supposed to be greatly diminishing. For many years they have, unfortunately, not been able to obtain regular employment, but have been thrown on the Parish during great part of the year, during which they were required to do very little or no work for the Parish; persons out of employ were all sent to the gravel-pit (commonly), men and boys of various ages and characters; the evil consequence of which is obvious. Poaching and drunkenness have increased very much during the last few years, and these habits have greatly tended to make the Labourers worse workmen, and to lead them to the commission of other offences.
38.—Much more frequently, on account of their idleness, carelessness and insolence, which spring from, and are encouraged by, the notion, here acted upon, that they must at all events be supported by the Parish.	38.—I am not aware that they do. J. G.	38.—No	38.—Yes, owing to the ill working of the Settlement laws. C. L. H.	38.—Yes. The farmers in general are not able (they say) to employ more than one or two labourers for a continuance: the discharged labourer is thrown on the parish, and engages with any farmer afterwards who happens to want another hand, and is willing to [illegible] him. It is also true that too many of the labourers do not take much pains to give satisfaction to their employers, and cause themselves to be discharged and thrown on the parish.
53.—No.	53.—The excitement leading to these crimes has been produced by the antipathy of the Pauper to the Overseer, by the game-preservers, and by the prejudice entertained against Thrashing Machines. J. G.	53.—There was one agricultural riot at Stotfold, in this neighbourhood; a Parish where there was no gentleman, nor, at the time, any Clergyman residing; and I believe that the Parish system pursued there was such as would not improbably lead to very [illegible]	53.—	53.—During the latter part of the year 1830, only 20 able-bodied labouring men and about as many boys, were in regular employ; the rest of the labouring poor were left to themselves day after day, in idleness; in the evening, many of the worst regularly adjourned to the new beer shops, and their weekly pay being unequal to their consumption of beer, they agreed together to demand of the principal inhabitants a higher allowance. In this parish some of the farmers accompanied the labourers, when they surrounded me in the village, and demanded higher pay: on their making this demand, the farmers exclaimed against the pressure of rent and tithe. Neither the landlords nor the lay rector resided in the parish, therefore the labouring poor surrounded me, instigated (as I am credibly informed) by the farmers. At my recommendation the people quietly dispersed, and no mischief was done then or since by the people of this Parish, [illegible]

WILLINGTON.	BINFIELD.	BOXFORD.	BRADFIELD.	BRAY.	BURGHFIELD.
Philip Hunt, LL.D., Vicar. *Thos Twatchell*, Churchwarden.	*James Randall*, Rector.	*John Wells*, Rector.	*Thomas Stevens*, Churchwarden	*Walter Levett*, Vicar. *Charles Sawyer*, J.P.	*Henry Curtis Cherry*, Rector & J. P.
(A.)—332.	(A.)—1,045.	(A.)—628	(A.)—956.	(A.)—3,480.	(A.)—965
(C.)—19s. 11[illegible].	(C.)—12s.	(C.)—17s. 6d.	(C.)—£. 1 5	(C.)—7s. 11d.	(C.)—19s. 8d.
4.—About 40 men, and 16 boys	4.—	4.—	4.—At least 4 able men and 3 strong boys for every 100 acres of arable land.	4.—	4.—
5.—About 45 men, and 20 boys	5.—Number above 20 years old was returned in the Population Returns of 1831 as 136	5.—	5.—About 150.	5.—About 850. W. L.	5.—141
7.—	7.—Cannot say, no Irish or Scotch	7. None.	7.—None at all.	7.—About 100 families; very few Irish or Scotch W. L.	7.—About 7. No Irish or Scotch.
24.—Scarcely any. There is indeed now only one able bodied Labourer having a very large family, and he receives 1s. 6d. a week, in addition to his 11s. wages.	24.—The practice of the parish paying partly for work done for individuals once obtained here, but has been quite abolished for 4 or 5 years past. There are about 8 labourers with large families, and of indifferent character for industry, who receive relief so often as to be almost constant, but there is no stated allowance on account of large families.	24.—All able-bodied labourers, having more than 3 children receive regular relief. Relief now begins after 3 children, but previously to this week it began after two. Wages not paid out of the Poor rate.	24.—Allowance begins with the 4th child if none are able to work. The price of a loaf is given to the 4th, 5th, and so on. Work done for individuals is not partly paid for by the parish. About 23 families receive relief in consequence of their being large, and the earnings of the man being thought insufficient to keep them	24.—No able-bodied labourers receiving relief. C. S. There are 4 or 5 cases of very large families earning nothing [illegible] and which receive regular relief, but not under a recognition of right. Wages never paid out of the Rates, excepting to labourers sent to the gravel-pits or on the roads W. L.	24.—Able-bodied labourers in work of individuals used to receive relief, but now none are relieved but those actually in work on the parish account. Till lately, relief was given according to the size of the labourer's family, the farmer paying for work 8s. or 9s. and the parish the difference required for the support of large families, but this system is discontinued.
25.—Whenever by casualty or disease a family becomes chargeable, the scale of relief is at about 2s. a head weekly. Single or infirm men and widows, 3s. to 4s. a week, exclusive of what little they can earn.	25.—Old and impotent persons have a regular allowance of 2s. 6d. per week, if in the house, and 3s. if out. Others are relieved according to the exigency of the case.	25.—	25.—It begins with the 4th child who cannot work, and the 4th and every succeeding child has at the rate of a loaf per week. This is not always a fixed rate, we gave less when the price of labour was higher. Since the price of corn has so much declined, the farmers could not keep up the wages, and the relief was increased.	25.—Not according to any scale, but according to the merits of the case. C. S.	25.—No particular scale.
29.—A little difference if employed by the day, but none at task-work, but both task or piece work is given in preference to married family men. At day work, married men have 10s. a week, single, from 8s. to 9s.	29.—No, the only distinction is as to their ability as labourers	29.—There is a difference of about 1s. 6d. a week, except in the case of such single men as are very expert labourers.	29.—Yes. A single man will take enough to support him if he cannot get more; but the married must be paid enough to support his family if employed at all. Generally there is a difference. It arises from the market for labour being over-supplied (i.e. in proportion to the agricultural capital employed. We have not enough labourers if our land were cultivated as it ought to be, and I am confident this parish is capable of producing one-third more corn.) The married men must be paid enough to support their families, either by their employer or by the parish; the single man only enough to support himself if on the parish, therefore he is always ready to take an offer from the farmer, if that offer is better than the parish; of course he can in this way undersell the married man.	29.—No. C. S. W. L.	29.—A great distinction is made, the single men generally receive much less
37.—In this parish, owing to the labourers being continually employed by the farmers, we find them as industrious as formerly, and as good workmen. But in other parishes, where young able-bodied men are much employed at the gravel-pits, or on the roads, or as roundsmen, they have become considerably worse labourers than formerly; for in such employment they receive the minimum of wages, or rather mere support, and they become lazy, dissatisfied and mischievous.	37.—They are supposed to be worse workmen.	37.—They are full as good labourers, and industrious as formerly.	37.—Decidedly diminishing: the labourers are worse workmen. This evil is being greatly increased by the most demoralizing effects of the beer-shops.	37.—Diminishing, particularly in those branches where ingenuity is required. There are fewer men that can thatch a rick well C. S	37.—Decidedly diminishing; and so it will continue to be as long as the Gravel-pit system is resorted to.
38.—The practice of hiring yearly servants has decreased, but servants hired for a year like to attend the Michaelmas statute hirings, to try to better themselves.	38.—They are said to do so. Probably they have less reluctance to come upon the parish, and therefore are less anxious to keep their places	38.—No.	38.—About the same. Labourers generally like change.	38.—Yes. Farmers tell me that it arises from restlessness of disposition, and love of change. C. S.	38.—Not much difference.
53.—Dissatisfaction with parish employment made them hostile to overseers and members of vestries. The Game Laws appear also to have been very odious to the unemployed labourers, and the frequent commitments to crowded prisons, led to evil communications and rancorous feelings, and the agricultural riots and fires of 1830 and 1831. As the peasant has lost his attachment to the [illegible], through the Poor [illegible], so he has lost his respect for the landlords and the aristocracy through the administration of the Game Laws.	53.—	53.—	53.—I think they arose from the very bad state of mind of the labourers, who were sent in numbers to idle on the roads without any one to look after them, thus affording opportunity for evil disposed persons to disseminate evil principles among them, and advantage was taken of this. I am persuaded, they were too ill paid before the riots, and this gave ground to work upon.	53.—We have had one fire in this Parish, and no rioting, supposed to have been the work of discontented individuals. C. S.	53.—The causes, in my mind, were principally the lowness of wages, no task-work, or, if given, restricted in earnings, and several others mentioned before.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

COLESHILL. Lord Radnor.	COOKHAM. Thomas Whately, Vicar.	DRAYTON. W. Caudwell, Churchwn & Overs, W. Lyford, Overseer.	GREAT FARINGDON. R. W. Crowdy, Deputy Vicar.	EAST HENDRED. John Crapper, Assistant Ovr, Charles Eyston, J.P.	HURLEY. East Geo. Clayton East, J.P. & Churchwarden.
(A.)—351.	(A.)—3,337.	(A.)—506.	(A.)—2,729.	(A.)—865.	(A.)—1,150.
(C.)—19s. 3d.	(C.)—5s.	(C.)—13s. 2d.	(C.)—£.1. 2s. 8d.	(C.)—£.1. 8s. 3d.	(C.)—13s. 1d.
4.—	4.—Four to every 100 acres.	4.—	4.—From 160 to 180	4.—	4.—
5.—	5.—292.	5.—107.	5.—About 185.	5.—184. J. C.	5.—About 260 belong to the parish, but many strangers are hired.
7.—	7.—Very few, no Irish or Scotch.	7.—Six. No Irish or Scotch.	7.—A few, but cannot state the number.	7.—	7.—About 50. No Irish or Scotch.
24.—Some able-bodied Labourers have assistance for their families.	24.—No.	24.—All able-bodied labourers that have three children, claim relief, earn what they may, and receive it. Wages not paid out of the rates.	24.—It was the custom to make up the allowance after the third child from the Poor Rates, until within the last year, when the Parish began to be farmed, and the system was put an end to.	24.—Allowance on account of large families begins from two. Wages are paid out of the rates. J. C. All able-bodied labourers having more than two children receive allowance. C. E.	24.—None. Those who apply for relief are allotted according to acreage, at wages fixed by the Select Vestry, and consequently those who before this plan was adopted, preferred receiving relief, seek work elsewhere.
25.—According to a fixed scale, depending on the price of bread.	25.—No relief or allowance is given out of the workhouse, except in cases of illness and accidents.	25.—Man and wife with three children, allowed 1s. more than they earn, and for every child above 3, the price of a half-peck loaf; and 3d. for each child, since the riots, which alteration has advanced the Poor Rates considerably.	25.—According to the scale of relief usually adopted in this county, which is as follows:—for a man, 2 gallon loaves per week; a woman, 1½; each child, 1	25.—According to the number in the family, and the price of bread. C. E.	25.—No.
29.—No.	29.—None.	29.—The good labourers that are single, are paid as much as the married men that are idle and dissolute, 5s. per week. The single are not paid so much as the married generally.	29.—There is a distinction of about 2s. per week in favour of the married man.	29.—Yes, when employed by the Parish. J. C. Little difference when in regular employment. When employed by the Parish, more is given to the married. C. E.	28.—None, especially if hired by the week.
37.—I think the Labourers are certainly growing worse both in moral and physical qualities.	37.—Increasing. Labourers are better workmen than formerly.	37.—Diminishing. When complaint is made, the answer is, "You may send us away;" for they know the overseer must pay them.	37.—Increasing since the contract for farming the Parish took place, but was diminishing before it.	37.—Supposed to be diminishing. C. E.	37.—Supposed to be diminishing. The labourers do not take so much pains as formerly to please their employers. Under this head may be mentioned the evil effects of the beer shops, the labourers find them pleasanter than doing nothing at home. Those who have good-sized gardens and neat cottages are seldom found there.
38.—Yes, Farmers are very unwilling to hire servants for a year, lest they should thereby gain a settlement.	38.—No.	38.—Yes, and we account for it because they are not so good servants as formerly, and most masters wish to seek amendment by change.	38.—The labourers appear to have had, of late years, a growing disposition to change; and the masters, to avoid a settlement, having lately accustomed themselves to hire for periods short of a year, may have occasioned this change.	38.—I do not think they do. C. E.	38.—Yes. I think this has originated in desire, on the farmers' part, to avoid settlements by hiring and service.
53.—The causes, the low rate of wages; the harsh treatment of the Labourers, the desire to depress them; the general feeling of distrust and animosity existing between the agricultural labourers and their employers. The consequences an increase of wages to the labourer, but unaccompanied by any better feeling, less discontent, however, because less suffering. I should state, however, that there was no riot or burning in this Parish.	53.—I conceive the riots and burnings of the year 1830 and 1831, arose from the distressed and wretched state of the poor, and this wretchedness was the natural consequence of the mal-administration of the Poor Laws.	53.—When the riots first broke out, had the punishment been more severe than three days' imprisonment for breaking machines, we should not have heard of so many riots and burnings.	53.—Happily this Parish has hitherto escaped, and although riots did take place in the neighbourhood, they must be attributed to want of employment in the winter, the lowness of wages, a general discontent among the labourers, and the example set by riots in other parts of the kingdom.	53.—Wherever the commencement of riots was met with energy, they were suppressed without difficulty. Riots were contagious, they may be traced with geographical precision. C. E.	53.—The fires that occurred in this neighbourhood, were generally attributed to the spite of individuals. General dissatisfaction as to wages could not have been the case.

KINTBURY. G. H. Cherry, J. P.	LAMBOURNE. Henry Hippisley, Lambourn Place.	LETCOMBE REGIS. Thos Goodlake, J. P.	LONG WITTENHAM. James Clutterbuck, Vicar.	MILTON. Thomas Robinson, Rector. Thomas Bowles, J. P. R. H. Betteridge, Overseer.
(A.)—1,781.	(A.)—2,386.	(A.)—393.	(A.)—547.	(A.)—413.
(C.)—11s. 3d.	(C.)—£.1. 3s. 10d.	(C.)—12s. 3d.	(C.)—18s. 1d.	(C.)—16s. 5d.
4.—	4.—It is difficult to say what is proper cultivation. The land is generally speaking, less highly cultivated than in former times. I do not consider that there is any superabundant population though many are unemployed, for want of money to pay them.	4.—	4.—	4.—
5.—By Census of 1831, 181 [illegible] 20 years old [illegible], perhaps 7 males between 10 & 20.	5.—About 300 men, besides Shepherds, Parish [illegible] 150 more. Total 460.	5.—	5.—141	5.—107. R. H. B.
7.—Very few. No Scotch or Irish.	7.—About 75. Not relieved by the Parish. None Scotch or Irish.	7.—	7.—5 or 6 but difficult to ascertain the exact number.	7.—None. R. H. B.
24.—Generally every labourer with more than 3 children, has an allowance from the Parish on account of his family. An allowance begins upon the birth of the fourth child. Work done for individuals is partly paid for by the Parish, under 22 G. 3, c. 83, s. 32.	24.—Many able-bodied labourers receive allowance, but not on their own account, nor for a wife and two children, but all receive relief who have more than two children. For each child above two, the allowance is the value of one gallon loaf of bread per week.	24.—Almost all who have families. Every labourer, with more than two children unable to work, receives allowance. The dear times, when wheat was £.40 per load, brought every family to the Parish and now they have not wages sufficient to supply their necessities.	24.—Many able-bodied labourers receive relief on account of their families. When any labourer has a family of more than three children unable to work, he is allowed for every child above that number, the price of a gallon of bread, and 3d. per week.	24.—We have. T. R. Not many. They receive a sort of compulsory employment, and are paid by the day, on the roads, but their labour is not worth what they receive for it. T. B. There is an allowance on account of the number of the family, beginning at four children. Wages are paid out of the Poor's Rate. R. H. B.
25.—According to the price of bread, and a computation of two gallon loaves to the father, one to the wife, and one to each child, per week, when more than 3 children incapable of work.	25.—Relief is usually given at the rate of one gallon loaf per head, for every child beyond two children. The man is considered entitled to two loaves, the wife and children to one each.	25.—A scale of allowances, according to the price of bread, was made out, and became pretty generally adopted in the dear times, about the year 1800, and the same continues now to be partially practised, but I do not conform to it myself but vary the relief according to circumstances and the character of the applicant.	25.—Relief is given according to a scale regulated by the price of bread, except in some instances, when the old, &c. receive a regular fixed money payment.	25.—In the year of scarcity, 1795, the Magistrates fixed a bread allowance, which has been acted upon ever since; e.g. assuming the peck loaf to be 3s., a family of six would receive, the man, 3s., wife, 2s. 3d.; children, 1s. 6d. each, together, 11s. 3d. T. R.
29.—Still a difference in some places, but not so great as it was, nor so frequent. In general no distinction when employed by individuals.	29.—The general rate of wages is the same one. The general rate 9s. Last year, 10s. to married men, and 8s. to single men.	29.—The farmers most employ the married men at 9s. a week, and the few unmarried ones employed by them, receive from 5s. to 7s. per week. Men employed by the Parish in the winter on the roads and in the gravel-pits, receive a bare subsistence, the married ones about 6s., and 1½d. or 18d. for each child, and the single ones, though able and willing to do double the work of a married man, 4s. or 4s. 6d.	29.—There is a difference made by some employers; and married men, when employed by the Parish on the roads, are paid according to their families.	29.—Wages are rather in favour of a married man, the difference is from 1s. to 1s. 6d. T. R. The married men are paid partly by the Parish if they have families. Wages of single men vary from 5s. to 9s. per week. T. B. More wages to married than single men. R. H. B.
37.—Diminishing.	37.—Decreasing. In task-work, upon advantageous terms, not otherwise, they work hard, but these extra earnings are generally spent at the beer houses. The allotment of land appears to encourage industrious habits, and will probably make better workmen. Few of the young labourers are, either in understanding, or execution, or industry, equal to the older.	37.—Diminished, but I do not consider them worse workmen.	37.—The labourers have the character of worse workmen.	37.—I do not think that there is much difference in the capability, however much there may be in the inclination. In piece-work I have never found the labourer idle, but the day-labourer is inferior to what he was formerly. T. B. Diminished. T. R.
38.—No. The indisposition or inability to employ them has increased within the two years.	38.—Certainly. Causes: Less attachment between master and servant, more unsettled habits in the servant, indifference to being in irregular service, looking to parish relief. On the master's side, less interest in the services of any particular labourer, as their places are easily supplied; less inclination and means to indulge them, and the different style of a farmer's table.	38.—Young and unmarried servants in husbandry do, which is partly owing to a fear of giving a settlement, and frequently from the caprice of such young and unmarried men. The older married farming servants, whose settlements are in the same Parish, do not change their service oftener than formerly.	38.—More frequently; owing to the farmers not receiving labourers into their houses, and to their wishing to prevent settlements.	38.—The Settlement Law, lower wages, dissatisfaction on the part of the labourer, and deterioration of character, may account for the change in question, which certainly is more frequent than formerly. T. B. They do. The certain provision under the Poor Laws has generated a spirit of independence which renders them indifferent to please their employers. T. R.
53.—I consider the proximate causes of the riots in this county, to have been a prejudice against machinery, and the contagious example of neighbouring districts. A consequence of the then rise of wages has been, increased reluctance on the part of the farmer to employ so many hands, and a conviction on the minds of the labourers, that their wages would be received without exertion, and that the Magistrate can enforce them.	53.—Actual distress in labourers and mechanics, the low rate of wages, the idea that threshing machines kept them out of employ, and lowered wages, beer-houses, violent tracts and seditious preachers, political feeling; the example of France. They were encouraged by many who were not in any distress themselves. Consequences: a temporary increase of wages, the discontinuance of machines, wages again lowered, an impression that rioting will not succeed.	53.—Low wages and real distress amidst a too abundant population; and the village beer-houses afforded the opportunity for introducing to one another their thoughts and feelings, and enabled them to act in concert in the riots.	53.—The general mal-administration of the Poor Laws, which in most of the disturbed districts made the labourers totally dependent on the parish, and not on their own exertions. In this place, there was no riot or burning, which I attribute to the kindness with which the poor are treated by the farmers in general.	53.—The causes appear to me an insufficiency of wages, and consequent deterioration of character, much aided by mere example. The fires and riots have happened in this immediate neighbourhood in the most populous parishes. Consequences: rather better wages and more extensive employment of the poor. T. B. It may be observed that incendiarism first began in Kent, a county notorious for smuggling, and of course presenting great facility to the lower classes of procuring spirits. I know not whether inadequacy of wages was the primary cause of offence, but I know for certain that cheap spirits will always produce abundance of crime. T. R.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

SHOTTESBROOK.	[illegible]	ST. MARY, READING.	[illegible]	[illegible] WICK	THATCHAM.
J. W. Aldridge, Overseer.	J. [illegible] O[illegible] H[illegible] Viscount [illegible] J. P. E[illegible] J[illegible] Esq. Vicar	*T. W. Hall.*	*Frederick* Deputy [illegible]	*W. Dene*, Overseer.	*Edward Hunt*, Overseer. *J. Adnams*, Surveyor & Members of Select Vestry. *W. Harris* Farmer.
(A.)—138.	(A.)—770.	(A.)—6,798.	(A.)—944.	(A.)—[illegible].	(A.)—2,502.
(C.)—8s. 9d.	(C.)—[illegible]. 8. 10.	(C.)—10s. 9d.	(C.)—14s. 1	(C.)—[illegible]. 14. 6	(C.)—14s. 10d.
4.—About 50 labourers	4.—	4.—Cannot say.	4.—	4.—	4.—About the same now in the parish.
5.—31 labourers.	5.—135.	5.—Cannot say.	5.—264.	5.—31.	5.—According to the Census of 1831 about 269.
7.—2 to reading in the Parish.	7.—Two English.	7.—See Town Queries	7.—About 10. None of Irish. (I suppose this applies to agricultural labourers only.	7.—None.	7.—Very few, Irish or Scotch.
24.—Not any allowance. Almost all are married men by the task, and they earn by piece from 12s. to 16s. a week. Wages not paid out of the rates	24.—Yes, in case of families over 3 children. *W. B. E. B.* Yes, if families of above 3 children unless one or two of them are in constant work. *W. A.* Wages paid out of the rates in case of large families *J. H.* Yes, if 4 children *J. H.*	24.—We have the numbers I am not acquainted with. The bread table regulates this. Application is always made the moment the second child is born	24.—During the Overseers year ending March 1833, there were from 50 to 60 average 27, employed by the Parish for 33 weeks, for 19 weeks more.	24.—Yes, several. The allowance on account of the family begins at 3 children. Wages are not paid out of the Poor Rate.	24.—About 34 unmarried labourers receiving allowance. There is a difference in the allowance according to the price of bread. Work done for individuals is not partly paid for by the Parish.
25.—2s. 6d. per week, generally, to old people past work.	25.—According to the price of bread, 2 loaves to the father, 1½ to the mother, 1s. 6d. to a working boy, and 1s. to each of the rest. *W. B. E. B.* Yes, on the scale of 2 gallon loaves to the father, 1½ to the mother, 1s. 6d. to a boy at work, and 1s. to every other. *W. A.*	25.—The bread table, all understand it too well	25.—Yes, according to the Table adopted by the Magistrates in Berkshire	25.—All above 3 children a quartern loaf each	25.—Two gallon loaves to the man, two to the woman, and one to each child.
29.—Not any distinction.	29.—None. *W. B. E. B.* None. *W. A.* None. *J. H.*	29.—Yes, from 2s. to 3s. per week, and in many instances more.	29.—To all families having above two children, one gallon loaf weekly for each child when employed by the Parish but the farmers make no difference. The Parish pays from 30 to 40 per cent less than the farmers	29.—2s per week.	29.—None if both are equally competent but it is usual with some to employ youths from 15 to 20 years of age, at low wages.
37.—I think worse workmen.	37.—Diminishing, as this mode of Parish relief does away with industry. *W. A.* They are much in the same state as they have been for many years past *W. B. E. B.*	37.—I think from the many beer-shops now opened, and allowing upline on the premises the labourers do not perform so good a day's work as formerly	37.—Stationary to be diminishing, but when the Labourers can procure task-work, they work very well	37.—Diminishing	37.—Not increasing, but there is willingness to work when employment can be found at a reasonable rating price.
38.—No.	38.—Yes, the farmer wishing to avoid giving a settlement. *W. A.* Yes, from the farmer not allowing the labourer to gain a settlement. *W. B. E. B.*	38.—I am of opinion they do not	38.—Yes, owing to the agreement among the farmers to engage their servants for a less period than a year.	38.—Yes, partly on account of settlement	38.—Not oftener in proportion to the increase of population, there being a greater choice of men than formerly.
53.—Want of remunerating employment.	53.—The low wages, and mischievous men who had sufficient wages, talking and advising others to riot, that they might have an increase of wages *W. A.* The great depression of wages, and mischievous men taking advantage of that to excite the people to riot, in order to obtain an increase of wages, which they succeeded in getting. *W. B. E. B.*	53.—From the best information I could procure, it is my belief that the riotous proceedings of 1830 and 1831 were the acts of a peasantry bowed down to the lowest possible amount of wages on which they could exist, enjoying few comforts, and looking some things considered (by common consent) the necessaries of life.	53.—The causes were,—1. General excitement by the example of successful insurrection in France and Belgium, 2. Wages too low, 3. A misconception of the effects of machinery. The consequences 1. Increase of wages; 2. Disuse of machinery. There were no rents or burnings here, though 42 were taken, and 27 convicted in the adjoining Parish.	53.—Riots, by reading newspapers, burning, by ranting, for they all say, do what they will, it is no sin.	53.—The first symptoms of riot were in the county of Berks we believe, in Parish, and as far our observation the causes appear to be,—the example of Kentish labourers, the excitement of the laborers' minds, caused by reading certain violent publications in the beer-shops. We have had no burnings in the Parish.

UFFINGTON.	UFTON NERVET.	WARGRAVE.	WARGRAVE.	WARGRAVE.
John Hughes ...cting Magistrate and Surveyor of Parish Roads	*W. Bishop*, Rector.	*M. A'Court*, J. P. *James Edsell*, Assistant Overseer.	*Edward Green*	*Sir Mark Kennet*, J. P. for Berks, Wilts and Devon.
—64.	(A.)—357.	(A.)—1,423	(A.)—1,423	(A.)—1,423.
—372. 10d.	(C.)—£ 1. 5s. 8d.	(C.)—10s. 10d	(C.)—10s 10d.	(C.)—10s. 10d
—	4.—	4.—About 420 are employed, but more are required if the agriculturist could afford to pay them *J. F*	4.—	4.—
—	5.—98.	5.—About 250 above 60 years of age, 200 under ditto total, 450 *J. E.*	5.—	5.—
—	7.—Twenty-three No Irish or Scotch.	7.—About 37 families of the labouring classes. *J. E.*	7.—	7.—
—No able-bodied man or youth is paid for doing nothing when out of work, I employ them, as Road Surveyor, at a price ...than farmers' wages. But the case is very common at all times of the year, save harvest and hay time, of able-bodied men receiving a portion of relief to eke out their earnings, ...ten, for instance, that I see there are four children at an improductive age it is almost impossible for a man of but ordinary strength and skill to support such a family with decency, assisted by an industrious wife.	24.—A few are occasionally relieved, but none of this description receive constant relief. Allowance granted when the children exceed five Wages not paid out of the rates	24.—There have been as many as 30 at a time requiring work from the parish. *M. A'C* To families having above 4 children, and the father not earning above 10s a week, relief is given at the rate of 1s 6d. to 2s. for all above 3 children, a week, extra allowance where there is illness About 5 receive parish relief, besides their earnings. *J. E*	24.—Many at this time, and they are paid according to their families, allowing 1s. 6d per head for the women and children, and 3s. for the man, and if a single man, 6s per week.	24.—About 5 or 6.
25.—The maximum granted by Magistrates near as it, what, added to the earnings of a family, will afford to the man the ...of a half peck loaves a week, 1½ to the wife, and 1 to each child not working, and ...ing, 1½, and this is but a bare subsistence	25.—According to his earnings and family. The measure of computing maintenance, is to allow two gallon loaves per week for a man, one for a woman, and one for a child.	25.—The general scale of relief is two gallon loaves to a man, and one gallon loaf to each woman and child. *M. A'C.*	25.—See last Answer	25.—Certainly, a family consisting of above a man, his wife and 4 children, and earning only 10s a week, as allowed about 11 6d or 12. a head, for every child above 4. per week more if bread were dearer
29.—No difference as to task-work or harvest, but when business is dull, the farmer gives the advantage to the married man for the sake of his family A first rate man, how ever, if single is sure of the best wages is the second rate who suffer, to the advantage of the farmer	29.—None in general.	29.—A steady, sober and efficient labourer will earn the same, whether married or unmarried. *M. A'C* No distinction in wages. *J. E*	29.—To the married man we are giving 10s per week, but the unmarried, if they apply to the Parish, have only 6s.	29.—No
37.—I can say from personal experience that ...hat is diminished ... the last 20 years. There is perhaps less subordination but not less skill and perseverance in availing themselves of every opportunity to better their condition. It is true that the more casual market for labour, caused by the increase of population, has disparaged and ...uded many the second-rate workmen ... but even these are made great efforts in improving their recent allotments of ground ... for quarter acre portions. We have hitherto found Lord Braybrooke and Mr. Dem... right as to the moral and fiscal effects ... to these allotments, which we do not ... so as to interfere with farm labourers	37.—About the same as formerly.	37.—There seems to be less want of disposition to work than of opportunity for working. *M. A'C.*	37.—I am sorry to say industry is lost in this place generally, and from that loss, they are in a worse condition, and are very much worse workmen than formerly.	37.—Decidedly worse, owing to beer being drunk in beer-houses, where they congregate, read the violent speeches, seditious and infamous publications, which together debauch their hearers, before honest minds, make them dissatisfied with their station in life, and destroy the link between the employer and the workman, spending the money they would otherwise take home to their families, particularly as most beer shops are chandler's shops, where the poor purchase their provisions, and are tempted to drink. Another cause, the facility of selling game, which has made a very great addition to the idle and dissolute I convicted a man yesterday for killing a hare at this season, and many lately were
38.—I think they do partly from the farmer's fear of making Irish parishioners, partly from the want of the bond system maintained by house service.	38.—Generally to avoid a settlement.	38.—There is generally such precaution exercised against conferring a right of settlement by hiring and service, which must necessarily occasion more frequent change of service, which may also have the effect in removing the labourers from the employer's roof. *M. A'C*	38.—There is no change in this respect, but this; they are now generally engaged for 51 weeks, instead of 52, as the former period, as the law now stands, will not make them parishioners. and this is ... avoided.	38.—No, good labourers seldom want good encouragement.
53.—The immediate exciting cause, the bad example of Hants and Sussex. The ...ill was not serious with us, and easily ...dress. The burnings were perpetrated, seems clear, by labourers without connexion, knowing how practicable and difficult of detection they had proved in other cases. The consequences have been, I think, to direct attention of landlords and farmers to ...physical and moral improvement of the ... In some instances higher wages ...promised than the real market price, on the spur of the occasion. This has led to distrust and discontent when the agreement was afterwards broken, and to a ruinous outlay on the part of the farmer where it was adhered to, but on the whole, all bad effects seem to be wearing out, and the thing forgotten.	53.—It has been suggested, that the new beer shops may have had some share in producing these riots, by giving greater facility to disorderly meetings.	53.—We had no commotions in this Parish. The causes and consequences will be best known where they were experienced. I should suppose that want of employment, and extreme low rate of wages, occasioned by the pressure of the fisheries system, must have influenced those unhappy proceedings. *M. A'C.*	53.—I think I can account for this in a great measure, for in the west of England the agricultural labourers were paid so badly, that the whole income of a man and his wife, with 3 children, was but 8s. per week, and in many instances only 7s which drove them to desperation.	53.—Yes, the violent language of many public speakers, the seditious publications read in every ale and beer-house, the facility of concealed drinking in beer-houses, the facility of selling game, have undermined the former honest thoughts of the lower orders, made them dissatisfied with the situation Providence has placed them, and brought all above them into contempt, and engendered a hope of plunder by a convulsion of the State.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	WASING. William Mount Overseer & J. P.	WHITE WALTHAM. J. Sawyer, J. P. Thos Grove, Ass't Ovr.	WINKFIELD. W. L. Rham, Vicar, J. Cross, jun., Ass't Ovr.	ADSTOCK. Adam Baynes, Anonymous.	AMERSHAM Charles White Fowler Churchwardens	BEACONSFIELD James Du Pre, J. P. William M. Brown
(A.)—Population	(A.)—792.	(A.)—2,009.	(A.)—445.	(A.)—2,816	(A.)—1,763	
(C.)—Expenditure	(C.)—£ 1. 9d	(C.)—£ 1. 11d.	(C.)—£ 1. 4s. 9d.	(C.)—132. 11d	(C.)—91. 6d	
4.—Between 15 and 18	4.—	4.—	4.—50 men and boys. Anon.	4.—4 labourers for a farm of 100 acres, chiefly arable.	4.—3 men and 1 100 acres of boy and [illegible]	
5.—About 20, including the lads, but several parishioners live in the adjoining parishes.	5.—22, above 20 yrs. of age. J. C.	5.—Above 20 yrs. of age, 365; under 20, 254 total, 539	5.—107 men and boys Anon.	5.—Males upwards of 20 years, 244.	5.—178	
7.—None.	7.—Twelve. No Irish or Scotch. T. G.	7.—About 20 families of labourers. No Irish or Scotch except in harvest & hay-making. J. C.	7.—	7.—A few families and single persons. No Irish or Scotch.	7.—One-fourth. No Irish or Scotch.	
24.—Three receiving regular relief on account of their families. The parish allowance begins with the third or fourth child, according to the price of the gallon loaf. Work done for individuals is not partly paid for by the parish.	24.—No relief is given to the labourer in increase of wages, but relief is given in case of sickness, where there is a large family, and frequently some linen. J. S. No allowance on account of the size of family. No wages paid out of the Poor Rates. T. G.	24.—None, except for work on the Roads. W. L. R. No regular allowance, but occasional assistance when there are more than five children. No wages paid out of the Poor-rates. J. C.	24.—It is a very prevailing practice in this parish and neighbouring parishes, to allot the small plots of land amongst the different occupiers, and to pay them part of their wages out of the Poor rate. A. B. Yes, from two children. Anon	24.—Six, who have larger families than they can support by their labour. Allowance on account of large families begins with five children, and is 1s.; if six children, 1s. 6d., and in like proportion for a greater number, and it is in this manner that the six families are relieved.	24.—Only one instance in which the man has a very large family more than the market value of his labour to support. When any allowance is made on account of large families, it begins at four children. In case of work done for individuals paid for partly by the parish	
25.—The scale of relief is framed according to the bountiful Berks bread-table system. 2 gallon loaves to a man, 1½ to a woman, and to each child above a month old.	25.—	25.—Generally at the rate of two quarten loaves per week. W. L. R.	25.—The price of three-halfpeck loaves for a man and his wife, and one half peck loaf for each child. A. B. A scale from the magistrates. Anon	25.—Relief is given as near the scale as it is possible. Man and wife 6s per week; with one child, 7s.; with two, 8s.; and so on in proportion.	25.—Yes, according to the number in family, and the price of bread.	
29.—Much more than there should be. As young unmarried men offer their labour at lower prices than married men with families can afford to do, a difference exists in their wages.	29.—No difference. J. S. No. T. G.	29.—None. W. L. R. No. J. C.	29.—5s. at most for single men, unless in a constant place, the half-peck loaf per child, in addition, for married men with families. A. B. Yes. Anon.	29.—None.	29.—No.	
37.—The industry of the labourers does not increase. There certainly are fewer "handy" and trustworthy labourers than formerly. The majority of labourers seem very indifferent whether they give satisfaction to their employers or not; knowing that, if discharged by their masters, the overseer must eventually find them money or work, and that they will receive nearly the same wages, and work much less for them.	37.—Much as it has been. The labourers are generally less respectful to their masters. J. S.	37.—The labourers work well when well paid, and very badly when let to work by the parish on reduced wages. W. L. R.	37.—I think they are not so good workmen as formerly. A. B. Diminishing. Anon.	37.—They are getting worse, from the circumstance of many of them being routinely out of regular employ.	37.—Their industry and [illegible] are [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

FARNHAM ROYAL. Jas Grocer, Rector, J. Cecil, A. Stuart, Chs. Robt P. Mason, Oversr.	STOKE [illegible]	LECKHAMPSTEAD. John Brickwell, Farmer.	GREAT MISSENDEN. G. Carrington, J. P. Richard Marks, Vicar. Thomas Harley, Overseer.	[illegible] T. [illegible] nuckle
(A.)—777	(A.)—454.	(A.)—[illegible]	(A.)—1,827.	A [illegible]
(C.)—121. 4s.	(C.) [illegible]	(C.)—[illegible] 3. 10	(C.)—184. 4d	£ [illegible] 3. 4.
4.—	4.—	4.—	4.—	See Comment mine, as [illegible] proofs on [illegible] scems adv
5.—	5.—Number 20 years of age, 51, below 20 years of age, 18. Total, 69.	5.—	5.—Above 20,339, under 20, and above 10, 220 total, 559. T H.	5.—Sent to [illegible]
7.—	7.—2 widows.	7.—	7.—Thirty. No Irish or Scotch. T H	7.—About six [illegible] milies Irish or Scotc
24.—Only in the winter.	24.—No.	24.—None, because all the children they are not able to maintain are taken and kept in the poor house, where they are constantly employed. 14s. 6d per week is considered sufficient to maintain man, wife and three children, but many keep four, rather than send them to the poor-house, which is a proof that it can be done.	24.—No wages paid out of the Rates. Allowance if there are four small children. T H From 30 to 40 labourers for nine months in the year, are employed and paid by the parish, because, unable or unwilling to find work for themselves, and they in fact in receiving regular relief from the parish. C C Many are frequently out of regular work and are employed on the roads, & at reduced wages, by the parish. R M	24.—From 1 20. 1 allowed for every child above two. No work done for individuals is paid for by the parish, except in a few trifling instances.
25.—According to the number in the family.	25.—Ten shillings a week is supposed to keep a man, his wife and three children.	25.—No general scale, I believe, to regulate the relief given.	25.—Yes G C According to the age of the applicant. R. M.	25.—A scale issued by the Magistrates
29.—No difference in good workmen.	29.—None.	29.—There is a difference when they are employed by the overseers, but not at piece-work and when they are employed in the summer.	29.—There is, when employed by the parish T H But not when employed by individuals G C. There is some difference. R. M	29.—About 2s. per more to the married
37.—Rather increasing	37.—Diminishing in this parish, since a beer shop was opened.	37.—In parishes where there is a system of paying an allowance according to the number in the family and the labourers are sent on the roads, they are more prone to habits of idleness than where a different system is pursued, viz. by requiring all to work for whatever they receive from the overseers, if they are able consequently the labourers are not so good as they formerly were in those parishes.	37.—The great mass of our labourers are certainly industrious and could do a good week's work for the regular pay. An increasing evil is a surplus number of men, compared to our means of employing them. R M By inquiry of old people and by the inference which may be drawn from the increase of the poor-rates, the industry of the labourer is diminishing. G C	37.—Diminishing
38.—The change is more frequent, in consequence of board not being allowed in the house, and no hiring for the year.	38.—No Now, as formerly, they change yearly, to avoid the settlement.	38.—They do not, in the parish of Leckhampstead. All the most worthy labourers are constantly employed all the year round, at the same place.	38.—They do. Causes dissipation and control by the operation of the Poor Laws (as of late years administered) super-induce in very early age, habits of subordination weakened, and dissolute habits acquired. Above all, them having the parish to look to. G. C They do. Cause, yearly rise. R. J	38.—Yes it is [illegible] superseded a superabundance of labourers
53.—	53.—We had no riots, no burnings in our parish, as our men were all well employed, and our magistrates active.	53.—I attribute the agricultural riots and burnings principally to the non-employment of the surplus labourers in the winter months, or, what is the same thing, congregating them in numbers, to be *occasionally employed* on the roads, without a superintendent to look after them thereby giving a few mischievous characters an opportunity of corrupting all the others, and making arrangements for meeting at the beer-shops, to join worse company in the evening. And when, under the influence and excitement of their too often adulterated and deleterious beverage they turn out at midnight to consummate the mischief they had been plotting in the day.	53.—There were few or no instances of incendiarism in this neighbourhood. Causes.—Chiefly the agency of mischievous persons, the want of employment, the rest of the idle and prodigate to the Beer houses. Perhaps the effective exercise of intelligence will not have struck at a system more prolific of evil, inasmuch as the taking away all temptation to drunkenness, by the introduction of Beer-House of this description. G C In our Parish we have had no incendiary burnings. The supply of labour has created a desire, [illegible] what was in the power of the distressed poor and the [illegible] have [illegible] which [illegible] caused the [illegible] plan. R M	53.—An impr [illegible] shall [illegible] I believe that [illegible] crimes [illegible] riots [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] sion gre [illegible] of the riots.

SHERRINGTON. John Prettyman, Rector, & J. P.	TAPLOW. William Norrington, Overseer. Robert Bird.	THORNTON. Sir T. C. Sheppard.	TURVILLE. R. Allnutt, Overseer.	UPTON-CUM-CHALVEY. J. Pocock, Churchwn.	WEXHAM. Henry Dyson, Rector.
(A.)—804	(A.)—647.	(A.)—94	(A.)—442.	(A.)—1,502	(A.)—181.
(C.)—13s. 3d	(C.)—13s. 4d	(C.)—£.1. 12 4.	(C.)—16s.	C.)—£1. 8d, (C.)—£.1. 1. 9.	
4.—	4.—	4.—	4.—	4.—	4.—
5.—	5.—About 60. H. N.	5.—Light or ten.	5.—Above 20 years of age, 60, under 20 and above 10, 40. Total 100	5.	5.—
7.—	7.—Eighteen. W. N	7.—	7.—	7.—	7.—
24.—Almost all the Labourers receive either occasional or permanent relief from the parish	24.—No; work done for individuals not paid for by the Parish. W. N.	24.—The rate of allowance varies according to the number of children. If a man earns from 8s. to 10s. per week, and has a family, all above is paid by the Parish.	24.—Many, considering the extent of our Parish, with large families. No wages paid out of the Poor Rates. Allowance begins at four children.	24.—Some at times, who come from various employments, and of little use or worth to agriculture, especially in Winter.	24.—Several: from 9 to 15 in number.
25.—Relief is given according to this scale the father of a family receives the value of a peck wheaten loaf, and each remaining member half a peck per week	25.—	25.—According to the number of the family.	25.—A scale is fixed by the Magistrates at Petty or Quarter Sessions.	25.—Not exactly; capacity, constitution and age having to do with it.	25.—According to family, by the scale recommended by the Magistrates at Quarter Sessions.
29.—There is a great difference between the wages of the married and unmarried labourers, I have known unmarried men work for 4s a week, and they seldom receive more than 6s. except during hay-time and harvest. Married men have generally 9s or 10s. a week either in wages or allowance. I have known £.1. 3s. 6d. ordered by a magistrate, per week, to a labourer with a family.	29.—No. W. N. R. B.	29.—A difference is made, particularly in Winter, and a most impolitic system it is, as it is sure to drive the unmarried into an early, and, generally speaking, a most thoughtless marriage. The married men are paid according to the size of their families	29.—A difference only in Winter, when the labourers are abundant.	29.—Best single men are as well paid as married ones, but for hiring masters allow married men to do more of the hardest work by the piece, and therefore they have more money.	29.—No difference.
37.—Generally admitted to be diminishing	37.—Much the same W. N. I hear from the farmers and millers that their men are not so controllable as formerly, and are generally worse workmen. R. B.	37.—The industry and respectability of the Labourers, generally, in this county are decreasing, owing to the illegal and demoralising system pursued of paying the able-bodied labourers partly by the employer, and partly from the book, according to the size of his family; for let him be ever so idle, he knows he is sure of having a certain sum made up to him one way or the other.	37.—Much worse than formerly	37.—They know how to do work as well, but more artful.	37.—The same remissness, with occasional exceptions, is observable here, as in other parts.
38.—Farmers servants now change their places very frequently. They are hired so as not to make them [illegible] rishioners feel. Servants are in general very indifferent about keeping their places as they constantly bear it in mind that they must be supported by the parish, and their conduct is such as may be expected under such circumstances 3dly. If a farmer find labourers whom he might be disposed to employ permanently, others of a worse character would in many Parishes be sent to him by the overseers I attribute the frequent change to the Poor Laws.	38.—Much the same. W. N. I do not think out labourers change their neighbourhood often, I fear they oftener change their masters, which I believe to arise from the general excitement now instilling into men's minds, and the increase of drunkenness. R. B.	38.—They do not.	38.—More frequently, and the causes are dissatisfaction from low wages, &c.	38.—Yes, I and many hiring none for a year, an account of not making them Parishioners.	38.—They do so, possibly from love of change.
53.—I consider the burnings and riots of 1830 and 1831 to have been caused by the Poor Laws, they create a hostile and malignant spirit between the workmen and their employers they depress wages, cause idleness and discontent, and destroy all feelings of reciprocal dependence and good will between the rich and the poorer classes. The latter under the sanction of these laws, claim an exemption from all the consequences of their own misconduct and improvidence and they know of no limit to their legal exactions upon the farmer. The stacks of corn they almost consider as their property, and wages or allowance as their right, and if by any means they think fit to demand, they burn the stacks and terrify the farmer, as the most effectual method of asserting and securing their rights. Among the consequences I observe an increase of wages, and a further depression of rent, a higher amount of Poor Rate, a great feeling of insecurity and an uneasiness in the farmers. The paupers are more determined in their manner, and more threatening in their language. I have noticed also an universal reluctance to the investment of capital in agriculture and a withdrawal of it where practicable. *My opinion that these outrages were the consequences of the Poor Laws is strongly borne out by the fact, that they were almost exclusively confined to those counties where the rates are highest, and where the tendencies of the Poor Laws have been most fully developed.*	53.—The Riots in 1830-1831 in this neighbourhood were almost all planned in the remote beerhouses. R. B.	53.—The Riots may be accounted for in a manner similar to the following; a barn of mine was burnt down in this Parish from the spite of a worthless pauper towards the overseer, for attempting to perform his duty	53.—There can be but one opinion of the causes of the riots; namely, distress of as severe a character that drove the rioters to desperation.	53.—Revenge by which they certainly in a great measure benefited.	53.—A bad spirit amongst the more disorderly class of labourers, and in some instances a wish to plunder amidst the confusion and rioting during fires.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	OLSTON MAGNA et PARVA. Hen Tattam, Rector.	Sr Alex [illegible] Cambridge. Mr Warford, Overseer.	BASSINGBOURNE. Charles Beldam The Prime, Overseer	BOTTISHAM. T Newman, Churchwarden. G. Jennys, Battisham Hall	BOURNE Thomas Whitter.	CHATTERIS (Isle of Ely) J. Secuid, Overseer. Rev. Heningt n Assistant Overseer.
1.—		(A.)—6,651.	(A.)—1,255.	(A.)—1,302.	(A.)—767	(A.)—1 177
2.—	(C.)—172.	(C.)—31 8 6.	(C.)—11 6 1	(C.)—165 10 d.	(C.)—11 2. 6d.	(C.)—65 7d.
3.—		2.—40.	3.—4 men, 1 lad and 2 boys, five consider sufficient for every 100 acres T P	3.—150. T. N.	3.—About 100	3.—About 500
4.—		4.—Two or three, who are constantly employed.	4.—60	4.—Referring to Census in May 1831, number of families 185, and 304 About 500 acres are held by non-residents, who employ scarcely any labourers belonging to the parish	4.—About 130.	4.—About 150
5.—		5.—No.	5.—Three parts of the parish There may be 20 Irish and 5 Scotch families.	5.—Very few T P.	5.—About 30. T. N	5.—Generally about 10, no Scotch or Irish.
6.—		6.—It is the constant practice for large families to receive relief from the parish, where the man's wages will not provide a loaf for each of his children, the amount is made up from the poor's rate. Work done for individuals is not paid for out of the Rates in this parish, but the system is practised extensively in Ashendon Hundred and some other districts of the County.	6.—There is no such instance, there are no very large families that are troublesome; and the highest relief is about 3s. to their wages.	6.—No regular relief. Large families obtain occasional relief. C. B. The children in large families are set to work, and paid from the poor rate. No work done for individuals is partly paid for by the parish. T. P.	6.—Not any now, but there have been, and of course will be again, if the means of employment are not increased. I believe not any work done for individuals is paid for by the parish.	6.—A few families receive some relief in Winter only at the rate, in general 2s. per head, if above 5 in number. No work done for individuals paid for by the parish.
7.—		7.—Yes, generally.	7.—	7.—No. C. B.	7.—Relief is given on the Scale of 2 quarten loaves per head, or money equivalent. G. J.	7.—A scale of bread allowance was fixed some years ago; and, although not now acted upon by the Magistrates, does, in point of fact, regulate parochial relief.	7.—No.
8.—		8.—Yes, in most parishes unmarried men are only employed as Roundsmen, paid by the parish, and at the lowest rate, and in many instances are not employed at all, but driven out to seek employment This system induces boys to marry at 17, 18 and 19, that they may have a claim upon the parish for support and employment. The population of parishes is greatly increased in this County in this way.	8.— None in this parish, but in most remote villages the married men are favoured in all employments.	8.—2s. per week. C. B. From 1s. to 2s. per week. T. P.	8.—The pay is both the same on common agricultural labour. In some instances there is a distinction. G. J. T. N.	8.—Frequently, from 1s. to 2s. per week.	8.—None, if they are able-bodied men, except during a few weeks in Winter, when work is scarce, and then but trifling, say, about 1s. 6d. per week.
9.—		9.—Decidedly diminishing. the Labourers are very different from those twenty years ago, and without a great change the rising generation will be worth little or nothing. In the parishes of Oving and Whitchurch the superfluous boys and men, in the number of 40 or 50, are congregated together in stone-pits, where they earn not 3d per diem each, and where the better are soon corrupted by the bad, all receiving their weekly allowance from the Overseers. Other parishes employ their surplus poor on the roads and with the same effects. The poor prefer being paid by the Overseer, and employed on the roads from the Rounds System, to constant work for in the former case they are nearly or quite their own masters.	9.—	9.—Diminishing. C. B.	9.—Certainly not so industrious as formerly, nor so good workmen. They are glad of any excuse to throw themselves upon the parish if they can. A case occurred from this parish, at the last County Sessions, on Friday last, where a pauper went for his weekly parish allowance of 15s. to the Overseer, having been paid 23s. for labour three days previously, and was indicted by the parish, and sentenced to three months hard labour in the House of Correction. G. J.	9.—Much the same.	9.—Fast decreasing.
10.—		10.—Decidedly I account for it partly from the increase of population, the depreciation of the agricultural interest, and the high loss effects of the Poor Laws as now administered, which circumstances have materially contributed to destroy the character of the English peasantry.	10.—	10.—No, except the surplus part of them.	10.—The labourers in this parish seldom change masters, not quite frequently than formerly. I allude to horsekeepers and yard-men.	10.—Yes; from a want of means to employ them.	10.—Yes, for [illegible] usually both [illegible] terand man. I [illegible] used to think it [illegible] to have parish [illegible] but now it is made [illegible] a trade.
11.—		11.—No. It is a remarkable fact, that the persons whose property has been burnt in this county are principally Dissenters.	11.—	11.—It is probable that distress and want of employment may have had some influence in causing them.	11.—I believe that in a great many cases the Burnings have arisen out of revenge, by unemployed labourers, against Overseers who, perhaps, may have treated them rather harshly when they have applied for labour or money.	11.—The poverty which compelled the farmer to use the thrashing-machine bore down the labourer to unprecedented distress, and drove him to desperation.	11.—Riots in [illegible] cultural [illegible] were caused in [illegible] measure through want employment.

	FOU[illegible] William [illegible] William [illegible]	FORDHAM. R. D. Fyre & John Moore, Overseers Geo. Dean, Churchwarden.	FOXTON. Susan Harrell, Overseer.	HISTON, St ANDREW the LITTLE. Rev. Mr. Hall, Vicar.
1.—		(A.)—1,325.	(A.)—408.	(A.)—784.
2.—		(C.)—128. 3d.	(C.)—£ 1. 0. 11	(C.)—174. 11d.
3.—		3.—It depends entirely on the price of corn, which affects the farming of the land. W. W.	3.—	3.—66
4.—		4.—About 197 families,	4.—	4.—About 40
5.—		5.—Very few, no Irish or Scotch. W. W	5.—35, including mechanics and labourers. No Irish nor Scotch.	5.—
6.—		6.—Many with large families have an allowance for the younger children. W. M. No. No work done for individuals partly paid for by the parish W. W.	6.—No; we have not more than 6 or 7, who have no regular allowance, but are obliged to apply when their children are out of employment, but they have little or nothing when their children have work. No work done for individuals paid for by the parish.	6.—No able-bodied Labourers receiving relief.
7.—		7.—The Scale issued by the Magistrates, Dec. 15, 1821, and acted upon to [illegible] years was the following:—A single woman, 3 quarters loaves per week, single man 4; man and wife, 7; man, wife and one child, 8; ditto, with 2 children 9; ditto, with 3 children, 11; man, wife and 4 children and upwards, 2 quarts and [illegible] per head per week. Paid according to the price of the best bread Though not formally rejected by the Justices it is virtually recognized as the Scale in Pelic W. M. By a Scale recommended by Magistrates. W. W.	7.—Yes; half stone of flour per head, and 6d. per head extra.	7.—According to the price of bread.	7.—In some measure, according to a bread scale drawn up by the Magistrates in 1821.
8.—		8.—One shilling per week less to single men. W. W. There has been a very considerable difference; from 3s. to 4s. per week. I hope the system is discontinued. The fires have enlightened the policy of many of the farmers; instances, however, are still to be found W. M.	8.—No difference, except when work is scarce, when the single men will work for a trifle less than the married. the married men with families prefer working the gravel-pit, with the overseer's assistance.	8.—Single men here have 8s per week; married 10s.	8.—Very trifling. If any.
9.—		9.—There is general and, I believe, well founded complaint that the Labourers are not so industrious as formerly. It is the necessary effect of putting men into the gravel-pits, paid so low that work is not even expected. I have known able-bodied men paid 2s 2d. a week for digging gravel, or standing in or about the gravel-pit for so many hours in the day. Such an education can never produce good labourers W. M. Certainly worse. W. W.	9.—Certainly decreasing, and more careless workmen than formerly. The Poor Laws are taken advantage of, they know they must be maintained whether they work or not, and the clerical Magistrates have encouraged the poor in this respect.	9.—Certainly the labourers are infinitely less desirous of giving satisfaction to their employers than formerly, from the circumstance of being able in demand employment or relief from the overseers, thereby obtaining sufficient income, with little labour for it.	9.—Decreasing, from the circumstance of their being partially employed in the gravel pits, where they do little or nothing, and consequently are less able and less willing to work when other employment can be had.
10.—		10.—Yes, which necessarily arises from the state to which the agricultural Labourers are reduced. The bond of good feeling between master and servant is burst asunder. The great farms have too generally swallowed up the little occupations which formerly stimulated the servant to industry, obedience and economy. W. M No because the employers know they would become chargeable immediately. If W.	10.—We think they do. The reason is, if a man has a wife and 5 children, the eldest not more than 7, he cannot in the winter maintain them, therefore keeps [illegible] to the overseer for assistance.	10.—	10.—No.
11.—		11.—I conceive they are chiefly attributable to a long course of bad execution of the Poor Laws. Scales of allowance had reduced wages, by enabling the farmer to fix exactly how far he could press upon the Labourers I cannot better illustrate what I mean than by stating the conduct of the farmers in a [illegible] in my own neighbourhood in 1829. All the married men, [illegible] 40 were put upon the parish rates, and the single able-[illegible] taken by the farmers and paid 5s. or 6s. a week instead of 10s. [illegible] discontinued, and nearly I commit every act of insubordination [illegible] In almost every parish in this county wherein riots or [illegible] I think the cause was chiefly the cruel policy of paying [illegible] how the fair rate of wages. I visited most of the [illegible] and the labourers generally said, applauded. The [illegible] as the same. But the wanton destruction of pro-[illegible] Wherever such means of intimidation have been used, a consequent [illegible] of the [illegible] Guilden Morden in 1831, I went to the parish, and found the farmers assembled in [illegible] beginning of the first consulting what they could do to put their labourers in a better state, by raising their wages. [illegible] No W. W.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

LINTON. *John Adcock,* Assistant Overseer, *E. Fisher,* Linton Contr.	LITTLEPORT (Isle of Ely) *Mat. Wadelove,* Assistant Overseer.	ST. MARY (Isle of Ely). *Henry Lawrence,* Churchwarden.	MELBOURN. *Wm. C. Carver,* Overseer.	MELDRETH. *John Burr,* Churchwarden.	OVER. *Frederick Robinson,* Overseer for 4 years.
(A.)—1 679.	(A.)—2,644.	(A.)—1,796	(A.)—1,474.	(A.)—643.	(A.)—989.
(C.)—£. 1. 1. 7	(C.)—16s. 3d.	(C.)—12s. 1d.	(C.)—9s. 2d.	(C.)—10s 5 d.	(C.)—17 s. 1d.
4.—	4.—280 to 320.	4.—About 200.	4.—About 180	4.—115 la-bourers, with a labour-	4.— rare, would occupy all the land in this parish.
5.—158, according to the last Censur. *J. A.*	5.—320. employ very few labourers belonging to the parish.	5.—200 by the last Pop. Ret.; there are some farms which	5.—Of all sorts, from 10 years & upwards, about 260.	5.—About 120.	5.—
7.—About 20, no Irish or Scotch. *J. A.*	7.—Some Irish in Summer; from 10 to 20.	7.—None.	7.—Very few. No Scotch or Irish.	7.—None.	7.—
24.—Occasional, to large families, beginning at 4. The Surveyors take digging of individuals for the employment of extra labourers, which is put out at per rod, and two-thirds paid by the Parish. *J. A.* Large families are occasionally relieved. *E. F.*	24.—Able-bodied labourers are always paid 10s. a week in winter. Wages are never made up to them, unless their family is unemployed, or too large to be maintained upon their wages. Allowance begins at four children.	24.—About 12 receive relief the whole year, on account of large families. No number can be stated at which such allowance commences; they are paid a sum to make their earnings sufficient to support the family. No work done for individuals is paid for by the Parish.	24.—No allowance is made on account of large families Occasionally, when no work could be found, men have been set to dig, the farmer paying 10s. per acre, the value of ploughing.	24.—None, except that children are sent to school, and allowed 1s. each, to large families.	24.—None at this time.
25.—2s. 6d. to 3s. to widows, 3s. 6d. to 4s. to old and infirm men. *E. F.*	25.—Upon a Scale; man and wife 5s. per week, 1s. 6d per child for two; if more, 4s to the man and wife, and 1s. 6d. to each child.	25.—No, it is left to the discretion of the officers. The Magistrates once laid down a scale, which was the worst thing they ever did. The able-bodied pauper comes boldly forward, and demands his money as a matter of right, which I am ready to prove has occurred in 50 different instances.	25.—According to necessity.	25.—No relief but to those who are unable to work.	25.—No, as overseer I give what appears to me right, keeping in mind the views of the Magistrates.
29.—No. *J. A.* Not to men of the same ability *E. F.*	29.—No difference in wages. When work is scarce, the married men are employed in preference.	29.—No; each person is paid according to the work he performs.	29.—Some farmers make a little difference; others make none.	29.—Yes; single men are paid from 6s. to 9s., married from 9s. to 10s.	29.—2s. per week more to the married man.
37.—The labourers generally are good, and as good as formerly, excepting those who are deteriorated by the operation of the Poor Laws. *E. F.*	37.—It is, rapidly. Labourers are more indifferent to their own characters and the interest of their employers.	37.—Considerably worse, and still decreasing, owing to the reason given in the 38th answer, and their placing too much dependence on parochial relief.	37.—Rather diminishing.	37.—Worse, on account of land being let out of the parish, and they will not take the labourers. A good Labourer is very much wanted, there would then be no Poor.	37.—Certainly decreasing, and most, I think, continue to do so, while able-bodied men are allowed to apply to a parish for work, and the overseer is compelled to employ them. Where the parish has 40 or 50 employed, it is quite impossible they can all be attended to. They receive their money without any adequate equivalent in the shape of labour. Hence habits of idleness are formed, and we find they do not want to leave the Parish, except in the busy seasons of the year, when large wages are given.
38.—The good ones seldom do, nor does it occur more frequently than formerly.	38.—Labourers are constantly changing their places, being independent of their masters from the operation of the Poor Laws.	38.—Much more frequently, because, at present, an able-bodied man obtains as much money when out of work as he can by labouring. A man out of work, with a wife and 6 children, gets from the parish 13s. or 14s If he works the whole week, he gets 10s; and then goes to the Parish for the remainder	38.—No perceptible difference.	38.—No change at all.	38.—Money from a Parish was formerly considered a degradation; but now, in the busy seasons of the year, if a labourer can go into an adjoining Parish, and earn 1s. per week more, he will have his old master, well knowing the Magistrates will compel the Parish to maintain him when he returns.
53.—Discontent, generated by the maladministration of the Poor Laws.	53.—None.	53.—We have not had any.	53.—We have had none here, and can form no opinion of the causes in other places.	53.—Keep up the price of labour, or there will be always cause to fear	53.—I consider it arose from the feeling of hatred on the part of the poor man, brought on by the present Poor Laws. The poor look upon the Farmer as their oppressor, and the Magistrate as their benefactor.

PAPWORTH SAINT AGNES. *H. J. Spurling,* Rector.	ROYSTON. *Gamaliel Ducane,* Vestry Clerk and Assistant Overseer.	GREAT SHELFORD. *Peter Grant*	LITTLE SHELFORD. *Charles Beales.*	STETCHWORTH *Richard Eaton, J. P.* Principal Owner and Occupier.	SUTTON (Isle of Ely) *James Curtance,* Churchwarden.
(A.)—100	(A.)—1,757.	(A.)—812	(A.)—403.	(A.)—945.	(A.)—1,562.
(C.)—15s. 1d.	(C.)—10s. 10d.	(C.)—17s 6d.	(C.)—14s. 9d.	(C.)—18s. 3d.	(C.)—10s. 2d.
4.—	4.—About 12.	4.— 27 single, and 21 boys, which are too many to cultivate the Land properly.	4.—We have 35 married men,	4.—About 100 generally.	4.—165, according to the system here of farming.
5.—Above 20 years, 17; under 20, and above 10, 11 total 26, besides farmers' servants and out-residents, who come for work occasionally.	5.—50	5.—	5.—*Vide* previous Answer.	5.—All are agricultural, for numbers refer to the Population Return.	5.—165.
7.—No inn parishioners	7.—About 20 Labourers. Two Irish, and no Scotch.	7.—	7.—Not more than No Irish or Scotch.	7.—	7.—None, except the Irish in harvest.
24.—None; but in this respect we are rather an exception. Formerly work done for Individuals was partly paid for by the parish in the shape of allowance on account of large families, but it is not so now.	24.—The Magistrates require an allowance to be made according to the number in their families, which the parishioners resist Not any receive allowance, and the system of paying for work from the Poor Rates is objected to by this parish.	24.—About six able-bodied Labourers in the employment of individuals, who generally receive allowance on account of their large families, and there would be more if we did not employ men with large families as much as we can at piece work	24.—The children of those who have large families are sent to the gravel pit, and are allowed 1s. 6d. to 2s. per week for idleness. No work done for individuals paid for by the parish.	24.—Quite uncertain. Allowance begins when the aggregate earnings of the whole family are not sufficient to purchase half a stone of flour, and 6d. per head for other necessaries. Fuel is in general supplied grats in the winter. Work done for individuals not partly paid for by the parish,	24.—Beginning at the sixth child when the father is no regular employment, not more than one or two instances. No work for individuals paid for by the parish
25.—I should say yes, but only on the authority of others.	25.—According to the price of the best bread; half a peck to each person in the family is mostly the scale. plan was adopted by the District Magistrates some time since, but, I believe, is now generally disapproved of by them.	25.—Generally according to the price of bread, at the rate of two quartern loaves per head weekly through the family; which	25.—	25.—According to the earnings and number of the family.	25.—Yes, out of employment, 3s. man and wife, 1s 3d. children each
29.—None with us but a most distressing difference in some of the neighbouring parishes	29.—Not any in money, though, from the preference shown to the married, there is a difference in reality.	29.—Generally 1s. per week difference.	29.—2s. per week.	29.—No difference.	29.—No.
37.—Farmers sometimes complain of diminishing industry, but are unable to substantiate their complaint. I imagine that the Labourer works more unwillingly, but not less skilfully, and, as might be expected, feels little interest in his employer.	37.—Labourers are employed now, not because the work is wanted, but because the occupiers of land are compelled by the Poor Laws to employ them. Consequently the skill of the Labourer does not influence his being employed, as he must certainly be if ever so unskilful; and when skill is not rewarded it ceases to be acquired.	37.—Certainly decreasing, and they are not better workmen, but I think the contrary, for this reason: that they receive as much for doing comparatively nothing at the parish account as they do from the farmers for working hard for perhaps 12 hours, which, in my opinion, destroys the industry and independence of the Labourer.	37.—Not increasing, in consequence of their being thrown so frequently on the parish.	37.—No difference	37.—Not much difference.
38.—The labourer, or, as in this matter, shepherd or horse-keeper changes his place through the year during hiring and service, which when it is so could, (probably at the expense of a little law-suit,) totally prevent him from changing his place	38.—The situation of the Labourer is considerably deteriorated by the increase of population among the poor, which the Poor Laws have aggravated. The Labourers have now, from the excess of their numbers, less the choice of services than heretofore. Compulsion is now requisite to oblige the Rate-payers to give employment to the Labourers, and not to oblige them to work.	38.—I think they do, particularly men with large families, for the reasons stated in Answer 37.	38.—One labourer is hired by the week.	38.—No.	38.—No material difference to this respect.
53.—The first cause was undoubtedly distress and consequent dissatisfaction stirred up by bad men. That the assemblages at the beer-shops brought the evil elements to a focus is the opinion of many Clergymen and Magistrates. But personally I know nothing of this, having had no discontent in my own parish	53.—Dissatisfaction at the decreased parish allowance tended to produce these acts of insubordination	53.—The pretence for Rioting in my neighbourhood was for an increase of wages, which, although in most instances granted, was, in my opinion unnecessary, as the Labourers were then paid as much as they have been at any time during the last thirty years, when provisions were equally cheap. The Buildings were generally supposed to be the acts of the lower orders in the immediate neighbourhood	53.—Distress in many instances.	53.—There were none in this immediate neighbourhood.	53.—

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

		TRUMPINGTON. *George Cuming, late Assistant Overseer.*	WATERBEACH. *John Denton, Label Gardener.*	UPWELL (Isle of Ely). *W. Hopkins, Overseer.*	WISBECH TOWN (Isle of Ely). *Thomas Grant.*
[illegible]	[illegible]	(A.)—742.	(A.)—1,146	(A.)—1,248.	(A.)—965.
[illegible]	[illegible]	(C.)—10*s.* 3*d.*	(C.)—9*s.* 4*d.*	(C.)—15*s.*	(C.)—18*s.* [illegible]
[illegible]	4.—	4.—	4.—	4.—	4.—3 to 1 acres, sides women and children.
[illegible] of age, 630; 20 and above 10, 65; *W. H.*	5.—170, however a considerable number of Watermen and Porters.	5.—Neither above 50, 32, under 20 and above 10, 50 and upwards; total 132.	5.—Above 20 years of age, 120; under 20 and above 10, 55; total 175.	5.—	5.—224, according to the census.
7.—The number of Non-resident Parishioners is very inconsiderable; there is an influx of Irish and English labourers in the Summer, to the amount of some hundreds. *W. H.*	7.—Very few.	7.—15. No Irish or Scotch.	7.—At least one third; but neither Irish nor Scotch.	7.—	7.—From 20 to 30 Irish; in harvest a greater number.
24.—None, at any period of the year, except on occasions of sickness, or what may be given voluntarily by the parishioners in cases of large families. *T. W.* 1*s.* 6*d.* a week in Winter for each child a Labourer may have above three, unable to earn any thing, provided he pays his own rent, and is guilty of no misdemeanor. *W. H.*	24.—The average number of able-bodied Labourers receiving relief in the Winter season is fifty. Work done for individuals is not partly paid for by the parish.	24.—At some seasons a great many able-bodied Labourers receive allowance.	24.—In some few cases allowance is made on account of large families, but the system has recently been checked by the Magistrates. It commences when their united earnings do not amount to the Magistrates Scale.	24.—But few, except where there are large families and young children but as soon as one or two of the children are old enough to work, and the eldest can mind the others, and the wife go out to work, they then want no more relief while they have employment.	24.—A few with large young families occasionally receive relief in Winter. Work done for individuals is not partly paid for by the parish.
25.—In cases of sickness relief is given in proportion to the number of persons in a family, at the rate of about 3*s.* 6*d.* or 4*s.* for a man and his wife, with an increase of 1*s.* or 1*s.* 6*d.* according to the price of flour and circumstances, for each of his children. *T. W.*	25.—A man and wife unemployed get 5*s.* of the parish and 1*s.* 6*d.* each child; also there are 3 children the man and wife only 4*s.* Widows from 2*s.* to 3*s.* each.	25.—A single man, 6*s.*; man and wife, 7*s.*; man, wife and child, 9*s.*; if they perform any labour under the direction of the Overseer.	25.—Yes, by the Scale of the Magistrates, & by if the farmers seem to regulate the price of labour.	25.—The Scale is at the rate of half a stone of flour per head, 4*d* per head for shop things, and 1*s* for firing. Flour being 3*s.* per stone, six in a family would require 9*s.* 2*s.* 1*s.*—total 1*l.* 1*s.*	25.—No.
29.—None. *T. W.* None. *W. H.*	29.—No difference is made between single and married men when their labour is equal.	29.—None, unless paid from parish funds.	29.—No difference, except when working for the parish.	29.—No.	29.—None
37.—I apprehend there is no material change going on in this respect. *T. W.*	37.—We consider, generally speaking, they are not so industrious in the Winter season. We attribute it mainly to the operation of the Allowance System, which enables the Labourers, particularly those with families, to obtain from the Overseers a larger sum than their earnings would amount to if fully employed. In the Summer season we perceive no material difference.	37.—In this parish the great proportion of Labourers are eminently industrious. The desire to be so, I believe, is increasing. Better workmen cannot be. But the delinquent poor are the reverse; knowing what demand they can weekly make upon the parish funds, they rapidly descend to the scale, and will so long as a reference to Magistrates is allowed.	37.—In part, but not generally so.	37.—I have no reason to complain of their habits of industry. There is, unfortunately, more often a want of work than there should be, owing to the confined means possessed by farmers to employ them. The occupation of the labourer is of so uniform a nature that there can be but little alteration in his skill for better or worse; some are, as in all other cases, more expert than others.	37.—They are certainly not so good Labourers as they were formerly.
38.—I do not know that they do.	38.—They certainly do; to be attributed to the cause mentioned in the preceding Answer.	38.—They do not hesitate to change, because character is of no consequence, as they know what they can demand of the Overseers. This is very bad.	38.—Yes, and I attribute it principally to the pride of their employers.	38.—Very few changes. Men of good character are always respected by their masters, and mutual satisfaction produces no change.	38.—I think they do not.
I have no doubt that they were caused in many by the want of employ, by inadequate wages, administration of the Poor and neglect of the moral of the poor.	53.—No Riots in Burnage took place in this neighbourhood.	53.—I presume that they may in some measure be accounted for by the sudden transition from high to low wages, and the present surplus of labourers causing a suspension of piece-work, and less of the necessaries of life for the same money. Agricultural labourers would all be apportioned among the farmers, except in parishes where tithes are taken in kind for the quiet of the country a re-institution should at all hazard be immediately entered into by Act of Parliament there is no danger in a rural population, under the present [illegible]	53.—Distress on the part of the labourers, occasioned by want of sympathy on the part of the Magistrates and farmers. There are other causes, which I have enumerated in the "Peasant's Voice."	53.—I can account for it but as a contagious moral disease among the lowest and most ignorant part of society. We had nothing of it with us. It no doubt arose, in a great degree, from the labourers not having constant and profitable employment, and since the wet of the last season few farmers corn to have on their premises. Such scenes cannot be prevented being circulated when done; but it is a misfortune that it should have been so generally known. It put the idea into the heads of bad men, who perhaps would have never thought of it there. Nothing but regular employment can keep the class of people quiet.	53.—I think the principal part has been caused by imitation.

	WHITWELL. *Robert Withers, Land Agent.*	WITCHAM (Isle of Ely). *J. Isles & J. Hardwicke, Overseers. A. Mills & T. Clarke, Owts & Coll. Overseers.*	AUDLEM Township. *George Clarke, Overseer.*	BOSTOCK Township. *James F. Fearnall, Esq.*	CHILDWALL TOWNSHIP. *Overton Johnson, Overseer.*	[illegible] Township. [illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	(A.)—583 (C.)—11*l.* 11	(A.)— (C.)—	(A.)—1,358 (C.)—8*s.* 9*d.*	(A.)—218 (C.)—15*s.* 6	(A.)—296. (C.)—3*s.* 1*d.*	(A.)—[illegible] (C.)—[illegible]	(A.)—1,900 (C.)—[illegible]
[illegible]	4.—	4.—	4.—Ninety	4.—	4.—	4.—	4.—[illegible]
[illegible]	5.—If the land is in proportion of one third grass and two third arable 1 cow to 40 acres of clay land must be all and other mix cultivated by 1 man 1 lad and 1 boy	5.—	5.—About 165.	5.—	5.—About 20 11 and 200 8 all [illegible]	5.—E. 59, H. 18.	5.—Not many employed as ought
[illegible]	56 single men of [illegible] 16 and upwards; 2 boys, making in all 103.			to 6. Such the depreciation of the farm that rest, that they cannot pay their wages.			
[illegible]	7.—None	7.—	7.—None. There being no manufacture carried on in the township we have no employment for them, nor a number of the poor of the township.	7.—	7.—Two Irish families.	7.—None.	7.—Few, except those employed in weaving
[illegible]	24.—None.		24.—No allowance on account of large families. Families are relieved when unemployed or in want, by order of Select Vestry or the Overseer. No work done for individuals paid for by the Parish.	24.—None receiving regular relief except in the payment of house rent.	24.—No allowance.	24.—None regularly	24.—Allowance is only to paupers, and according to circumstances. In some cases at 3 Children. Work done for individuals out partly paid for by the Parish.
[illegible]	25.—As the case may require, and by no particular scale.		25.—	25.—1*s.* 6*d.* a head if the family is small; and if it amounts to six, 1*s.* each.	25.—According to circumstances.	25.—No scale.	25.—
[illegible]	29.—No difference, if the labour is equal.		29.—No.	29.—No difference.	29.—None.	29.—None.	29.—No distinction in general.
[illegible]	37.—Diminishing.		37.—	37.—The Beer Bill has been the greatest curse upon the neighbourhood, a shop for its sale is established close to every salt work, frequently kept by the overlooker of the pans and boilers, and the men having to pass much time in the boiling-houses and in steam are naturally thirsty, and spend a great part of their earnings in the beer-shop, instead of taking them to their families.	37.—Labour diminishing; men as good as ever.	37.—No difference of importance.	37.—
[illegible]	38.—The best sort of labourers do not, but some will not keep employment when they might.		38.—	38.—No. The farmers almost wholly employ young unmarried men, who live and eat in their houses, and when these marry, they find employment in the salt-works.	38.—No.	38.—No, we hire from year to year.	38.
[illegible]	53.—No Burnings in this parish, but some very near us. We have had a great many depredations, arising, in a great measure, as we believe, from want of more bodily employment, instead of which most part of their time is occupied in lawless pursuits, and in which we have a great many, which have proved the greatest nursery of vice and immorality the country has experienced for many years.		53.—	53.—Nothing of the kind has occurred in this county.	53.—None in this part.	53.—No, one here.	53.—

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	NEWTON Township. *John Brassey, Overseer*	OVERPOOL Township (Eastham Parish.) *R. Jones, Overseer*	ROSTHERNE Township. *W. Carter, Tatton Park*	GREAT SUTTON *Joseph Oakes, Overseer*	LITTLE SUTTON *Richard Ameru, Ass. Overseer.*	THELWALL Township (Runcorn Parish.) *P. Hedgecock Overseer*	WHITBY Township (Eastham Parish.) *Joseph Carter.*
1	(A.)—1749. (C.)—2s 7d	(A.)—83 (C.)—5l	(A.)—576. (C.)—14s. 8d.	(A.)—162 (C.)—3s. 11d	(A.)—387. (C.)—5s 2d.	(A.)—339. (C.)—7s 1d	(A.)—234. (C.)—9s 4d.
2	4—Twenty-six	4—	4.—	4—	4.—	4.—	4—
3	4—Fifteen. The labourers are chiefly employed at the Salt-works and in the navigation of vessels on the Weaver,	5 Twenty-two with salt to Liverpool	5—	5—Twenty-five.	5.—Eighty	5.—	5—Above 20 yrs of age, 20; under 20, and above 10 years of age 16. Total, 36,
7	7—Twenty-two No Irish nor Scotch	7—Five. No Irish nor Scotch.	7—	7.—Thirty. No Irish; no Scotch.	7.—Sixty. No Irish, no Scotch.	7.—	7—Fifty at present. 6 Scotch, 4 Irish
24	24.—None. Now and then a Labourer's child clothed when going out to service. No work done for individuals is paid for by the Parish.	24.—None. No allowance.	24.—None in the employment of individuals. Electors who receive relief on account of their families	24.—None whatever. No allowance made.	24.—None whatever. No allowance made.	24.—No.	24.—None. No Allowance.
25	25.—	25.—Generally 2s a week a head.	25.—No regular scale. Allowance is given to make up a subsistence for the families.	25.—Generally 2s. a week a head.	25.—Generally 2s. a week a head.	25.—Relief is given according to their circumstances.	25.—No Scale.
29	29.—No difference.	29.—No difference whatever.	29.—No difference.	29.—No difference whatever.	29.—No difference whatever.	29.—No.	29.—No difference.
37	37.—The establishing of beer-shops has a most injurious effect upon the lower classes, who are often induced to spend a part of their earnings in them. Within half a mile from a certain point to this parish, there were three old public-houses, since the Act passed, there have been 14 new beer shops opened.	37.—Some are certainly much more sober and industrious than others, as I conceive has always been the case, workmen much the same.	37.—There is no difference, except in the township where a man is disposed to be idle. He now knows better than formerly that the parish must keep him, and may be more inclined to indulge himself.	37.—Some more sober and industrious than others, as has always been the case. Workmen much the same.	37.—Some more sober and industrious than others.	37.—Diminishing; and (with few exceptions) worse workmen, by reason of idleness and drunkenness.	37.—As usual.
38	38.—They do, in consequence of the advanced wages given at the Salt-works, whereby the servant men are induced to leave their services, and before they have properly learned husbandry work,	38.—Upon the whole, I think they do. An increase of the Labourers.	38.—Not more frequently.	38.—Upon the whole I think they do. An increase of the Labourers.	38.—They do, which I attribute to an increase in the Labourers, giving the masters a larger selection.	38.—No; because most of the Labourers are idle and drunken, and therefore do not obtain settlement out of the Township.	38.—No.
53	53.—No burnings or riots in this neighbourhood	53.—None whatever.	53.—Such as have occurred in this part of the county appear to have been caused by private malice.	53.—None whatever.	53.—None whatever.	53.—No; we have not experienced any.	53.—Not had any riots or burnings.

	ST AGNES *Thomas Batson.*	ST ANTHONY IN THE EAST *Reginald Pole Carew, Member Select Vestry*	BODMIN *Rev. Wm. Molesworth*	BURYAN DEANERY, comprising the Parishes of Buryan, Sennen & St Levan *John Paynter*	CALSTOCK *William Crocker Acting Overseer*	ST. COLUMB MINOR. *John Nicholls*
1	1—612	(A.)—3,000	(A.)—271	(A.)—4,705	(A.)—2,328.	(A.)—1,406
2	1—Six 6d.	(C.)—5s 10d	(C.)—1s 4d	(C.)—2s 11d	(C.)—10s 9d.	(C.)—9s 8d.
3	3—Say 100.	4—	4—In the land was properly cultivated we should not have 30 hurers sufficient, and the land would produce at least one third mor Short kee ure return to the land and farm raise	4—	4.—Cannot tell.	4—
5	5.—About 30	5—	5—15.	5.—270 above 20 years of age, 240 above 10 and not exceeding 20	5. About 500.	5.—60 above 20 years of age, 70 above 10 and not exceeding 20.
7	7—The labouring Miners move from parish to parish as the mines require, but we have no Irish nor Scotch.	7—	7—None	7—Not many; no Irish or Scotch.	7—Not many, except in the quarry and there according to the demand for stones, sometimes twice, sometimes as at other times. No Scotch or Irish	7.—Two or three years ago there were none, but now there are a great many, as there is a new pier being built at New Quay.
24	24.—If an able-bodied Labourer in this part has family, he and his children are employed on the parish roads by way of relief. One able bodied Labourer is allowed any relief from the poor fund since 1818. If in want of work he is sent to the deputy waywarden, where he and his children are employed by piece-work	24.—None, when in full work and perfect health	24.—No unless they are sick. No work done for individuals is partly paid for by the parish.	—Such a practice may be said to be unknown here	24.—They are sometimes relieved when in distress but not constantly done at present. A poor man with five children gets about 1s 6d a head per week. No work done for individuals is paid for by the parish.	24.—No One shilling a week has been allowed to families of four children.
25	25.—Not since 1818.	25.—The following scale, a single man, 4s. per week; a married wife, 3s.; third child, 2s.; third and two children, 7s.; third and three children, 9s. and so on.	25.—According to their need	25.—	25.—About 1s. 6d. per week for children, 2s. 6d. per week for aged persons, some as high as 3s.	25.—
29	29.—Not any	29.—None.	29.—From 1s to 2s. per week.	29.—None.	29.—I think individuals pay the Labourers according to the work they do, good Labourers get more than poor	29.—Only when employed by the rate, and then not to any great extent.
37	37.—The Labourers who occupy the cottages and small portions of land are very industrious, particularly so since 1818, as the rates on their cottages and land are greatly reduced since that period, prior to which the Truck system was practised by the Overseers	37.—The Labourers of this parish appear to be less able-bodied men than those of former days, attributable, perhaps, to a want of food sufficiently nutritious in the early part of their lives, and especially to too great a use of that anti nutritious herb called tea.	37.—The Labourers that have small families & good masters are as industrious as ever, but the opportunities have lost their independence, through extreme poverty	37.—Their industry is increasing, and they are, on the whole, better workmen than formerly.	37.—I think the industry of the Labourers very different, some continue to be industrious, while others are very idle. Those who have land attached to their cottages are the most industrious.	37.—The greater part are very industrious. I should think they are at least not worse than they were 30 years since, but certainly they are better than they were five years since, when they found their employ from the Overseer.
38	38.—Our Labourers are generally hired by the day	38.—	38.—I do not think they do.	38.—They do. This may be owing to decreasing simplicity of manners, to a greater facility of moving over the country, to the relaxation of the once existing ties between masters and servants, and also to the increased numbers of persons seeking service.	38.—I do not think they do.	38.—Yes, wages were reduced, and then the servant did not feel the interest he should to keep his place.
53	53.—The beer shops have done in my opinion, the principal cause. They are a peal to civil society.	53.—No Riots or Burnings have taken place in this neighbourhood, and we are, fortunately, ignorant of their causes and consequences elsewhere	53.—Want of food and clothing.	53.—This neighbourhood happily escaped any such visitation.	53.—We have had no Riots or Fires in this neighbourhood	53.—

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

FALMOUTH. Jacob Hamblin, Overseer.	ST GLUVIAS. George Rowe, Richard Rogers, Overseers	GWENNAP William Marsh, Vicar.	ST IVE. John Dunn, Curate	KEA. John Dunstan, William Crago, Assistant Overseers	ST. KEW. J. Glasscraft, Officiating Minister & a Special Tenant.
(A.)—7,284	(A.)—969.	(A.)—8,589	(A.)—656.	(A.)—3,857.	(A.)—1,316
(C.)—1s. 0d	C.)—9s 2d	(C.)—7s 1d.	(C.)—10s. 5d.	(C.)—4s. 9d.	(C.)—11s 5d.
4.—The cultivation is chiefly performed by the tenants	4.—About 300 in (including men and their families)	4.— respectable farmer thinks two-thirds of the tenantmen and labourers would be 47	4.—A diversity of opinion exists on this point, as Were the times better there would perhaps be more employment	4.—About 90. It is dispensed with The remaining	4.—About 200 men and 90 boys.
5.—Ten in number.	5.—About 200.	5.—	5.—53.	5.—About 30.	5.—About 242 men, 100 women, and 100 boys
7.—One.	7.—Scarcely any.	7.—	7.—None	7.—None.	7.—From 10 to 20.
24.—None. A Family of a woman with five children is allowed 6s. a week, beginning from nine years downwards. Work done for individuals is never paid for by the parish partly.	24.—None. No allowance (nor work paid for out of the rates) for Labourers, as such.	24.—No able-bodied Labourer ever receives relief, unless he is broken down by a series of bad speed," and then only by way of loan to the amount perhaps of two or three weeks wages. We find employment for able-bodied applicants on the parish roads.	24.—There is one able-bodied Labourer who receives relief on account of his family regularly, and a few others occasionally The rule is, that allowance begins when the number of children is three. When a Labourer is not able to earn full wages, the employer pays him what he actually earns, and the parish allows him additionally what will make his income sufficient for him to subsist on.	24.—No Able Minors only receive relief.	24.—None. Occasional relief is given where there are more than three children We have done for individuals is not partly paid for by the parish
25.—The general scale is 2s. a week for each individual.	25.—The Scale is according to age and family, 1s 6d, a week under 60, old age, 2s 6d to 3s	25.—Allowance is regulated by the circumstances of the applicant.	25.—Not according to any particular scale, but according to circumstances.	25.—According to their necessities, from 1s 6d to 2s. per week	25.—
29.—No difference that I am aware of.	29.—None.	29.—No difference	29.—None, except in respect of inferiority of labour.	29.—None.	29.—None
37.—The industry of Labourers is certainly increasing rather than diminishing, but their labour is not confined to agricultural pursuits, but to parterage in the quays and wharfs, and as fishermen.	37.—Not much difference, but if any thing rather worse.	37.—In this mining district owing to the low price of copper, the industry of the Miner is increased of necessity He is a more skilful workman than formerly.	37.—Diminishing. Many can work well still, but perhaps the stimulus is not so great as it was during the war.	37.—We have reason to believe the Labourers are less industrious than formerly and we attribute this change to the very pernicious beer shops so prevalent around us	37.—They are not industrious
38.—This does not apply in this parish	38.—Not more frequently than formerly.	38.—Our farm servants do not often change their services.	38.—No.	38.—They do not change frequently.	38.—No.
53.—Nothing of the kind ever took place here, and therefore I cannot say any thing on this head.	53.—No, having had no Riots.	53.—None occurred in this district.	53.—The superabundant Labourers were sent to work on the highways where the corrupted each other, and acquired habits of idleness and insubordination.	—One parish and neighbourhood have been free from Riots and Burnings	53.—

LANDEWEDNACK, or LIZARD. H. T. Coulson, Rector, and J. P.	LANREATH William Searle, Surveyor. Rev. — Bull, Rector.	(Borough of) WEST LOOE. Nathaniel Hearle	MAWNAN. J. Rogers, Rector & J. P.	ST. MERRYN William Peter, J. P.	NEWLYN EAST G. G. Bulmer, Farmer. Deputed to answer by R. G. Bulmer, J. P.
(A.)—106	(A.)—651.	(A.)—693	(A.)—578.	(A.)—576	(A.)—1,218
(C.)—5s. 4d	(C.)—10s. 10d.	(C.)—3s. 5d	(C.)—7s. 10d	(C.)—12s. 4d.	(C.)—5s. 11d
4.—	4.—40 day labourers, in addition to farmers servants and apprentices. W. S.	4.—	4.—	4.—	4.— About one to every 30 acres of arable land.
5.—About 15 hired labourers. The farmers themselves and their sons chiefly cultivate the small estates which they occupy.	5.—50. W. S.	5.—Five above 20 years of age, about 20 between 10 & 20 There are 10	5.—	5.—35 above 20 years of age 60 young men and boys between 10 & 20.	5.—More than the above proportion, suppose about one to every 25 acres.
7.—No Irish or Scotch I have coast-guardsmen three cottagers, not legally settled, and two light keepers at the Lizard Total of the families of the above and others not legally settled here, 60	7.—None. W. S.	7.—No Irish or Scotch	7.— and under 20.	7.—None.	7.—Not more than 20 neither Irish or Scotch.
24.—No, nothing but employment ever asked for or given and no allowance on account of families to able-bodied men. Upwards of 10 years ago for one year, wages were paid out of the Poor Rates, but not since.	24.—A relief of 1s. per week for each child after three is frequently granted. No work done for individuals has of late been paid for by the parish. W. S. We have four labourers receiving parish allowance, having four children under nine years. R. B.	24.—No, we have no male person whatever chargeable to the Poor Rates.	24.—None.	24.—None. House-rent is frequently paid when there are more than four children	24.—Two at present, whose children are idiots; the one a boy, who is clothed by the parish, the other a girl, for whom the father receives 1s 6d. per week. When labourers have more children than they can support, we have always bound them as apprentices, but the magistrates have declared that they will bind no more. No work done for individuals is paid for by the parish.
25.—	25.—Aged and infirm, 3s. per week; a widow in support of her family, 2s. each per week, to families exceeding three children, each, after the third, 1s. R. B.	25.—No.	25.—No, but according to the circumstances of each case.	25.—4s and house rent for an old man and his wife	25.—
29.—No difference.	29.—Young men, and married men without children, applying for work, are allowed 1s. 2d. per diem, others from 10d to 18d. per day W. S. Single, 1s., married, 1s. 2d., two children, 1s 4d, three, 1s. 6d. R. B.	29.—None.	29.—None.	29.—None.	29.—No, unless men are burthensome, then young men receive less wages than married ones.
37.—As good workmen as they have ever been.	37.—Certainly diminishing, from the unfortunate effects of the Poor Laws, which have superseded any necessity for extra exertion on the part of the pauper to provide for old age or sickness. It is well known that paupers now demand as a right that support from the parish which they formerly begged as a boon. R. B	37.—I do not think that labourers in general do so much work in a day as formerly.	37.—I do not think the industry of the labourers in general materially diminished, which I attribute to the principle on which we have generally acted, of giving relief through the medium of labour, and setting piece-work as much as possible.	37.—I believe there is very little difference, except in sheep-shearing and ploughing, in which there can be no doubt but that the present race of labourers greatly excel their progenitors	37.—No but they are able to perform a much greater variety of work
38.—They do not change their service more frequently than formerly	38.—They do not, except non parishioners. R. B.	38.—	38.—I apprehend they do, and I can only attribute it to a growing indisposition to submit to restraint.	38.—Yes, where the servant does not belong to the same parish as his master; in that case the latter hires him for a shorter period than a year, to prevent his gaining a settlement.	38.—Not that I am aware of.
53.—No riots have occurred here within the memory of man. The miners last year visited some neighbouring parishes in great numbers, and in an alarming manner but did no mischief, and seem to have been excited only by the intelligence that outrages were taking place in other parts of the kingdom, and with the belief that the authority of the laws was suspended	53.—	53.—No.	53.—I can give no information as to the riots, except the impression on my mind, that they prevailed most where the abuse of paying able-bodied labourers from the Poor Rates chiefly prevailed. That practice tends to dishearten the labourer, and to break the bonds of amity between him and his employer	53.—Nothing of the kind has ever occurred in this parish or neighbourhood.	53.—Hitherto we have had no incendiarism in this county. The reason is plain we have had good crops for the last three years, with abundance of fish and potatoes, which last form a great proportion of labourers' food during winter. Hence there has been no want of employment or food.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	NORTHILL. *John Dory*, Overseer *F. H. Redd*, J. P. and Churchwarden.	PENZANCE. *Martin Williams*, Assistant Overseer.	ST. ERTH PETHERWIN. *John Wills*, Churchwarden.	ROCHE. *Joseph Lawry*, Overseer.	St. Stephen by Saltash *John Edwards*, Churchwarden.	TALLAND. *Geo Richards.*	ST WINNOW. *Robert Walker*, Vicar
	(A.)—1,155.	(A.)—6,563.	A.)—968.	(A)—1,620.	(A)—1,455.	(A.)—811.	(A.)—1,015.
	(C.)—8s. 9d.	(C.)—1s. 9d.	(C)—11s. 7d.	(C)—6s. 4d	(C.)—9s. 7d.	(C)—12s. 2d	(C)—9s. 2d
4.	4.—No more labourers in the parish than are sufficient for the proper cultivation of the land, if each person employed his proper proportion. *J. D.*	4.—	4.—80 are little enough, if the occupiers had the means of paying them.	4 —	4 —	4.—	4.—
5.	5 —	5 —	5.—88 about 300 labourers above 20 years of age, and about 200 young men and boys above 10, and not exceeding 20.	5 —There are	5.—	5.—35 above 20 years of age , about 60	5.—240 above 20 years of age. between 10 and 20
7.	7.—Very few , no Scotch or Irish. *J. D*	7.—	7.—Two Irish, and not more than five families from other places	7 —No Irish or Scotch,	7.—	7.—Five Irish and five Scotch.	7 —Oni non parishioners are mi ra There are no Irish or Scotch.
24.	24.—Very seldom, as their children are usually taken to service as soon as they are capable of earning anything. Others are bound apprentices if the masters choose. Should a labourer have more than four children under 10 years of age, and apply to the parish, some assistance is granted to him. No work done for individuals is paid for by the parish, it being considered unjust. *J. D. & F. H. R.*	24.—None.	24.—We expect every man to take care of three children, all above that number are provided for by the parish , if under nine years of age, at 1s. per week for each , if above, they are placed out as servants No work done for individuals is paid for by the parish.	24.—No, and an allowance is made to supply a defect of wages.	24.—Very few cases.	24 —No. Allowance is made if more than four children. If a labourer is employed, nothing is now paid from the parish to make up the wages	24.—None. During the pressure some allowance was made on account of large families, but not so as to come under any general rule.
25.	25.—Entirely according to the circumstances of the case. *F. H. R.*	25.—It depends on circumstances, We have only a few on permanent relief out of the house, at about 2s. per head. Occasional relief is granted of 1s. or 1s. 6d. per week to those out of work , but where there is no choice of then supporting is withdrawn, and they are taken into the workhouse.	25.—No , our old and infirm poor have their regular pay Relief is granted to those applicants whose case appears to require it.	25.—According to the number in a family.	25.—No scale, but according to necessity.	25.—Governed by circumstances.	25.—No specified Scale, but every case is examined individually at a vestry.
29.	29.—A little difference; but generally young men are hired at service by the year. *J. D.* I am sorry to say that there is in some instances about 2d., 3d , and 4d. per day difference. *F. H. R.*	29.—No difference.	29.—None is made with the best labourers , but if a man with a small or no family will rely on the parish for work, his wages will be less this description of persons gives the most trouble.	29.—None	29.—No difference.	29.—None.	29 —During the pressure occasioned by an abundance of labourers, and a deficiency of employment, there was, but as little as possible , and under such circumstance a difference must happen whether under a system of Poor Laws or not, for the single man will always undersell the married.
37.	37.—I should say rather increasing. A man may be a good workman, and not at all an industrious one. If you mean whether the work is better put out of hand, I should say it is. *F. H. R.*	37.—We have scarcely any labourers in husbandry; but those employed in handicraft trades are certainly more skilful than formerly.	37.—Here and there a good workman may be found, but certainly as a body that industry and interesting feeling for the master that existed formerly is fast passing away.	37.—In many cases the labourers are less industrious and worse workmen than formerly, dependence on the parish having weakened the stimulus to exertion.	37 —Just the same as ever.	37.—I do not think that labourers generally do so much work in a day as formerly.	37 —Strictly speaking, perhaps rather diminished , but they have more knowledge and are much better workmen than formerly.
38.	38.—Daily labourers are constantly employed on the same service for many years , some work with different employers, as they may be wanted. Servants who are hired by the year, and live in their masters' houses, frequently change, but not more now than heretofore. *F. H. R.*	38.—They have been generally casual , and we observe no alteration in their periods of service.	38.—More frequently. Partly owing to the more frequent change of occupiers.	38.—Thafear of giving a settlement has rather increased the tendency to change.	38.—Just as formerly	38.—More frequently than formerly, but I cannot say why.	38.—Without doubt all servants are more inclined to change their services than formerly, whether belonging to gentlemen or farmers. I attribute this to the increase of general knowledge, and the advanced and advancing state of communicati
53.	53.—Thank God we know nothing as yet of those horrible scenes.	53.—Thank God we had none here.	53.—The distress of the farmers, and consequently the still greater distress of the labourers, whose minds were possessed by the enemies of peace and order, combined with political feeling Every quarter of foreign corn imported (more than is wanted) is so much taken from the farmer and those dependent upon him	53.—We have had nothing of the kind.	53.—There have been no cases of rioting or incendiarism in this parish.	53.—No.	53.—I have no doubt that the nots and burnings in other parts of the kingdom were caused by the distress of the agricultural labourers. In Cornwall we had no burnings, and but a few nots, which were directed against thrashing machines and the payment of tithes.

	AIKTON. *Robert Hind, John Hodgson*, Overseers.	AINSTABLE. *Thomas Bowman, John Goulding*, Overseers	ALSTON. *John White*, Overseer.	ARTHURET. *Thomas Plenderleath*, Assistant Overseer.	BEWCASTLE. *John Dodgson.*	BOOTLE. *Isaac Shaw*, J. P.	BORROWDALE. *John Dickson*, Overseer.
	(A.)—755.	(A. —585.	(A.)—6,858.	(A.)—2,963.	(A.)—1,836	(A.)—737.	(A.)—356.
	(C)—4s	(C)—3s. 2d	(C.)—6s. 8d.	(C.)—6s. 6d.	(C.)—5s. 8d.	(C.)—7s. 11d.	(C.)—8s. 5d.
4.	4 —We cannot ascertain.	4.—	4.—	4 —Cannot tell.	4.—	4 —The parish is entirely agricultural, having no manufactures properly so called, and therefore the population consists of those only, or very nearly so, who are necessary for the cultivation of the land.	4 —I do not know.
5.	5.—We are all labourers, what number of labourers there are above 20 years of age, or what may be the number	5 — has been so rapidly dispersing, that it is not possible to say	5.—The population	5.—I believe about 120	5.—	5 —32 agricultural labourers, besides the domestic servants hired for the cultivation of the land upon the several estates.	5.—60. Part of the time of these labourers is taken up in tending sheep, which is the
7.	7.—None.	7 —	7.—This question can hardly	7.—35 families of Scotch and 11 of Irish	of young men & boys above 10 & not exceeding 20.	7 —	7.—36, none of which are either Irish or Scotch. principal part of the capital in his neighbourhood.
	be answered, as the population are obliged to disperse in all directions, on account of the want of profitable employment, arising from the ruinous state of the lead market					that is, this number of labourers live in the parish, but have not settlements in it. No Scotch or Irish, except in harvest.	
24.	24.—None	24.—Several receiving small allowances from the parish.	24.—Some whose families are large. No wages paid out of the Poor Rates.	24.—None on their own account, 12 able-bodied labourers have received relief on account of their families during the present year. No work done for individuals partly paid for by the parish.	24.—Our poor are supported by contract. The contractor says there are three able-bodied labourers with families receiving constant relief, and he gives relief to many widows and old and infirm people both in and out of the parish.	24.—Some labourers with large families have the rent of their cottages paid by the parish; at what point it shall commence rests with the select vestry. There is no labourer in the employ of any individual receiving relief from the parish, except the rent of some cottages, as stated above, yet there are some labourers who do get occasional relief to keep their families from starving, which state has been generally induced by their own bad conduct.	24.—Not any.
25.	25.—We have no scale.	25 —Relief is given according to the distress of the applicant.	25 —If it appears that each individual in a family has 1s. 3d. a week no relief is given.	25.—Relief is given according to circumstances; say from 8s. or 4s. to £1. or 4 l.	25.—No.	25.—Relief is given according to the number of the family and other circumstances.	25 —We give relief according to the sum we can get them maintained for in the parish poorhouse, that is, 1s 3d. per week each individual.
29.	29.—None.	29.—No difference.	29.—None.	29.—None.	29.—No	29.—No difference.	29.—Not any.
37.	37.—Little alteration.	37.—	37.—The labourers here are obliged to use much greater exertions than formerly, and indeed much more than is consistent with health , but in doing this, they are quite unable to provide for their families and they seem altogether in a most deplorable state.	37.—Not increasing; and suppose the workmen to be better labourers.	37.—They wish to be employed, but as the farmers often cannot employ them in the winter, some try smuggling & poaching	37.—The labourers are supposed to be better workmen now than formerly.	37.—I consider the labourers in this neighbourhood to be equally industrious as formerly, if not more so, and as good workmen.
38.	38.—No.	38 —Changes are more frequent on account of employment being less.	38 —The lead mines alone have always furnished the only means of employment.	38 —They do not.	38 —Our labourers, in general, are cottagers, who seldom go out of the parish, except in harvest, leaving their wives and children to assist in our harvest.	38.—It does not appear that they do. their labourers change their services more frequently now than formerly. No person of common sense will leave a good master if he be allowed average wages , neither will a labourer stay with a bad master longer than till he can get a better.	38.—I never heard any observation made, whether labourers change their services more frequently than heretofore.
53.	53 —We cannot.	53.—	53 —No.	53.—I cannot.	53 —I have was no machinery destroyed in Cumberland , only two or three stacks burned near Carlisle. Can give no reason.	53.—There have been neither riots nor burnings in this neighbourhood. These Answers relate chiefly to the parish of Bootle; and they are generally applicable to the lordship of Millom, the southern district of the county of Cumberland.	53.—I cannot.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	BOWNESS *Nicholson Burns*, Assistant Overseer.	BRAMPTON. *Thomas Ramshay*, Vicar & J. P.	BURTHOLME. *Wm Haugh Hoggill.*	CALDBECK *William Robinson*, Overseer.	CUMWHIT. *John Watson*, Minister.	DACRE. *W Pollock*, Chairman of Select Vestry *E. W. Buttell*
	(A.)—388.	(A.)—3,345.	(A.)—239.	(A.)—1,578.	(A.)—316.	(A.)—995.
	(C.)—10*s.*	(C.)—3*s.* 4*d.*	(C.)—12*s.* 2*d*	(C.)—6*s* 11*d.*	(C.)—3*s.* 7*d.*	(C.)—2*s.* 5*d*
1.	1.—Reckon four labourers to 100 acres of cultivated land.	1.—	1.—No definite answer can be given	1.—60 may be considered sufficient.	1.—The land is, in a great measure, cultivated by the farmers and their families, including servants.	1.—
5.	5.—About 212.	5.—	5.—Between 50 and 60.	5.—About 100. are chiefly hired as servants into farmers' families, or put apprentices *W. P*	5.—Seven.	5.—21 above 20 years of age. The young men and boys under 20
7.	7.—One, an Irishman.	7.—	7.—No Irish, and few Scotch, about 20 non-parishioners.	7.—270 non-parishioners, of whom 9 are Irish and 13 Scotch. the parish which do not belong to it.	7.—No non-parishioners receiving relief, but there are eight or ten families residing in	7.—22 labourers above 20 years age are non parishioners, but no Irish or Scotch. *W P.*
24.	24.—The allowance to large families depends upon what the father earns. Two able-bodied men, in the employment of individuals, receive relief on account of their families. No work done by individuals partly paid for by the parish.	24.—I am not aware of any able-bodied labourers receiving relief, either on their own account, or that of their families, in this parish.	24.—Allowance is sometimes made on account of large families, and it begins at two or three, according to circumstances. No work that is done for individuals is partly paid for by the parish.	24.—No allowance is made to large families, except during sickness. No work is done for individuals, and partly paid for by the parish	24.—None.	24.—No allowance is made on account of large families to able-bodied labourers. *W P* We have no able-bodied labourers receiving regular relief. In a few instances, in the depth of winter, when work is difficult to be met with, temporary relief is given to labourers whilst out of employ, which is immediately withheld as soon as work can be found. *E. W H.*
25.	25.—According to the circumstances of the applicant.	25.—No answer required in reference to labourers.	25.—1*s.* per week is the usual scale for an adult.	25.—	25 —	25.—As able-bodied labourers are not considered entitled to relief no scale is applied to them, but the families of sick or infirm labourers are relieved according to the number of helpless members they contain. *E. W H.*
29.	29.—Not any.	29.—There is generally no difference	29 —None	29.—None.	29 —None	29.—No difference, No. *W P.* *E. W. H.*
37.	37.—The labourers are increasing, and upon the whole are better workmen.	37 —The industry of the labourers here is, as far as I can say, quite as good as at any former period.	37.—We conceive they are better workmen than formerly	37.—The industry of our labourers may be considered as increasing, as they are, in general, better workmen than formerly.	37 —No change.	37.—As the rates of the parish have not materially increased for several years, with a greatly increasing population, it is but fair to infer that the industry of the labourers has not diminished. Labourers, under the improved system of agriculture, are undoubtedly more skilful than they used to be. *E. W. H*
38.	38.—They keep their situations the same as usual.	38.—I am not aware of any more frequent change here in the service.	38.—They do not.	38 —They do not appear to do so.	38.—No	38.—They change very frequently but I cannot say more so than formerly. It has always been the custom in this country to hire by the half year, and that gives opportunity for frequent changes. *E. W. H*
53.	53.—No.	53.—I can give no other cause for the riots and burnings in 1830 and 1831 than what may be collected from the annual register or newspapers attached to those years	53.—We have had no riots here	53.—Nothing of the kind occurred here.	53.—We had nothing of the kind.	53.—We had an agricultural riot and burnings in this parish and neighbourhood, or anything at all approaching to them. *E W H.*

	NETHER DENTON. *John Topping.*	EDENHALL. *William Hodgson*, Overseer.	FARLAM. *John Teasdale*, Assistant Overseer.	GAMBLESBY. *John Jackson*, Minister	GLASSONBY. *John Jackson*, Minister.	HAYTON. *T. W. Graham*, Edmund Castle. *Anonymous.*	HESKET. *Thomas Dixon.*
	(A.)—290	(A.)—544.	(A.)—816.	(A.)—301.	(A.)—167.	(A.)—1,291.	(A.)—2,107.
	(C.)—10*s.* 4*d.*	(C.)—1*s.* 8*d.*	(C.)—3*s.* 6*d*	(C.)—2*s.* 11*d*	(C.)—2*s.* 0*d*	(C.)—0*s.* 4*d.*	(C.)—1*s.* 8*d.*
1.	1.—61.	1.—	1.—52.	1.—About two to every 100 acres.	1.—About two to every 100 acres.	1.—	1.—
5.	5.—33.	5.—21 above the age of 20, 14 men and boys above 10 and not exceeding 20.	5.—52	5.—Nine.	5.—15.	5.—	5.—
7.	7.—About 17. No Irish Scotch.	7.—Eight non-parishioners, including one Scotchman and one Irishman	7.—223 non-parishioners, including few, if any, Scotch and Irish	7.—Seven. Neither Irish nor Scotch.	7.—Eight. Neither Irish nor Scotch.	7.—About 100, chiefly Irish, employed on the railroad. *Anon.*	7.—
24.	24.—In some cases an allowance made of [illegible] to each child under [illegible] years. No work is done for individuals and partly paid for by the parish.	24.—None. and no case occur to which allowances is made No wages paid out of the Poor Rates	24.—None.	24.—No allowance of that kind made No work done for individuals is paid for by the parish.	24.—No allowances made, nor work done for individuals paid for by the parish.	24.—None. *T. W. G.* The allowances given on account of families varies from 2*l.* 10*s.* to 5*l.* No work done for individuals is paid for by the parish. *Anon.*	24.—There are 15, but the number of course fluctuates.
25.	25 — 1*s.* per week to each pauper.	25 — According to the circumstance of the applicant in every respect.	25 — According to circumstances	25.—Relief is always given according to the circumstances of the applicants.	25.—Relief is always applied according to the necessities of the applicants.	25.—	25.—Generally so much per week, according to the circumstances of the case, paying due regard to the largeness of their families, but it is seldom permanent, except as to old and infirm people.
29.	29 —No distinction.	29.—No difference.	29.—None	29.—Not any.	29.—Not any.	29.—None.	29.—Certainly more to a married man, an unmarried man is constantly in his place of service, being hired for half a year to plough and husbandry work, whereas the married labourer can be obtained at any time, when particularly wanted, on giving him a short notice, and thus enhances his value.
37.	37 —Increasing the are better workmen than formerly	37 —There is no perceptible difference to their habits of industry.	37.—I think little difference	37 —Increasing, if any thing.	37.—Increasing.	37.—The labourers appear to work as well as formerly, but the taking of piece-work, which they are always ready to do, certainly gives a greater stimulus to their industry. *T. W. G.* Not better workmen. *Anon.*	37.—The industry of the labourers is certainly not improved, and the great increase of houses for the sale of beer has not tended to improve their morals or good conduct.
38.	38.—They do not.	38.—No	38 —Not any difference.	38.—There is no difference whatever in this respect	38.—No difference whatever in this respect.	38.—No. *Anon.*	38.—They do. During the war more were employed by the agriculturists at higher wages. A labourer is, in many instances, only wanted for the harvest; he must, therefore, seek for a different situation in winter, and it often happens that he is in three or four places in one week.
53.	53.—We cannot.	53 —Inflammatory speeches and writings.	53.—Cannot.	53.—No.	53.—We are glad to say there has been nothing of the kind here.	53.—	53.—No agricultural burnings took place in this parish.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

HOLME CULTRAM	HUNSONBY AND WINSKILL	HUTTON IN THE FOREST.	IRTON.	KIRKANDREWS upon EDEN.	KIRKANDREWS upon ESK.	KIRKLAND.
The Parish Officers and D. Pape, Asst Over.	John Jackson, Minister.	Sir F. F. Vane, Bart. David Richardson, Assistant Overseer.	S. Lutwidge, J. P.	John Norman, Overseer	Andrew Armstrong, Assistant Overseer	J Chapelhow, Curate.
(A.)—861.	(A.)—146	(A.)—373.	(A.)—531.	(A.)—107.	(A.)—491.	(A.)—212.
(C.)—	(C.)—11 6d	(C.)—10s. 10d.	(C.)—6s 10d.	(C.)—8s 10d.	(C.)—8s. 7d	(C.)—5s. 4d
4.—	4.—About two labourers to 100 acres.	4.—11 men, 11 women, and 22 boys. F. F. V.	4.—About 65 able-bodied labourers, with the additional labour of the master, the farms being small, many more servants will be hired.	4.—About 20.	4.—From 70 to 80 males, and from 30 to 90 females, except in time of harvest.	4.—
5.—About 63 above 90 years of age. About 60 above 13, and out exceeding 20.	5.—Three.	5.—As above. F. F. V.	5.—48 labourers in summer	5.—About 20.	5.—From 40 to 50 males.	5.—
7.—No non-parishioners that we are relieving.	7.—Five. Neither Irish nor Scotch.	7.—None. F. F. V.	7.—Three or four.	7.—Four or five, but no Irish or Scotch.	7.—31, Irish 2, Scotch 10, English 13 families	7.—
24.—None at present, but allowance is given occasionally when any of the family are in bad health. No wages paid out of the Rates	24.—No.	24.—None. F. F. V. Idem. D. R	24.—None	24.—No. Work done for individuals out partly paid for by the parish.	24.—No allowance is made except in particular cases of bad health, or otherwise. No work is done for individuals and partly paid for by the parish.	24.—Not any
25.—No scale. Relief governed by circumstances. D. P.	25.—Relief is always given according to the necessities of the applicant.	25.—From 1s. to 3s. 6d. per week; and on occasions of sickness, &c. 7s. a week. F. F. V. From 1s. to 6s. a week, according to the number in a family, and their incapacity of making any thing D. R	25.—No scale used.	25.—	25.—No scale, the nature of the case determines the amount of relief given.	25.—
29.—No difference.	29.—Not any.	29.—None. Unmarried men are generally employed by the half-year, with meat, washing and lodging, married men generally by the day. F. F V Married men find their own meat, the unmarried live with their masters, otherwise their wages would be the same. D. R.	29.—No distinction.	29.—No.	29.—None that we are aware of.	29.—No difference.
37.—They are generally superior workmen to what they were formerly. D. P.	37.—Increasing	37.—Much the same. F. F. V. Little, if any, alteration for the last 30 years D. R.	37.—Better ploughmen, worse as mowers and reapers.	37.—Much the same.	37.—We think our labourers fully as industrious as at any former period, and perhaps better workmen than they formerly were	37.—The labourers are supposed to be less toilsome than formerly which may arise from their more affluent living, which is less substantial now than formerly.
38.—We are of opinion they do not. D. P.	38.—There is no difference in this respect	38.—No alteration. F. F. V. No. Good servants remain many years in a place, and bad ones are changing every half year. D. R.	38.—No alteration in this respect.	38.—Much as formerly	38.—We know of no difference in this respect. no hiring for a year, and that may be a reason for frequent change.	38.—They frequently change, but I cannot say oftener now than formerly. We have
53.—We cannot. D. P.	53.—We are happy to say that there has been nothing of the kind here	53.—There were none in this neighbourhood, but more immediately about Carlisle the weavers committed a few such acts. F. F. V. We are peaceable and quiet, and, upon the whole, contented. Wherever ardent spirits are much used, I perceive discontent, poverty, and a rebellious spirit prevail. D. R.	53.—No riots or burnings took place in this neighbourhood.	53.—No.	53.—We had no riots in this part of the country in 1830, and in 1831 one case of fire only, which might have been accidental. All classes here are tolerably well educated in the Endowed Schools of the Netherby Estate, and this is considered one great cause of the country remaining undisturbed	53.—

KROSWOLD	LANGWATHBY.	LOWESWATER.	NICHOLFOREST.	PLUMBLAND and Neighbourhood.	PONSONBY	RENWICK.	[illegible]
C. S[illegible]erston-haugh, J. P. G. Lowson, V. I. Jos Tuite.	Robert Pooley.	John Jackson, Overseer.	Robert Forster, Assist. Overseer	Edward Stanley, Rector & J. P.	Edward Stanley, J. P.	Joseph Watson, Ast Overseer	J [illegible]
(A.)—795	(A.)—250	(A.)—454	(A.)—907	(A.)—524.	(A.)—180.	(A.)—377	A.)—447
(C.)—5s 1d.	(C.)—5s. 1d	(C.)—12s. 5d.	(C.)—4s.	(C.)—3s. 9d.	(C.)—3s. 5d.	(C.)—7s. 4d.	(C.)—7
4.—About two men and a boy in 100 acres.	4.—	4.—200.	4.—I can form no idea	4.—	4.—50	4.—	4.—100.
5.—About 10	5.—1, above 20 years of age, and 28 men and boys above 10, and not exceeding 20	5.—30.	5.—I can form no idea.	5.—	5.—About 60 engaged as half-yearly servants, excepting three or four from the neighbouring parishes.	5.—	5.—30.
7.—About 24 two of whom are Irish, and one Scotch.	7.—Four. No Irish or Scotch.	7.—About 20.	7.—I can form no idea.	7.—	7.—Two resident, exclusive of servants. No Irish or Scotch.	7.—	7.—Not many; and Scotch and Irish are not employed in this parish.
24.—All wants are not made for any number, but given according to necessity. No work done for individuals partly paid for by the parish None. J. L.	24.—No systematic regular relief. Allowance is made where there is a large family, according to age and necessity, but there is no settled plan. No wages are paid out of the Poor Rates	24.—None.	24.—None	24.—None receiving regular relief on their own account or that of their families, but in case of sickness or casualty.	24.—No, nor is work done for individuals paid for by the parish.	24.—Not regular relief, some get their rents paid when their families are large, or work is scarce, or in sickness, and in such cases a little relief is granted occasionally.	24.—None. Allowances have been made from the Poor Rates on account of large families, such as lying in, and occasional relief in winter, but no fixed number of children at which it begins.
25.—No Relief is given according to absolute necessity. J. L	25.—According to the necessity of the case	25.—Relief is afforded according to circumstances respecting age and infirmities.	25.—No fixed scale.	25.—Where relief is required owing to the death or sickness of the father of a family, 1s. 6d. a week to each child under the age of 10, and something in sickness to the father himself, proportionate to his wants.	25.—	25.—We presume this refers to a system never prevalent in this neighbourhood.	25.—From 1s. 6d. to 2s. a week to infirm men, and to females, according to their situation.
29.—No This difference is generally in favour of the married man. J. L	29.—There is no difference.	29.—No distinction.	29.—No distinction.	29.—There is no difference.	29.—No.	29.—No.	29.—No difference; every labourer is paid according to his workmanship.
37.—Much as usual. Our labourers continue to be good labourers. J. L	37.—No great difference.	37.—The industry of the labourers for the last 40 years is much the same, we think them better workmen	37.—They are much the same within these 20 years.	37.—The labourers in general are not as frugal, and much more addicted to drinking, which the sale of beer, to be consumed in the new licensed houses gives facility to.	37.—Better workmen.	37.—Not much perceptible difference.	37.—Better workmen than they formerly were.
38.—Much as usual. We think not. J. L.	38.—No particular difference.	38.—We do not observe any material alteration.	38.—I do not think they change more frequently now than formerly.	38.—Labourers were formerly hired by the year, now never exceeding six months, often by the week, sometimes by the bargain.	38.—Less so than formerly.	38.—Not much perceptible difference.	38.—Not more so than formerly in this neighbourhood.
53.—No.	53.—I cannot, except seditious writings and speeches exciting to opposition to law and established authority.	53.—We can give no information.	53.—I can give no information whatever.	53.—We had no cases of riot or arson in our agricultural districts.	53.—Nothing of the sort has happened in this neighbourhood.	53.—Nothing of the kind occurred near us.	53.—We know nothing of the burnings in this neighbourhood You will get the best information in the neighbourhood they happened to take place.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

SALT[illegible]	[illegible]	WALTON. *William Steele.* Vestry Clerk.	WATERHEAD. *D. Ferguson,* Asst Overseer	WAVERTON. *J. Palmer,* Overseer, *Charles Ray,* Churchwarden.	WETHERAL. *E. Stanger,* P. Curate, the Overseers and Principal Inhabitants	WIGTON. *Joseph Studholme,* and Chairman, S. *W. Butler,* As
John J[illegible]	*Pearson,* ssby Clerk.					
(A.)—10s.	(A.)—26s.	A.)—48l.	A.)—17l.	(A.)—48l.	(A.)—4,149.	(A. —4,985
(C.)—7s. 7d.	(C.)—12s. 3d	(C.)—5s. 11d.	(C.)—19s. 6d.	(C.)—5s. 10d.	(C.)—2s. 6d	(C.)—2s. 6d.
4.—About two to every 100 acres.	4.—	4.—To this question no proper answer can be given. Only a small proportion of the occupiers of land employing day labourer, half-yearly farm-servants generally preferred	4.—We cannot tell, but every farm-house has a servant or two, exclusive of day-labourers	4.—	4.—About 400.	4.—
5.—Two	5.—	5.—28, exclusive of hired farm-servants.	5.—We cannot give a proper account, having day-labourers out of different townships.	5.—Of labourers above 20 years of age, 59 living under their employers roofs; 17 in cottages. Very few young men and boys. *J. P. C. R.*	5.—About 400.	5.—
7.—Three, neither Irish nor Scotch	7.—	7.—Twelve families non-parishioners, exclusive of farm servants. No Irish, few Scotch	7.—None	7.—Few or none. *J. P. C. R.*	7.—Difficult to answer at present, on account of the public works going forward in the parish, to which a great number of Irish and Scotch are employed	7.—
24.—No allowance of that kind made here. No work done for individuals is paid for by the parish.	24.—None	24.—No permanent allowance. In seasons of difficulty partial relief sometimes afforded, averaging six to ten cases. No work done for individuals is paid for by the parish.	24.—No.	24.—Not many. No rule of allowance according to the number of children, and no wages paid out of the Poor Rates. *J. P. C. R.*	24.—Only 1 instance, a man with 9 children. Allowance on account of large families does not frequently occur, but when it does occur, attention is paid to the number of children, their age and employment. No work done for individuals is paid for by the parish	24.—Not one at present. Allowance is occasionally made on account of large families, but no fixed rule, depending on the number of children, is observed. No wages are paid out of the Poor Rates
25.—Relief is always given according to the necessities of the applicant.	25.—Relief is given according to the necessity of the case.	25.—According to circumstances.	25.—From 1s. to 2s. is paid out of the poorhouse.	25.—By no scale, but according to the merits. *C. R.*	25.—According to the circumstances of the applicant.	25.—Not according to any fixed scale, but according to the peculiar merits of each case.
29.—Not any.	29.—The wages are in favour of the married man.	29.—	29.—None.	29.—None. *J. P. C. R.*	29.—None at all.	29.—None whatever.
37.—Increasing, if anything	37.—Our labourers continue to be good workmen	37.—Better workmen in general, and equally, if not more industrious, but owing to the hazard of missing employment, and the scanty wages they receive, compared with the advanced price of provisions, and not being allowed victuals as formerly by their employers, their hilarity of mind is exchanged for distrust and gloom. Their appearance and conduct are nearly the very reverse of what a few years ago they were, while the distance at which they are held by their employers has nearly or perhaps wholly, broken the tie of attachment which once existed between the farmer and his labourer.	37.—They are better labourers than formerly	37.—The industry of the working classes is not improving, but they are better workmen. *C. R.*	37.—Generally improved.	37.—We cannot perceive any change in these respects.
38.—There is no difference whatever in this respect.	38.—We think not more frequently.	38.—Not more than formerly.	38.—No.	38.—We cannot perceive any difference. *C. R.*	38.—No alteration.	38.—They do, and we are of opinion that this may be attributed to the uncertain rate of wages for some years back. The servant hopes to get more, and the master to pay less, by the han[illegible]
There has been no[illegible] of the kind [illegible]	53.—No	53.—In this parish we had no burning nor riots. A callous and embarrassed administration of public affairs, added to the still wretched internal feelings of the agricultural affairs, producing real want and suffering to the friendless and unemployed labourers, is generally considered as the cause of such *Un-English* proceedings.	53.—No, as we were happily exempt from such riots during that period.	53.—None, having no cases in this quarter. *C. R.*	53.—There were none in this parish.	53.—We had no riots or burnings.

Printed and digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

SUPPLEMENT, No. 2.

Digitized by the University of Minnesota Libraries, http://www.lib.umn.edu

SUMMARY of the POPULATION of PARISHES, - - - -

NAMES of COUNTIES.	PARISHES, TOWNSHIPS, &c. - - - -							
	Under 10.	From 10 to 20.	From 20 to 50.	From 50 to 100.	From 100 to 300.	From 300 to 500.	From 500 to 800.	From 800 to 1,000.
BEDFORD - -	–	1	2	5	28	37	40	12
BERKS - - -	3	3	8	10	64	56	38	10
BUCKINGHAM - -	1	3	6	14	68	58	31	13
CAMBRIDGE - -	–	–	2	3	44	37	38	14
CHESTER - -	1	15	33	60	173	103	42	15
CORNWALL - -	–	–	1	2	33	30	43	14
CUMBERLAND - -	–	–	4	17	122	84	39	9
DERBY - - -	–	–	11	26	89	67	67	13
DEVON - - -	–	2	3	15	99	101	92	40
DORSET - - -	–	4	9	35	95	75	41	14
DURHAM - - -	1	7	16	37	106	40	27	11
ESSEX - - -	–	–	5	18	97	107	81	40
GLOUCESTER - -	–	2	7	25	140	95	50	30
HEREFORD - -	–	1	8	42	112	48	38	13
HERTFORD - -	1	1	1	2	28	25	29	15
HUNTINGDON - -	–	–	4	7	35	32	13	6
KENT - - -	3	3	14	23	94	76	73	34
LANCASTER - -	–	–	2	10	65	61	94	30
LEICESTER - -	6	16	18	37	107	68	36	12
LINCOLN - -	3	2	34	94	294	133	94	17
MIDDLESEX - -	–	–	2	3	44	37	31	10
MONMOUTH - -	–	–	12	14	67	28	16	6
NORFOLK - - -	1	8	28	62	234	180	118	37
NORTHAMPTON -	2	5	12	16	119	72	66	22
NORTHUMBERLAND -	13	25	73	119	178	49	29	7
NOTTINGHAM - -	1	4	6	22	87	67	35	15
OXFORD - - -	3	6	16	17	89	74	53	11
RUTLAND - -	2	1	1	5	24	12	5	4
SALOP - - -	–	1	13	24	96	50	33	11
SOMERSET - -	–	4	11	28	138	115	71	33
SOUTHAMPTON -	2	2	5	21	107	67	68	17
STAFFORD - -	3	6	24	27	93	48	50	27
SUFFOLK - - -	–	1	5	20	174	143	94	25
SURREY - - -	–	–	1	2	27	21	31	14
SUSSEX - - -	1	–	7	26	84	45	67	29
WARWICK - -	3	6	6	15	83	60	29	21
WESTMORELAND -	–	1	3	13	45	33	10	6
WILTS - - -	1	1	16	15	124	84	58	14
WORCESTER - -	–	3	5	15	89	48	22	9
YORK: East Riding -	1	5	32	35	161	74	23	7
YORK: City & Ainsty	–	–	–	6	22	11	12	6
YORK: North Riding	1	3	31	81	245	93	44	6
YORK: West Riding -	1	3	23	40	191	99	71	34
ENGLAND - -	54	145	511	1,117	4,411	2,843	2,042	733
ANGLESEY - -	–	–	2	3	18	26	13	8
BRECON - - -	–	–	4	6	60	25	9	1
CARDIGAN - -	–	–	–	1	27	31	26	8
CARMARTHEN - -	–	1	–	4	23	19	25	12
CARNARVON - -	–	–	–	4	14	14	18	3
DENBIGH - -	–	–	4	4	22	18	16	6
FLINT - - -	–	–	3	5	15	16	9	6
GLAMORGAN - -	1	2	1	13	74	44	21	8
MERIONETH - -	–	–	–	1	5	8	8	5
MONTGOMERY -	–	–	–	5	17	12	12	9
PEMBROKE - -	1	–	7	5	54	38	25	6
RADNOR - - -	–	–	1	2	34	27	8	1
WALES - - -	2	3	22	53	363	278	190	73
TOTAL OF ENGLAND	54	145	511	1,117	4,411	2,843	2,042	733
TOTAL OF WALES -	2	3	22	53	363	278	190	73
TOTAL OF ENGLAND AND WALES - -	56	148	533	1,170	4,774	3,121	2,232	806

Digitized image file used by the Archive of Scottish Economic History Digitisation Unit

Townships, &c. in *England* and *Wales*.

of which the POPULATION is								NAMES of COUNTIES.
From 1,000 to 2,000.	From 2,000 to 3,000.	From 3,000 to 4,000.	From 4,000 to 5,000.	From 5,000 to 10,000.	From 10,000 to 50,000.	From 50,000 upwards.	TOTAL Number of Parishes, &c. in each County.	
13	2	4	–	–	–	–	144	BEDFORD.
18	4	4	3	3	–	–	224	BERKS.
26	4	5	2	1	–	–	232	BUCKINGHAM.
28	3	1	2	4	–	–	174	CAMBRIDGE.
31	14	6	2	7	3	–	505	CHESTER.
54	21	6	6	9	–	–	219	CORNWALL.
10	3	2	4	4	1	–	299	CUMBERLAND.
38	10	4	2	6	–	–	333	DERBY.
83	20	15	3	7	3	–	484	DEVON.
26	7	1	2	2	–	–	308	DORSET.
28	9	4	3	7	3	–	299	DURHAM.
54	14	7	4	1	1	–	429	ESSEX.
42	16	5	2	12	4	–	430	GLOUCESTER.
14	4	1	1	–	–	–	282	HEREFORD.
30	5	4	3	3	–	–	147	HERTFORD.
6	2	2	–	–	–	–	107	HUNTINGDON.
83	24	6	4	9	7	–	433	KENT.
83	39	13	13	31	17	2	460	LANCASTER.
28	4	5	1	2	2	–	342	LEICESTER.
44	5	3	2	3	1	–	729	LINCOLN.
27	11	13	6	15	25	5	229	MIDDLESEX.
6	2	3	1	3	1	–	159	MONMOUTH.
65	13	4	1	1	1	–	753	NORFOLK.
21	3	2	3	2	–	–	345	NORTHAMPTON.
17	3	1	1	9	3	–	527	NORTHUMBERLAND.
14	6	3	3	7	1	–	271	NOTTINGHAM.
23	5	5	–	–	–	–	302	OXFORD.
2	–	–	–	–	–	..	56	RUTLAND.
32	10	2	3	9	–	–	284	SALOP.
58	19	7	2	7	3	–	496	SOMERSET.
32	13	5	8	4	2	–	353	SOUTHAMPTON.
35	5	4	4	14	7	–	347	STAFFORD.
44	11	3	4	2	–	–	526	SUFFOLK.
36	4	3	5	8	8	1	161	SURREY.
43	14	5	2	1	1	–	325	SUSSEX.
18	5	6	–	5	2	1	260	WARWICK.
8	–	–	–	–	1	–	120	WESTMORELAND.
48	9	2	4	1	1	–	378	WILTS.
28	14	5	–	3	2	–	243	WORCESTER.
12	7	5	2	2	1	–	367	YORK, East Riding.
10	–	–	–	–	–	–	67	YORK, City & Ainsty.
28	3	2	–	2	–	–	539	YORK, North Riding.
85	35	21	14	33	15	1	666	YORK, West Riding.
1,409	402	199	122	239	116	10	14,353	ENGLAND.
4	1	–	1	1	–	–	77	ANGLESEY.
9	1	–	1	–	–	–	116	BRECON.
9	2	–	1	–	–	–	105	CARDIGAN.
24	6	–	1	1	–	–	116	CARMARTHEN.
16	3	1	1	1	–	–	75	CARNARVON.
17	6	6	–	–	–	–	99	DENBIGH.
5	3	2	–	2	–	–	66	FLINT.
10	3	1	2	1	2	–	183	GLAMORGAN.
7	2	–	1	–	–	–	37	MERIONETH.
13	6	–	2	–	–	–	76	MONTGOMERY.
18	2	–	1	–	–	–	157	PEMBROKE.
2	–	–	–	–	–	–	75	RADNOR.
134	35	10	11	6	2	–	1,182	WALES.
1,409	402	199	122	239	116	10	14,353	Total of ENGLAND.
134	35	10	11	6	2	–	1,182	Total of WALES.
1,543	437	209	133	245	118	10	15,535	TOTAL of ENGLAND and WALES.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

Supplement, No. 3.

INSTRUCTIONS TO ASSISTANT COMMISSIONERS.

The Central Commissioners are directed by His Majesty's Commission to make a diligent and full inquiry into the practical operation of the Laws for the Relief of the Poor in England and Wales, and into the manner in which those laws are administered, and to report whether any, and what, alterations, amendments or improvements may be beneficially made in the said laws, or in the manner of administering them; and how the same may be best carried into effect.

This extensive inquiry may be conveniently divided into four heads:—

I. The form in which parochial relief is given.
II. The persons to whom it is given.
III. The persons by whom it is awarded.
IV. The persons at whose expense it is given.

It is probable that this inquiry will suggest considerable alterations in the existing law; and it is also probable that those alterations may be facilitated by some further measures, such as—

V. Affording facilities for emigration.
VI. Facilitating the occupation and even the acquisition of land by labourers.
VII. Removing the tax on servants, so far as it is found to interfere with their residence under their employers' roof.
VIII. Improving the rural police.

On these points there is already much information before the public, and much more may be expected from the replies to the Queries circulated by the Commissioners. Those replies must, however, in general, be imperfect, from the absence of details and vouchers as to matters of fact, and of reasons where opinions are stated. There is no comparison between the information afforded by them to the Central Commissioners, and that which could be obtained if it were in their power to sift the facts and the opinions contained in the different replies by the inspection of documents and cross-examination of witnesses; if they could ascertain the state of the poor by personal inquiry among them, and the administration of the Poor Laws, by being present at vestries and at the sessions of magistrates.

As the constitution of the Central Board renders it impossible that these offices can be adequately performed by them in person, it is proposed that they should be executed by Assistant Commissioners.

The duty of an Assistant Commissioner will be, to proceed to the district, which will be indicated to him by the Board, taking with him whatever replies may have been returned from that district, and sets of blank queries for distribution. He will also be furnished with letters from the Home Department, which he can direct and deliver as he may find it expedient, requesting assistance in his inquiries.

He will communicate with the clergy, magistrates, and parish officers, deliver the printed queries to those who have not received them, and arrange the times and places of meeting at which the replies already given, or to be given, are to be explained, and the parish books and other vouchers produced.

The inspection of these documents will enable him to judge of the correctness of the replies, and probably offer him subjects of further inquiry. An investigation into all the circumstances connected with a single entry, may give him a better insight into the actual management of a parish, than could have been derived from any voluntary statements. He will endeavour, as far as possible, to be present at vestry meetings, and at the petty sessions of magistrates.

He will keep a full daily journal of his proceedings, and give to the Central Board, at least once a week, a sketch of his proceedings. The Commissioners wish to leave it in the discretion of each Assistant Commissioner, either to make one final Report at the termination of his labours, or distinct Reports, from time to time, as soon as he has sufficient materials, but they would much prefer the latter course where it is practicable.

The urgency of the questions submitted to the Central Commissioners is such, that it is highly desirable that they should make their report to His Majesty before the commencement of the next session of Parliament. And as the reports which they will receive from the Assistant Commissioners may be expected to form the most valuable part of their materials, it is important that they should all be received before the end of *November*. A much larger district has been assigned to each Assistant Commissioner than would have been expedient, if it had not been necessary to reduce, as far as it may be practicable, the number of their reports, and the expenses of the Commission. It will be impossible, therefore, that each Assistant Commissioner should make a full, or even a cursory inquiry into the circumstances of each parish within his district, or even in those parishes which he selects for observation, into all the subjects of inquiry which will be pointed out. He must use his own discretion as to the places which appear to be most deserving of investigation, and as to the points of inquiry which may be most successfully investigated in each particular parish; dwelling principally on those facts from which some general inference may be drawn, and which form the rule rather than the exception. And as it is understood that, although his time, like that of the other Commissioners, is to be afforded gratuitously, his expenses are to be borne by the public, he will endeavour so to arrange his proceedings, as to render those expenses as moderate as may be consistent with the full performance of his duties.

Such is the outline of the general duties of an Assistant Commissioner.

The

Digitized made digitized by the University of Southampton Library. Digitized from Uni

The following instructions are intended to point out the specific points of inquiry which appear to the Commissioners to be the most material. They have been arranged, as far as it was practicable, under the heads into which the subject has already been divided. But it has been found impossible to keep the first and second heads distinct.

I. The Form in which Relief is given.

The form in which relief is given must be either in kind or in money.

1. *Relief in Kind.*

Relief, when given in kind, is generally given in a parochial or incorporated poor-house or workhouse, or house of industry; or by affording medical assistance, or lodging, or land.

The Assistant Commissioner will inquire, whether the parish, which is the subject of his inquiry, possesses or has the use of a workhouse, poor-house, or house of industry, either confined to its own poor, or in common with any other parish or parishes.

Where the parish possesses or has the use of such an establishment, he will endeavour to obtain answers, as full and as particular as possible, to Questions 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20, of the town queries. He will also inquire whether the house possesses any garden or farm, and the use to which it is applied; and whether any school is attached to it, or any place where children are kept apart from its other inmates, and their religious and moral education attended to. He will endeavour to ascertain whether any means are adopted to prevent residence in the house from being an object of desire or indifference to the able-bodied poor, either by forced employment, restrictions on leaving it, separation of the sexes, prohibition of fermented liquors and tobacco, or by any other expedients, and the success of those measures. If no such measures are adopted, he will inquire into the causes and the consequences of their omission; and whether there is any and what class of persons who actually oppose, or may be expected to oppose, their introduction or enforcement. If such an establishment has been recently made, or enlarged, or discontinued, he will ascertain what have been the results: he will compare the condition of those parishes which do and those which do not give relief out of the house: he will inquire into the management and effects of incorporated or hundred houses, as compared with parochial establishments, and ascertain whether, in any cases in which workhouses would be desirable, the smallness of the parish forms the obstacle to their being established; and whether the rate-payers are acquainted with the conditions under which parishes can now unite to form workhouses, or would be likely to avail themselves of any additional facilities that might be given for forming united workhouses, or houses of industry, to the expense of which parishes might contribute in proportion to the number of paupers they might severally send to them: and he will collect facts and opinions as to the practicability and expediency of an enactment prohibiting, with any and what exceptions, relief to the able-bodied out of the workhouse or poor-house in any parish possessing, or having the use of, such an establishment.

Where relief is given, by affording medical attendance, he will inquire whether that relief is confined to the inmates of the workhouse, or is extended to any, and what, other class of persons, and what has been the average yearly expense of supplying it during the last three years. If a contract is made with the medical attendant, whether that contract includes the paupers, either casual or resident, who have settlements elsewhere; and, if it does not include them, what is the difference between the sums charged for their treatment, and those charged for the treatment of the settled paupers.

Where relief is given, by providing lodging, he will inquire whether this is effected by means of houses belonging to the parish, or by payment of rent on the pauper's behalf: and, where the latter practice exists, he will inquire into its effects on the rent of the apartments or cottages inhabited by the poor.

The remarks respecting relief in land will be found in page 607.

2. *Relief in Money.*

The questions concerning relief in money are so mixed up with those which respect the relief of the able-bodied, that it will be advisable to consider them under that head.

II. The Persons to whom Relief is given.

The persons to whom relief is given may be divided into the impotent and the able-bodied.

1. *The Impotent.*

Under this head are comprised all those who are prevented, by disease of body or mind, by old age, or by infancy, from earning a part or the whole of their subsistence. The natural fund for the support of the legitimate children of the able-bodied is their parents' earnings. Parochial relief, when afforded to them, is afforded virtually to their parents. It is to be considered, therefore, under the head of relief to the able-bodied.

The impotent may, therefore, be divided into the diseased, the aged, and orphan and deserted children: to whom may be added, as the law is now administered, bastards; since the putative father, though he may be forced to contribute towards their support, never possesses the full rights or is subject to the full obligations of a father, and more frequently avoids both. The Assistant Commissioner will inquire what provision is made for lunatics and idiots; and into the amount and the degree of relief afforded to the diseased and the aged, and to orphan children; and particularly how far the clause of the 43d of Elizabeth, which directs the grandfather and father, grandmother and mother, and children of every poor, old, blind, lame, and impotent person, or other poor person not able to work, to be assessed to the support of every such poor person, is put in force; and, if not put in force, what are the obstacles to its enforcement. He will inquire into the treatment of children deserted by their

Digitized by the Internet Archive in the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

their [illegible] being [illegible] the parish

He will [illegible] the parish in [illegible] and [illegible] inquiring to what class of persons they are apprenticed, and whether such persons take them voluntarily or by compulsion; and if the latter, according to what principle they are distributed: whether any and what care is taken to see that they are well treated and taught; and whether there are any grounds for supposing that a power to bind for less than seven years would be expedient.

He will consider the law and practice concerning bastardy as one of the most important subjects submitted to his investigation. The bastardy laws appear to produce effects very different from what may have been supposed to have been the objects of their institution. The sum charged on the father appears to have been intended merely as an indemnification to the parish. It often operates, however, as a punishment to the father, a pecuniary reward to the mother, and a means by which the woman obtains a husband, and her parish rids itself of a parishioner. It appears that the sum varies from 1*s.* to 2*s.* 6*d.* a week in country places, and 5*s.* in towns; that it is frequently sufficient to repay the woman for the loss which her misconduct would otherwise have occasioned to her, and if she have more than one bastard, to be a source of emolument. The Commissioner will endeavour to ascertain the practice of each parish in bastardy cases, and its effects on the morals of the inhabitants, both male and female, and on the increase of population; and to collect opinions in answer to the Questions 2, 3 and 4, of Queries No. 2.

And with reference to the degree in which the public provision for sickness and old age interferes with the exercise of prudence, he will inquire whether the parish has any Savings Bank, or Friendly or Benefit Societies, to which the labourers are contributors; and the average amount of each labourer's annual contribution; and if that amount appears to be increasing or diminishing, he will endeavour to ascertain the causes of such increase or diminution. And he will collect facts and opinions as to the expediency and practicability of any further legislative measures for the promotion or regulation of such institutions.

2. *The Able-bodied.*

The able-bodied may be divided into the single and the married; and, again, as a cross division, into the employed and the unemployed: and the employed may be divided into those employed on account of the parish, and those employed by individuals.

The practice with respect to the relief of the able-bodied varies much in different parishes. In some it is absolutely refused, in others it is confined to the married, in others to those who have one or more children. In some it is given only in kind, in others in money.

When given in money, it is generally effected in one of the five following modes:—

1st. By the parish giving to those who profess to be without employment a daily or weekly sum, without requiring from the applicants any work at all. The Commissioners have heard of unemployed able-bodied young men receiving half-a-crown a week from the parish, on condition of their giving no further trouble.

2d. By the parish employing and paying the applicants for relief.

3d. By the parish paying the occupiers of property, to employ the applicants for relief, at a rate of wages fixed by the parish, and depending not on the services, but on the wants, of the applicants; the employer being repaid all that he advances beyond a certain sum. This is the roundsman, or billet, or ticket system. On this plan the pauper receives in general a ticket from the overseer, directing him to apply to a given farmer, and to work for him a day at a certain sum, generally about 1*s.* if a single man; 1*s.* 3*d.* if married, without a family: 1*s.* 6*d.* if he have a wife and one child; and so on. The value of his services is charged by the parish to the farmer, at a sum sometimes as low as 2*d.* a day; and all that the farmer has paid beyond that estimated value is repaid to him out of the rates.

4th. By an agreement among the rate-payers, that each of them shall employ and pay out of his own money a certain number of labourers, in proportion, not to his real demand for labour, but according to his rental, or to his contribution to the rates, or to the number of horses that he keeps for tillage, or to the number of acres that he occupies, or according to some other scale. Where such an agreement exists, it is generally enforced by an additional rate, imposed by general consent, on those who do not employ their full proportion. This may be called the labour-rate system.

5th. By the parish allowing to the labourers who are employed by individuals, relief in aid of their wages. In some places this is given only occasionally, or to meet occasional wants; to buy, for instance, a coat or a pair of shoes, or to pay the rent of a cottage. In other places it is considered that a certain weekly sum, or more frequently the value of a certain quantity of flour or bread, is to be received by each member of a family. The amount of the man's earnings (those of his wife and children are seldom inquired into) is ascertained, or at least professed, or attempted to be ascertained, and the deficiency, if any, paid by the parish. In other places no such inquiry is made after there are a given number of children, beginning sometimes at one, sometimes at two, sometimes at three, and sometimes at four, but a certain sum or the price of a given quantity of flour or bread is given to the father for each child above the specified number, whatever may be the amount of his earnings. The word "allowance" is sometimes used as comprehending all parochial relief afforded to those who are employed by individuals at the average wages of the district. But sometimes this term is confined to the relief which a person so employed obtains on account of his children: any relief which he may obtain on his own account being termed "payment of wages out of rates."

It

[illegible] Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitised by Google

It will be the duty of the Assistant Commissioner to ascertain how far any one or more of these practices may prevail, or may have prevailed, in a parish. Where relief is given to able-bodied persons absolutely unemployed, he will inquire whether the parish adopts this system merely to save trouble, or to save expense, either because a person when in employment requires a more costly diet, or because the value of his labour would not be equal to the cost of tools and materials. Where labour is professed to be required in return for relief, he will inquire into the nature of the employment, whether it is paid for by the day or by the piece, the amount of payment for a given amount of labour, the variation of payment according to age, sex, celibacy, or number of children, the superintendence by which the amount of labour exerted is ascertained, and the value of the produce after deducting the expense of tools and materials. And he will compare the amount of work done, and of money received, by persons so employed by the parish, with the work which would have been exacted from the same persons, and the wages which would have been paid to them, if they had been employed by individuals. Places have been mentioned, where a man with a wife might have the choice of receiving 6*s.* a week from the parish for doing nothing, or 7*s.* 6*d.* from the parish for almost nominal work, from eight in the morning till three in the afternoon, or 9*s.* from a farmer for hard work during the regular hours of labour.

The Assistant Commissioner will endeavour to ascertain the time at which the relief of the able-bodied originated in any parish; whether it is increasing, stationary, or diminishing, or has ceased; and the causes and results of its origin, increase, continuance, diminution or termination. Whether it arose in consequence of any sudden increase in the price of the necessaries of life, or any sudden diminution of the demand for labour, or any sudden increase in the number of labourers, or a desire to reduce the wages of men single, or with small families, or to throw on those who employ few labourers a part of the wages of those employed by others, or the interference of magistrates, or imitation of neighbouring parishes. He will also inquire into its effects on the industry, habits and character of the labourer, the increase of population, the rate of wages, the profits of farming, the increase or diminution of farming capital, and the rent and improvement of land. He will particularly inquire into the effects of the labour-rate system on grass lands, and on small farms, particularly when farmed by their proprietors, and on shopkeepers, and the owners of tithes, and others having a small demand for labour. And he will endeavour to ascertain whether any or all of these effects have occasioned such a rate of wages, or such a deficiency of profitable employment in proportion to the existing population, as to occasion any, and what, difficulty in its discontinuance; and by what class of persons, and by what means, its discontinuance is likely to be opposed. Where the difficulty appears to arise from a local redundancy of population, he will carefully distinguish between those cases of redundant population in which there are more labourers than could be profitably employed at the existing prices of produce, although the labourers were intelligent and industrious, and the farmers wealthy, and those in which the redundancy is occasioned either by the want of capital among the farmers, or by the indolence or unskilful habits of the labourers. Where the redundancy is of the former description, he will endeavour to ascertain how far it has been occasioned by the stimulus applied to population by the relief of the able-bodied; and for that purpose inquire into the frequency of marriages where the husband at the time, or shortly before or after the time, of the marriage, was in the receipt of parish relief, and into the proportion of the number of such marriages to those of independent labourers; and compare the average age of marriage among paupers and among independent labourers. And, with a view to ascertain the effects of the relief of the able-bodied on the character of the labourers, he will inquire as to any difference in character between those who have and those who have not settlements in the parish. He will, of course, give particular attention to those cases in which the practice has been diminished or discontinued; to the class of persons by whom, and the means by which, such diminution or discontinuance has been effected; and to the class of persons by whom, and the means by which, that diminution or discontinuance has been resisted; and to the effects of such diminution or discontinuance on the industry, habits and character of the labourer, the increase of population, the rate of wages, the profits of farming, the increase or diminution of agricultural capital, and the rent and improvement of land; and he will particularly inquire whether such diminution or discontinuance has in any, and what, degree been effected by executing, as nearly as possible, that part of the 43d of Elizabeth which directs the parish officers "to set to work the children of all such whose parents shall not be thought able to keep and maintain them," by feeding and employing such children, and refusing all other relief to the father.

III. The Persons by whom Relief is awarded.

The persons by whom relief is awarded are—

1. The Overseers.
2. The Vestry either General or Select, or their Officers other than the Overseers.
3. The Magistrates.

1. *Overseers.*

In most parishes the overseers are annual officers, compelled to serve in rotation. It appears probable that such agents will be prevented by their other avocations from giving the time necessary to the vigilant and effectual performance of their duties; that neither diligence nor zeal are to be expected from persons on whom a disagreeable and unpaid office has been forced: and that, even when zealous and diligent, they will often fail from want of experience and skill. To these sources of mal-administration may be added the danger of the parochial fund being misapplied, either in the way of actual embezzlement, or, what is more frequent, through partiality and favouritism to the relations, friends, dependants, customers or

Digitised by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

debtors of the [illegible] or through the desire of [illegible] or through the fear of general unpopularity or of the hostility of particular [illegible]. The evils arising from the want of zeal, diligence and experience, have been attempted to be remedied by the appointment of permanent assistant overseers with a salary: the degree in which this attempt has been successful, is an important subject of investigation.

The Assistant Commissioner will inquire what have been the professions or trades of the overseers in the parish during the last ten years, the periods at which they came into office, and their usual period of service. Where an assistant overseer has been appointed, he will inquire as to the effects, and where one has been discontinued, into the causes and consequences of such discontinuance. He will inquire how far the overseers or assistant overseers are competent judges of the work exacted from the paupers employed by the parish, particularly when that work consists, as is generally the case, of work on the road. He will inquire whether they unite to the office of overseer that of stone warden or way warden, or surveyor of the roads; and if they do not, into the obstacles to the union of those offices with that of overseer, and into the inconveniences which arise from their separation. He will inquire into the mode in which the accounts of the parish are kept, audited and published; and he will collect facts and opinions as to the propriety of their being kept under distinct, and what, heads of expenditure; as to their being balanced and audited at more frequent, and what, periods; by whom they should be audited, and whether any advantage would arise from their being periodically printed, with the names of those who have been relieved, the amount and the grounds of relief, and as to the possibility of enforcing such measures by enactment. He will endeavour to ascertain in each parish how far the parochial funds appear to have been profusely or improperly applied, in consequence of all or any of the causes of mal-administration which have been adverted to. He will compare, on these points, the state of towns with that of villages, and of small with that of large parishes; and will collect facts and opinions as to the effects that might be expected from the union or the subdivision of parishes, and from any change in the selection, and time of service, of unpaid and of salaried overseers.

2. *Vestries.*

So far as magistrates do not interfere, the superintendence of a parish devolves principally on the vestry. The Assistant Commissioner will ascertain in each parish whether the vestry is open or select, either under the 59th George 3, c. 12, (commonly called Mr. Sturges Bourne's Act) or any local Act. He will inquire into its periods of meeting, the number of persons who usually attend, and their professions and trades; inquiring particularly how many of them are employers of labourers, landlords of cottages, or keepers of shops frequented by the poor, and how many of them, being farmers, farm their own property, or hold under leases, or from year to year, or at will. He will inquire what degree of authority or influence they exert over the parish officers. Where a select vestry has been established, he will ascertain what have been its effects; and where one has been discontinued, into the causes and consequences of its discontinuance. He will collect facts and opinions as to the practicability and the probable effects of allowing a landlord, though not rated, to vote in the vestry in person or by proxy; and if so allowed, what influence should be given to his vote, compared with that of the tenant, and how far that influence ought to depend on the amount of his property. Recollecting that, in the few cases mentioned in the parliamentary evidence of extensive reforms effected in country parishes, those reforms generally appear to have been effected by the clergyman, he will particularly inquire in each parish what part the clergyman takes in the proceedings of the vestry. And with reference to the 25th question of Queries, No. 2, he will endeavour to ascertain whether, if the decision of the vestry or select vestry in matters of relief were made final, the vestry would be more likely to err by general profuseness, or by general niggardliness, or by partiality arising from any of the causes which have been pointed out as likely to occasion it to occur on the part of overseers.

3. *Magistrates.*

Great difference appears to exist in the degree in which magistrates in different districts interfere with the management of the poor. In some places they appear to act as if the property of the rate-payers were an unlimited fund, to be drawn upon by the magistrates as the stewards for the paupers; in others they appear to consider the overseers or the vestry as the proper distributors of parochial charity, and interfere, if at all, only in favour of the impotent. It is probable that something between these two lines of conduct is the usual course, leaning towards the former in the worst administered rural districts, and towards the latter in the towns and the more prosperous parts of the country. This is a subject requiring the particular attention of the Assistant Commissioner. Where he finds much interference, he will inquire whether the magistrates who are most active or ready in such interference are or are not resident within the parish in whose concerns they interfere, or within what distance; whether they contribute to its rates and attend its vestries; whether any and what profit arises to their clerks from summonses and orders. Where there are or have been select vestries, he will inquire how far the magistrates make orders for relief, without its having been previously proved on oath that application has been made to the vestry and relief refused. He will inquire generally whether they pay any and what attention to the character of the applicant and the causes of his distress. He will compare the parishes in which the interference of magistrates is frequent, with those in which it is sparingly exercised, as to the comparative industry, habits and character of the labourers, the

Digitized by the Internet Archive in the University of Southampton Library, Digitization Unit

the increase of population, the rate of wages, the profits of farming, the increase or diminution of agricultural capital, and the rent and improvement of land. He will collect facts and opinions as to the practicability and expediency of exonerating the magistrates, wholly or partially, from their jurisdiction with respect to relief; and as to the means by which any enactment for that purpose could be made effectual; and he will endeavour to collect facts and opinions as to the practicability and expediency of appointing and paying persons, having for that special purpose magisterial authority, subject to a strict superintendence, and removable in case of unfitness, and either itinerant or stationary, to perform in the administration of the poor laws, all or some part of the duties now imposed on the local magistracy

IV. The Persons at whose Expense Relief is given.

The persons at whose expense parochial relief is afforded, are those rated to the poor in the parish or township from which the pauper is entitled to relief either by settlement or as a casual pauper. This subject may be considered under two heads—

1. The mode in which the rate is assessed and collected.
2. The means by which a person, being an object of relief, acquires a claim to relief from a given parish or township.

1. *Assessment and Collection.*

The Assistant Commissioner will inquire in each parish whether the assessment is considered as fair; and if complained of as unfair, what would be the expense of enforcing a new assessment, and he will collect opinions as to the means of reducing that expense. He will inquire whether there are any, and what, houses or lands exempted from assessment, or from which the sums assessed are not actually collected. In some parishes every tenement is rated, and the payment is uniformly enforced; and it appears, from the evidence already before the Commissioners, that in such places the poor act as checks upon one another, and that improper application for relief is often prevented by the unpopularity of the attempt to increase a burthen in which all immediately participate, and is often rendered unsuccessful by being denounced to the parochial officers. In other places the rates are collected from the poor only when non-parishioners; a practice which not only abandons the advantage of making the labouring class feel the pressure of the rate, but adds one more to the numerous impediments opposed by the law of settlement to the free circulation of labour. It must be added, that in many places, particularly in the neighbourhood of towns, and where rents are paid by the parish, a class of persons has arisen who speculate in cottages, and in letting apartments to the poor; and, since it has been discovered that the poor are willing and able to pay high rents for small portions of land, speculation will probably take that direction also, and persons will be found to purchase a field or two, to be divided into slips, and let to labourers. The practice of exempting small tenements from rates is very favourable to both these speculations, as it enables the proprietor to increase the rent by the amount of rate remitted, and to be the owner of houses and lands, and yet escape the principal burthens to which such property is subjected.

The Assistant Commissioner will inquire in each parish what persons are the occupiers and owners of those properties which are not assessed to the poor rate, or from which the rates are not actually collected. What is their ability and rank in life; and whether they are members of the vestry, or have any means of influencing its decisions; and how far, and with what effect, the 19th section of Mr. Sturges Bourne's Act, which enables the proprietor of certain dwellings to be rated, has been acted on; and he will collect facts and opinions as to the propriety of an enactment, making it imperative with respect to tenements not exceeding a given, and what, annual value, or occupied by a given number or class of persons, to charge the proprietors either instead of the occupiers, or, which probably would be better, on their default of payment.

2. *A Person acquires a claim to Relief from a given Parish, either as a casual Pauper, or as having a Settlement in that Parish.*

1st. Casual Paupers.—The Assistant Commissioner will inquire in each parish what has been the expense of casual paupers during the last three years: what proportion it has borne to that of the settled paupers: how much of that expense has been recovered from other parishes, and what proportion has been incurred on account of Scotch or Irish poor; and whether there are any and what number of casual paupers who have become virtually settled in the parish, from their having no known place of settlement to which they might be removed.

2dly. Settled Paupers.—The possibility of acquiring, and consequently of losing a settlement by hiring and service, apprenticeship, renting and purchasing a tenement, and serving a parish office, appears often to occasion transactions, into which men have entered with very different views, to produce important and unforeseen effects on their own welfare, and on that of others; and it also occasions acts to be forborne or done, in order to prevent or to produce consequences which have no natural connexion with those acts. It appears, from the replies to question 5 (Queries, No. 2), that the fear of giving a settlement by hiring and service has a tendency to prevent steady employment; the labourer, in those cases in which he might otherwise have been hired for an indefinite period, or by the year, being hired for fifty-two weeks, and the service, if renewed, being renewed after a week's interval—an interval generally spent in idleness and dissipation. Cases have also been mentioned, where a person has hired, for a year, those among the labourers settled in his parish, whom he most wished to get rid of, and settled them in some other parish, by keeping them there during the last forty days of the year, and then dismissing them. Where the rents of cottages are paid by the

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

parish, the landlords of cottages have taken apprentices for the express [illegible] them settlements, in order that they might in time become their tenants. Tenants have been held out, in other places, that if cottages were rated, the rents should be raised to ten pounds a year (of course collusively), and the parish punished by their being let to out-parishioners.

On the other hand it has been urged, that if all these modes of acquiring a settlement are abolished, villages may be seriously injured by the return in old age and infirmity, of those who have left them in youth and vigour; and that the paupers may suffer, by being removed from their acquired friends, to places in which they have become strangers.

Settlement by residence has been proposed as an answer to these objections: but this again might perhaps be made the source of much fraud and oppression. There are country parishes in which every cottage has been pulled down, so that all the work is done by labourers who are legally resident in some adjoining parish.

The Assistant Commissioner will endeavour to ascertain the amount and nature of the inconveniences arising from each of the existing modes of acquiring a settlement; and inquire into the probable consequences, both immediate and ultimate, of abolishing any one or more of them, and substituting any, and what, other sources of settlement in their room. And he will particularly inquire, in each parish, what number of Irish or Scotch adults, or young children of Irish or Scotch fathers, have acquired settlements there within the last five years, and under what heads of settlement.

The four other subjects to which allusion has been made,—emigration, the acquisition of land by labourers, taxation on domestic servants, and rural police,—though not strictly within the province of the Commissioners, are too much connected with it to be left out of their consideration. Emigration, indeed, and amendment of the Poor Laws, must for any useful purpose be united. To attempt to diminish population by removing a portion of the people, and yet leaving in full force the most powerful machinery that ever was applied to their increase, is to attempt to exhaust, by continual pumping, the waters of a perpetual fountain. And, at the same time, it appears essential to any material change in the Poor Laws, that the local superabundance created or perpetuated by those laws should be drawn off.

V. Emigration.

The Assistant Commissioner will therefore pay particular attention to emigration. He will endeavour to ascertain all the facts connected with every case in which an emigration has been effected, and its influence on the rates of the parish, and on the wages and character of the remaining labourers. He will inquire what sort of persons were sent out, and how many, and of what character, and within what period, have returned. Where no such attempt has been made, he will ascertain whether the omission is to be attributed to the absence of a redundant population (and if so, how that absence is to be accounted for,) or to any, and what, difficulties or objections on the part of the rate-payers or of the labourers. And he will collect facts and opinions as to the propriety of an enactment enabling any, and what, majority, in number and value of the rate-payers, with or without the concurrence of any, and what, majority, in number and value of the proprietors, to raise money for emigration, in what, if any, definite proportion to the rental or rates, and as to the period within which such money should be repaid, and the portion, if any, which should be paid by the proprietors.

VI. Acquisition of Land by Labourers.

The evidence already before the Commissioners shows that the occupation of land by labourers is rapidly increasing. The Assistant Commissioner will inquire, in each parish, into the mode in which this is effected, and into its results. For these purposes he will inquire whether the lessors are the landowners, the farmers, or the parish officers; distinguishing, in the case of landowners, between the cases in which the lessors are the principal landowners, and those in which they are small proprietors. Whether any, and what, selection is made of the occupiers, and what terms, as to rent, period of enjoyment, abstinence from requiring relief, or conduct, are imposed on them. What quantity is allotted to each occupier, and on what principle. What assistance they receive in manuring, working, or seed. How long the practice has existed, and with what effects, as to the welfare and conduct of the labourers, and amount of rates. And he will endeavour to collect facts and opinions as to the average quantity of land which a labourer can beneficially occupy, without withdrawing him from ordinary labour, and as to the expediency of any enactments either to facilitate the practice, or to guard against the danger of its creating a cottier population resembling that of Ireland. He will inquire as to the existence of any lands now positively or comparatively useless, which may be applied to this purpose; carefully distinguishing between that land which, though commonly called *waste*, is very far from being *wasted*, but is now turned to its best account as sheepwalk, and that which is really unproductive, or less productive than it might be made by a judicious and profitable application of labour. He will also inquire whether the actual ownership of land by labourers or small proprietors is less common than formerly, and whether that is to be attributed to the pressure of poor rates, the obligation imposed on an applicant for relief of parting with his property, the stamp duties on alienation, or the expense of making a title. And, with reference to the last point, he will inquire whether small proprietors are more usual in any, and in what, degree among copyholders than among freeholders.

VII. Taxation

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library. Digitised on Univ

VII. Taxation on Domestic Servants.

It has been supposed that the residence of farming labourers, with their employers, has been diminished by the tax on domestic servants; a tax to which the farmer exposes himself, if he allows the labourers residing under his roof to perform menial offices. The Assistant Commissioner will inquire whether this supposition is well founded, and whether there is any reason to believe that exempting from the tax all labourers principally employed in agriculture, though occasionally performing menial offices, would tend to make them more frequently resident under their employers' roofs.

VIII. Rural Police.

The last point which has been adverted to is Rural Police. The Assistant Commissioner will inquire in each parish into the ordinary and extraordinary means which it possesses of enforcing public order. The number of constables or tything-men, their general character and remuneration, and the number of yeomanry and special constables, who might be depended upon on any emergency. And he will collect facts and opinions as to the propriety of any, and what, legislative measures on this subject. He will also inquire whether there have been any riots, disturbances or fires, within the last two years, and endeavour to ascertain their causes, the effects which have resulted or may be expected to result from them, and the nature and success of the measures by which they were resisted, prevented or punished.

A brief and imperfect outline has now been given of the specific points of inquiry respecting the practical operation of the laws for the relief of the poor, and the manner in which those laws are administered. But there are two general inquiries, to which each specific inquiry may be made subservient. One is, the great question how far the law which throws on the owners of property the duty of providing the subsistence, and superintending the conduct, of the poor, has really effected its object;—how far the proprietors of land and capital appear to have had the power and the will to create, or increase, or render secure, the prosperity and morality of those who live by the wages of labour. It has been supposed that it was to the 43d of Elizabeth, and to the superintendence which it forced the richer to exercise over the poorer, that we owed the industry, the orderly habits, and the adequation of their numbers to the demand for labour, which within the memory of man distinguished the English labourers; and that the idleness, profligacy and improvidence, which now debase the character and increase the numbers of the population of many of the South-eastern districts, are owing to the changes, partly by statute, and partly by practice, to which that law has been subjected. On the other hand, it has been maintained, that it is the natural tendency of public relief, however purely and wisely administered, to become a substitute, and a very bad substitute, for private charity on the part of the rich, and industry and forethought on the part of the poor: that the pure or wise administration of that relief is the exception, not the rule; that it has more frequently been used as an engine to reduce the wages of labour, or to shift their burthen from the employer, or to gratify the love of power or of popularity; that where real humanity has been the motive of interference, it has been so little assisted by knowledge or diligence, as to produce, or aggravate, or perpetuate, the misery which it was intended to relieve; and that the system appeared to work well only while balanced by an almost arbitrary power of removal, and the dread of the workhouse, and while the range of magisterial interference was closely limited.

The other general question is, how far the evils of the present system, or rather of the law which allows, or at least does not prevent, the existence in every parish of every different system of abuse are diminishing, stationary, or increasing. There can be no doubt that any change in the Poor Laws, or in the manner of administering them, if great enough to be extensively beneficial, must be attended with immediate local suffering. If, however, the present evils, oppressive as they are, appear to be diminishing, or even to be stationary, it may be more prudent to endure them than to encounter the certain inconvenience, and the probable hazard of any extensive alteration. But if the conclusions drawn in the House of Commons' Report of 1817 be correct,—if it be true, that "unless some efficacious check be interposed, the amount of the assessment will continue, as it has done, to increase, until, at a period more or less remote, according to the progress the evil has already made in different places, it shall have absorbed the profits of the property on which the rate may have been assessed, producing thereby the neglect and ruin of the land, and the waste or removal of other property, to the utter subversion of that happy order of society so long upheld in these kingdoms;"—if the progress of the evil, even during the short period that has elapsed since that Report was made, may be traced in the diminished cultivation and value of the land, the diminution of industry, forethought and natural affection among the labourers; the conversion of wages from a matter of contract into a matter of right, and of charity itself into a source of discord and even of hostility; in the accelerated increase of every form of profligacy; in fires, riots, and organised and almost treasonable robbery and devastation;—if such be the representation which the Commissioners have to make to His Majesty; they cannot append to it a suggestion of mere palliative amendments.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

Supplement, No. 4.

AN ACT FOR THE RELIEF OF THE POOR.

ANNO REGNI ELIZABETHÆ Reginæ *Angliæ, Franciæ & Hiberniæ*, quadragesimo tertio. At the Parliament begun and holden at Westminster the seven and twentieth day of October, in the three and fortieth year of the reign of our most gracious Sovereign Lady ELIZABETH, by the grace of God, of *England, France* and *Ireland*. Queen, defender of the faith, &c., and there continued until the dissolution thereof, being the nineteenth day of December next following, 1601.

Preamble.

BE it Enacted, by the authority of this present Parliament, That the churchwardens of every parish, and four, three or two substantial householders there, as shall be thought meet, having respect to the proportion and greatness of the same parish and parishes, to be nominated yearly in Easter week, or within one month after Easter, under the hand and seal of two or more justices of the peace in the same county, whereof one to be of the quorum, dwelling in or near the same parish or division, where the same parish doth lie, shall be called overseers of the poor of the same parish: and they, or the greater part of them, shall take order from time to time, by and with the consent of two or more such justices of the peace as is aforesaid, for setting to work the children of all such, whose parents shall not, by the said churchwardens and overseers, or the greater part of them, be thought able to keep and maintain their children; and also for setting to work all such persons, married or unmarried, having no means to maintain them and use no ordinary and daily trade of life to get their living by; and also to raise weekly or otherwise (by taxation of every inhabitant, parson, vicar, and other, and of every occupier of lands, houses, tithes impropriate, propriations of tithes, coal mines, or saleable underwoods in the said parish, in such competent sum and sums of money as they shall think fit) a convenient stock of flax, hemp, wool, thread, iron and other ware and stuff, to set the poor on work; and also competent sums of money for and towards the necessary relief of the lame, impotent, old, blind, and such other among them being poor and not able to work; and also for the putting out of such children to be apprentices, to be gathered out of the same parish, according to the ability of the same parish, and to do and execute all other things, as well for the disposing of the said stock as otherwise concerning the premises, as to them shall seem convenient; which said churchwardens and overseers so to be nominated, or such of them as shall not be let by sickness or other just excuse, to be allowed by two such justices of peace or more as is aforesaid, shall meet together at the least once every month, in the church of the said parish, upon the Sunday in the afternoon after divine service, there to consider of some good course to be taken, and of some meet order to be set down in the premises; and shall within four days after the end of their year, and after other overseers nominated as aforesaid, make and yield up to such two justices of peace as is aforesaid, a true and perfect accompt of all sums of money by them received, or rated and assed and not received, and also of such stock as shall be in their hands, or in the hands of any of the poor to work, and of all other things concerning their said office: and such sum or sums of money as shall be in their hands, shall pay and deliver over to the said churchwardens and overseers newly nominated and appointed as aforesaid, upon pain that every one of them absenting themselves without lawful cause as aforesaid from such monthly meeting for the purpose aforesaid, or being negligent in their office or in the execution of the orders aforesaid, being made by and with the assent of the said justices of peace or any two of them before mentioned, to forfeit for every such default of absence or negligence, Twenty shillings.

Overseers for the poor, their office, &c.

Who shall be taxed towards the relief of the poor.

A convenient stock shall be provided to set the poor on work.

The overseers shall meet once every month, and accompt.

Penalty 20*s*

And be it also Enacted, That if the said justices of peace do perceive that the inhabitants of any parish are not able to levy among themselves sufficient sums of money for the purposes aforesaid, that then the said two justices shall and may tax, rate and assess as aforesaid any other of other parishes, or out of any parish within the hundred where the said parish is, to pay such sum and sums of money to the churchwardens and overseers of the said poor parish, for the said purposes, as the said justices shall think fit, according to the intent of this law; and if the said hundred shall not be thought to the said justices able and fit to relieve the said several parishes not able to provide for themselves as aforesaid, then the justices of peace at their general quarter sessions, or the greater number of them, shall rate and assess as aforesaid any other of other parishes, or out of any parish within the said county, for the purposes aforesaid, as in their discretion shall seem fit.

Clause relating to poor parishes

And that it shall be lawful, as well for the present as subsequent churchwardens or overseers, or any of them, by warrant from any two such justices of peace as is aforesaid, to levy as well the said sums of money, and all arrearages, of every one that shall refuse to contribute according as they shall be assessed, by distress and sale of the offenders goods, as the sums of money or stock which shall be behind upon any accompt to be made as aforesaid, rendering to the parties the overplus, and in defect of such distress, it shall be lawful for any such two justices of the peace to commit him or them to the common gaol of the county, there to remain without bail or mainprize until payment of the said sum, arrearages, and stock: and the said justices of peace, or any one of them, to send to the house of correction or common gaol such as shall not employ themselves to work being appointed thereunto as aforesaid, and also any such two justices of peace to commit to the said prison every one of the said churchwardens and overseers which shall refuse to accompt, there to remain without bail or mainprize until he have made a true accompt, and satisfied and paid so much as upon the said accompt shall be remaining in his hands.

A remedy for levying the monies assessed

Distress for non-payment

Imprisonment of those that will not work.

Refusers to account, imprisoned.

And be it further Enacted, That it shall be lawful for the said churchwardens and overseers, or the greater part of them, by the assent of any two justices of the peace aforesaid, to bind any such children as aforesaid to be apprentices, where they shall see convenient, till such man-child shall come to the age of four and twenty years, and such woman-child to the age of one and twenty years, or the time of her marriage, the same to be as effectual to all purposes as if such child were of full age, and by indenture of covenant bound him or herself.

Binding of children apprentices.

And to the intent that necessary places of habitation may more conveniently be provided for such poor impotent people, be it enacted by the authority aforesaid, That it shall and may be lawful for the said churchwardens and overseers, or the greater part of them, by the leave of the lord or lords of the manor, whereof any waste or common within their parish is or shall be parcel, and upon agreement before with him or them made in writing, under the hands and seals of the said lord or lords, or otherwise, according to any order to be set down by the justices of peace of the said county at their general

Building of houses on the waste for the poor to inhabit

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

general quarter session[illegible] the greater part of them, by like [illegible] and agreement of [illegible] said lord or lords writing under [illegible] or their hands and seals, to erect build, and set up, in fit and convenient places of habitation in such waste or common, at the general charges of the parish, or otherwise of the hundred or county [illegible] aforesaid, to be taxed, rated, and gathered in manner before expressed, convenient houses of dwelling for the said impotent poor, and also to place inmates, or more families than one in one cottage or house, one Act made in the thirty-first year of her Majesty's reign, intituled, "An Act against the erecting and maintaining of Cottages," or any thing therein contained to the contrary notwithstanding; which cottages and places for inmates shall not at any time after be used or employed to or for any other habitation, but only for impotent and poor of the same parish, that shall be there placed from time to time by the churchwardens and overseers of the poor of the same parish, or the most part of them, upon the pains and forfeitures contained in the said former Act made in the said thirty-first year of her Majesty's reign.

A remedy [illegible] which [illegible] grieved with [illegible]

Provided always, That if any person or persons shall find themselves grieved with any sess or tax, or other Act done by the said churchwardens, and other persons, or by the said justices of peace; that then it shall be lawful for the justices of peace, at their general quarter sessions, or the greater number of them, to take such order therein as to them shall be thought convenient, and the same to conclude and bind all the said parties.

Poor persons [illegible] bound [illegible] or children.

And be it further Enacted, That the father and grandfather, and the mother and grandmother, and the children of every poor, old, blind, lame, and impotent person, or other poor person not able to work, being of a sufficient ability, shall at their own charges relieve and maintain every such poor person in that manner, and according to that rate, as by the justices of peace of that county where such sufficient persons dwell, or the greater number of them, at their general quarter sessions, shall be assessed, upon pain that every one of them shall forfeit twenty shillings for every month which they shall fail therein.

Officers of corporate towns have the authority of justices of peace.

And be it further hereby Enacted, That the mayors, bailiffs, or other head officers of every town or place corporate and city within this realm, being justice or justices of peace, shall have the same authority by virtue of this Act, within the limits and precincts of their jurisdictions as well out of sessions as at their sessions, if they hold any, as is herein limited, prescribed, and appointed to justices of the peace of the county, or any two or more of them, or to the justices of peace in their quarter sessions, to do and execute for all the uses and purposes in this Act prescribed, and no other justice or justices of peace to enter or meddle there: And that every alderman of the city of London within his ward shall and may do and execute in every respect so much as is appointed and allowed by this Act to be done and executed by one or two justices of peace of any county within this realm.

Aldermen of London.

A parish extending into two counties, or into two liberties.

And be it also Enacted, That if it shall happen any parish to extend itself into more counties than one, or part to lie within the liberties of any city, town or place corporate, and part without, that then as well the justices of peace of every county, as also the head officers of such city, town or place corporate, shall deal and intermeddle only in so much of the said parish as lieth within their liberties, and not any further: and every of them respectively, within their several limits, wards and jurisdictions, to execute the ordinances before mentioned, concerning the nomination of overseers, the consent to binding apprentices, the giving warrant to levy taxations unpaid, the taking accompt of churchwardens and overseers, and the committing to prison such as refuse to accompt, or deny to pay the arrearages due upon their accompts; and yet nevertheless, the said churchwardens and overseers, or the most part of them, of the said parishes, that do extend into such several limits and jurisdictions, shall, without dividing themselves, duly execute their office in all places within the said parish in all things to them belonging, and shall duly exhibit and make one accompt before the said head officer of the town or place corporate, and one other before the said justices of peace, or any such two of them, as is aforesaid.

The justices forfeiture for not naming of overseers.

And further be it enacted by the authority aforesaid, That if in any place within this realm there happen to be hereafter no such nomination of overseers yearly as is before appointed, that then every justice of peace of the county dwelling within the division where such default of nomination shall happen, and every mayor, alderman and head officer of city, town or place corporate where such default shall happen, shall lose and forfeit for every such default five pounds, to be employed towards the relief of the poor of the said parish or place corporate, and to be levied, as aforesaid, of their goods by warrant from the general sessions of the peace of the said county, or of the same city, town or place corporate, if they keep sessions.

How the forfeitures shall be levied and employed.

And be it also enacted by the authority aforesaid, That all penalties and forfeitures, before mentioned in this Act to be forfeited by any person or persons, shall go and be employed to the use of the poor of the same parish, and towards a stock and habitation for them, and other necessary uses and relief, as before in this Act are mentioned and expressed, and shall be levied by the said churchwardens and overseers, or one of them, by warrant from any two such justices of peace, or mayor, aldermen or head officer of city, town or place corporate respectively, within their several limits, by distress and sale thereof, as aforesaid; or in defect thereof, it shall be lawful for any two such justices of peace, and the said aldermen and head officers, within their several limits, to commit the offender to the said prison, there to remain without bail or mainprize till the said forfeitures shall be satisfied and paid.

The justices shall rate every parish to a weekly sum.

And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid, That the justices of peace of every county or place corporate, or the more part of them, in their general sessions to be holden next after the feast of Easter next, and so yearly, as often as they shall think meet, shall rate every parish to such a weekly sum of money as they shall think convenient, so as no parish be rated above the sum of sixpence, nor under the sum of a halfpenny, weekly to be paid, and so as the total sum of each taxation of the parishes in every county amount not above the rate of two-pence for every parish within the said county, which sums so taxed shall be yearly assessed by the agreement of the parishioners within themselves, or, in default thereof, by the churchwardens and petty constables of the same parish, or the more part of them; or, in default of their agreement, by the order of such justice or justices of peace as shall dwell in the same parish, or (if none be there dwelling) in the parts next adjoining, and if any person shall neglect or refuse to pay any such portion of money so taxed, it shall be lawful for the said churchwardens and constables, or any of them, or, in their default, for

Penalty for refusing to pay money taxed.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

any justice of peace of the said limit, to levy the same by distress and sale of the goods of the party so refusing or neglecting, rendering to the party the overplus: and in default of such distress, it shall be lawful to any justice of that limit to commit such person to the said prison, there to abide, without bail or mainprize, till he have paid the same.

Relief for the prisoners of the King's Bench, Marshalsea and Hospitals

And be it also Enacted, That the said justices of the peace, at their general quarter sessions to be holden at the time of such taxation, shall set down what competent sums of money shall be sent quarterly out of every county or place corporate, for the relief of the poor prisoners of the King's Bench and Marshalsea, and also of such hospitals and almshouses as shall be in the said county, and what sums of money shall be sent to every one of the said hospitals and almshouses, so as there be sent out of every county yearly twenty shillings at the least to each of the said prisons of the King's Bench and Marshalsea, which sums, meetably to be assessed upon every parish, the churchwardens of every parish shall truly collect, and pay over to the high constables in whose division such parish shall be situate, from time to time, quarterly, ten days before the end of every quarter; and every such constable, at every such quarter sessions in such county, shall pay over the same to two such treasurers, or to one of them, as shall, by the more part of the justices of peace of the county, be elected to be the said treasurers, to be chosen by the justices of peace of the said county, city or town, or place corporate, or of others, which were sessed and taxed at five pounds lands or ten pounds goods at the least, at the tax of subsidy next before the time of the said election to be made; and the said treasurers so elected to continue for the space of one whole year in their office, and then to give up their charge, with a due accompt of their receipts and disbursements, at the quarter sessions to be holden next after the feast of Easter in every year, to such others as shall from year to year, in form aforesaid, successively be elected treasurers for the said county, city, town or place corporate, which said treasurers, or one of them, shall pay over the same to the Lord Chief Justice of England, and knight marshal for the time being, equally to be divided to the use aforesaid, taking their acquittance for the same, or, in default of the said chief justice, to the next ancientest justice of the King's Bench as aforesaid; and if any churchwarden or high constable, or his executors or administrators, shall fail to make payment in form above specified, then every churchwarden, his executors or administrators, so offending, shall forfeit for every time the sum of ten shillings, and every high constable, his executors or administrators, shall forfeit for every time the sum of twenty shillings; the same forfeitures, together with the sums behind, to be levied by the said treasurer and treasurers by way of distress and sale of goods as aforesaid, in form aforesaid and by them to be employed towards the charitable uses comprised in this Act.

Treasurers to be chosen annually.

The money to be paid to the Lord Chief Justice of England and Knight Marshal.

The forfeitures of the churchwardens or high constables offending.

How the surplusage shall be bestowed.

And be it further Enacted, That all the surplusage of money, which shall be remaining in the said stock of any county, shall by discretion of the more part of the justices of peace in their quarter sessions, be ordered, distributed and bestowed for the relief of the poor hospitals of that county, and of those that shall sustain losses by fire, water, the sea or other casualties, and to such other charitable purposes, for the relief of the poor, as to the more part of the said justices of peace shall seem convenient.

Penalty for refusing to be treasurer, to give relief or to accompt.

And be it further Enacted, That if any treasurer elected shall wilfully refuse to take upon him the said office of treasurership, or refuse to distribute and give relief, or to accompt, according to such form as shall be appointed by the more part of the said justices of peace, that then it shall be lawful for the justices of peace in their quarter sessions, or, in their default, for the justices of assize, at the assizes to be holden in the same county, to fine the same treasurer by their discretion; the same fine not to be under three pounds, and to be levied by sale of his goods, and to be prosecuted by any two of the said justices of peace, whom they shall authorize.

This Act to take effect at Easter.

Provided always, That this Act shall not take effect until the feast of Easter next.

For what time and to what purpose the Stat. of 39 Eliz. shall be put in execution.

And be it Enacted, That the statute made in the nine-and-thirtieth year of her Majesty's reign, intituled, "An Act for the Relief of the Poor," shall continue and stand in force until the feast of Easter next; and that all taxations heretofore imposed and not paid, nor that shall be paid before the said feast of Easter next; and that all taxes hereafter before the said feast to be taxed by virtue of the said former Act, which shall not be paid before the said feast of Easter, shall and may, after the said feast of Easter, be levied by the overseers and other persons in this Act respectively appointed to levy taxations by distress, and by such warrant in every respect as if they had been taxed and imposed by virtue of this Act, and were not paid.

Proviso for the Island of Fowlness in Essex.

Provided always, That whereas the island of Fowlness in the county of Essex, being environed with the sea, and having a chapel of ease for the inhabitants thereof, and yet the said island is no parish, but the lands in the same are situated within divers parishes far distant from the said island; be it therefore enacted by the authority aforesaid, that the said justices of peace shall nominate and appoint inhabitants within the said island to be overseers for the poor people dwelling within the said island, and that both they the said justices and the said overseers shall have the same power and authority, to all intents, considerations and purposes, for the execution of the parts and articles of this Act, and shall be subject to the same pains and forfeitures, and likewise that the inhabitants and occupiers of lands there shall be liable and chargeable to the same payments, charges, expenses and orders, in such manner and form as if the same island were a parish, in consideration whereof, neither the said inhabitants or occupiers of land within the said island, shall not be compelled to contribute towards the relief of the poor of those parishes wherein their houses or lands which they occupy within the said island are situated, for or by reason of their said habitations or occupyings, other than for the relief of the poor people within the said island; neither yet shall the other inhabitants of the parishes wherein such houses or lands are situated, be compelled, by reason of their residency or dwelling, to contribute to the relief of the poor inhabitants within the said island.

The defendant's plea in a suit commenced against him upon this statute.

And be it further Enacted, That if any action of trespass or other suit shall happen to be attempted and brought against any person or persons for taking of any distress, making of any sale, or any other thing doing by authority of this present Act, the defendant or defendants in any such action or suit shall and may either plead Not Guilty, or otherwise make avowry, cognizance or justification for the taking of the said distresses, making of sale, or other thing doing by virtue of this Act, alledging in such avowry, cognizance or justification that the said distress, sale, trespass or other thing whereof the

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

the plaintiff or plaintiffs complained was done by authority of this Act, and according to the tenor, purport and effect of this Act, without any expressing or rehearsal of any other matter or circumstance contained in this present Act; to which avowry, cognizance or justification the plaintiff shall be admitted to reply, that the defendant did take the said distress, made the said sale, or did any other act or trespass supposed in his declaration of his own wrong, without any such cause alledged by the said defendant, whereupon the issue in every such action shall be joined, to be tried by verdict of twelve men, and not otherwise, as is accustomed in other personal actions; and upon trial of that issue, the whole matter to be given on both parties in evidence, according to the very truth of the same, and after such issue tried for the defendant, or nonsuit of the plaintiff after appearance, the same defendant to recover treble damages, by reason of his wrongful vexation in that behalf, with his costs also in that part sustained, and that to be assessed by the same jury, or writ to inquire of the damages, as the same shall require. Treble damages for the defendant, and his costs.

Provided always, That this Act shall endure no longer than to the end of the next Session of Parliament. Continuance of this Act.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

INDEX

TO

REPORT OF POOR LAW COMMISSIONERS.

A.

ABLE-BODIED, Relief of. See *Allowance. Labourers. Relief. Scale.*

Accounts. Want of systematic, 55——Checks on, afforded by plurality of officers, 180——PROPOSED BOARD TO ENFORCE GENERALLY A CLEAR AND UNIFORM SYSTEM OF 180.

Allotment of Land to labourers, 101——Practices in regard to, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107——Inclosure of waste land for, 104, 107——Quantity proper for, 101, 102, 105, 107——Evils of large, 101——Expenses and profits of cultivation, 101, 104——Effects of, 102, 103, 105, 106——Promotes increase of population, 107——Loss to parish from, 106——Where carried on by individuals beneficial; by parish unsuccessful, 107——Different opinions as to, 102, *et seq.*

Allowance. (See *Labour Rate. Roundsmen*, &c.) Significations of word, 12——Origin of, 69, 70——Different practices in regard to, 12——Magisterial scales of, according to price of bread or flour, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 69, 70, 71, 73; in North Wales, 18——Regulated by number in family, rate of, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20——Applied for, for first child, 15, 208——Difference between wages and sum allotted by scale made up by parish, 14, 15, 16, 20——In granting, inquiry as to earnings not carried beyond the week or fortnight, 17——Made up from fixed rate of wages without regard to actual earnings, 17——Granted in addition to high wages, 17——May be given to a man earning a guinea a week, 16——Granted wherever wages below 25*s.* per week, 16——Amounts to more than a man could earn when trade is flourishing, 15——General scale of, raised by clamour of undeserving, 28——Granted to avoid expensive appeal to distant magistrate, 15——"Head-money" granted to petty tradesmen, 20——Numbers receiving, and at what number of children it begins; evidence respecting, 207; and answers to questions 24 & 25 in Supplement, p. 216——In Devon, so general and confirmed that labourer gives notice of his wife's pregnancy, 18——At Westoning, scarcely one able-bodied labourer in the employment of individuals, not receiving, 17——Gaining ground in towns and manufacturing districts, 35, 44——Remarkable instances of, in Durham, 15——Effects of, not so injurious to manufacturers, 42——Deteriorates and degrades labourers, 39, 49——Destroys domestic affections and ties of relationship, 53, 54——Once introduced, pauperizes whole parish, 42——Spent at beer shops, 19, 28, 49, 75——Landlord's interest to oppose, 42——Beneficial effects of refusing, 129, *et seq.*——Objections to amendment of system: on the part of labourers, 32; of employers, 34; of proprietors, 35——Encouraged by parish allowance to families of militia men, 71.

Apprenticing. PROPOSED BOARD TO REGULATE, AND HEREAFTER INQUIRE INTO THE OPERATION OF THE LAWS REGARDING, AND THE REGULATIONS OF THE BOARD, 190.

Assistant Commissioners. Appointment of, and instructions to, 1——Instructions at length, 248.

Attachment; of wages, 188, 189——Of pensions from Chelsea and Greenwich Hospitals; number of, in a year, 189——RELIEF TO BE TREATED AS A LOAN, AND PROPOSED BOARD TO REGULATE ATTACHMENT OF WAGES FOR REPAYMENT, 190.

B.

Bastardy, 92——Early statutes regarding, 92——Practice of law, 93–98——Allowance for bastard, 93, 94, 95——Allowance far higher than for legitimate child, 94, 95——Sum received by parish from father paid over to mother, 93, *et seq.*——Profitable to woman,

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Bastardy—continued.

93, 94——Allowance for, once received, ever after applied for, 98——Small portion only of expense recovered from fathers, 98——Nothing exacted from mother for, 93, *et seq.*——Law of, enables mother to fix a false paternity; instances, 93, 94, 95——Facilitates woman's marriage, 93, 96, 97, 198——Compulsory marriage arising out of, 97——Option of going to prison or marrying the woman, 97——Originated by the woman, 94——Punishment of mother seldom enforced, and with little or no good effect, 94——Father avoids burthen by absconding or by marrying the woman, 93, 94, 95——Infanticide promoted by existing law of, 198——Offspring of Roman Catholic marriages illegitimate; consequences, 99.

Commissioners' Recommendations:—Abolition of present bastardy laws, 195——Bastard to follow mother's settlement, 195——Mother to support Bastard, 196; Has been successfully tried, 196——Liability to support bastard to be extended to parents of father and mother, 197——Removal of unmarried pregnant women, and committal of mothers to house of correction, to be repealed, 197——Charge of, and punishment for, to be removed from father, 198.

Becher, Rev. Mr. Anti-pauper system of, 135, 136.

Beer Shops. Relief often spent at, 19——Frequented by receivers of relief, 28, 49, 75——Chief supporters, the paupers, 49.

Beggars. Early statutes regarding, 4.

Benefit Societies. Principles adopted by, in granting allowances, 130, 153——Practice of, 153——No allowance of partial relief by, 153——More strict and vigilant than administrators of parochial relief, 153——Assimilation of a parish to a, 154——Members consider parochial assistance disgraceful, 154——Labourers more frequent contributors to, since adoption of stricter parochial system, 133.

Billet System, 24. See *Roundsmen.*

Board, Central:

Reasons for establishment of, 157, *et seq.*——General desire for Government management, 164, *et seq.*

Appointment of a, recommended by Commissioners, 167——Number to compose, assistant commissioners and officers, 192——Members to be removeable at His Majesty's pleasure, 192.

Powers of:

1. *To regulate workhouses, the relief and work in them*, 167.
2. *To incorporate parishes for workhouse management*, 176.
3. *To enforce generally complete, clear, and uniform system of accounts*, 180.
4. *To incorporate parishes for the appointment and payment of permanent officers, and for the execution of public labour*, 183.
5. *To state the qualifications necessary for paid offices connected with the relief of the poor, to recommend and to remove officers*, 185.
6. *To direct parochial supplies to be by contract and free competition*, 186.
7. *To act, in case of fraud, as public prosecutors*, 186.
8. *To treat relief as a loan, and recoverable under the* 59 *Geo.* 3, *c.* 12, *s.* 29, *and by attachment of wages*, 190.
9. *To regulate relief by apprenticing children; and hereafter to inquire into the operation of the laws of apprenticing children, and of the regulations of the Board*, 190.
10. *To regulate relief to vagrants and discharged prisoners*, 191.
11. *To report annually*, 192.
12. *To appoint and remove assistants and subordinate officers*, 192.

Bread-money, not regarded as relief, 16. See *Allowance. Relief. Scale.*

Burnings and Riots, of 1830–31, evidence respecting, 213, and answers to question 53 in Supplement, p. 216.

C.

Capital. Effect of poor law administration upon agricultural, 35, 37, 41; upon manufacturing, 42, 43.

Character. No regard to, in granting relief, 19, 21——Dissatisfaction from distinguishing good and bad, 27, 28.

Charities. Profuse administration of, attended by discontent and disorder, 28——Effects of Bedford Charity, 28——As at present administered often wasted, often mischievous, 204.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Children. Desertion of by parents encouraged by certainty that parish will take care of them, 33——Large families considered by labourers an advantage, 16——Relief on account of, at what number it begins, evidence as to, 207; and answers to questions 24 and 25 in Supplement, p. 216.——See *Allowance. Relief. Scale.*
Illegitimate. See *Bastards.*

Commissioners, Poor Law. See *Assistant Commissioners. Board.*

Contract. For medical attendance, 25——For parish supplies; jobbing under present, 185——PROPOSED BOARD TO DIRECT PARISH TO BE SUPPLIED BY CONTRACT, AND TO PROVIDE FOR FREE COMPETITION, 186.

Cottages. (See *Tenements.*) Beneficial effects of rating, 143.

Crime. Encouraged by present poor law administration. See *Allowance. Labourers. Relief. Scale*——Diminution of, from improved system of relief, 135.

D.

Dispensaries, Utility of, 25.

E.

Education, Necessity of, 205.

Emigration, 199——Satisfactory results of, where practised, 200——Recommended that VESTRY SHOULD ORDER PAYMENT OUT OF RATES OF EXPENSES OF SETTLED POOR WILLING TO EMIGRATE, 202——Suggestions upon, 203.

Employers. In metropolis, employing out-door paupers, conceal amount of wages, lest they should be compelled to raise them by withdrawal of relief, 27——Attached to a system enabling them to throw the payment of part of their wages upon others, 34——Their objections to amendment of poor-law system, 34——Effects of poor-law system on, 39.

Employment. (See *Labour.*) Relief authorized by 43 Eliz. in return only for work, except to impotent, 21——Payment for work, most unusual form of relief; small amount of, 21——Differences with regard to, as a condition of relief, 21, 22——Beneficial effects of substituting employment and wages for eleemosynary aid, 29——From want of constant, labourers become criminal and worthless, 22——Man will refuse, saying he can get relief without labour, 22.

Employment, Parish, 21. (See *Roads.*) Unprofitable and little more than nominal, 21, 22, 23——Work less and pay the same as independent labourers', 22, 23——Able paupers refuse to do as much work as independent labourers, 144——Beneficial effects of compelling them to do so, 144——Necessity of efficient superintendence, 21; paupers threatened to drown a superintendent, 22——Man laughed at for working when not actually overlooked, 23——Amount paid for, 23——Collecting paupers in gangs for work, injurious effects of, 21; amongst these the riots of 1830 originated, 21——PROPOSED BOARD TO INCORPORATE PARISHES FOR THE EXECUTION OF PUBLIC LABOUR, 183.

Evidence, Magnitude of; how collected, 3.

Expenditure. (See *Rates.*) Reduction of, by improved system, 131.

Extracts from the information received by the Poor Law Commissioners, 2, 3.

F.

Family, large, considered by labourers an advantage, 16——Relief on account of, at what number of children it begins; evidence as to, 207, and answers to questions 24 and 25, in Supplement, p. 216.

Farm, Parish. Work nominal, wages real, 23.

Farm-houses (for Paupers). Discipline of, 30——Refractory poor sent to; number of inmates, 29——Among inmates of, many discharged convicts, 30——Keepers of, to save provision, give so much liberty that restraint and hardship ceases, 30——Inmates of, return intoxicated, and claim further relief from parish, 30.

Frauds, of Paupers. See *Imposition.*——OF PARISH OFFICERS; PROPOSED BOARD IN CASE OF, TO ACT AS PUBLIC PROSECUTORS, 186.

Friendly Societies. (See *Benefit Societies.*) Principle adopted by, in giving relief, 130

G.

Garden Ground let to Labourers, conditions of, 105.

Printed made digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

H.

Head Money (See *Allowance. Scale.*) Given to petty tradesmen, 20.

House of Industry at Bulcharnp, 33——Alarming state of, at Oxford, 30.

House-row System. See *Roundsmen.*

I.

Impositions of paupers, and impossibility of preventing in large towns, 25, 26, 27, 154——Only test of, to make condition of pauper inferior to that of independent labourer, 27.

Imprisonment no punishment, 33.

Incendiarism and Riots of 1830–31, Evidence respecting, 213; and answer to question 53 in Supplement, p. 216.

Incorporation of Parishes. Comparison of expense in incorporated and unincorporated hundreds, 178, 179——Advantages of union of parishes, 171, *et seq.*——Powers of proposed Board in regard to, 170——BOARD TO HAVE POWER TO INCORPORATE PARISHES FOR WORKHOUSE MANAGAMENT, 176——BOARD TO HAVE POWER TO INCORPORATE PARISHES FOR APPOINTING AND PAYING PERMANENT OFFICERS, AND FOR THE EXECUTION OF PUBLIC LABOUR, 183.

Instructions to assistant commissioners, 1; at length, 248.

Irish, influx of, caused by law of settlement, 87——Offspring of Roman Catholic marriages illegitimate; effects of this, 99.

J.

Justices of Peace. See *Magistrates.*

L.

Labour. (See *Employment.*) Differences with regard to, as a condition of relief, 21, 22——Pauper notions as to, 22——Man who works on road, when not actually overlooked, laughed at, 23——Loss from expenditure on unprofitable, 31——PROPOSED BOARD TO INCORPORATE PARISHES FOR THE EXECUTION OF PUBLIC LABOUR, 183.

Labourers. Examinations of, on poor law system, 47——Effects of present poor law system on, 44: on those not actually relieved, 44; on those actually relieved, 49——Under present poor law administration have a slave's security for subsistence without his liability to punishment, 32——Industry of, whether increasing or diminishing, *i.e.* whether better or worse workmen; evidence respecting, 209; and answers to question 37 in Supplement, p. 216.——Deterioration of, 24, 31——From want of constant employment become criminal and worthless, 22——Depending on allowance, refuse employment from individuals, 40——Where relief for work offered withdraw from parish, 77——Leave farmers, to get same pay, with less work, from parish, 22, 23, 24——Relieved when they might procure work if they pleased, while rate-payers have neither provisions nor money, 15——At Westoning, scarcely one able-bodied, in the employment of individuals, not receiving allowance, 17——In North Wales, no unmarried able man in the employment of individuals obtains relief, 18——Piece-work refused to single, and if he have any property to married, lest they should earn too much, 49——Difference in amount of relief to married and single, 15; (See *Allowance. Relief. Scale.*): promotes early and improvident marriage, 18——Difference in wages to married and single; evidence regarding, 209; and answers to question 29 in Supplement, p. 216.——Under present system, labourer's family not to suffer for his misconduct, 33——If idle, family relieved, 19——Demands of, complied with, to avoid expense of appeal to distant magistrate, 15——Receiving high yearly wages, apply in winter to parish, 17, 187——If earning a guinea a week, may receive relief, 16——Wives of independent, regret their husbands are not paupers, 23——While they have property cannot procure employment, 45, 46——Danger of encouraging the deserving, 27, 28——Discontent of, proportioned to the amount dispensed in poor's rates or charities, 28, 29——Degradation and criminality of character of the unemployed, 41——Character of those receiving relief reckless and dissolute, 16——Condition and residences of independent, contrasted with paupers', 30, 137——Improved condition of, as shown by savings, 128——Increased content and improved character of, from improved system of relief, 135, 136——Whether they change services more frequently than formerly; evidence respecting, 210; and answers to question 38 in Supplement, p. 216——Change services more frequently when partly paid by parish, 21——Number of, sufficient for proper cultivation of land; evidence respecting, 207; and answers to question 4 in Supplement, p. 216——Whether a surplus of, 200——Surplus of; evidence respecting, 212; and answers to questions 4 and 5 in Supplement, p. 216——Where 16 only required, 20 or 21 employed, 31.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

Labourers—continued.

Supernumerary, how employed. See *Labour Rate*——Demoralized state of, and injury to farmer from employing, 32——Work of, dearly bought, 3——Put up to auction, conseqnent evils, 24.

Pauper labourers formerly shared amongst the farmers, and paid wholly by the parish, 20——Objections of, to amendment of poor law system, 32.

Labour Rate, 24, 108.——Different plans of, 109——Improved plan of, 119——Statutes regarding, 108——Burthen of employing supernumerary labourers very oppressive, 31, 32——A plan for shifting the burthen from one class to another, 113, *et seq.*——Makes no allowance for farmers' sons, 115, 116——Affords opportunity of partiality and oppression, 117, 118, 119——Persons having any property not admitted upon, 46——Single men sometimes not admitted upon, 47——Arbitrators of, appointed at Farnham, 116——Expels non-parishioners, and employed for that purpose, 119, 120, 122——Effects of, on labourers, 121, 124——Displaces good labourers to make room for men of bad character, 32——Injurious to labourers, 113; and to the property of the employer, from their neglect, 32——Relief and wages confounded by, and destroys the distinction between independent labourer and pauper, 123, 124——Scale of wages under, 123——Inequality of pressure of, 111, *et seq.*——Hardship of, upon small occupiers and tradesmen, 111, *et seq.*——More beneficial to manufacturers than to farmers, 126——Gain from, trifling, 32——Effect of, on tithes, 113, 115.

Land. Effects of rates upon purchase of, 35——In Cholesbury, whole of, swallowed up in relief, 37——Thrown out of cultivation by poor-rates at Lenham, 37——Occupation of by labourers; see *Allotment.*

Landlords. (See *Proprietors.*) Their indistinct notions of maladministration, and unfitness to originate improvement, 36.

M.

Magistrates, 66. Powers and duties of, in regard to the poor, 66, 67, 68, 71, 74——Statutes regarding, 66, 67, 68, 71, 72, 74——Empowered to fix the rate of labourers' wages; consequences of, 68——Practice of, in metropolis, 79, 83; in Devon, 18——Introduction and encouragement of the allowance system by, 69, 70, 72——Scales of relief laid down by, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 69, 70, 71, 73——Individual magistrates selected by paupers, 77, 78, 79——Practice of, with regard to bastardy, 97, 98——No appeal from, 74——See further, 15, 16, 17, 21, 33, 66–84.

Make-up. See *Scale.*

Manufacturers. Injurious effects of maladministration of poor laws on, 43.

Marriage. Offspring of Roman Catholic illegitimate; consequences, 99——Inducements to, from present poor law administration, 33——Facilitated and compelled by bastardy, 93, 96, 97, 198——Early and improvident, promoted by difference in wages and relief to married and unmarried, 14, 18, 46——Relief claimed immediately after, 15, 46——Beneficial effects on labourers in regard to, from improved system of relief, 135.

Medical Attendance. Of non-parishioners, a source of peculation, 25——By contract, 25.——See *Dispensaries.*

Militia-men. Parish allowance to families of, encourages allowance system, 71——Objections to payments to families of, 204.

N.

National Charge for support of the poor, objections to, 99.

O.

Officers, Parish. Inconvenience and loss from serving as, 55, 153——Want of appropriate knowledge and incapacity of, 159——Favoritism of, 27——Inadequate or adverse interests and motives of, in proper management, 158, 160——Obstacles to appointment of good permanent, 183, 184——PROPOSED BOARD TO INCORPORATE PARISH FOR THE PAYMENT OF PERMANENT, 183——BOARD TO STATE QUALIFICATIONS NECESSARY FOR, AND TO RECOMMEND PROPER PERSONS FOR PAID OFFICERS, AND TO REMOVE ANY WHOM THEY CONSIDER UNFIT, 185.

Overseers. Powers and duties of, 55——Inefficiency of annual, 55, 56——Persons otherwise engaged usually appointed, 55, 56, 57——Want of check upon, 55, 57——The office made an instrument of jobbing, 57, 58——Grant relief under intimidation, 56, 58.

Overseers, Assistant Paid, 59——Character of, 59——Utility of, 56, 59——Where established, management best, 59——In one instance discontinued; rates immediately rose, 59——Subservient to ill-constituted vestry, 60.

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

P

Parishioners and Non-parishioners. Distinction between, narrows the market for, and [illegible] of, labour, 9——Superiority of non-parishioners under present system, 144, [illegible]——[illegible]on, of improved system of relief, 144——Number of non-parishioners, [illegible] respecting, 214; and answers to question 7 in Supplement, p. 216.

Paupers. Numbers relieved in 100 largest, 100 intermediate, and 100 smallest parishes, 178——Expense per head of maintaining, 186, 187——Maintenance of, has swallowed up the whole property of a parish, 37——Demand relief as a right, 15——Insolence of, 15——Notions of, as to labour, 22——When employed by parish, necessity of efficient superintendence, 21——Appeal against being compelled to work as long as independent labourers, 22——Work less, paid the same as independent labourers, 22, 23——Frauds of, and impossibility of preventing in large towns, 25, 26, 27——One receiving relief from six different parishes, 26——In metropolis, either hereditary or brought to parish by intemperance, 27——Able-bodied converted into independent labourers by improved system, 130——Condition of, should be inferior to that of independent labourer, 127——Beneficial results of this instanced, 128, 130.

Pauperism. Infectious, 26——Progressively increasing, 31——Elements necessary in calculating the progressive increase of, 31——Tendency of, to destroy industry, virtue and happiness, exemplified, 51, 53.

Poor. Distinction between poverty and indigence, 127——Total amount of expenditure in 1818 and 1832, 31——Different notions of their "right" to subsistence, 29——Danger of parish encouraging the deserving, 27, 28——Tenements of, exempted from rates, 36——Discontent of, proportioned to amount dispensed in poor's rates or charities, 28, 29——Maintenance of, has swallowed up the whole property of a parish, 37——National charge for support of, objections to, 99.

Poor-house. See *Workhouse.*

Poor Laws. Progress of, 4——Abuses of, 8, 15, 16; in the North, 15, in the South, 16——Abuses of, progressive, 31——Sources of maladministration of, 25, 26——Give a slave's security for subsistence, without his liability to punishment, 32——Effects of system: on proprietors, 36; on employers, 39, on labourers: those not actually relieved, 44; those actually relieved, 49——Present system dispenses with prudence and foresight, 33——Repeal law of nature, entailing the consequence of a man's misconduct on himself and his family, 44——System generates idleness, improvidence and waste; opposite virtues sources of loss to labourers, 44——Objections to amendment of: on the part of labourers, 32; of employers, 34; of proprietors, 35——Principle practised in amended administration of, 128——Results of, 130, *et seq.*

Population. Summary of parishes, townships, &c. in England and Wales, 246——Increase of, in agricultural and manufacturing districts, 199——Check to increase of, from improved system of relief, 134——Whether a surplus in this country, 199——Expense per head for the maintenance of the poor, Supplement, p. 216 (C.)

Prisoners, discharged. PROPOSED BOARD TO REGULATE RELIEF TO, AND TO VAGRANTS, 191.

Proprietors. Effects of poor law system on, 36——Objections of, to its amendment, 35.

Q.

Queries. Rural, alterations of, 1——Town, circulation of, 1——Arrangement of, in Appx. B. 2——Extracts from replies to, from seven counties in England, Supplement, 216.

R.

Rates. Total amount of expenditure, 31——Rarely collected from small tenements, except in case of non-parishioners, 9——Evils of exemptions from, 9, 10, 11——Beneficial effects of exacting from cottagers, 143——Comparison of, in largest, intermediate, and smallest parishes in seven counties, 177——Elements necessary in calculating the increase of, 31, 37——Effects of, upon purchase of land, 35——Land thrown out of cultivation by, 37——Connection of, with rent, 34, 35——Rapid increase of, will swallow up rent, 37, 38——A national instead of parochial charge, objections to, 99——Reduction of, by improved system, 131——Rate-payers called upon for rates when they have neither provisions nor money, 15.

Rating. Uncertainty and capriciousness of present mode of, 203——Suggestions in regard to, 203.

Recommendations of Commissioners, for amendment of the poor law system, 146, 167, 176, 180, 183, 185, 186, 190, 191, 192, 193, 195, 196, 197, 198, 202.

Relatives, clause of 43 Eliz. regarding, seldom enforced, 25.

Printed in digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

General remarks on out-door [illegible] tions to out-door, [illegible]——Want of [illegible] knowledge in administering, 75-[illegible] manded with insolence as a matter of [illegible] 14——Granted without [illegible] 16——Out-door, obtained by appa[illegible] respectable mechanics and [illegible] 18——In North Wales no single able-[illegible] tion in employment of indi[illegible] 18——Degrading effects of least [illegible] with 32——Destroys domestic [illegible] ——Spent at beer houses or gin shops, [illegible] 44, 75——Proper objects of, 147——[illegible] principle of administering, 137, [illegible]——Results of it, 130, *et seq*——Refusal [illegible] of workhouse, 129, *et seq*——[illegible] 146.

Abolition of all (except medical) out of well-regulated workhouse, recommended by Commissioners, 146; reasons, 147, *et seq.*——Objections to recommend it unanswered, 155—— Agency for carrying it into effect, 157, *et seq.*

Out-door, of the Able-bodied. Modes of, 8——In kind, 8——By payment of rent, 9. 20——In common, systems of, 11——Without labour, 11, 23——Sometimes called relief in lieu of labour," 11——Practice of, in Devon, Gloucester, Warwick, and Worcester, 11——At Urchfont, in Wilts, 23——Though barely sufficient for support, preferred by labourers to more pay with labour, 12——An expensive bounty upon idleness and crime, 12——Frequently given on the plea of inability to find work, 12——By parish employment, see *Employment*——In aid of wages, see *Allowance*.

Out-door; of the impotent, 24——Allowances to aged and infirm moderate, and little liable to abuse, 24——Sick relieved by contract with surgeon, 25——Non-parishioners removed, 25.

In-door. (See *Workhouse*.) Maladministration of, 29.

By Loan, 188——All future relief to be treated as loan, and Board to regulate attachment of wages to recover, 190.

Remedies proposed by Commissioners, 146, *et seq.* see *Recommendations*.

Removal of Sick, 25——Recommendations respecting removals, 194.

Rent Connection of, with rates, 34, 35——Payment of, by parish, 9, 20, 36——Parish guarantee payment of, 9——Payment of, by parish most prevalent in towns and large villages, 10——Paid by parish to prevent expense of distraint, 10——Practice of paying, in Suffolk, Surrey, and Sussex, 10; in West Riding of Yorkshire, 11——Payment of, by parish, renders foresight and saving unnecessary, 10——Amount of, paid by parishes, 10, 11——Entered in parish-books under other heads, 10, 11——Beneficial effects of parish refusing to pay, 143——Since payment of, by parish, cottage rents fallen, 19.

Riots, apprehended from those among whom rates and charities are profusely distributed, 29——Evidence respecting those of, 1830–31, 213; and answers to question 53 in Supplement, p. 216.

Roads, Parish. Pay to paupers on, same as independent labourers' wages, 23——Want of efficient superintendence of, 23——Injurious and corrupting effects of congregating on, 23——Labourer working when not actually overlooked laughed at, 23.

Roundsman System, 18——Various plans of, 19, 23, 24——Evils of, 19——Employer pledged to set roundsmen to no essential occupation, 19.

S.

Savings. Amount of labourers', in saving's banks, 76, 128, 137——Different opinions as to labourers' ability to save, 76——No inducement to save under present system, 49——Prevents their obtaining work while they have any property, 45, 46, 51——Depositors in saving's banks would increase, if facilities of obtaining relief were diminished, 188.

Scales of Relief. (See *Allowance*.) According to price of bread or flour, 12, 13, 14, 16, 18, 69, 70, 71, 73——In North Wales, 18——Introduction and growth of system, 69—73——Wages made up by parish to sum prescribed by, 14, 15, 16, 20——Under scale system, children soon considered independent claimants of relief, 18——Evils of, 73——Levels good and bad character, 74——Suppression of a scale, 73——Evidence respecting, 207; and answers to question 25 in Supplement, p. 216.

Services. Whether labourers change more frequently than formerly; evidence respecting, 210; and answers to question 38 in Supplement, p. 216,

Settlement, 84——Statutes regarding, 84, 85——Grounds of, 85——Evils inseparable from, 91——Refusal of advantageous employment from fear of losing, 86——Opportunities of fraud afforded by law of, 90——Law of, induces improvident marriage, 90——Compulsory marriage to change the settlement of bastard's mother, 97——Encourages influx of Irish, and prevents circulation of labour, 87——Encourages speculation in cottages and small houses, 87, 88——Evils of, by apprenticeship, 88——Inconveniences of mariners', 89——Effect of, by hiring and service, on employment of labourers, 86——Effects on, of improved system of relief, 144, 145——Proposed enactments respecting, 193——By hiring and service, apprenticeship, purchasing or renting a tenement, estate, paying rates, or serving an office, to be abolished, 193——Child's to follow parent's, until 16 years old; then to be

Digitized by the University of Southampton Library Digitization Unit

—Basta[illegible]low mothers' [illegible]——R[illegible]

[illegible] of the poor, [illegible] Elizabeth, at length [illegible]-56.

Stint System. See *Roundsmen.*

T.

Tenants. Paupers desirable as, rent being paid by parish, 9.

Tenements, small, a profitable investment, 9, 10——Whole streets of, built on speculation, thereby creating lower population, [illegible] 11—— Rating owners of, popular, 10——Exemption of, [illegible] rate raises rents, 11—— [illegible]wners of, endeavour to get their friends appointed governors [illegible]r guardians, 27.

Ticket System, 24. See *Roundsmen.*

Tithes. Relief used as an engine against, 61, 62, 63——Effect of labour rates on, 113, 115.

Truck System. Enables manufacturers to regulate the relief to their own men, 16

V.

Vagrancy. Early statutes for restraint of, 4, 191——Converted into a profitable trade, [illegible]——Proposed Bill to regulate relief to vagrants and to discharged prisoners, 191.

Vestries, kinds of, 60.

Vestries, Open, 60——Powers of, 6[illegible]——Constitution of, 60, 61, 62, 63——Want of check on, 61——An instrument of jobbing, 61, 62——Interested in large expenditure, 62, 63——Averse to beneficial change, 62, 63.

Vestries, Representative or Select, 64——Powers and duties of, [illegible]4——Constitution, 64, 65——Evils from too frequent meetings of, 64——Superiority of, over open, [illegible]4, [illegible]——Want of check on, [illegible]5——Affords an opportunity for jobbing, 65 Discontinued through intimidation, [illegible]——Numbers of, from [illegible]27 to 1832, 66.

Visitors, Self-appointed, 66——Mal-administration of, 66.

W.

Wages. Payment of relief in aid of, out of rates see *Allowance*——Amount of, to married and single, [illegible]——Objections to give the same to married and single, [illegible] Difficulty of ascertaining and comparing labourers; evidence respecting, [illegible] answer to question 29 in Supplement, p. [illegible]——Labourers in receipt of, [illegible] apply in winter to parish, [illegible]7——Partial payment of, out of rates, has pauperized labourers and lost yearly [illegible]n[illegible]ds [illegible]——In manufactories, amount of, [illegible] married [illegible] should be supplemented from by withdrawal of relief [illegible]——Substitution of employment and wages for eleemosynary aid; beneficial effects [illegible], [illegible]—— Farmers will [illegible] wages [illegible], 34——Rise of from improved system [illegible] Attachment of, by parish for repayment of relief, 188, 89——Proposed Board to be appointed to [illegible]tain for repayment of relief, 190.

Widows, Rowan [illegible], [illegible].

Work. See *Employment.*

Workhouse. Importance of [illegible] administration of relief in [illegible]——Generally a large [illegible]se: a receptacle for [illegible]leness, ignorance, and vice, with [illegible]nferior to that [illegible] [illegible]rs, [illegible], [illegible]per to labourers' [illegible]——Paupers [illegible] [illegible]ttle in, than [illegible]er [illegible], [illegible]——Parish poor-house [illegible]t present managed [illegible]ttract paupers, [illegible]——Bad state of [illegible]ading; a fair sample of [illegible]wns. [illegible]——[illegible]n metro[illegible]s, 2[illegible]——stuliwo[illegible]d, [illegible]——Classification in [illegible]r want of [illegible] [illegible]all [illegible]voces [illegible] the [illegible],9——Prostitutes walk the streets till [illegible]d to great [illegible] [illegible] be received [illegible]; [illegible]n wher[illegible]er stra[illegible]hs is recruited, return to their [illegible]——Many thieves and prostitutes [illegible]2, 30——Refractory [illegible]r sent to [illegible]-h[illegible], [illegible]——Excellent system at Southwell, 3[illegible], 31 [illegible].9——Benefits of well-regulated [illegible], [illegible]——Well-regulated [illegible] test claimant's merits, 14——[illegible]dmin[illegible]n of exp[illegible] [illegible] b[illegible]——[illegible]rable th[illegible]sorderl[illegible]mf[illegible] [illegible]h[illegible], 12——[illegible]h[illegible]sp[illegible]n[illegible]d[illegible]y [illegible]uan[illegible]rel[illegible]f ut[illegible]f well-re[illegible]d, [illegible]——[illegible]uts, 148——[illegible]l-regla[illegible] has d[illegible]ished number of paupers, and made l[illegible]u[illegible]mor respectable, 21——Inmates of, permi[illegible] to leave the workhouse [illegible] the d[illegible]f[illegible]unt [illegible]t [illegible]ntoxi[illegible]d, [illegible]ually w[illegible]men 3——Children [illegible], numb[illegible]f, 29——T[illegible]ow themselves out [illegible] places, [illegible] return to, 29

[illegible]